

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-1. Dallas as a place to live															
Excellent	11	14	11	9	16	10	14	14	19	8	10	15	18	18	187
	10.8%	13.6%	10.7%	9.0%	16.2%	10.1%	13.5%	14.0%	15.6%	7.2%	9.9%	14.9%	16.4%	16.2%	12.8%
Good	53 52.0%	48 46.6%	45 43.7%	52 52.0%	45 45.5%	44 44.4%	49 47.1%	44 44.0%	62 50.8%	70 63.1%	52 51.5%	48 47.5%	51 46.4%	51 45.9%	714 48.7%
Fair	33	31	36	34	33	27	33	31	37	28	34	28	35	37	457
	32.4%	30.1%	35.0%	34.0%	33.3%	27.3%	31.7%	31.0%	30.3%	25.2%	33.7%	27.7%	31.8%	33.3%	31.2%
Poor	5 4.9%	10 9.7%	11 10.7%	5 5.0%	5 5.1%	18 18.2%	8 7.7%	11 11.0%	4 3.3%	5 4.5%	5 5.0%	10 9.9%	6 5.5%	5 4.5%	108 7.4%

### Q1. Perceptions of the City. Please rate the following items by circling the corresponding number below. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-2. Your neighborhood as a plac	e to live														
Excellent	24	16	13	7	19	19	12	17	40	35	32	37	36	32	339
	23.1%	15.5%	12.6%	6.9%	19.0%	19.2%	11.4%	17.0%	32.8%	31.5%	32.3%	36.3%	32.4%	28.6%	23.0%
Good	47	51	51	37	35	34	35	32	57	57	43	39	57	60	635
	45.2%	49.5%	49.5%	36.6%	35.0%	34.3%	33.3%	32.0%	46.7%	51.4%	43.4%	38.2%	51.4%	53.6%	43.1%
Fair	29	22	25	40	27	31	42	34	23	16	19	17	14	15	354
	27.9%	21.4%	24.3%	39.6%	27.0%	31.3%	40.0%	34.0%	18.9%	14.4%	19.2%	16.7%	12.6%	13.4%	24.0%
Poor	4	14	14	17	19	15	16	17	2	3	5	9	4	5	144
	3.8%	13.6%	13.6%	16.8%	19.0%	15.2%	15.2%	17.0%	1.6%	2.7%	5.1%	8.8%	3.6%	4.5%	9.8%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-3. Dallas as a place to raise child	<u>Iren</u>														
Excellent	10	6	5	5	6	9	8	12	18	11	7	11	11	12	131
	10.6%	6.9%	5.1%	5.4%	6.5%	9.9%	8.3%	12.2%	17.1%	10.6%	7.5%	11.5%	10.9%	12.9%	9.8%
Good	29	27	36	40	38	25	39	34	45	49	36	37	32	32	499
	30.9%	31.0%	36.4%	43.0%	41.3%	27.5%	40.6%	34.7%	42.9%	47.1%	38.7%	38.5%	31.7%	34.4%	37.2%
Fair	39	35	39	36	37	32	35	33	27	34	32	28	43	33	483
	41.5%	40.2%	39.4%	38.7%	40.2%	35.2%	36.5%	33.7%	25.7%	32.7%	34.4%	29.2%	42.6%	35.5%	36.0%
Poor	16	19	19	12	11	25	14	19	15	10	18	20	15	16	229
	17.0%	21.8%	19.2%	12.9%	12.0%	27.5%	14.6%	19.4%	14.3%	9.6%	19.4%	20.8%	14.9%	17.2%	17.1%

## Q1. Perceptions of the City. Please rate the following items by circling the corresponding number below. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-4. Dallas as a place to work															
Excellent	27	24	23	15	18	23	26	26	35	36	25	28	30	32	368
	26.7%	24.2%	23.0%	15.3%	18.4%	24.0%	26.8%	27.7%	30.7%	33.0%	25.8%	28.6%	28.3%	29.1%	26.0%
Good	49 48.5%	51 51.5%	46 46.0%	52 53.1%	58 59.2%	49 51.0%	44 45.4%	32 34.0%	55 48.2%	58 53.2%	45 46.4%	40 40.8%	63 59.4%	56 50.9%	698 49.3%
Fair	21	22	24	27	17	18	21	26	22	14	24	25	11	20	292
	20.8%	22.2%	24.0%	27.6%	17.3%	18.8%	21.6%	27.7%	19.3%	12.8%	24.7%	25.5%	10.4%	18.2%	20.6%
Poor	4 4.0%	2 2.0%	7 7.0%	4 4.1%	5 5.1%	6 6.3%	6 6.2%	10 10.6%	2 1.8%	1 0.9%	3 3.1%	5 5.1%	2 1.9%	2 1.8%	59 4.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-5. Dallas as a place to retire															
Excellent	11	7	12	8	8	7	6	14	15	8	5	6	15	5	127
	12.1%	7.6%	12.0%	8.2%	9.2%	7.5%	6.5%	15.1%	13.4%	7.5%	5.2%	6.3%	14.3%	4.9%	9.3%
Good	18 19.8%	23 25.0%	32 32.0%	32 33.0%	21 24.1%	20 21.5%	26 28.0%	23 24.7%	31 27.7%	23 21.7%	31 32.3%	28 29.5%	15 14.3%	24 23.3%	347 25.5%
Fair	33 36.3%	34 37.0%	25 25.0%	35 36.1%	35 40.2%	27 29.0%	27 29.0%	28 30.1%	37 33.0%	42 39.6%	32 33.3%	28 29.5%	36 34.3%	33 32.0%	452 33.2%
Poor	29 31.9%	28 30.4%	31 31.0%	22 22.7%	23 26.4%	39 41.9%	34 36.6%	28 30.1%	29 25.9%	33 31.1%	28 29.2%	33 34.7%	39 37.1%	41 39.8%	437 32.1%

### Q1. Perceptions of the City. Please rate the following items by circling the corresponding number below. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-6. Dallas as a place to do busine	<u>ss</u>														
Excellent	27	22	18	20	22	27	23	25	42	35	22	33	34	32	382
	27.3%	25.3%	19.1%	22.0%	24.2%	29.0%	24.5%	27.2%	40.4%	33.3%	24.7%	35.9%	34.3%	31.4%	28.7%
Good	52 52.5%	43 49.4%	37 39.4%	39 42.9%	45 49.5%	43 46.2%	42 44.7%	39 42.4%	45 43.3%	52 49.5%	45 50.6%	39 42.4%	57 57.6%	54 52.9%	632 47.4%
Fair	19	18	34	27	19	16	22	22	16	16	17	16	7	13	262
	19.2%	20.7%	36.2%	29.7%	20.9%	17.2%	23.4%	23.9%	15.4%	15.2%	19.1%	17.4%	7.1%	12.7%	19.7%
Poor	1 1.0%	4 4.6%	5 5.3%	5 5.5%	5 5.5%	7 7.5%	7 7.4%	6 6.5%	1 1.0%	2 1.9%	5 5.6%	4 4.3%	1 1.0%	3 2.9%	56 4.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-7. Dallas as an equitable City															
Excellent	6	10	3	7	13	9	5	10	12	6	8	14	14	14	131
	6.1%	10.9%	3.2%	7.4%	14.0%	10.2%	5.7%	11.0%	11.0%	5.8%	9.1%	15.6%	14.0%	14.0%	9.9%
Good	21	30	29	25	25	31	28	28	36	35	21	29	33	29	400
	21.4%	32.6%	31.2%	26.3%	26.9%	35.2%	31.8%	30.8%	33.0%	34.0%	23.9%	32.2%	33.0%	29.0%	30.1%
Fair	38	31	34	39	35	32	31	30	35	47	38	31	33	29	483
	38.8%	33.7%	36.6%	41.1%	37.6%	36.4%	35.2%	33.0%	32.1%	45.6%	43.2%	34.4%	33.0%	29.0%	36.4%
Poor	33	21	27	24	20	16	24	23	26	15	21	16	20	28	314
	33.7%	22.8%	29.0%	25.3%	21.5%	18.2%	27.3%	25.3%	23.9%	14.6%	23.9%	17.8%	20.0%	28.0%	23.6%

## Q1. Perceptions of the City. Please rate the following items by circling the corresponding number below. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-8. Quality of economic develop	ment in D	allas													
Excellent	12	16	7	5	9	11	12	13	27	17	12	22	16	18	197
	11.8%	16.8%	7.0%	5.2%	9.3%	11.7%	12.2%	14.0%	23.5%	16.0%	12.8%	22.4%	15.2%	17.0%	14.1%
Good	33 32.4%	38 40.0%	33 33.0%	37 38.5%	38 39.2%	37 39.4%	30 30.6%	25 26.9%	38 33.0%	53 50.0%	32 34.0%	44 44.9%	52 49.5%	46 43.4%	536 38.3%
Fair	43 42.2%	32 33.7%	25 25.0%	31 32.3%	29 29.9%	31 33.0%	37 37.8%	34 36.6%	35 30.4%	27 25.5%	33 35.1%	18 18.4%	30 28.6%	31 29.2%	436 31.2%
Poor	14 13.7%	9 9.5%	35 35.0%	23 24.0%	21 21.6%	15 16.0%	19 19.4%	21 22.6%	15 13.0%	9 8.5%	17 18.1%	14 14.3%	7 6.7%	11 10.4%	230 16.4%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-9. Quality of public schools in Da	allas														
Excellent	4	6	5	3	3	3	7	4	9	2	2	3	3	2	56
	4.2%	7.2%	5.0%	3.2%	3.3%	3.3%	7.6%	4.3%	8.3%	1.9%	2.2%	3.3%	2.8%	2.0%	4.2%
Good	19 20.0%	17 20.5%	19 19.0%	24 25.5%	19 21.1%	11 12.0%	24 26.1%	26 28.0%	22 20.2%	28 27.2%	17 18.9%	12 13.3%	21 19.8%	19 19.2%	278 20.8%
Fair	33 34.7%	30 36.1%	36 36.0%	44 46.8%	30 33.3%	36 39.1%	26 28.3%	25 26.9%	43 39.4%	35 34.0%	37 41.1%	36 40.0%	33 31.1%	36 36.4%	480 35.9%
Poor	39 41.1%	30 36.1%	40 40.0%	23 24.5%	38 42.2%	42 45.7%	35 38.0%	38 40.9%	35 32.1%	38 36.9%	34 37.8%	39 43.3%	49 46.2%	42 42.4%	522 39.1%

## Q1. Perceptions of the City. Please rate the following items by circling the corresponding number below. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
<u>-</u>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q1-10. Overall quality of life in Dalla	<u>S</u>														
Excellent	5	7	3	3	5	9	6	7	17	5	9	7	10	9	102
	4.9%	6.9%	2.9%	3.0%	5.0%	9.2%	5.8%	7.1%	13.9%	4.5%	8.9%	6.9%	9.1%	8.0%	7.0%
Good	49 48.0%	51 50.0%	47 45.6%	44 43.6%	46 46.0%	38 38.8%	46 44.2%	41 41.4%	61 50.0%	68 61.3%	47 46.5%	51 50.0%	54 49.1%	61 54.5%	704 48.0%
Fair	46 45.1%	32 31.4%	42 40.8%	47 46.5%	39 39.0%	38 38.8%	42 40.4%	37 37.4%	42 34.4%	34 30.6%	35 34.7%	34 33.3%	41 37.3%	35 31.3%	544 37.1%
Poor	2 2.0%	12 11.8%	11 10.7%	7 6.9%	10 10.0%	13 13.3%	10 9.6%	14 14.1%	2 1.6%	4 3.6%	10 9.9%	10 9.8%	5 4.5%	7 6.3%	117 8.0%

Page 6

## Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-1. Sense of community															
Excellent	13	5	4	4	6	3	4	6	13	4	4	4	5	5	80
	12.7%	5.0%	4.0%	4.0%	6.1%	3.1%	4.0%	6.1%	10.8%	3.6%	4.0%	4.0%	4.5%	4.5%	5.5%
Good	32 31.4%	32 32.0%	35 35.4%	24 24.2%	29 29.6%	32 33.3%	30 29.7%	29 29.3%	42 35.0%	51 45.9%	28 28.0%	33 33.3%	36 32.4%	36 32.1%	469 32.4%
Fair	35 34.3%	38 38.0%	44 44.4%	48 48.5%	37 37.8%	36 37.5%	39 38.6%	42 42.4%	41 34.2%	46 41.4%	45 45.0%	38 38.4%	52 46.8%	47 42.0%	588 40.6%
Poor	22 21.6%	25 25.0%	16 16.2%	23 23.2%	26 26.5%	25 26.0%	28 27.7%	22 22.2%	24 20.0%	10 9.0%	23 23.0%	24 24.2%	18 16.2%	24 21.4%	310 21.4%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-2. Openness & acceptance of the	ne commu	nity towa	ırds peop	le of dive	erse back	grounds									
Excellent	15	11	6	4	7	12	8	9	11	11	13	7	14	13	141
	14.9%	11.1%	6.0%	4.1%	7.4%	12.4%	8.3%	9.5%	9.3%	10.2%	13.3%	7.5%	13.2%	12.3%	10.0%
Good	35	27	32	26	28	39	32	29	43	42	34	35	31	36	469
	34.7%	27.3%	32.0%	26.5%	29.5%	40.2%	33.3%	30.5%	36.4%	38.9%	34.7%	37.6%	29.2%	34.0%	33.3%
Fair	30	41	40	49	33	32	36	36	46	44	33	32	45	37	534
	29.7%	41.4%	40.0%	50.0%	34.7%	33.0%	37.5%	37.9%	39.0%	40.7%	33.7%	34.4%	42.5%	34.9%	37.9%
Poor	21	20	22	19	27	14	20	21	18	11	18	19	16	20	266
	20.8%	20.2%	22.0%	19.4%	28.4%	14.4%	20.8%	22.1%	15.3%	10.2%	18.4%	20.4%	15.1%	18.9%	18.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-3. Opportunities to attend arts	& cultural	<u>events</u>													
Excellent	27	28	19	17	25	19	31	26	49	38	26	32	40	30	407
	26.2%	27.7%	18.8%	17.5%	26.0%	20.2%	30.7%	26.5%	40.5%	34.5%	26.0%	32.7%	36.7%	26.8%	28.2%
Good	50 48.5%	40 39.6%	47 46.5%	43 44.3%	38 39.6%	47 50.0%	40 39.6%	43 43.9%	50 41.3%	57 51.8%	45 45.0%	47 48.0%	53 48.6%	53 47.3%	653 45.3%
Fair	24 23.3%	24 23.8%	27 26.7%	23 23.7%	24 25.0%	17 18.1%	25 24.8%	16 16.3%	18 14.9%	14 12.7%	25 25.0%	14 14.3%	12 11.0%	23 20.5%	286 19.8%
Poor	2 1.9%	9 8.9%	8 7.9%	14 14.4%	9 9.4%	11 11.7%	5 5.0%	13 13.3%	4 3.3%	1 0.9%	4 4.0%	5 5.1%	4 3.7%	6 5.4%	95 6.6%

## Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-4. Air quality															
Excellent	4	5	2	1	5	3	3	5	5	3	5	3	6	2	52
	3.9%	5.1%	2.0%	1.0%	5.3%	3.1%	2.9%	5.2%	4.2%	2.7%	5.1%	3.0%	5.5%	1.8%	3.6%
Good	30	22	28	30	26	26	27	29	42	41	34	36	32	29	432
	29.4%	22.4%	27.7%	30.0%	27.7%	27.1%	26.0%	30.2%	35.0%	37.3%	34.3%	36.0%	29.4%	26.4%	30.0%
Fair	39	47	49	41	38	41	48	37	45	55	44	43	51	48	626
	38.2%	48.0%	48.5%	41.0%	40.4%	42.7%	46.2%	38.5%	37.5%	50.0%	44.4%	43.0%	46.8%	43.6%	43.5%
Poor	29	24	22	28	25	26	26	25	28	11	16	18	20	31	329
	28.4%	24.5%	21.8%	28.0%	26.6%	27.1%	25.0%	26.0%	23.3%	10.0%	16.2%	18.0%	18.3%	28.2%	22.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-5. Access to affordable, quality	housing														
Excellent	3	6	3	3	4	3	4	5	2	2	3	5	2	2	47
	3.0%	6.4%	3.2%	3.1%	4.3%	3.2%	4.1%	5.2%	1.7%	1.9%	3.3%	5.7%	2.0%	1.9%	3.4%
Good	16 16.0%	4 4.3%	16 16.8%	11 11.3%	13 13.8%	11 11.8%	13 13.3%	13 13.5%	17 14.8%	19 17.9%	16 17.6%	19 21.6%	16 15.8%	14 13.6%	198 14.4%
Fair	27 27.0%	32 34.0%	30 31.6%	31 32.0%	34 36.2%	31 33.3%	23 23.5%	28 29.2%	43 37.4%	44 41.5%	34 37.4%	29 33.0%	45 44.6%	30 29.1%	461 33.6%
Poor	54 54.0%	52 55.3%	46 48.4%	52 53.6%	43 45.7%	48 51.6%	58 59.2%	50 52.1%	53 46.1%	41 38.7%	38 41.8%	35 39.8%	38 37.6%	57 55.3%	665 48.5%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-6. Access to affordable, quality	child care														
Excellent	3	4	2	3	1	2	2	5	2	2	3	1	0	2	32
	4.2%	7.0%	2.6%	4.2%	1.6%	3.1%	3.0%	7.0%	2.7%	2.6%	5.4%	1.9%	0.0%	2.7%	3.4%
Good	10	7	15	8	9	9	17	12	11	13	9	9	11	6	146
	14.1%	12.3%	19.5%	11.3%	14.5%	13.8%	25.4%	16.9%	15.1%	17.1%	16.1%	16.7%	16.7%	8.0%	15.5%
Fair	19	14	20	25	25	20	16	24	29	29	18	28	21	19	307
	26.8%	24.6%	26.0%	35.2%	40.3%	30.8%	23.9%	33.8%	39.7%	38.2%	32.1%	51.9%	31.8%	25.3%	32.6%
Poor	39	32	40	35	27	34	32	30	31	32	26	16	34	48	456
	54.9%	56.1%	51.9%	49.3%	43.5%	52.3%	47.8%	42.3%	42.5%	42.1%	46.4%	29.6%	51.5%	64.0%	48.5%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-7. Access to affordable, quality	health car	<u>e</u>													
Excellent	14	12	7	7	9	11	14	11	19	19	18	19	15	18	193
	14.0%	13.6%	7.3%	7.1%	9.6%	12.1%	14.1%	11.5%	16.5%	17.3%	19.1%	19.8%	14.3%	16.7%	13.9%
Good	29 29.0%	19 21.6%	34 35.4%	28 28.6%	23 24.5%	25 27.5%	27 27.3%	26 27.1%	30 26.1%	43 39.1%	32 34.0%	36 37.5%	44 41.9%	23 21.3%	419 30.1%
Fair	28 28.0%	34 38.6%	28 29.2%	38 38.8%	32 34.0%	27 29.7%	30 30.3%	30 31.3%	36 31.3%	26 23.6%	28 29.8%	26 27.1%	32 30.5%	40 37.0%	435 31.3%
Poor	29 29.0%	23 26.1%	27 28.1%	25 25.5%	30 31.9%	28 30.8%	28 28.3%	29 30.2%	30 26.1%	22 20.0%	16 17.0%	15 15.6%	14 13.3%	27 25.0%	343 24.7%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475	<u>District</u> To													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-8. Access to affordable, quality	food														
Excellent	15	9	9	11	9	16	17	15	24	15	22	24	27	24	237
	14.4%	9.1%	8.8%	11.1%	9.2%	16.3%	16.7%	15.2%	20.2%	13.5%	22.7%	23.8%	24.8%	21.4%	16.3%
Good	31	39	34	31	41	30	35	31	50	63	40	46	52	38	561
	29.8%	39.4%	33.3%	31.3%	41.8%	30.6%	34.3%	31.3%	42.0%	56.8%	41.2%	45.5%	47.7%	33.9%	38.7%
Fair	38	34	29	32	35	33	30	26	30	26	27	21	22	42	425
	36.5%	34.3%	28.4%	32.3%	35.7%	33.7%	29.4%	26.3%	25.2%	23.4%	27.8%	20.8%	20.2%	37.5%	29.3%
Poor	20	17	30	25	13	19	20	27	15	7	8	10	8	8	227
	19.2%	17.2%	29.4%	25.3%	13.3%	19.4%	19.6%	27.3%	12.6%	6.3%	8.2%	9.9%	7.3%	7.1%	15.7%

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-9. Access to living-wage jobs															
Excellent	10	7	4	4	4	7	3	7	14	6	11	14	15	18	124
	10.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.4%	4.2%	7.5%	3.2%	7.4%	12.7%	6.1%	12.8%	15.9%	14.7%	17.3%	9.2%
Good	35 35.0%	28 32.2%	34 34.3%	21 23.1%	34 35.8%	32 34.4%	33 35.5%	26 27.7%	41 37.3%	50 50.5%	39 45.3%	31 35.2%	48 47.1%	33 31.7%	485 36.2%
Fair	40 40.0%	31 35.6%	40 40.4%	41 45.1%	33 34.7%	34 36.6%	29 31.2%	27 28.7%	31 28.2%	32 32.3%	26 30.2%	29 33.0%	30 29.4%	38 36.5%	461 34.4%
Poor	15 15.0%	21 24.1%	21 21.2%	25 27.5%	24 25.3%	20 21.5%	28 30.1%	34 36.2%	24 21.8%	11 11.1%	10 11.6%	14 15.9%	9 8.8%	15 14.4%	271 20.2%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-10. Access to quality education															
Excellent	6	9	8	11	10	10	15	9	20	8	11	18	16	11	162
	6.1%	10.5%	8.0%	11.8%	10.9%	10.8%	15.0%	9.4%	17.5%	7.7%	12.5%	18.8%	15.0%	10.9%	11.8%
Good	26 26.5%	25 29.1%	36 36.0%	28 30.1%	36 39.1%	26 28.0%	33 33.0%	34 35.4%	35 30.7%	48 46.2%	32 36.4%	29 30.2%	39 36.4%	36 35.6%	463 33.8%
Fair	45 45.9%	38 44.2%	33 33.0%	45 48.4%	31 33.7%	35 37.6%	30 30.0%	31 32.3%	38 33.3%	34 32.7%	30 34.1%	30 31.3%	36 33.6%	35 34.7%	491 35.9%
Poor	21 21.4%	14 16.3%	23 23.0%	9 9.7%	15 16.3%	22 23.7%	22 22.0%	22 22.9%	21 18.4%	14 13.5%	15 17.0%	19 19.8%	16 15.0%	19 18.8%	252 18.4%

N=1475	District														Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-11. Ease of car travel in Dallas															
Excellent	3	6	7	6	3	4	7	6	7	6	5	6	12	9	87
	2.9%	5.9%	6.8%	6.1%	3.0%	4.1%	6.9%	6.2%	5.7%	5.5%	5.0%	6.0%	10.9%	8.1%	6.0%
Good	37 35.6%	19 18.6%	28 27.2%	24 24.2%	31 31.0%	26 26.5%	31 30.4%	24 24.7%	30 24.6%	25 22.7%	32 32.0%	25 25.0%	26 23.6%	29 26.1%	387 26.5%
Fair	34 32.7%	44 43.1%	41 39.8%	40 40.4%	27 27.0%	32 32.7%	30 29.4%	30 30.9%	51 41.8%	49 44.5%	30 30.0%	41 41.0%	42 38.2%	40 36.0%	531 36.4%
Poor	30 28.8%	33 32.4%	27 26.2%	29 29.3%	39 39.0%	36 36.7%	34 33.3%	37 38.1%	34 27.9%	30 27.3%	33 33.0%	28 28.0%	30 27.3%	33 29.7%	453 31.1%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-12. Ease of bus travel in Dallas															
Excellent	6	4	6	7	4	1	2	6	3	4	2	0	4	4	53
	7.7%	5.6%	8.0%	9.3%	6.6%	1.6%	3.1%	8.8%	4.0%	6.2%	4.4%	0.0%	6.7%	5.8%	5.7%
Good	19 24.4%	17 23.6%	29 38.7%	15 20.0%	18 29.5%	19 30.2%	18 27.7%	23 33.8%	17 22.7%	14 21.5%	6 13.3%	9 16.4%	16 26.7%	15 21.7%	235 25.4%
Fair	23 29.5%	22 30.6%	25 33.3%	30 40.0%	16 26.2%	14 22.2%	23 35.4%	24 35.3%	22 29.3%	23 35.4%	14 31.1%	19 34.5%	19 31.7%	13 18.8%	287 31.0%
Poor	30 38.5%	29 40.3%	15 20.0%	23 30.7%	23 37.7%	29 46.0%	22 33.8%	15 22.1%	33 44.0%	24 36.9%	23 51.1%	27 49.1%	21 35.0%	37 53.6%	351 37.9%

N=1475	District														Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-13. Ease of rail travel in Dallas															
Excellent	5	6	4	9	5	4	6	9	6	6	1	0	7	7	75
	6.0%	8.1%	5.1%	11.8%	7.1%	6.2%	8.6%	13.2%	7.1%	7.3%	1.7%	0.0%	10.4%	8.4%	7.3%
Good	27 32.1%	21 28.4%	32 40.5%	22 28.9%	22 31.4%	18 27.7%	29 41.4%	27 39.7%	19 22.6%	25 30.5%	12 20.3%	13 19.7%	17 25.4%	20 24.1%	304 29.6%
Fair	24 28.6%	24 32.4%	27 34.2%	29 38.2%	28 40.0%	21 32.3%	25 35.7%	19 27.9%	27 32.1%	28 34.1%	27 45.8%	24 36.4%	23 34.3%	20 24.1%	346 33.7%
Poor	28 33.3%	23 31.1%	16 20.3%	16 21.1%	15 21.4%	22 33.8%	10 14.3%	13 19.1%	32 38.1%	23 28.0%	19 32.2%	29 43.9%	20 29.9%	36 43.4%	302 29.4%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-14. Ease of air travel in Dallas															
Excellent	26	28	27	21	19	26	15	15	39	37	40	36	49	43	421
	26.8%	29.5%	28.4%	23.9%	20.9%	28.6%	16.9%	17.4%	36.1%	34.9%	40.8%	37.1%	44.5%	39.4%	31.0%
Good	49	40	45	47	45	47	54	41	49	53	44	43	50	51	658
	50.5%	42.1%	47.4%	53.4%	49.5%	51.6%	60.7%	47.7%	45.4%	50.0%	44.9%	44.3%	45.5%	46.8%	48.4%
Fair	19	26	19	16	22	14	15	22	17	12	14	16	9	15	236
	19.6%	27.4%	20.0%	18.2%	24.2%	15.4%	16.9%	25.6%	15.7%	11.3%	14.3%	16.5%	8.2%	13.8%	17.4%
Poor	3	1	4	4	5	4	5	8	3	4	0	2	2	0	45
	3.1%	1.1%	4.2%	4.5%	5.5%	4.4%	5.6%	9.3%	2.8%	3.8%	0.0%	2.1%	1.8%	0.0%	3.3%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-15. Ease of bicycle travel in Dalla	<u>as</u>														
Excellent	5	6	3	2	4	3	2	7	4	3	5	1	5	4	54
	6.3%	7.8%	4.3%	2.7%	6.3%	4.1%	2.8%	10.1%	4.0%	3.8%	8.1%	1.7%	6.9%	4.9%	5.3%
Good	18 22.5%	21 27.3%	16 22.9%	21 28.8%	16 25.4%	17 23.3%	22 31.0%	16 23.2%	31 31.0%	16 20.5%	14 22.6%	12 20.3%	19 26.4%	9 11.1%	248 24.1%
Fair	20 25.0%	17 22.1%	29 41.4%	28 38.4%	22 34.9%	23 31.5%	27 38.0%	25 36.2%	33 33.0%	35 44.9%	16 25.8%	20 33.9%	29 40.3%	29 35.8%	353 34.3%
Poor	37 46.3%	33 42.9%	22 31.4%	22 30.1%	21 33.3%	30 41.1%	20 28.2%	21 30.4%	32 32.0%	24 30.8%	27 43.5%	26 44.1%	19 26.4%	39 48.1%	373 36.3%

### Q2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Dallas as a whole. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-16. Ease of walking in Dallas															
Excellent	1	8	3	5	3	2	6	8	6	4	6	4	8	4	68
	1.0%	8.0%	3.2%	5.5%	3.2%	2.1%	6.3%	8.8%	5.1%	4.0%	6.8%	4.6%	7.8%	3.7%	5.0%
Good	22	20	24	21	21	17	23	19	31	23	11	25	22	26	305
	21.6%	20.0%	25.5%	23.1%	22.1%	17.9%	24.2%	20.9%	26.5%	22.8%	12.5%	28.7%	21.6%	24.3%	22.3%
Fair	37	33	38	31	33	35	37	28	34	40	34	29	42	32	483
	36.3%	33.0%	40.4%	34.1%	34.7%	36.8%	38.9%	30.8%	29.1%	39.6%	38.6%	33.3%	41.2%	29.9%	35.4%
Poor	42	39	29	34	38	41	29	36	46	34	37	29	30	45	509
	41.2%	39.0%	30.9%	37.4%	40.0%	43.2%	30.5%	39.6%	39.3%	33.7%	42.0%	33.3%	29.4%	42.1%	37.3%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q2-17. Overall image/reputation o	f Dallas														
Excellent	11	7	2	4	8	6	8	8	14	5	11	6	12	12	114
	10.7%	6.9%	2.0%	4.1%	8.0%	6.2%	7.8%	8.2%	11.8%	4.6%	11.1%	6.0%	11.0%	10.9%	7.9%
Good	37	46	46	36	43	42	35	37	49	58	38	53	53	48	621
	35.9%	45.5%	45.1%	36.7%	43.0%	43.3%	34.3%	37.8%	41.2%	53.2%	38.4%	53.0%	48.6%	43.6%	42.9%
Fair	49	35	36	40	37	31	47	33	43	43	39	28	32	43	536
	47.6%	34.7%	35.3%	40.8%	37.0%	32.0%	46.1%	33.7%	36.1%	39.4%	39.4%	28.0%	29.4%	39.1%	37.0%
Poor	6	13	18	18	12	18	12	20	13	3	11	13	12	7	176
	5.8%	12.9%	17.6%	18.4%	12.0%	18.6%	11.8%	20.4%	10.9%	2.8%	11.1%	13.0%	11.0%	6.4%	12.2%

### Q3. Which THREE of the quality of life characteristics listed in Question 2 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 3)

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q3. Sum of top 3 choices															
Sense of community	13	13	22	15	19	17	19	15	18	18	17	15	24	20	245
	12.5%	12.6%	21.4%	14.9%	19.0%	17.0%	18.1%	15.0%	14.8%	16.2%	16.8%	14.7%	21.6%	17.9%	16.6%
Openness & acceptance of community towards people of diverse backgrounds	25	18	13	20	20	12	27	20	29	15	25	23	23	26	296
	24.0%	17.5%	12.6%	19.8%	20.0%	12.0%	25.7%	20.0%	23.8%	13.5%	24.8%	22.5%	20.7%	23.2%	20.1%
Opportunities to attend arts & cultural events	6	2	8	6	9	5	2	3	9	7	6	5	6	5	79
	5.8%	1.9%	7.8%	5.9%	9.0%	5.0%	1.9%	3.0%	7.4%	6.3%	5.9%	4.9%	5.4%	4.5%	5.4%
Air quality	15	23	17	19	20	18	13	11	21	13	18	19	22	19	248
	14.4%	22.3%	16.5%	18.8%	20.0%	18.0%	12.4%	11.0%	17.2%	11.7%	17.8%	18.6%	19.8%	17.0%	16.8%
Access to affordable, quality housing	67	53	56	64	44	48	61	57	65	56	45	47	60	61	784
	64.4%	51.5%	54.4%	63.4%	44.0%	48.0%	58.1%	57.0%	53.3%	50.5%	44.6%	46.1%	54.1%	54.5%	53.2%
Access to affordable, quality child care	15	11	8	9	10	12	10	10	16	13	6	11	11	10	152
	14.4%	10.7%	7.8%	8.9%	10.0%	12.0%	9.5%	10.0%	13.1%	11.7%	5.9%	10.8%	9.9%	8.9%	10.3%
Access to affordable, quality health care	28	23	23	18	25	21	20	24	31	20	27	28	26	16	330
	26.9%	22.3%	22.3%	17.8%	25.0%	21.0%	19.0%	24.0%	25.4%	18.0%	26.7%	27.5%	23.4%	14.3%	22.4%
Access to affordable, quality food	18	15	23	24	18	12	18	22	18	14	14	14	12	12	234
	17.3%	14.6%	22.3%	23.8%	18.0%	12.0%	17.1%	22.0%	14.8%	12.6%	13.9%	13.7%	10.8%	10.7%	15.9%
Access to living-wage jobs	29	31	45	38	29	37	42	37	30	39	29	32	36	36	490
	27.9%	30.1%	43.7%	37.6%	29.0%	37.0%	40.0%	37.0%	24.6%	35.1%	28.7%	31.4%	32.4%	32.1%	33.2%

## Q3. Which THREE of the quality of life characteristics listed in Question 2 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 3) (cont.)

N=1475														Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q3. Sum of top 3 choices (cont.)															
Access to quality education	30	26	16	16	20	27	27	25	30	38	37	28	39	37	396
	28.8%	25.2%	15.5%	15.8%	20.0%	27.0%	25.7%	25.0%	24.6%	34.2%	36.6%	27.5%	35.1%	33.0%	26.8%
Ease of car travel in Dallas	13	20	21	12	13	21	9	13	25	27	23	26	25	17	265
	12.5%	19.4%	20.4%	11.9%	13.0%	21.0%	8.6%	13.0%	20.5%	24.3%	22.8%	25.5%	22.5%	15.2%	18.0%
Ease of bus travel in Dallas	2	7	7	6	3	3	7	5	4	4	2	6	1	2	59
	1.9%	6.8%	6.8%	5.9%	3.0%	3.0%	6.7%	5.0%	3.3%	3.6%	2.0%	5.9%	0.9%	1.8%	4.0%
Ease of rail travel in Dallas	6	16	1	7	7	5	9	0	13	11	10	6	5	11	107
	5.8%	15.5%	1.0%	6.9%	7.0%	5.0%	8.6%	0.0%	10.7%	9.9%	9.9%	5.9%	4.5%	9.8%	7.3%
Ease of air travel in Dallas	0	3	1	0	2	5	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	18
	0.0%	2.9%	1.0%	0.0%	2.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	2.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.9%	1.2%
Ease of bicycle travel in Dallas	5	7	5	3	5	7	6	3	8	2	3	6	7	8	75
	4.8%	6.8%	4.9%	3.0%	5.0%	7.0%	5.7%	3.0%	6.6%	1.8%	3.0%	5.9%	6.3%	7.1%	5.1%
Ease of walking in Dallas	12	16	12	11	9	14	14	3	16	15	11	6	12	18	169
	11.5%	15.5%	11.7%	10.9%	9.0%	14.0%	13.3%	3.0%	13.1%	13.5%	10.9%	5.9%	10.8%	16.1%	11.5%
Overall image/reputation of Dallas	10	12	15	11	15	22	17	17	15	17	23	17	15	24	230
	9.6%	11.7%	14.6%	10.9%	15.0%	22.0%	16.2%	17.0%	12.3%	15.3%	22.8%	16.7%	13.5%	21.4%	15.6%
None chosen	5	4	4	6	10	2	3	10	5	5	1	4	1	3	63
	4.8%	3.9%	3.9%	5.9%	10.0%	2.0%	2.9%	10.0%	4.1%	4.5%	1.0%	3.9%	0.9%	2.7%	4.3%

## Q4. Please rate the speed of growth in the following categories in Dallas over the past TWO years. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q4-1. Population growth															
Much too slow	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	2	13
	3.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	3.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.9%	0.9%
Too slow	1	2	5	2	0	2	5	2	0	3	3	0	0	2	27
	1.0%	2.0%	5.1%	2.1%	0.0%	2.1%	5.4%	2.3%	0.0%	2.8%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	2.0%
About right	23	30	25	27	25	28	20	22	34	30	26	28	29	37	384
	23.0%	30.6%	25.3%	28.7%	27.5%	29.5%	21.5%	25.0%	30.1%	28.3%	27.1%	28.6%	27.1%	34.9%	27.7%
Too fast	44	34	32	42	32	34	35	32	43	51	43	38	47	42	549
	44.0%	34.7%	32.3%	44.7%	35.2%	35.8%	37.6%	36.4%	38.1%	48.1%	44.8%	38.8%	43.9%	39.6%	39.7%
Much too fast	29	31	37	23	34	30	32	29	35	22	24	32	30	23	411
	29.0%	31.6%	37.4%	24.5%	37.4%	31.6%	34.4%	33.0%	31.0%	20.8%	25.0%	32.7%	28.0%	21.7%	29.7%

## Q4. Please rate the speed of growth in the following categories in Dallas over the past TWO years. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q4-2. Retail growth (stores, restaur	ants, etc.)	1													
Much too slow	4	4	6	8	3	7	8	6	2	3	3	3	2	2	61
	4.1%	4.3%	6.2%	9.0%	3.3%	7.4%	8.8%	6.7%	1.8%	2.9%	3.2%	3.1%	1.9%	2.0%	4.5%
Too slow	16	18	33	19	16	22	13	18	12	21	19	15	19	16	257
	16.3%	19.1%	34.0%	21.3%	17.8%	23.2%	14.3%	20.0%	11.0%	20.0%	20.0%	15.3%	18.4%	15.8%	19.0%
About right	58	62	46	46	56	53	56	51	73	68	56	68	72	70	835
	59.2%	66.0%	47.4%	51.7%	62.2%	55.8%	61.5%	56.7%	67.0%	64.8%	58.9%	69.4%	69.9%	69.3%	61.6%
Too fast	10	9	8	12	10	11	10	10	10	10	10	4	7	10	131
	10.2%	9.6%	8.2%	13.5%	11.1%	11.6%	11.0%	11.1%	9.2%	9.5%	10.5%	4.1%	6.8%	9.9%	9.7%
Much too fast	10	1	4	4	5	2	4	5	12	3	7	8	3	3	71
	10.2%	1.1%	4.1%	4.5%	5.6%	2.1%	4.4%	5.6%	11.0%	2.9%	7.4%	8.2%	2.9%	3.0%	5.2%

## Q4. Please rate the speed of growth in the following categories in Dallas over the past TWO years. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q4-3. Job growth															
Much too slow	2	3	10	10	8	6	4	10	8	5	2	4	2	2	76
	2.3%	3.3%	11.5%	11.4%	9.8%	6.8%	4.8%	11.5%	8.1%	5.2%	2.4%	4.7%	2.1%	2.1%	6.1%
Too slow	29	36	31	31	18	24	25	33	31	25	25	23	25	29	385
	33.7%	40.0%	35.6%	35.2%	22.0%	27.3%	29.8%	37.9%	31.3%	25.8%	30.5%	27.1%	25.8%	30.9%	30.9%
About right	51	47	42	44	49	55	51	40	58	64	46	53	64	56	720
	59.3%	52.2%	48.3%	50.0%	59.8%	62.5%	60.7%	46.0%	58.6%	66.0%	56.1%	62.4%	66.0%	59.6%	57.8%
Too fast	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	1	2	3	7	3	5	6	48
	3.5%	4.4%	3.4%	2.3%	3.7%	3.4%	3.6%	1.1%	2.0%	3.1%	8.5%	3.5%	5.2%	6.4%	3.9%
Much too fast	1	0	1	1	4	0	1	3	0	0	2	2	1	1	17
	1.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	4.9%	0.0%	1.2%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
<u>Q5-1. Crime</u>															
Not a problem	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	9
	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.6%
Minor problem	8	8	2	4	5	3	4	5	7	5	6	4	3	7	71
	7.8%	7.9%	1.9%	4.0%	5.2%	3.1%	3.8%	5.1%	5.8%	4.6%	6.1%	4.0%	2.7%	6.3%	4.9%
Moderate problem	33	35	30	27	32	31	34	25	54	32	30	42	37	44	486
	32.4%	34.7%	29.1%	26.7%	33.3%	31.6%	32.7%	25.5%	44.6%	29.6%	30.3%	42.0%	33.6%	39.3%	33.4%
Major problem	60	57	71	70	59	64	65	68	60	69	63	54	70	57	887
	58.8%	56.4%	68.9%	69.3%	61.5%	65.3%	62.5%	69.4%	49.6%	63.9%	63.6%	54.0%	63.6%	50.9%	61.0%

N=1475							Distr	rict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-2. Drugs															
Not a problem	4	3	0	1	1	3	4	0	4	4	0	3	2	4	33
	4.3%	3.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	3.3%	4.1%	0.0%	3.6%	3.8%	0.0%	3.3%	1.9%	3.8%	2.4%
Minor problem	11	15	5	11	5	3	5	9	11	6	14	4	6	18	123
	11.7%	16.1%	5.2%	11.7%	5.5%	3.3%	5.1%	9.3%	9.8%	5.7%	14.9%	4.3%	5.8%	17.3%	9.0%
Moderate problem	22	23	29	23	29	24	25	26	47	35	23	28	25	35	394
	23.4%	24.7%	30.2%	24.5%	31.9%	26.4%	25.5%	26.8%	42.0%	33.3%	24.5%	30.4%	24.0%	33.7%	28.9%
Major problem	57	52	62	59	56	61	64	62	50	60	57	57	71	47	815
	60.6%	55.9%	64.6%	62.8%	61.5%	67.0%	65.3%	63.9%	44.6%	57.1%	60.6%	62.0%	68.3%	45.2%	59.7%

N=1475	<u> </u>						Distr	rict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-3. High weeds															
Not a problem	17	12	8	10	12	12	10	12	27	16	13	10	12	21	192
	17.5%	13.3%	8.2%	10.3%	14.1%	12.8%	10.4%	13.3%	23.9%	15.2%	14.8%	11.2%	11.4%	20.4%	14.2%
Minor problem	33	35	21	36	29	32	32	23	47	49	43	34	54	47	515
	34.0%	38.9%	21.4%	37.1%	34.1%	34.0%	33.3%	25.6%	41.6%	46.7%	48.9%	38.2%	51.4%	45.6%	38.1%
Moderate problem	34	30	34	25	29	32	37	31	29	32	27	34	29	30	433
	35.1%	33.3%	34.7%	25.8%	34.1%	34.0%	38.5%	34.4%	25.7%	30.5%	30.7%	38.2%	27.6%	29.1%	32.1%
Major problem	13	13	35	26	15	18	17	24	10	8	5	11	10	5	210
	13.4%	14.4%	35.7%	26.8%	17.6%	19.1%	17.7%	26.7%	8.8%	7.6%	5.7%	12.4%	9.5%	4.9%	15.6%

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
<u>Q5-4. Noise</u>															
Not a problem	10	11	3	10	11	6	10	9	15	9	9	9	9	11	132
	9.9%	11.1%	3.0%	10.3%	11.7%	6.1%	10.2%	9.6%	12.8%	8.5%	9.3%	9.4%	8.4%	9.9%	9.3%
Minor problem	27	34	23	34	26	31	24	39	47	52	41	36	45	41	500
	26.7%	34.3%	23.2%	35.1%	27.7%	31.6%	24.5%	41.5%	40.2%	49.1%	42.3%	37.5%	42.1%	36.9%	35.4%
Moderate problem	41	32	50	34	34	35	41	29	38	35	35	34	31	44	513
	40.6%	32.3%	50.5%	35.1%	36.2%	35.7%	41.8%	30.9%	32.5%	33.0%	36.1%	35.4%	29.0%	39.6%	36.3%
Major problem	23	22	23	19	23	26	23	17	17	10	12	17	22	15	269
	22.8%	22.2%	23.2%	19.6%	24.5%	26.5%	23.5%	18.1%	14.5%	9.4%	12.4%	17.7%	20.6%	13.5%	19.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-5. Blighted buildings															
Not a problem	8	9	5	8	8	12	5	5	8	5	6	8	2	9	98
	8.9%	10.0%	5.6%	9.4%	10.8%	14.6%	5.7%	6.2%	7.8%	5.1%	6.5%	9.5%	2.1%	9.1%	7.8%
Minor problem	26	27	21	23	20	30	27	17	46	40	38	23	40	40	418
	28.9%	30.0%	23.3%	27.1%	27.0%	36.6%	30.7%	21.0%	45.1%	40.8%	40.9%	27.4%	41.2%	40.4%	33.4%
Moderate problem	37	43	35	29	35	22	35	36	37	37	40	42	45	38	511
	41.1%	47.8%	38.9%	34.1%	47.3%	26.8%	39.8%	44.4%	36.3%	37.8%	43.0%	50.0%	46.4%	38.4%	40.8%
Major problem	19	11	29	25	11	18	21	23	11	16	9	11	10	12	226
	21.1%	12.2%	32.2%	29.4%	14.9%	22.0%	23.9%	28.4%	10.8%	16.3%	9.7%	13.1%	10.3%	12.1%	18.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-6. Homelessness															
Not a problem	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	9
	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.6%
Minor problem	9	2	4	5	2	6	3	4	7	2	1	3	5	6	59
	8.8%	2.0%	4.0%	5.0%	2.2%	6.0%	2.9%	4.2%	5.8%	1.9%	1.0%	3.0%	4.6%	5.4%	4.1%
Moderate problem	12	26	22	26	21	19	15	17	23	29	16	27	20	23	296
	11.8%	25.5%	21.8%	25.7%	22.6%	19.0%	14.6%	17.9%	19.0%	26.9%	16.3%	27.3%	18.5%	20.5%	20.5%
Major problem	81	73	75	70	70	75	82	73	90	77	81	68	82	82	1079
	79.4%	71.6%	74.3%	69.3%	75.3%	75.0%	79.6%	76.8%	74.4%	71.3%	82.7%	68.7%	75.9%	73.2%	74.8%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-7. Environmental hazard(s), air	quality &	toxic was	<u>te</u>												
Not a problem	6	6	3	3	4	6	8	3	5	5	7	7	8	8	79
	6.4%	6.3%	3.3%	3.5%	4.7%	6.5%	8.2%	3.3%	4.5%	4.7%	7.2%	7.5%	7.6%	7.8%	5.9%
Minor problem	16	17	21	14	17	20	17	28	30	31	24	24	27	28	314
	17.0%	17.9%	22.8%	16.5%	20.0%	21.7%	17.5%	30.8%	27.3%	29.2%	24.7%	25.8%	25.7%	27.5%	23.4%
Moderate problem	36	44	35	37	34	34	49	33	45	56	47	45	47	40	582
	38.3%	46.3%	38.0%	43.5%	40.0%	37.0%	50.5%	36.3%	40.9%	52.8%	48.5%	48.4%	44.8%	39.2%	43.3%
Major problem	36	28	33	31	30	32	23	27	30	14	19	17	23	26	369
	38.3%	29.5%	35.9%	36.5%	35.3%	34.8%	23.7%	29.7%	27.3%	13.2%	19.6%	18.3%	21.9%	25.5%	27.5%

N=1475							Distr	rict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-8. Loose dogs & unrestrained p	<u>ets</u>														
Not a problem	8	20	3	8	10	9	4	14	13	18	17	19	17	18	178
	8.0%	20.8%	2.9%	8.3%	11.1%	9.5%	4.0%	14.9%	11.2%	17.0%	18.3%	20.0%	16.2%	17.0%	12.8%
Minor problem	31	37	22	27	23	26	35	25	55	51	46	35	41	51	505
	31.0%	38.5%	21.6%	28.1%	25.6%	27.4%	34.7%	26.6%	47.4%	48.1%	49.5%	36.8%	39.0%	48.1%	36.2%
Moderate problem	35	24	31	30	31	29	30	21	34	25	21	26	34	29	400
	35.0%	25.0%	30.4%	31.3%	34.4%	30.5%	29.7%	22.3%	29.3%	23.6%	22.6%	27.4%	32.4%	27.4%	28.7%
Major problem	26	15	46	31	26	31	32	34	14	12	9	15	13	8	312
	26.0%	15.6%	45.1%	32.3%	28.9%	32.6%	31.7%	36.2%	12.1%	11.3%	9.7%	15.8%	12.4%	7.5%	22.4%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-9. Litter															
Not a problem	3	6	1	1	1	0	3	3	3	4	4	5	4	9	47
	2.9%	5.9%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%	2.9%	3.1%	2.5%	3.7%	4.0%	5.1%	3.7%	8.1%	3.3%
Minor problem	18	17	18	21	15	17	22	20	37	36	31	32	32	35	351
	17.6%	16.7%	17.6%	21.2%	16.0%	17.7%	21.4%	20.8%	30.8%	33.3%	31.3%	32.7%	29.6%	31.5%	24.4%
Moderate problem	48	48	32	25	36	37	40	30	43	45	43	33	48	44	552
	47.1%	47.1%	31.4%	25.3%	38.3%	38.5%	38.8%	31.3%	35.8%	41.7%	43.4%	33.7%	44.4%	39.6%	38.4%
Major problem	33	31	51	52	42	42	38	43	37	23	21	28	24	23	488
	32.4%	30.4%	50.0%	52.5%	44.7%	43.8%	36.9%	44.8%	30.8%	21.3%	21.2%	28.6%	22.2%	20.7%	33.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-10. Infrastructure/streets															
Not a problem	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	18
	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.0%	2.1%	0.8%	0.9%	1.0%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.3%
Minor problem	4	10	12	10	7	9	9	8	15	17	11	16	13	9	150
	4.0%	9.9%	11.8%	10.3%	7.6%	9.3%	9.1%	8.5%	12.5%	15.5%	11.0%	16.0%	12.0%	8.0%	10.5%
Moderate problem	29	29	36	35	35	29	35	33	37	45	42	36	23	33	477
	28.7%	28.7%	35.3%	36.1%	38.0%	29.9%	35.4%	35.1%	30.8%	40.9%	42.0%	36.0%	21.3%	29.5%	33.3%
Major problem	67	62	53	51	50	57	53	51	67	47	46	46	70	68	788
	66.3%	61.4%	52.0%	52.6%	54.3%	58.8%	53.5%	54.3%	55.8%	42.7%	46.0%	46.0%	64.8%	60.7%	55.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-11. Aggressive solicitation/panh	nandling														
Not a problem	11	5	6	4	6	4	6	4	9	4	2	1	3	5	70
	11.1%	5.0%	5.9%	4.0%	6.6%	4.1%	5.9%	4.2%	7.6%	3.7%	2.1%	1.1%	2.8%	4.5%	4.9%
Minor problem	24	22	18	21	16	12	19	22	24	19	16	20	24	29	286
	24.2%	21.8%	17.8%	21.2%	17.6%	12.4%	18.8%	23.2%	20.3%	17.4%	16.5%	21.1%	22.2%	26.4%	20.1%
Moderate problem	25	30	32	30	25	32	32	22	47	32	27	36	22	38	430
	25.3%	29.7%	31.7%	30.3%	27.5%	33.0%	31.7%	23.2%	39.8%	29.4%	27.8%	37.9%	20.4%	34.5%	30.3%
Major problem	39	44	45	44	44	49	44	47	38	54	52	38	59	38	635
	39.4%	43.6%	44.6%	44.4%	48.4%	50.5%	43.6%	49.5%	32.2%	49.5%	53.6%	40.0%	54.6%	34.5%	44.7%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-12. Climate change (extreme he	at, floodir	ng, tree c	anopy, et	:c.)											
Not a problem	12	12	10	7	11	16	12	12	13	19	25	16	22	16	203
	12.1%	12.2%	10.1%	7.7%	12.2%	16.8%	12.0%	13.2%	11.5%	17.6%	25.8%	16.8%	21.0%	14.7%	14.6%
Minor problem	9 9.1%	18 18.4%	21 21.2%	22 24.2%	15 16.7%	17 17.9%	20 20.0%	18 19.8%	24 21.2%	26 24.1%	19 19.6%	20 21.1%	20 19.0%	22 20.2%	271 19.5%
Moderate problem	40 40.4%	33 33.7%	42 42.4%	28 30.8%	30 33.3%	31 32.6%	34 34.0%	39 42.9%	47 41.6%	33 30.6%	27 27.8%	30 31.6%	36 34.3%	40 36.7%	490 35.3%
Major problem	38 38.4%	35 35.7%	26 26.3%	34 37.4%	34 37.8%	31 32.6%	34 34.0%	22 24.2%	29 25.7%	30 27.8%	26 26.8%	29 30.5%	27 25.7%	31 28.4%	426 30.6%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q5-13. Racial & ethnic inequities															
Not a problem	5	12	10	9	9	18	9	9	13	12	23	16	20	15	180
	5.1%	12.8%	10.2%	9.7%	10.6%	19.4%	9.6%	9.8%	11.6%	11.5%	23.7%	17.0%	18.9%	14.3%	13.2%
Minor problem	19	18	14	13	15	22	15	14	27	22	16	19	28	21	263
	19.2%	19.1%	14.3%	14.0%	17.6%	23.7%	16.0%	15.2%	24.1%	21.2%	16.5%	20.2%	26.4%	20.0%	19.3%
Moderate problem	37	34	31	29	24	29	37	30	41	42	38	29	26	37	464
	37.4%	36.2%	31.6%	31.2%	28.2%	31.2%	39.4%	32.6%	36.6%	40.4%	39.2%	30.9%	24.5%	35.2%	34.0%
Major problem	38	30	43	42	37	24	33	39	31	28	20	30	32	32	459
	38.4%	31.9%	43.9%	45.2%	43.5%	25.8%	35.1%	42.4%	27.7%	26.9%	20.6%	31.9%	30.2%	30.5%	33.6%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
<u>Q5-14. Other</u>															
Not a problem	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 13.3%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	1 7.7%	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	8 4.1%
Minor problem	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 4.2%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 2.0%
Moderate problem	6 40.0%	4 28.6%	4 16.7%	2 13.3%	20.0%	2 22.2%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	5 35.7%	1 10.0%	1 7.7%	2 11.8%	1 5.9%	32 16.2%
Major problem	7 46.7%	10 71.4%	19 79.2%	10 66.7%	7 70.0%	7 77.8%	10 83.3%	11 91.7%	14 93.3%	9 64.3%	8 80.0%	11 84.6%	14 82.4%	16 94.1%	153 77.7%

# Q6. Perception of Safety. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Safe" and 1 means "Very Unsafe," please indicate how safe you feel in the following situations. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-1. In your neighborhood during	the day														
Very safe	34	25	25	18	28	28	19	20	53	39	39	48	36	44	456
·	33.0%	24.3%	24.3%	18.0%	29.8%	28.0%	18.3%	20.2%	43.4%	35.5%	38.6%	47.5%	32.7%	39.3%	31.2%
Safe	45	50	47	38	36	37	46	31	49	50	36	33	46	54	598
	43.7%	48.5%	45.6%	38.0%	38.3%	37.0%	44.2%	31.3%	40.2%	45.5%	35.6%	32.7%	41.8%	48.2%	40.9%
Neutral	17	19	23	25	23	23	23	35	15	15	16	14	18	10	276
	16.5%	18.4%	22.3%	25.0%	24.5%	23.0%	22.1%	35.4%	12.3%	13.6%	15.8%	13.9%	16.4%	8.9%	18.9%
Unsafe	6	6	6	15	3	9	11	7	5	6	5	5	8	4	96
	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	15.0%	3.2%	9.0%	10.6%	7.1%	4.1%	5.5%	5.0%	5.0%	7.3%	3.6%	6.6%
Very unsafe	1	3	2	4	4	3	5	6	0	0	5	1	2	0	36
•	1.0%	2.9%	1.9%	4.0%	4.3%	3.0%	4.8%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	1.0%	1.8%	0.0%	2.5%

# Q6. Perception of Safety. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Safe" and 1 means "Very Unsafe," please indicate how safe you feel in the following situations. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-2. In your neighborhood after d	ar <u>k</u>														
Very safe	11	7	12	5	3	9	6	8	19	8	12	19	18	9	146
	10.6%	6.9%	11.8%	5.1%	3.1%	9.1%	5.8%	8.2%	15.7%	7.3%	12.0%	18.8%	16.4%	8.0%	10.0%
Safe	36	31	27	22	34	28	25	22	46	46	33	38	31	47	466
	34.6%	30.4%	26.5%	22.4%	35.4%	28.3%	24.0%	22.7%	38.0%	41.8%	33.0%	37.6%	28.2%	42.0%	32.0%
Neutral	25	20	38	21	25	20	27	26	33	24	34	21	24	30	368
	24.0%	19.6%	37.3%	21.4%	26.0%	20.2%	26.0%	26.8%	27.3%	21.8%	34.0%	20.8%	21.8%	26.8%	25.3%
Unsafe	24	30	18	32	21	30	25	24	18	28	13	18	29	19	329
	23.1%	29.4%	17.6%	32.7%	21.9%	30.3%	24.0%	24.7%	14.9%	25.5%	13.0%	17.8%	26.4%	17.0%	22.6%
Very unsafe	8	14	7	18	13	12	21	17	5	4	8	5	8	7	147
•	7.7%	13.7%	6.9%	18.4%	13.5%	12.1%	20.2%	17.5%	4.1%	3.6%	8.0%	5.0%	7.3%	6.3%	10.1%

N=1475							Distr	rict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-3. In Dallas downtown area dur	ing the da	Υ													
Very safe	13	14	5	8	7	9	12	4	11	11	8	11	7	21	141
	13.0%	14.6%	5.3%	8.7%	8.0%	10.6%	13.3%	4.8%	9.6%	10.7%	8.3%	12.2%	6.8%	19.1%	10.5%
Safe	46	46	35	39	40	31	32	34	61	52	40	33	42	44	575
	46.0%	47.9%	37.2%	42.4%	46.0%	36.5%	35.6%	40.5%	53.5%	50.5%	41.7%	36.7%	40.8%	40.0%	42.8%
Neutral	30	20	33	33	24	29	27	25	31	26	28	27	30	27	390
	30.0%	20.8%	35.1%	35.9%	27.6%	34.1%	30.0%	29.8%	27.2%	25.2%	29.2%	30.0%	29.1%	24.5%	29.0%
Unsafe	10	13	14	8	15	12	19	16	9	13	16	12	14	12	183
	10.0%	13.5%	14.9%	8.7%	17.2%	14.1%	21.1%	19.0%	7.9%	12.6%	16.7%	13.3%	13.6%	10.9%	13.6%
Very unsafe	1	3	7	4	1	4	0	5	2	1	4	7	10	6	55
	1.0%	3.1%	7.4%	4.3%	1.1%	4.7%	0.0%	6.0%	1.8%	1.0%	4.2%	7.8%	9.7%	5.5%	4.1%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-4. In Dallas downtown area afte	<u>r dark</u>														
Very safe	4	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	4	20
	4.1%	1.1%	0.0%	2.4%	1.3%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.9%	2.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%	3.8%	1.5%
Safe	11	9	7	10	5	9	10	6	11	7	8	9	10	13	125
	11.2%	9.9%	8.0%	11.9%	6.3%	10.1%	11.2%	7.2%	10.1%	7.1%	8.7%	10.1%	9.6%	12.3%	9.6%
Neutral	19	19	24	19	23	6	22	15	32	24	17	19	18	23	280
	19.4%	20.9%	27.6%	22.6%	28.8%	6.7%	24.7%	18.1%	29.4%	24.2%	18.5%	21.3%	17.3%	21.7%	21.5%
Unsafe	38	37	33	37	26	49	32	37	39	49	37	30	36	35	515
	38.8%	40.7%	37.9%	44.0%	32.5%	55.1%	36.0%	44.6%	35.8%	49.5%	40.2%	33.7%	34.6%	33.0%	39.6%
Very unsafe	26	25	23	16	25	24	24	25	26	17	28	31	39	31	360
	26.5%	27.5%	26.4%	19.0%	31.3%	27.0%	27.0%	30.1%	23.9%	17.2%	30.4%	34.8%	37.5%	29.2%	27.7%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-5. In Dallas restaurant/retail are	as during	the day													
Very safe	22	25	15	13	19	19	22	7	35	23	17	23	28	33	301
	21.2%	24.3%	15.2%	13.5%	19.8%	19.4%	22.2%	7.4%	29.7%	21.1%	17.0%	23.0%	25.7%	30.3%	21.0%
Safe	57	58	40	45	45	47	41	51	58	61	52	50	48	60	713
	54.8%	56.3%	40.4%	46.9%	46.9%	48.0%	41.4%	53.7%	49.2%	56.0%	52.0%	50.0%	44.0%	55.0%	49.7%
Neutral	22	13	34	30	25	21	29	27	22	21	29	21	22	12	328
	21.2%	12.6%	34.3%	31.3%	26.0%	21.4%	29.3%	28.4%	18.6%	19.3%	29.0%	21.0%	20.2%	11.0%	22.9%
Unsafe	2	6	8	7	5	10	6	7	3	4	1	5	11	4	79
	1.9%	5.8%	8.1%	7.3%	5.2%	10.2%	6.1%	7.4%	2.5%	3.7%	1.0%	5.0%	10.1%	3.7%	5.5%
Very unsafe	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	14
	1.0%	1.0%	2.0%	1.0%	2.1%	1.0%	1.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-6. In Dallas restaurant/retail are	as after d	<u>ark</u>													
Very safe	7	5	2	5	6	6	4	1	7	7	5	5	5	9	74
·	6.9%	4.9%	2.1%	5.6%	6.6%	6.3%	4.1%	1.1%	6.0%	6.5%	5.1%	5.0%	4.7%	8.3%	5.3%
Safe	27	30	20	23	26	16	27	25	47	31	26	28	33	37	396
	26.7%	29.4%	20.8%	25.6%	28.6%	16.7%	27.8%	27.2%	40.5%	29.0%	26.3%	28.0%	31.1%	34.3%	28.3%
Neutral	31	35	39	31	32	34	34	26	40	42	29	39	31	29	472
	30.7%	34.3%	40.6%	34.4%	35.2%	35.4%	35.1%	28.3%	34.5%	39.3%	29.3%	39.0%	29.2%	26.9%	33.7%
Unsafe	26	23	26	21	19	31	16	29	19	26	34	18	31	25	344
	25.7%	22.5%	27.1%	23.3%	20.9%	32.3%	16.5%	31.5%	16.4%	24.3%	34.3%	18.0%	29.2%	23.1%	24.6%
Very unsafe	10	9	9	10	8	9	16	11	3	1	5	10	6	8	115
•	9.9%	8.8%	9.4%	11.1%	8.8%	9.4%	16.5%	12.0%	2.6%	0.9%	5.1%	10.0%	5.7%	7.4%	8.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-7. In Dallas parks during the day															
Very safe	16	14	7	8	11	21	16	9	26	17	10	14	20	33	222
	15.7%	14.0%	7.3%	8.4%	12.1%	22.8%	16.8%	10.0%	22.2%	16.0%	10.8%	15.1%	19.6%	30.6%	16.1%
Safe	45	55	45	40	42	34	36	43	66	61	42	37	45	42	633
	44.1%	55.0%	46.9%	42.1%	46.2%	37.0%	37.9%	47.8%	56.4%	57.5%	45.2%	39.8%	44.1%	38.9%	45.9%
Neutral	30	22	31	32	27	20	33	21	14	23	30	30	27	24	364
	29.4%	22.0%	32.3%	33.7%	29.7%	21.7%	34.7%	23.3%	12.0%	21.7%	32.3%	32.3%	26.5%	22.2%	26.4%
Unsafe	9	6	10	11	10	13	9	15	10	4	9	7	7	6	126
	8.8%	6.0%	10.4%	11.6%	11.0%	14.1%	9.5%	16.7%	8.5%	3.8%	9.7%	7.5%	6.9%	5.6%	9.1%
Very unsafe	2	3	3	4	1	4	1	2	1	1	2	5	3	3	35
	2.0%	3.0%	3.1%	4.2%	1.1%	4.3%	1.1%	2.2%	0.9%	0.9%	2.2%	5.4%	2.9%	2.8%	2.5%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-8. In Dallas parks after dark															
Very safe	1	0	1	1	2	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	0	3	19
	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	2.4%	1.1%	3.2%	1.1%	1.8%	2.0%	1.1%	1.2%	0.0%	2.9%	1.5%
Safe	6	6	5	6	6	6	7	5	7	6	6	5	5	16	92
	6.1%	6.7%	5.6%	6.8%	7.3%	6.7%	7.5%	5.7%	6.4%	5.9%	6.8%	5.8%	5.1%	15.7%	7.1%
Neutral	17	17	19	20	15	14	16	19	30	22	11	13	19	22	254
	17.3%	19.1%	21.1%	22.7%	18.3%	15.6%	17.2%	21.6%	27.3%	21.8%	12.5%	15.1%	19.4%	21.6%	19.5%
Unsafe	40	44	39	34	30	34	40	40	50	50	38	35	39	32	545
	40.8%	49.4%	43.3%	38.6%	36.6%	37.8%	43.0%	45.5%	45.5%	49.5%	43.2%	40.7%	39.8%	31.4%	41.8%
Very unsafe	34	22	26	27	29	35	27	23	21	21	32	32	35	29	393
•	34.7%	24.7%	28.9%	30.7%	35.4%	38.9%	29.0%	26.1%	19.1%	20.8%	36.4%	37.2%	35.7%	28.4%	30.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-9. From violent crime (rape, ass	ault, robb	ery)													
Very safe	1	2	2	3	2	4	5	1	4	3	1	6	6	9	49
,	1.0%	2.1%	2.0%	3.1%	2.2%	4.3%	4.9%	1.1%	3.4%	2.8%	1.0%	6.1%	5.5%	8.1%	3.4%
Safe	23	16	11	13	18	11	17	13	23	17	17	18	15	31	243
	22.3%	16.5%	11.0%	13.4%	19.4%	11.8%	16.7%	13.8%	19.7%	15.9%	17.2%	18.2%	13.8%	27.9%	17.1%
Neutral	31	28	33	22	28	26	25	19	47	40	31	30	32	30	422
	30.1%	28.9%	33.0%	22.7%	30.1%	28.0%	24.5%	20.2%	40.2%	37.4%	31.3%	30.3%	29.4%	27.0%	29.7%
Unsafe	38	31	27	32	20	33	23	31	29	34	34	27	32	28	419
	36.9%	32.0%	27.0%	33.0%	21.5%	35.5%	22.5%	33.0%	24.8%	31.8%	34.3%	27.3%	29.4%	25.2%	29.5%
Very unsafe	10	20	27	27	25	19	32	30	14	13	16	18	24	13	288
•	9.7%	20.6%	27.0%	27.8%	26.9%	20.4%	31.4%	31.9%	12.0%	12.1%	16.2%	18.2%	22.0%	11.7%	20.3%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-10. From property crime (burgla	iry, theft)														
Very safe	1	2	0	2	2	1	3	0	2	0	1	1	3	6	24
·	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	2.1%	1.0%	2.9%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	2.8%	5.4%	1.7%
Safe	13	15	17	11	14	14	14	8	14	18	12	17	13	15	195
	12.6%	15.0%	17.0%	11.1%	14.9%	14.6%	13.6%	8.5%	11.7%	16.4%	12.0%	17.2%	11.9%	13.5%	13.6%
Neutral	30	23	28	31	27	17	22	24	41	22	32	32	24	37	390
	29.1%	23.0%	28.0%	31.3%	28.7%	17.7%	21.4%	25.5%	34.2%	20.0%	32.0%	32.3%	22.0%	33.3%	27.1%
Unsafe	42	34	30	27	31	41	33	31	43	47	38	29	33	37	496
	40.8%	34.0%	30.0%	27.3%	33.0%	42.7%	32.0%	33.0%	35.8%	42.7%	38.0%	29.3%	30.3%	33.3%	34.5%
Very unsafe	17	26	25	28	20	23	31	31	20	23	17	20	36	16	333
	16.5%	26.0%	25.0%	28.3%	21.3%	24.0%	30.1%	33.0%	16.7%	20.9%	17.0%	20.2%	33.0%	14.4%	23.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q6-11. From fire															
Very safe	15	16	11	13	10	19	21	8	22	19	12	16	19	28	229
	15.2%	17.0%	11.1%	14.0%	11.2%	19.8%	21.6%	8.6%	18.8%	17.4%	12.2%	16.2%	18.1%	25.7%	16.4%
Safe	46	42	38	40	42	45	38	36	59	65	46	43	53	54	647
	46.5%	44.7%	38.4%	43.0%	47.2%	46.9%	39.2%	38.7%	50.4%	59.6%	46.9%	43.4%	50.5%	49.5%	46.3%
Neutral	35	28	40	30	29	22	31	41	33	24	33	34	33	24	437
	35.4%	29.8%	40.4%	32.3%	32.6%	22.9%	32.0%	44.1%	28.2%	22.0%	33.7%	34.3%	31.4%	22.0%	31.3%
Unsafe	2	6	8	7	6	4	3	6	1	1	6	4	0	3	57
	2.0%	6.4%	8.1%	7.5%	6.7%	4.2%	3.1%	6.5%	0.9%	0.9%	6.1%	4.0%	0.0%	2.8%	4.1%
Very unsafe	1	2	2	3	2	6	4	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	27
•	1.0%	2.1%	2.0%	3.2%	2.2%	6.3%	4.1%	2.2%	1.7%	0.0%	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-1. Ambulance/emergency med	lical service	<u>es</u>													
Yes	15 14.4%	8 7.8%	15 14.6%	12 11.9%	20 20.0%	11 11.0%	19 18.1%	25 25.0%	14 11.5%	19 17.1%	20 19.8%	15 14.7%	12 10.8%	12 10.7%	217 14.7%
No	89 85.6%	95 92.2%	88 85.4%	89 88.1%	80 80.0%	89 89.0%	86 81.9%	75 75.0%	108 88.5%	92 82.9%	81 80.2%	87 85.3%	99 89.2%	100 89.3%	1258 85.3%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-2. Art & cultural programs/facili	<u>ties</u>														
Yes	47	47	33	34	30	35	41	35	71	61	48	44	62	72	660
	45.2%	45.6%	32.0%	33.7%	30.0%	35.0%	39.0%	35.0%	58.2%	55.0%	47.5%	43.1%	55.9%	64.3%	44.7%
No	57	56	70	67	70	65	64	65	51	50	53	58	49	40	815
	54.8%	54.4%	68.0%	66.3%	70.0%	65.0%	61.0%	65.0%	41.8%	45.0%	52.5%	56.9%	44.1%	35.7%	55.3%

N=1475	ict							Total							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-3. Neighborhood code enforcer	nent (e.g.,	high we	eds, litter	, blight)											
Yes	31 29.8%	31 30.1%	41 39.8%	26 25.7%	24 24.0%	30 30.0%	43 41.0%	37 37.0%	34 27.9%	29 26.1%	17 16.8%	14 13.7%	23 20.7%	25 22.3%	405 27.5%
No	73 70.2%	72 69.9%	62 60.2%	75 74.3%	76 76.0%	70 70.0%	62 59.0%	63 63.0%	88 72.1%	82 73.9%	84 83.2%	88 86.3%	88 79.3%	87 77.7%	1070 72.5%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-4. Customer service provided b	y City emp	loyees													
Yes	39	21	46	31	36	32	38	31	43	41	24	21	30	36	469
	37.5%	20.4%	44.7%	30.7%	36.0%	32.0%	36.2%	31.0%	35.2%	36.9%	23.8%	20.6%	27.0%	32.1%	31.8%
No	65 62.5%	82 79.6%	57 55.3%	70 69.3%	64 64.0%	68 68.0%	67 63.8%	69 69.0%	79 64.8%	70 63.1%	77 76.2%	81 79.4%	81 73.0%	76 67.9%	1006 68.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-5. Drinking water															
Yes	68 65.4%	72 69.9%	45 43.7%	49 48.5%	40 40.0%	49 49.0%	56 53.3%	47 47.0%	77 63.1%	75 67.6%	57 56.4%	58 56.9%	65 58.6%	69 61.6%	827 56.1%
No	36 34.6%	31 30.1%	58 56.3%	52 51.5%	60 60.0%	51 51.0%	49 46.7%	53 53.0%	45 36.9%	36 32.4%	44 43.6%	44 43.1%	46 41.4%	43 38.4%	648 43.9%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-6. Fire services															
Yes	3 2.9%	3 2.9%	7 6.8%	6 5.9%	4 4.0%	3 3.0%	5 4.8%	11 11.0%	6 4.9%	5 4.5%	0 0.0%	1 1.0%	3 2.7%	4 3.6%	61 4.1%
No	101 97.1%	100 97.1%	96 93.2%	95 94.1%	96 96.0%	97 97.0%	100 95.2%	89 89.0%	116 95.1%	106 95.5%	101 100.0%	101 99.0%	108 97.3%	108 96.4%	1414 95.9%

ETC Institute (2023) Page 47

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
- -	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-7. Solid waste services (e.g., garl	oage & re	cycling co	ollection)												
Yes	84 80.8%	73 70.9%	79 76.7%	66 65.3%	60 60.0%	70 70.0%	68 64.8%	74 74.0%	100 82.0%	90 81.1%	76 75.2%	75 73.5%	88 79.3%	79 70.5%	1082 73.4%
No	20 19.2%	30 29.1%	24 23.3%	35 34.7%	40 40.0%	30 30.0%	37 35.2%	26 26.0%	22 18.0%	21 18.9%	25 24.8%	27 26.5%	23 20.7%	33 29.5%	393 26.6%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-8. Land use, planning, & zoning															
Yes	14	7	13	4	7	13	10	11	11	9	11	3	12	14	139
	13.5%	6.8%	12.6%	4.0%	7.0%	13.0%	9.5%	11.0%	9.0%	8.1%	10.9%	2.9%	10.8%	12.5%	9.4%
No	90	96	90	97	93	87	95	89	111	102	90	99	99	98	1336
	86.5%	93.2%	87.4%	96.0%	93.0%	87.0%	90.5%	89.0%	91.0%	91.9%	89.1%	97.1%	89.2%	87.5%	90.6%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-9. Maintenance of infrastructure	e (e.g., Cit	y streets	& sidewa	ılks)											
Yes	38 36.5%	31 30.1%	35 34.0%	31 30.7%	25 25.0%	37	27 25.7%	37	46 37.7%	39 35.1%	34 33.7%	21 20.6%	35 31.5%	44 39.3%	480 32.5%
	30.3%	30.1%	34.0%	30.7%	25.0%	37.0%	23.7%	37.0%	37.770	33.1%	33.7%	20.6%	31.5%	39.3%	32.3%
No	66	72	68	70	75	63	78	63	76	72	67	81	76	68	995
	63.5%	69.9%	66.0%	69.3%	75.0%	63.0%	74.3%	63.0%	62.3%	64.9%	66.3%	79.4%	68.5%	60.7%	67.5%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-10. Park & recreation system															
Yes	51 49.0%	50 48.5%	35 34.0%	36 35.6%	34 34.0%	46 46.0%	44 41.9%	36 36.0%	77 63.1%	58 52.3%	45 44.6%	39 38.2%	50 45.0%	64 57.1%	665 45.1%
No	53	53	68	65	66	54	61	64	45	53	56	63	61	48	810
	51.0%		66.0%	64.4%	66.0%	_	58.1%	_	36.9%	47.7%			55.0%	42.9%	54.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-11. Police services															
Yes	29 27.9%	30 29.1%	26 25.2%	33 32.7%	21 21.0%	21 21.0%	24 22.9%	30 30.0%	21 17.2%	25 22.5%	16 15.8%	16 15.7%	22 19.8%	18 16.1%	332 22.5%
No	75 72.1%	73 70.9%	77 74.8%	68 67.3%	79 79.0%	79 79.0%	81 77.1%	70 70.0%	101 82.8%	86 77.5%	85 84.2%	86 84.3%	89 80.2%	94 83.9%	1143 77.5%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-12. Public information services															
Yes	19 18.3%	16 15.5%	21 20.4%	20 19.8%	15 15.0%	25 25.0%	20 19.0%	26 26.0%	23 18.9%	21 18.9%	22 21.8%	12 11.8%	16 14.4%	18 16.1%	274 18.6%
No	85 81.7%	87 84.5%	82 79.6%	81 80.2%	85 85.0%	75 75.0%	85 81.0%	74 74.0%	99 81.1%	90 81.1%	79 78.2%	90 88.2%	95 85.6%	94 83.9%	1201 81.4%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-13. Public library services															
Yes	34 32.7%	33 32.0%	30 29.1%	34 33.7%	28 28.0%	26 26.0%	47 44.8%	35 35.0%	54 44.3%	48 43.2%	41 40.6%	40 39.2%	35 31.5%	53 47.3%	538 36.5%
No	70 67.3%	70 68.0%	73 70.9%	67 66.3%	72 72.0%	74 74.0%	58 55.2%	65 65.0%	68 55.7%	63 56.8%	60 59.4%	62 60.8%	76 68.5%	59 52.7%	937 63.5%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-14. Sewer services (e.g. sanitary	/ sewer/w	astewate	<u>r)</u>												
Yes	48	49	40	39	32	39	45	42	71	70	51	51	59	56	692
	46.2%	47.6%	38.8%	38.6%	32.0%	39.0%	42.9%	42.0%	58.2%	63.1%	50.5%	50.0%	53.2%	50.0%	46.9%
No	56 53.8%	54 52.4%	63 61.2%	62 61.4%	68 68.0%	61 61.0%	60 57.1%	58 58.0%	51 41.8%	41 36.9%	50 49.5%	51 50.0%	52 46.8%	56 50.0%	783 53.1%

ETC Institute (2023) Page 51

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-15. Storm drainage															
Yes	29 27.9%	25 24.3%	24 23.3%	22 21.8%	22 22.0%	27 27.0%	30 28.6%	26 26.0%	51 41.8%	44 39.6%	32 31.7%	32 31.4%	41 36.9%	29 25.9%	434 29.4%
No	75 72.1%	78 75.7%	79 76.7%	79 78.2%	78 78.0%	73 73.0%	75 71.4%	74 74.0%	71 58.2%	67 60.4%	69 68.3%	70 68.6%	70 63.1%	83 74.1%	1041 70.6%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-16. Traffic management (traffic	signals, tr	affic flow	, signs, p	arking)											
Yes	49	51	43	42	34	52	41	46	67	64	51	46	56	56	698
	47.1%	49.5%	41.7%	41.6%	34.0%	52.0%	39.0%	46.0%	54.9%	57.7%	50.5%	45.1%	50.5%	50.0%	47.3%
No	55 52.9%	52 50.5%	60 58.3%	59 58.4%	66 66.0%	48 48.0%	64 61.0%	54 54.0%	55 45.1%	47 42.3%	50 49.5%	56 54.9%	55 49.5%	56 50.0%	777 52.7%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-17. Dallas Love Field Airport															
Yes	47 45.2%	53 51.5%	31 30.1%	31 30.7%	21 21.0%	40 40.0%	29 27.6%	28 28.0%	57 46.7%	60 54.1%	46 45.5%	38 37.3%	61 55.0%	63 56.3%	605 41.0%
No	57 54.8%	50 48.5%	72 69.9%	70 69.3%	79 79.0%	60 60.0%	76 72.4%	72 72.0%	65 53.3%	51 45.9%	55 54.5%	64 62.7%	50 45.0%	49 43.8%	870 59.0%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-18. Municipal court services															
Yes	10	8	15	6	12	9	15	18	12	13	6	6	7	10	147
	9.6%	7.8%	14.6%	5.9%	12.0%	9.0%	14.3%	18.0%	9.8%	11.7%	5.9%	5.9%	6.3%	8.9%	10.0%
No	94	95	88	95	88	91	90	82	110	98	95	96	104	102	1328
	90.4%	92.2%	85.4%	94.1%	88.0%	91.0%	85.7%	82.0%	90.2%	88.3%	94.1%	94.1%	93.7%	91.1%	90.0%

ETC Institute (2023) Page 53

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-19. Social services (community	centers, cl	hild care	programs	s, homele	ss progra	ıms, seni	or progra	ms)							
Yes	10 9.6%	12 11.7%	15 14.6%	16 15.8%	10 10.0%	7 7.0%	16 15.2%	20 20.0%	14 11.5%	11 9.9%	10 9.9%	8 7.8%	7 6.3%	7 6.3%	163 11.1%
No	94 90.4%	91 88.3%	88 85.4%	85 84.2%	90 90.0%	93 93.0%	89 84.8%	80 80.0%	108 88.5%	100 90.1%	91 90.1%	94 92.2%	104 93.7%	105 93.8%	1312 88.9%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. Please indicate whether you or any members of your household have used each of the following services in the past 12 months.

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-20. 311/service request proces	s (call to re	eport pro	blem)												
Yes	45	42	48	44	35	39	50	38	46	42	32	27	41	43	572
	43.3%	40.8%	46.6%	43.6%	35.0%	39.0%	47.6%	38.0%	37.7%	37.8%	31.7%	26.5%	36.9%	38.4%	38.8%
No	59 56.7%	61 59.2%	55 53.4%	57 56.4%	65 65.0%	61 61.0%	55 52.4%	62 62.0%	76 62.3%	69 62.2%	69 68.3%	75 73.5%	70 63.1%	69 61.6%	903 61.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-21. Animal services															
Yes	23 22.1%	10 9.7%	26 25.2%	19 18.8%	19 19.0%	16 16.0%	25 23.8%	24 24.0%	17 13.9%	12 10.8%	4 4.0%	6 5.9%	13 11.7%	7 6.3%	221 15.0%
No	81 77.9%	93 90.3%	77 74.8%	82 81.2%	81 81.0%	84 84.0%	80 76.2%	76 76.0%	105 86.1%	99 89.2%	97 96.0%	96 94.1%	98 88.3%	105 93.8%	1254 85.0%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-1. Ambulance/emergency medi	cal service	<u>es</u>													
Excellent	9	3	5	4	6	9	8	12	9	6	8	7	6	6	98
	64.3%	37.5%	33.3%	33.3%	30.0%	81.8%	44.4%	50.0%	69.2%	31.6%	42.1%	46.7%	50.0%	50.0%	46.2%
Good	3	1	9	6	8	2	5	8	3	13	8	8	6	3	83
	21.4%	12.5%	60.0%	50.0%	40.0%	18.2%	27.8%	33.3%	23.1%	68.4%	42.1%	53.3%	50.0%	25.0%	39.2%
Fair	1	1	0	1	6	0	5	3	1	0	2	0	0	1	21
	7.1%	12.5%	0.0%	8.3%	30.0%	0.0%	27.8%	12.5%	7.7%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	9.9%
Poor	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	10
	7.1%	37.5%	6.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	4.7%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-2. Art & cultural programs/facili	<u>ties</u>														
Excellent	22	23	14	10	8	11	17	12	24	23	18	18	26	24	250
	46.8%	48.9%	42.4%	29.4%	29.6%	32.4%	43.6%	34.3%	34.3%	39.7%	37.5%	40.9%	41.9%	33.3%	38.5%
Good	19 40.4%	22 46.8%	15 45.5%	16 47.1%	13 48.1%	17 50.0%	19 48.7%	18 51.4%	36 51.4%	29 50.0%	25 52.1%	20 45.5%	30 48.4%	39 54.2%	318 48.9%
Fair	6 12.8%	2 4.3%	3 9.1%	8 23.5%	4 14.8%	4 11.8%	3 7.7%	5 14.3%	7 10.0%	5 8.6%	5 10.4%	6 13.6%	4 6.5%	9 12.5%	71 10.9%
Poor	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 3.0%	0 0.0%	2 7.4%	2 5.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 4.3%	1 1.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.2%	0 0.0%	11 1.7%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-3. Neighborhood code enforcem	ent (e.g.,	high wee	eds, litter	, blight)											
Excellent	1	1	5	0	2	0	4	1	2	3	1	0	4	2	26
	3.3%	3.2%	12.5%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	9.8%	2.8%	5.9%	10.7%	5.9%	0.0%	17.4%	8.0%	6.6%
Good	12 40.0%	12 38.7%	7 17.5%	5 19.2%	9 39.1%	6 21.4%	17 41.5%	9 25.0%	12 35.3%	8 28.6%	6 35.3%	5 35.7%	9 39.1%	11 44.0%	128 32.3%
Fair	8 26.7%	7 22.6%	11 27.5%	14 53.8%	2 8.7%	9 32.1%	12 29.3%	17 47.2%	15 44.1%	9 32.1%	4 23.5%	6 42.9%	3 13.0%	5 20.0%	122 30.8%
Poor	9 30.0%	11 35.5%	17 42.5%	7 26.9%	10 43.5%	13 46.4%	8 19.5%	9 25.0%	5 14.7%	8 28.6%	6 35.3%	3 21.4%	7 30.4%	7 28.0%	120 30.3%

# Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-4. Customer service provided by	· City emp	loyees													
Excellent	8	2	5	4	5	2	13	6	10	5	6	2	6	5	79
	21.6%	10.0%	10.9%	13.3%	13.9%	6.7%	34.2%	20.7%	23.8%	12.8%	25.0%	10.0%	20.0%	13.9%	17.3%
Good	16 43.2%	11 55.0%	21 45.7%	10 33.3%	18 50.0%	17 56.7%	11 28.9%	12 41.4%	18 42.9%	16 41.0%	11 45.8%	13 65.0%	10 33.3%	15 41.7%	199 43.5%
Fair	10	2	12	13	8	5	8	9	8	13	6	2	9	11	116
	27.0%	10.0%	26.1%	43.3%	22.2%	16.7%	21.1%	31.0%	19.0%	33.3%	25.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.6%	25.4%
Poor	3 8.1%	5 25.0%	8 17.4%	3 10.0%	5 13.9%	6 20.0%	6 15.8%	2 6.9%	6 14.3%	5 12.8%	1 4.2%	3 15.0%	5 16.7%	5 13.9%	63 13.8%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-5. Drinking water															
Excellent	16	12	10	7	4	11	19	5	11	20	12	16	18	19	180
	24.2%	16.7%	22.7%	14.9%	11.1%	22.4%	35.8%	10.9%	14.5%	27.4%	22.2%	28.6%	28.1%	28.4%	22.4%
Good	38 57.6%	37 51.4%	20 45.5%	22 46.8%	24 66.7%	20 40.8%	26 49.1%	24 52.2%	41 53.9%	42 57.5%	26 48.1%	25 44.6%	23 35.9%	36 53.7%	404 50.3%
Fair	9 13.6%	19 26.4%	7 15.9%	15 31.9%	5 13.9%	12 24.5%	3 5.7%	10 21.7%	19 25.0%	10 13.7%	13 24.1%	10 17.9%	23 35.9%	9 13.4%	164 20.4%
Poor	3 4.5%	4 5.6%	7 15.9%	3 6.4%	3 8.3%	6 12.2%	5 9.4%	7 15.2%	5 6.6%	1 1.4%	3 5.6%	5 8.9%	0 0.0%	3 4.5%	55 6.8%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-6. Fire services															
Excellent	1	1	5	2	0	1	3	4	3	2	0	1	2	3	28
	50.0%	33.3%	71.4%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	60.0%	36.4%	50.0%	40.0%	0.0%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	47.5%
Good	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	2 28.6%	3 50.0%	3 75.0%	2 66.7%	2 40.0%	6 54.5%	2 33.3%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	25 42.4%
Fair	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 6.8%
Poor	1 50.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 3.4%							

N=1422	District														Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-7. Solid waste services (e.g., garl	oage & re	cycling co	ollection)												
Excellent	16	13	15	8	15	11	20	14	28	23	11	14	17	14	219
	19.0%	17.8%	19.0%	12.5%	25.4%	15.9%	30.8%	19.2%	28.3%	26.7%	15.1%	19.2%	20.0%	17.9%	20.7%
Good	33 39.3%	34 46.6%	37 46.8%	30 46.9%	29 49.2%	30 43.5%	26 40.0%	37 50.7%	44 44.4%	40 46.5%	36 49.3%	38 52.1%	36 42.4%	47 60.3%	497 46.9%
Fair	23 27.4%	18 24.7%	18 22.8%	17 26.6%	9 15.3%	18 26.1%	13 20.0%	17 23.3%	22 22.2%	20 23.3%	23 31.5%	13 17.8%	19 22.4%	14 17.9%	244 23.0%
Poor	12 14.3%	8 11.0%	9 11.4%	9 14.1%	6 10.2%	10 14.5%	6 9.2%	5 6.8%	5 5.1%	3 3.5%	3 4.1%	8 11.0%	13 15.3%	3 3.8%	100 9.4%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-8. Land use, planning, & zoning															
Excellent	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7
	7.1%	14.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	5.1%
Good	3 21.4%	2 28.6%	2 15.4%	1 25.0%	2 28.6%	3 23.1%	2 20.0%	1 9.1%	1 9.1%	5 55.6%	5 50.0%	0 0.0%	2 16.7%	4 28.6%	33 23.9%
Fair	8 57.1%	2 28.6%	3 23.1%	3 75.0%	3 42.9%	2 15.4%	2 20.0%	3 27.3%	2 18.2%	2 22.2%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%	2 16.7%	6 42.9%	41 29.7%
Poor	2 14.3%	2 28.6%	7 53.8%	0 0.0%	2 28.6%	8 61.5%	4 40.0%	7 63.6%	8 72.7%	2 22.2%	2 20.0%	3 100.0%	6 50.0%	4 28.6%	57 41.3%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-9. Maintenance of infrastructure	e (e.g., Cit	y streets	& sidewa	alks)											
Excellent	4	1	3	0	1	0	3	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	19
	10.5%	3.2%	8.6%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	11.1%	5.6%	0.0%	2.6%	3.1%	4.8%	2.9%	2.3%	4.0%
Good	4 10.5%	3 9.7%	1 2.9%	3 10.0%	3 12.0%	2 5.6%	4 14.8%	7 19.4%	10 21.7%	5 13.2%	8 25.0%	6 28.6%	2 5.7%	6 13.6%	64 13.5%
Fair	12 31.6%	9 29.0%	14 40.0%	10 33.3%	11 44.0%	15 41.7%	5 18.5%	12 33.3%	18 39.1%	24 63.2%	12 37.5%	9 42.9%	11 31.4%	15 34.1%	177 37.3%
Poor	18 47.4%	18 58.1%	17 48.6%	17 56.7%	10 40.0%	19 52.8%	15 55.6%	15 41.7%	18 39.1%	8 21.1%	11 34.4%	5 23.8%	21 60.0%	22 50.0%	214 45.1%

# Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-10. Park & recreation system															
Excellent	7	13	12	3	6	7	11	4	17	8	4	8	5	13	118
	13.7%	26.0%	34.3%	8.6%	18.8%	15.9%	26.8%	11.1%	22.4%	14.3%	9.1%	20.5%	10.0%	20.3%	18.1%
Good	24 47.1%	27 54.0%	15 42.9%	19 54.3%	20 62.5%	21 47.7%	24 58.5%	22 61.1%	47 61.8%	38 67.9%	27 61.4%	20 51.3%	34 68.0%	35 54.7%	373 57.1%
Fair	14 27.5%	7 14.0%	7 20.0%	12 34.3%	6 18.8%	13 29.5%	4 9.8%	8 22.2%	9 11.8%	9 16.1%	12 27.3%	10 25.6%	8 16.0%	13 20.3%	132 20.2%
Poor	6 11.8%	3 6.0%	1 2.9%	1 2.9%	0 0.0%	3 6.8%	2 4.9%	2 5.6%	3 3.9%	1 1.8%	1 2.3%	1 2.6%	3 6.0%	3 4.7%	30 4.6%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-11. Police services															
Excellent	4	2	2	4	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	8	1	3	41
	13.8%	6.7%	8.3%	12.1%	14.3%	15.0%	8.3%	6.7%	14.3%	8.3%	12.5%	50.0%	4.8%	16.7%	12.5%
Good	6	7	2	8	3	5	5	8	7	9	8	3	5	4	80
	20.7%	23.3%	8.3%	24.2%	14.3%	25.0%	20.8%	26.7%	33.3%	37.5%	50.0%	18.8%	23.8%	22.2%	24.5%
Fair	11	8	11	8	6	4	5	11	6	8	6	2	7	5	98
	37.9%	26.7%	45.8%	24.2%	28.6%	20.0%	20.8%	36.7%	28.6%	33.3%	37.5%	12.5%	33.3%	27.8%	30.0%
Poor	8	13	9	13	9	8	12	9	5	5	0	3	8	6	108
	27.6%	43.3%	37.5%	39.4%	42.9%	40.0%	50.0%	30.0%	23.8%	20.8%	0.0%	18.8%	38.1%	33.3%	33.0%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422	District														Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-12. Public information services															
Excellent	3	1	5	1	3	1	3	3	4	2	3	2	1	3	35
	15.8%	6.3%	23.8%	5.0%	21.4%	4.3%	15.8%	12.5%	17.4%	10.0%	13.6%	18.2%	6.7%	16.7%	13.2%
Good	10 52.6%	10 62.5%	4 19.0%	12 60.0%	7 50.0%	12 52.2%	9 47.4%	13 54.2%	8 34.8%	11 55.0%	11 50.0%	5 45.5%	8 53.3%	8 44.4%	128 48.3%
Fair	3 15.8%	3 18.8%	6 28.6%	6 30.0%	4 28.6%	6 26.1%	4 21.1%	6 25.0%	8 34.8%	7 35.0%	6 27.3%	3 27.3%	6 40.0%	3 16.7%	71 26.8%
Poor	3 15.8%	2 12.5%	6 28.6%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	4 17.4%	3 15.8%	2 8.3%	3 13.0%	0 0.0%	2 9.1%	1 9.1%	0 0.0%	4 22.2%	31 11.7%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-13. Public library services															
Excellent	15 44.1%	16 48.5%	12 40.0%	8 23.5%	10 38.5%	9 36.0%	23 51.1%	15 42.9%	27 50.0%	28 60.9%	17 41.5%	13 32.5%	16 47.1%	20 37.7%	229 43.2%
	44.170	40.570	40.070	23.370	30.370	30.070	31.170	42.570	30.070	00.570	41.570	32.370	47.170	37.770	43.270
Good	16	14	13	22	12	12	20	17	22	17	22	24	13	23	247
	47.1%	42.4%	43.3%	64.7%	46.2%	48.0%	44.4%	48.6%	40.7%	37.0%	53.7%	60.0%	38.2%	43.4%	46.6%
Fair	2	2	3	4	2	4	2	2	4	1	2	3	4	9	44
	5.9%	6.1%	10.0%	11.8%	7.7%	16.0%	4.4%	5.7%	7.4%	2.2%	4.9%	7.5%	11.8%	17.0%	8.3%
Poor	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	10
	2.9%	3.0%	6.7%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	1.9%	1.9%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-14. Sewer services (e.g. sanitary	sewer/w	astewate	<u>r)</u>												
Excellent	13	13	8	4	8	7	16	6	14	16	12	13	20	11	161
	27.7%	27.1%	21.1%	11.8%	28.6%	18.4%	38.1%	15.4%	20.6%	23.9%	25.0%	27.7%	34.5%	21.2%	24.6%
Good	25 53.2%	30 62.5%	17 44.7%	15 44.1%	12 42.9%	19 50.0%	19 45.2%	20 51.3%	38 55.9%	43 64.2%	26 54.2%	31 66.0%	27 46.6%	29 55.8%	351 53.7%
Fair	7 14.9%	3 6.3%	8 21.1%	13 38.2%	7 25.0%	8 21.1%	6 14.3%	8 20.5%	11 16.2%	7 10.4%	10 20.8%	2 4.3%	9 15.5%	11 21.2%	110 16.8%
Poor	2 4.3%	2 4.2%	5 13.2%	2 5.9%	1 3.6%	4 10.5%	1 2.4%	5 12.8%	5 7.4%	1 1.5%	0 0.0%	1 2.1%	2 3.4%	1 1.9%	32 4.9%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-15. Storm drainage															
Excellent	6	5	3	1	5	3	9	3	6	6	3	8	9	3	70
	20.7%	20.0%	13.6%	4.8%	23.8%	11.5%	32.1%	12.5%	12.5%	14.3%	10.0%	27.6%	23.1%	10.3%	16.9%
Good	13 44.8%	5 20.0%	10 45.5%	5 23.8%	6 28.6%	8 30.8%	8 28.6%	8 33.3%	17 35.4%	24 57.1%	18 60.0%	20 69.0%	19 48.7%	11 37.9%	172 41.6%
Fair	6 20.7%	10 40.0%	7 31.8%	9 42.9%	6 28.6%	6 23.1%	3 10.7%	11 45.8%	21 43.8%	11 26.2%	5 16.7%	1 3.4%	6 15.4%	10 34.5%	112 27.1%
Poor	4 13.8%	5 20.0%	2 9.1%	6 28.6%	4 19.0%	9 34.6%	8 28.6%	2 8.3%	4 8.3%	1 2.4%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	5 12.8%	5 17.2%	59 14.3%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-16. Traffic management (traffic	signals, tr	affic flow	, signs, p	arking)											
Excellent	5	1	4	0	0	2	1	5	1	5	5	1	4	0	34
	10.2%	2.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	2.5%	11.1%	1.5%	7.9%	10.2%	2.2%	7.3%	0.0%	5.0%
Good	12 24.5%	19 38.8%	20 47.6%	11 26.8%	8 24.2%	14 27.5%	13 32.5%	15 33.3%	20 29.9%	22 34.9%	14 28.6%	19 42.2%	16 29.1%	13 23.2%	216 31.5%
Fair	17	19	12	19	17	21	20	16	24	25	18	17	20	31	276
	34.7%	38.8%	28.6%	46.3%	51.5%	41.2%	50.0%	35.6%	35.8%	39.7%	36.7%	37.8%	36.4%	55.4%	40.3%
Poor	15 30.6%	10 20.4%	6 14.3%	11 26.8%	8 24.2%	14 27.5%	6 15.0%	9 20.0%	22 32.8%	11 17.5%	12 24.5%	8 17.8%	15 27.3%	12 21.4%	159 23.2%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-17. Dallas Love Field Airport															
Excellent	21	25	14	8	12	16	12	10	25	27	14	24	32	37	277
	45.7%	49.0%	45.2%	25.8%	57.1%	40.0%	44.4%	37.0%	45.5%	46.6%	32.6%	64.9%	53.3%	58.7%	46.9%
Good	19	24	15	20	7	19	12	14	27	28	27	12	26	25	275
	41.3%	47.1%	48.4%	64.5%	33.3%	47.5%	44.4%	51.9%	49.1%	48.3%	62.8%	32.4%	43.3%	39.7%	46.6%
Fair	4	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	1	31
	8.7%	2.0%	3.2%	6.5%	9.5%	7.5%	11.1%	11.1%	5.5%	5.2%	4.7%	2.7%	3.3%	1.6%	5.3%
Poor	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	4.3%	2.0%	3.2%	3.2%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%

# Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422	District														Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-18. Municipal court services															
Excellent	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	3	2	0	2	1	4	20
	11.1%	12.5%	6.7%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	15.4%	11.8%	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%	40.0%	16.7%	40.0%	14.5%
Good	4 44.4%	5 62.5%	4 26.7%	5 83.3%	3 27.3%	5 55.6%	3 23.1%	8 47.1%	3 25.0%	4 33.3%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	5 83.3%	6 60.0%	58 42.0%
Fair	1 11.1%	1 12.5%	7 46.7%	1 16.7%	4 36.4%	3 33.3%	7 53.8%	4 23.5%	3 25.0%	6 50.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	38 27.5%
Poor	3 33.3%	1 12.5%	3 20.0%	0 0.0%	3 27.3%	1 11.1%	1 7.7%	3 17.6%	3 25.0%	0 0.0%	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	22 15.9%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-19. Social services (community	centers, cl	hild care	programs	s, homele	ss progra	ams, seni	or progra	ms)							
Excellent	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
	20.0%	8.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%
Good	4 40.0%	4 33.3%	7 46.7%	8 53.3%	3 33.3%	4 66.7%	3 21.4%	6 30.0%	7 53.8%	2 18.2%	2 33.3%	3 37.5%	2 28.6%	3 42.9%	58 37.9%
Fair	3 30.0%	7 58.3%	4 26.7%	3 20.0%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	3 21.4%	7 35.0%	3 23.1%	5 45.5%	2 33.3%	3 37.5%	2 28.6%	3 42.9%	47 30.7%
Poor	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	3 20.0%	4 26.7%	4 44.4%	1 16.7%	8 57.1%	6 30.0%	3 23.1%	2 18.2%	2 33.3%	2 25.0%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	40 26.1%

## Q7. Major Categories of City Services. If "Yes," please rate the City's overall performance in each of the major categories of City services. (without "don't know")

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-20. 311/service request process	(call to re	eport pro	blem)												
Excellent	6	12	6	5	1	5	14	6	8	6	4	0	12	8	93
	13.3%	28.6%	12.5%	11.4%	2.9%	13.5%	28.6%	16.2%	18.2%	14.6%	13.3%	0.0%	30.0%	19.5%	16.7%
Good	17 37.8%	15 35.7%	13 27.1%	19 43.2%	17 48.6%	12 32.4%	19 38.8%	9 24.3%	21 47.7%	13 31.7%	10 33.3%	17 68.0%	11 27.5%	16 39.0%	209 37.5%
Fair	9 20.0%	9 21.4%	19 39.6%	10 22.7%	8 22.9%	13 35.1%	9 18.4%	12 32.4%	12 27.3%	17 41.5%	8 26.7%	3 12.0%	8 20.0%	8 19.5%	145 26.0%
Poor	13 28.9%	6 14.3%	10 20.8%	10 22.7%	9 25.7%	7 18.9%	7 14.3%	10 27.0%	3 6.8%	5 12.2%	8 26.7%	5 20.0%	9 22.5%	9 22.0%	111 19.9%

N=1422							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q7-21. Animal services															
Excellent	4	3	2	3	1	1	5	1	3	3	0	1	5	2	34
	17.4%	30.0%	8.3%	15.8%	5.3%	6.7%	20.0%	4.3%	17.6%	27.3%	0.0%	16.7%	38.5%	28.6%	15.8%
Good	10	3	6	7	8	3	7	5	7	3	1	2	6	2	70
	43.5%	30.0%	25.0%	36.8%	42.1%	20.0%	28.0%	21.7%	41.2%	27.3%	33.3%	33.3%	46.2%	28.6%	32.6%
Fair	5	1	3	5	6	6	4	8	5	5	2	2	1	2	55
	21.7%	10.0%	12.5%	26.3%	31.6%	40.0%	16.0%	34.8%	29.4%	45.5%	66.7%	33.3%	7.7%	28.6%	25.6%
Poor	4	3	13	4	4	5	9	9	2	0	0	1	1	1	56
	17.4%	30.0%	54.2%	21.1%	21.1%	33.3%	36.0%	39.1%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	7.7%	14.3%	26.0%

ETC Institute (2023) Page 66

#### Q8. Which FOUR of the services listed in Question 7 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 4)

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q8. Sum of top 4 choices															
Ambulance/emergency medical services	16	14	23	11	17	22	21	15	23	23	27	30	20	20	282
	15.4%	13.6%	22.3%	10.9%	17.0%	22.0%	20.0%	15.0%	18.9%	20.7%	26.7%	29.4%	18.0%	17.9%	19.1%
Art & cultural programs/ facilities	8 7.7%	8 7.8%	9 8.7%	6 5.9%	10 10.0%	13 13.0%	10 9.5%	6.0%	7 5.7%	9 8.1%	7 6.9%	11 10.8%	9 8.1%	20 17.9%	133 9.0%
Neighborhood code enforcement (e.g., high weeds, litter, blight)	26 25.0%	25 24.3%	43 41.7%	40 39.6%	30 30.0%	32 32.0%	32 30.5%	36 36.0%	17 13.9%	28 25.2%	20 19.8%	23 22.5%	29 26.1%	27 24.1%	408 27.7%
Customer service provided by	17	12	19	17	13	18	15	15	14	12	11	11	19	12	205
City employees	16.3%	11.7%	18.4%	16.8%	13.0%	18.0%	14.3%	15.0%	11.5%	10.8%	10.9%	10.8%	17.1%	10.7%	13.9%
Drinking water	14	21	18	19	24	22	19	20	24	23	22	22	26	25	299
	13.5%	20.4%	17.5%	18.8%	24.0%	22.0%	18.1%	20.0%	19.7%	20.7%	21.8%	21.6%	23.4%	22.3%	20.3%
Fire services	10	8	13	9	12	14	10	7	17	19	22	20	14	15	190
	9.6%	7.8%	12.6%	8.9%	12.0%	14.0%	9.5%	7.0%	13.9%	17.1%	21.8%	19.6%	12.6%	13.4%	12.9%
Solid waste services (e.g., garbage & recycling collection)	16	17	23	19	15	20	17	17	14	16	21	21	26	23	265
	15.4%	16.5%	22.3%	18.8%	15.0%	20.0%	16.2%	17.0%	11.5%	14.4%	20.8%	20.6%	23.4%	20.5%	18.0%
Land use, planning, & zoning	19	23	16	11	14	16	20	15	24	17	16	19	25	30	265
	18.3%	22.3%	15.5%	10.9%	14.0%	16.0%	19.0%	15.0%	19.7%	15.3%	15.8%	18.6%	22.5%	26.8%	18.0%
Maintenance of infrastructure (e.g., City streets & sidewalks)	66	63	51	61	45	60	59	48	70	68	65	63	78	76	873
	63.5%	61.2%	49.5%	60.4%	45.0%	60.0%	56.2%	48.0%	57.4%	61.3%	64.4%	61.8%	70.3%	67.9%	59.2%

#### Q8. Which FOUR of the services listed in Question 7 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 4) (cont.)

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q8. Sum of top 4 choices (cont.)															
Park & recreation system	25	28	11	12	12	15	11	13	28	19	11	14	11	22	232
	24.0%	27.2%	10.7%	11.9%	12.0%	15.0%	10.5%	13.0%	23.0%	17.1%	10.9%	13.7%	9.9%	19.6%	15.7%
Police services	53	57	50	51	46	42	51	47	58	69	54	49	64	48	739
	51.0%	55.3%	48.5%	50.5%	46.0%	42.0%	48.6%	47.0%	47.5%	62.2%	53.5%	48.0%	57.7%	42.9%	50.1%
Public information services	5 4.8%	6 5.8%	5 4.9%	4 4.0%	5 5.0%	6.0%	5 4.8%	5 5.0%	7 5.7%	4 3.6%	3 3.0%	4 3.9%	3 2.7%	6 5.4%	68 4.6%
Public library services	8	4	4	5	4	7	5	5	14	11	8	6	8	9	98
	7.7%	3.9%	3.9%	5.0%	4.0%	7.0%	4.8%	5.0%	11.5%	9.9%	7.9%	5.9%	7.2%	8.0%	6.6%
Sewer services (e.g. sanitary sewer/wastewater)	2	6	3	4	6	7	5	5	2	6	2	6	4	3	61
	1.9%	5.8%	2.9%	4.0%	6.0%	7.0%	4.8%	5.0%	1.6%	5.4%	2.0%	5.9%	3.6%	2.7%	4.1%
Storm drainage	3 2.9%	9 8.7%	4 3.9%	11 10.9%	6.0%	4 4.0%	9 8.6%	7 7.0%	10 8.2%	3 2.7%	8 7.9%	4 3.9%	8 7.2%	7 6.3%	93 6.3%
Traffic management (traffic signals, traffic flow, signs, parking)	30	35	23	21	21	24	28	19	50	35	34	28	32	35	415
	28.8%	34.0%	22.3%	20.8%	21.0%	24.0%	26.7%	19.0%	41.0%	31.5%	33.7%	27.5%	28.8%	31.3%	28.1%
Dallas Love Field Airport	4	2	1	2	0	4	1	0	2	2	2	1	6	6	33
	3.8%	1.9%	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	4.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.8%	2.0%	1.0%	5.4%	5.4%	2.2%
Municipal court services	0	1	3	2	3	0	2	1	2	4	0	1	3	0	22
	0.0%	1.0%	2.9%	2.0%	3.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.0%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%	1.0%	2.7%	0.0%	1.5%

#### Q8. Which FOUR of the services listed in Question 7 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 4) (cont.)

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q8. Sum of top 4 choices (cont.)															
Social services (community centers, child care programs, homeless programs, senior programs)	39	37	27	36	34	24	42	33	36	31	36	26	24	30	455
	37.5%	35.9%	26.2%	35.6%	34.0%	24.0%	40.0%	33.0%	29.5%	27.9%	35.6%	25.5%	21.6%	26.8%	30.8%
311/service request process (call to report problem)	12	7	13	14	9	10	13	13	6	10	11	6	14	11	149
	11.5%	6.8%	12.6%	13.9%	9.0%	10.0%	12.4%	13.0%	4.9%	9.0%	10.9%	5.9%	12.6%	9.8%	10.1%
Animal services	9	7	22	16	8	13	14	15	10	4	3	5	5	4	135
	8.7%	6.8%	21.4%	15.8%	8.0%	13.0%	13.3%	15.0%	8.2%	3.6%	3.0%	4.9%	4.5%	3.6%	9.2%
None chosen	4	2	4	5	10	3	4	13	10	5	3	7	0	3	73
	3.8%	1.9%	3.9%	5.0%	10.0%	3.0%	3.8%	13.0%	8.2%	4.5%	3.0%	6.9%	0.0%	2.7%	4.9%

#### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-1. Crime prevention															
Excellent	2	1	2	0	1	3	1	2	2	0	2	4	2	0	22
	2.0%	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%	1.1%	3.2%	1.0%	2.1%	1.8%	0.0%	2.1%	4.3%	1.9%	0.0%	1.6%
Good	14 14.3%	21 22.6%	19 19.8%	19 20.0%	20 22.0%	20 21.1%	22 22.2%	19 19.6%	28 24.6%	21 19.8%	22 22.9%	25 26.6%	21 19.6%	23 22.1%	294 21.2%
Fair	47 48.0%	33 35.5%	39 40.6%	32 33.7%	31 34.1%	35 36.8%	33 33.3%	40 41.2%	49 43.0%	53 50.0%	44 45.8%	42 44.7%	42 39.3%	41 39.4%	561 40.5%
Poor	35 35.7%	38 40.9%	36 37.5%	44 46.3%	39 42.9%	37 38.9%	43 43.4%	36 37.1%	35 30.7%	32 30.2%	28 29.2%	23 24.5%	42 39.3%	40 38.5%	508 36.7%

#### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-2. Traffic enforcement															
Excellent	5	1	4	1	1	5	1	4	2	1	0	6	2	2	35
	4.9%	1.1%	4.3%	1.1%	1.1%	5.2%	1.0%	4.3%	1.7%	0.9%	0.0%	6.7%	1.9%	1.8%	2.5%
Good	22 21.6%	23 24.7%	21 22.3%	17 18.5%	28 30.1%	26 26.8%	22 22.4%	16 17.2%	28 24.3%	32 29.9%	29 31.2%	30 33.3%	28 25.9%	28 25.7%	350 25.3%
Fair	33 32.4%	37 39.8%	32 34.0%	43 46.7%	42 45.2%	30 30.9%	44 44.9%	45 48.4%	39 33.9%	44 41.1%	41 44.1%	34 37.8%	36 33.3%	31 28.4%	531 38.4%
Poor	42 41.2%	32 34.4%	37 39.4%	31 33.7%	22 23.7%	36 37.1%	31 31.6%	28 30.1%	46 40.0%	30 28.0%	23 24.7%	20 22.2%	42 38.9%	48 44.0%	468 33.8%

#### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-3. Efforts by police to fight crime	e in your r	neighborh	<u>nood</u>												
Excellent	9	4	4	1	5	9	3	7	6	5	3	15	6	6	83
	9.4%	4.3%	4.1%	1.0%	5.4%	9.9%	3.1%	7.9%	5.8%	5.2%	3.4%	17.2%	5.7%	6.1%	6.2%
Good	22 22.9%	24 25.5%	21 21.6%	19 19.8%	25 26.9%	23 25.3%	18 18.6%	16 18.0%	37 35.6%	31 32.0%	37 42.0%	31 35.6%	34 32.1%	30 30.6%	368 27.6%
Fair	27 28.1%	37 39.4%	33 34.0%	40 41.7%	29 31.2%	30 33.0%	31 32.0%	30 33.7%	32 30.8%	36 37.1%	28 31.8%	24 27.6%	37 34.9%	34 34.7%	448 33.6%
Poor	38 39.6%	29 30.9%	39 40.2%	36 37.5%	34 36.6%	29 31.9%	45 46.4%	36 40.4%	29 27.9%	25 25.8%	20 22.7%	17 19.5%	29 27.4%	28 28.6%	434 32.6%

#### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-4. Efforts by police to effective	ly deal witl	n problen	ns in you	r neighbo	<u>rhood</u>										
Excellent	6	6	2	0	4	6	4	7	4	6	3	12	8	7	75
	6.5%	6.6%	2.2%	0.0%	4.3%	6.9%	4.3%	8.1%	3.9%	6.5%	3.8%	15.0%	7.9%	7.4%	5.9%
Good	17	20	21	16	23	21	17	16	37	29	30	28	34	29	338
	18.3%	22.0%	22.6%	17.4%	24.7%	24.1%	18.1%	18.6%	36.3%	31.2%	38.0%	35.0%	33.7%	30.5%	26.4%
Fair	31	31	37	34	26	32	31	33	37	33	28	24	32	32	441
	33.3%	34.1%	39.8%	37.0%	28.0%	36.8%	33.0%	38.4%	36.3%	35.5%	35.4%	30.0%	31.7%	33.7%	34.5%
Poor	39	34	33	42	40	28	42	30	24	25	18	16	27	27	425
. 55.	41.9%	37.4%	35.5%	45.7%	43.0%	32.2%	44.7%	34.9%	23.5%	26.9%	22.8%	20.0%	26.7%	28.4%	33.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-5. Response time of police to er	nergency	<u>calls</u>													
Excellent	3	1	4	4	4	2	1	7	3	4	5	9	2	3	52
	3.9%	1.3%	4.8%	5.0%	5.1%	2.7%	1.4%	8.8%	3.7%	5.2%	8.2%	14.5%	2.4%	4.5%	4.9%
Good	15 19.7%	16 20.5%	13 15.7%	8 10.0%	21 26.6%	13 17.6%	11 15.3%	12 15.0%	18 22.0%	18 23.4%	11 18.0%	13 21.0%	17 20.7%	16 24.2%	202 19.2%
Fair	22 28.9%	28 35.9%	22 26.5%	26 32.5%	21 26.6%	22 29.7%	20 27.8%	19 23.8%	26 31.7%	18 23.4%	18 29.5%	18 29.0%	21 25.6%	16 24.2%	297 28.2%
Poor	36 47.4%	33 42.3%	44 53.0%	42 52.5%	33 41.8%	37 50.0%	40 55.6%	42 52.5%	35 42.7%	37 48.1%	27 44.3%	22 35.5%	42 51.2%	31 47.0%	501 47.6%

### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-6. Response time of fire to struc	ture fires														
Excellent	16	13	19	15	20	17	17	20	20	15	7	11	18	15	223
	30.8%	21.7%	28.8%	28.3%	35.7%	32.7%	33.3%	35.1%	34.5%	27.3%	19.4%	25.0%	32.1%	36.6%	30.3%
Good	26 50.0%	36 60.0%	30 45.5%	26 49.1%	25 44.6%	25 48.1%	23 45.1%	25 43.9%	28 48.3%	29 52.7%	20 55.6%	19 43.2%	32 57.1%	18 43.9%	362 49.1%
Fair	9	8	14	10	9	9	10	12	10	7	8	11	6	8	131
	17.3%	13.3%	21.2%	18.9%	16.1%	17.3%	19.6%	21.1%	17.2%	12.7%	22.2%	25.0%	10.7%	19.5%	17.8%
Poor	1 1.9%	3 5.0%	3 4.5%	2 3.8%	2 3.6%	1 1.9%	1 2.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 7.3%	1 2.8%	3 6.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	21 2.8%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-7. Response time of fire to med	ical emerg	gencies													
Excellent	16	13	18	14	24	20	20	19	23	18	12	16	22	18	253
	27.1%	21.3%	27.7%	25.0%	36.9%	31.7%	32.8%	29.7%	41.1%	29.5%	23.5%	28.6%	34.4%	35.3%	30.4%
Good	30 50.8%	34 55.7%	32 49.2%	28 50.0%	25 38.5%	29 46.0%	25 41.0%	30 46.9%	19 33.9%	33 54.1%	29 56.9%	23 41.1%	33 51.6%	21 41.2%	391 46.9%
Fair	12 20.3%	12 19.7%	13 20.0%	11 19.6%	12 18.5%	12 19.0%	13 21.3%	14 21.9%	13 23.2%	6 9.8%	9 17.6%	13 23.2%	6 9.4%	9 17.6%	155 18.6%
Poor	1 1.7%	2 3.3%	2 3.1%	3 5.4%	4 6.2%	2 3.2%	3 4.9%	1 1.6%	1 1.8%	4 6.6%	1 2.0%	4 7.1%	3 4.7%	3 5.9%	34 4.1%

### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-8. Fire prevention & education															
Excellent	6	4	6	5	7	9	12	8	6	3	1	2	3	2	74
	13.6%	8.2%	9.7%	10.2%	12.7%	16.1%	21.8%	14.8%	9.0%	6.4%	2.5%	4.8%	6.3%	4.2%	10.3%
Good	19 43.2%	21 42.9%	21 33.9%	20 40.8%	14 25.5%	20 35.7%	21 38.2%	17 31.5%	33 49.3%	15 31.9%	24 60.0%	21 50.0%	24 50.0%	22 45.8%	292 40.8%
Fair	14 31.8%	16 32.7%	24 38.7%	17 34.7%	20 36.4%	18 32.1%	16 29.1%	16 29.6%	20 29.9%	18 38.3%	10 25.0%	9 21.4%	12 25.0%	14 29.2%	224 31.3%
Poor	5 11.4%	8 16.3%	11 17.7%	7 14.3%	14 25.5%	9 16.1%	6 10.9%	13 24.1%	8 11.9%	11 23.4%	5 12.5%	10 23.8%	9 18.8%	10 20.8%	126 17.6%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-9. Prevention programs for yout	:h (PALS, a	after-scho	ool progra	amming,	etc.)										
Excellent	1	0	3	0	1	5	4	4	4	0	0	0	2	2	26
	2.3%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	2.3%	13.2%	8.7%	8.9%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	8.3%	5.0%
Good	10 23.3%	10 31.3%	12 21.8%	10 20.8%	9 20.5%	8 21.1%	7 15.2%	9 20.0%	7 21.9%	13 38.2%	10 43.5%	9 33.3%	10 31.3%	3 12.5%	127 24.3%
Fair	15	12	17	22	15	10	20	20	16	14	6	9	10	13	199
	34.9%	37.5%	30.9%	45.8%	34.1%	26.3%	43.5%	44.4%	50.0%	41.2%	26.1%	33.3%	31.3%	54.2%	38.0%
Poor	17 39.5%	10 31.3%	23 41.8%	16 33.3%	19 43.2%	15 39.5%	15 32.6%	12 26.7%	5 15.6%	7 20.6%	7 30.4%	9 33.3%	10 31.3%	6 25.0%	171 32.7%

### Q9. Public Safety Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-10. Mental health programs															
Excellent	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	10
	1.6%	0.0%	3.0%	1.3%	1.7%	3.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1.2%
Good	5	4	3	10	4	5	7	6	4	1	4	3	6	2	64
	8.1%	7.8%	4.5%	13.2%	6.9%	8.8%	10.4%	9.1%	6.0%	1.6%	8.0%	5.7%	10.0%	3.2%	7.4%
Fair	14	14	15	15	13	7	14	20	18	21	11	12	13	12	199
	22.6%	27.5%	22.4%	19.7%	22.4%	12.3%	20.9%	30.3%	26.9%	32.8%	22.0%	22.6%	21.7%	19.0%	23.1%
Poor	42	33	47	50	40	43	46	38	45	42	35	38	40	49	588
	67.7%	64.7%	70.1%	65.8%	69.0%	75.4%	68.7%	57.6%	67.2%	65.6%	70.0%	71.7%	66.7%	77.8%	68.3%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q9-11. Quality of disaster response	programs	s (Commu	unity Eme	ergency R	esponse	Team)									
Excellent	4	1	2	0	2	6	3	3	1	2	6	4	8	2	44
	9.3%	3.3%	4.1%	0.0%	5.6%	11.3%	6.1%	5.9%	2.5%	5.3%	15.8%	10.8%	16.0%	5.3%	7.4%
Good	20 46.5%	16 53.3%	13 26.5%	14 30.4%	11 30.6%	13 24.5%	14 28.6%	11 21.6%	20 50.0%	17 44.7%	13 34.2%	11 29.7%	15 30.0%	14 36.8%	202 33.8%
Fair	12	10	20	17	14	20	20	26	13	13	17	15	21	17	235
	27.9%	33.3%	40.8%	37.0%	38.9%	37.7%	40.8%	51.0%	32.5%	34.2%	44.7%	40.5%	42.0%	44.7%	39.3%
Poor	7 16.3%	3 10.0%	14 28.6%	15 32.6%	9 25.0%	14 26.4%	12 24.5%	11 21.6%	6 15.0%	6 15.8%	2 5.3%	7 18.9%	6 12.0%	5 13.2%	117 19.6%

### Q10. Which TWO of the public safety services listed in Question 9 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 2)

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q10. Sum of top 2 choices															
Crime prevention	47	54	52	45	44	53	49	53	44	65	57	62	71	54	750
	45.2%	52.4%	50.5%	44.6%	44.0%	53.0%	46.7%	53.0%	36.1%	58.6%	56.4%	60.8%	64.0%	48.2%	50.8%
Traffic enforcement	23	18	7	16	9	15	9	14	20	19	8	10	14	20	202
	22.1%	17.5%	6.8%	15.8%	9.0%	15.0%	8.6%	14.0%	16.4%	17.1%	7.9%	9.8%	12.6%	17.9%	13.7%
Efforts by police to fight crime in your neighborhood	16	23	36	24	30	15	29	23	27	19	20	17	32	23	334
	15.4%	22.3%	35.0%	23.8%	30.0%	15.0%	27.6%	23.0%	22.1%	17.1%	19.8%	16.7%	28.8%	20.5%	22.6%
Efforts by police to effectively deal with problems in your neighborhood	19	19	19	18	22	17	23	16	20	18	14	13	14	12	244
	18.3%	18.4%	18.4%	17.8%	22.0%	17.0%	21.9%	16.0%	16.4%	16.2%	13.9%	12.7%	12.6%	10.7%	16.5%
Response time of police to emergency calls	29	21	30	31	24	36	36	28	37	36	35	30	44	40	457
	27.9%	20.4%	29.1%	30.7%	24.0%	36.0%	34.3%	28.0%	30.3%	32.4%	34.7%	29.4%	39.6%	35.7%	31.0%
Response time of fire to structure fires	1	3	2	4	2	0	2	1	5	4	5	3	3	3	38
	1.0%	2.9%	1.9%	4.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.0%	4.1%	3.6%	5.0%	2.9%	2.7%	2.7%	2.6%
Response time of fire to medical emergencies	3	3	1	3	5	5	4	2	8	5	6	4	5	6	60
	2.9%	2.9%	1.0%	3.0%	5.0%	5.0%	3.8%	2.0%	6.6%	4.5%	5.9%	3.9%	4.5%	5.4%	4.1%
Fire prevention & education	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	15
	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.0%	1.6%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%

## Q10. Which TWO of the public safety services listed in Question 9 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 2) (cont.)

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q10. Sum of top 2 choices (cont.)															
Prevention programs for															
youth (PALS, after-school	15	12	14	12	14	19	14	9	18	8	11	10	7	15	178
programming, etc.)	14.4%	11.7%	13.6%	11.9%	14.0%	19.0%	13.3%	9.0%	14.8%	7.2%	10.9%	9.8%	6.3%	13.4%	12.1%
Mental health programs	41	40	29	33	30	31	29	26	48	35	32	40	25	38	477
, -	39.4%	38.8%	28.2%	32.7%	30.0%	31.0%	27.6%	26.0%	39.3%	31.5%	31.7%	39.2%	22.5%	33.9%	32.3%
Quality of disaster response															
programs (Community	3	6	6	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	4	8	0	4	57
Emergency Response Team)	2.9%	5.8%	5.8%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.8%	3.0%	2.5%	2.7%	4.0%	7.8%	0.0%	3.6%	3.9%
None chosen	4	3	4	4	7	0	4	11	6	4	4	3	2	4	60
	3.8%	2.9%	3.9%	4.0%	7.0%	0.0%	3.8%	11.0%	4.9%	3.6%	4.0%	2.9%	1.8%	3.6%	4.1%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-1. City parks															
Excellent	9	20	9	8	12	11	16	10	24	16	3	11	12	13	174
	9.2%	21.3%	9.9%	9.2%	13.3%	12.4%	17.0%	11.1%	21.1%	15.2%	3.2%	12.2%	11.5%	12.0%	12.9%
Good	52 53.1%	48 51.1%	48 52.7%	44 50.6%	44 48.9%	45 50.6%	55 58.5%	40 44.4%	68 59.6%	69 65.7%	57 61.3%	46 51.1%	63 60.6%	66 61.1%	745 55.3%
Fair	35 35.7%	22 23.4%	23 25.3%	31 35.6%	30 33.3%	29 32.6%	16 17.0%	33 36.7%	19 16.7%	20 19.0%	26 28.0%	30 33.3%	25 24.0%	23 21.3%	362 26.9%
Poor	2 2.0%	4 4.3%	11 12.1%	4 4.6%	4 4.4%	4 4.5%	7 7.4%	7 7.8%	3 2.6%	0 0.0%	7 7.5%	3 3.3%	4 3.8%	6 5.6%	66 4.9%

## Q11. Parks and Recreation. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-2. Recreation programs or clas	ses_														
Excellent	6	3	8	7	5	5	11	9	7	4	3	3	5	4	80
	8.8%	5.4%	11.4%	10.8%	8.2%	9.1%	16.9%	13.8%	9.5%	5.8%	5.8%	5.2%	9.3%	7.4%	9.2%
Good	29 42.6%	23 41.1%	25 35.7%	27 41.5%	21 34.4%	18 32.7%	26 40.0%	21 32.3%	39 52.7%	40 58.0%	23 44.2%	29 50.0%	26 48.1%	31 57.4%	378 43.6%
Fair	30 44.1%	25 44.6%	22 31.4%	26 40.0%	26 42.6%	24 43.6%	17 26.2%	22 33.8%	25 33.8%	20 29.0%	18 34.6%	20 34.5%	15 27.8%	14 25.9%	304 35.1%
Poor	3 4.4%	5 8.9%	15 21.4%	5 7.7%	9 14.8%	8 14.5%	11 16.9%	13 20.0%	3 4.1%	5 7.2%	8 15.4%	6 10.3%	8 14.8%	5 9.3%	104 12.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-3. Range/variety of recreation	programs	& classe	<u>S</u>												
Excellent	6	4	5	5	2	4	10	6	8	2	4	0	4	5	65
	9.2%	8.5%	7.7%	8.2%	3.3%	6.9%	15.9%	9.5%	12.3%	2.9%	8.9%	0.0%	7.5%	9.6%	8.0%
Good	27 41.5%	19 40.4%	22 33.8%	23 37.7%	22 36.7%	17 29.3%	23 36.5%	19 30.2%	34 52.3%	37 53.6%	16 35.6%	27 54.0%	23 43.4%	26 50.0%	335 41.1%
Fair	27 41.5%	18 38.3%	21 32.3%	26 42.6%	23 38.3%	23 39.7%	17 27.0%	25 39.7%	16 24.6%	24 34.8%	18 40.0%	15 30.0%	14 26.4%	16 30.8%	283 34.7%
Poor	5 7.7%	6 12.8%	17 26.2%	7 11.5%	13 21.7%	14 24.1%	13 20.6%	13 20.6%	7 10.8%	6 8.7%	7 15.6%	8 16.0%	12 22.6%	5 9.6%	133 16.3%

### Q11. Parks and Recreation. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-4. Recreation centers/facilities															
Excellent	8	7	9	5	2	4	9	8	13	4	4	5	7	4	89
	9.9%	10.9%	11.8%	7.0%	2.9%	5.5%	11.8%	11.3%	14.6%	5.0%	5.9%	6.8%	10.1%	5.8%	8.6%
Good	42	31	28	31	31	25	39	20	48	49	37	33	36	34	484
	51.9%	48.4%	36.8%	43.7%	44.3%	34.2%	51.3%	28.2%	53.9%	61.3%	54.4%	45.2%	52.2%	49.3%	47.0%
Fair	24	21	27	26	27	30	20	31	24	23	20	25	19	26	343
	29.6%	32.8%	35.5%	36.6%	38.6%	41.1%	26.3%	43.7%	27.0%	28.8%	29.4%	34.2%	27.5%	37.7%	33.3%
Poor	7	5	12	9	10	14	8	12	4	4	7	10	7	5	114
	8.6%	7.8%	15.8%	12.7%	14.3%	19.2%	10.5%	16.9%	4.5%	5.0%	10.3%	13.7%	10.1%	7.2%	11.1%

N=1475														Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-5. Accessibility of parks															
Excellent	13	13	15	10	7	15	19	14	30	16	8	12	18	16	206
	13.3%	13.8%	16.9%	11.0%	7.9%	16.9%	21.1%	15.7%	26.8%	16.0%	8.9%	13.3%	18.0%	15.8%	15.6%
Good	57	49	41	46	49	41	49	37	61	56	55	49	56	61	707
	58.2%	52.1%	46.1%	50.5%	55.1%	46.1%	54.4%	41.6%	54.5%	56.0%	61.1%	54.4%	56.0%	60.4%	53.5%
Fair	20	28	24	26	28	25	18	32	15	26	20	23	20	22	327
	20.4%	29.8%	27.0%	28.6%	31.5%	28.1%	20.0%	36.0%	13.4%	26.0%	22.2%	25.6%	20.0%	21.8%	24.7%
Poor	8	4	9	9	5	8	4	6	6	2	7	6	6	2	82
	8.2%	4.3%	10.1%	9.9%	5.6%	9.0%	4.4%	6.7%	5.4%	2.0%	7.8%	6.7%	6.0%	2.0%	6.2%

### Q11. Parks and Recreation. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-6. Accessibility of recreation co	enters/fac	<u>ilities</u>													
Excellent	11	7	10	8	5	9	13	11	18	8	6	10	11	5	132
	13.6%	10.3%	13.0%	10.4%	6.4%	13.4%	17.1%	14.1%	20.0%	9.2%	8.1%	13.5%	14.3%	6.9%	12.3%
Good	39 48.1%	35 51.5%	35 45.5%	32 41.6%	37 47.4%	27 40.3%	39 51.3%	26 33.3%	51 56.7%	52 59.8%	39 52.7%	38 51.4%	39 50.6%	38 52.8%	527 49.0%
Fair	26	22	21	29	28	24	17	37	16	22	22	19	20	24	327
	32.1%	32.4%	27.3%	37.7%	35.9%	35.8%	22.4%	47.4%	17.8%	25.3%	29.7%	25.7%	26.0%	33.3%	30.4%
Poor	5 6.2%	4 5.9%	11 14.3%	8 10.4%	8 10.3%	7 10.4%	7 9.2%	4 5.1%	5 5.6%	5 5.7%	7 9.5%	7 9.5%	7 9.1%	5 6.9%	90 8.4%

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-7. Appearance/maintenance o	f parks														
Excellent	8	14	13	8	7	14	13	13	25	15	6	10	10	13	169
	8.1%	14.4%	14.3%	8.9%	7.8%	15.9%	13.7%	14.3%	21.9%	14.7%	6.8%	10.9%	9.5%	12.0%	12.5%
Good	55	46	47	37	47	40	54	33	63	61	47	47	58	58	693
	55.6%	47.4%	51.6%	41.1%	52.2%	45.5%	56.8%	36.3%	55.3%	59.8%	53.4%	51.1%	55.2%	53.7%	51.3%
Fair	32	28	21	40	31	24	24	34	24	20	29	25	33	27	392
	32.3%	28.9%	23.1%	44.4%	34.4%	27.3%	25.3%	37.4%	21.1%	19.6%	33.0%	27.2%	31.4%	25.0%	29.0%
Poor	4	9	10	5	5	10	4	11	2	6	6	10	4	10	96
	4.0%	9.3%	11.0%	5.6%	5.6%	11.4%	4.2%	12.1%	1.8%	5.9%	6.8%	10.9%	3.8%	9.3%	7.1%

### Q11. Parks and Recreation. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-8. Appearance/maintenance o	f recreation	on center	s/facilitie	<u> </u>											
Excellent	7	11	9	7	5	9	12	13	14	9	5	6	10	3	120
	9.0%	15.5%	11.3%	9.2%	6.9%	12.0%	15.8%	17.1%	15.7%	11.1%	7.2%	8.1%	13.5%	4.0%	11.3%
Good	39 50.0%	41 57.7%	32 40.0%	34 44.7%	37 51.4%	28 37.3%	41 53.9%	28 36.8%	54 60.7%	46 56.8%	36 52.2%	43 58.1%	36 48.6%	48 64.0%	543 50.9%
Fair	28 35.9%	16 22.5%	29 36.3%	28 36.8%	24 33.3%	30 40.0%	17 22.4%	24 31.6%	17 19.1%	23 28.4%	25 36.2%	17 23.0%	23 31.1%	18 24.0%	319 29.9%
Poor	4 5.1%	3 4.2%	10 12.5%	7 9.2%	6 8.3%	8 10.7%	6 7.9%	11 14.5%	4 4.5%	3 3.7%	3 4.3%	8 10.8%	5 6.8%	6 8.0%	84 7.9%

N=1475														Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-9. Outdoor athletic facilities (se	occer/bas	eball field	ds, tennis	courts)											
Excellent	8	10	9	7	5	11	8	10	11	9	5	10	9	3	115
	10.3%	14.3%	12.0%	10.0%	6.7%	14.7%	11.8%	13.3%	12.2%	11.1%	6.8%	14.3%	12.3%	3.7%	10.9%
Good	40 51.3%	32 45.7%	28 37.3%	26 37.1%	41 54.7%	26 34.7%	38 55.9%	28 37.3%	51 56.7%	42 51.9%	33 45.2%	34 48.6%	35 47.9%	49 59.8%	503 47.7%
Fair	25 32.1%	27 38.6%	26 34.7%	28 40.0%	20 26.7%	28 37.3%	18 26.5%	25 33.3%	23 25.6%	26 32.1%	25 34.2%	21 30.0%	21 28.8%	25 30.5%	338 32.0%
Poor	5 6.4%	1 1.4%	12 16.0%	9 12.9%	9 12.0%	10 13.3%	4 5.9%	12 16.0%	5 5.6%	4 4.9%	10 13.7%	5 7.1%	8 11.0%	5 6.1%	99 9.4%

## Q11. Parks and Recreation. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475														Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-10. Walking trails in City															
Excellent	12	14	10	7	6	7	12	8	21	13	11	5	17	20	163
	13.2%	15.7%	12.3%	9.1%	7.8%	8.6%	15.2%	9.8%	18.8%	13.4%	14.1%	6.2%	17.9%	19.0%	13.3%
Good	41 45.1%	46 51.7%	30 37.0%	32 41.6%	37 48.1%	33 40.7%	39 49.4%	33 40.2%	56 50.0%	53 54.6%	37 47.4%	39 48.1%	47 49.5%	53 50.5%	576 47.0%
Fair	27 29.7%	23 25.8%	27 33.3%	25 32.5%	24 31.2%	28 34.6%	21 26.6%	24 29.3%	24 21.4%	20 20.6%	19 24.4%	20 24.7%	23 24.2%	22 21.0%	327 26.7%
Poor	11 12.1%	6 6.7%	14 17.3%	13 16.9%	10 13.0%	13 16.0%	7 8.9%	17 20.7%	11 9.8%	11 11.3%	11 14.1%	17 21.0%	8 8.4%	10 9.5%	159 13.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-11. Outdoor swimming facilitie	<u>s</u>														
Excellent	4	2	3	4	1	0	5	2	10	4	3	2	7	5	52
	6.5%	4.0%	4.8%	6.8%	2.0%	0.0%	8.9%	3.3%	13.9%	7.7%	7.7%	4.7%	18.9%	10.2%	7.0%
Good	25 40.3%	18 36.0%	12 19.4%	12 20.3%	17 34.7%	15 28.8%	21 37.5%	17 27.9%	30 41.7%	18 34.6%	16 41.0%	19 44.2%	12 32.4%	16 32.7%	248 33.4%
Fair	17 27.4%	21 42.0%	17 27.4%	18 30.5%	17 34.7%	16 30.8%	22 39.3%	18 29.5%	19 26.4%	17 32.7%	12 30.8%	15 34.9%	10 27.0%	13 26.5%	232 31.2%
Poor	16 25.8%	9 18.0%	30 48.4%	25 42.4%	14 28.6%	21 40.4%	8 14.3%	24 39.3%	13 18.1%	13 25.0%	8 20.5%	7 16.3%	8 21.6%	15 30.6%	211 28.4%

## Q11. Parks and Recreation. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-12. Ease of registering for City	recreation	n progran	ns/event	<u>s</u>											
Excellent	4	4	5	4	2	2	6	7	9	3	2	3	8	2	61
	7.5%	9.3%	8.5%	7.1%	3.8%	3.6%	12.2%	11.9%	16.4%	7.1%	6.1%	7.0%	19.5%	4.8%	8.9%
Good	23 43.4%	15 34.9%	22 37.3%	23 41.1%	18 34.6%	17 30.9%	25 51.0%	14 23.7%	22 40.0%	18 42.9%	15 45.5%	19 44.2%	11 26.8%	22 52.4%	264 38.7%
Fair	16 30.2%	18 41.9%	17 28.8%	17 30.4%	21 40.4%	20 36.4%	10 20.4%	26 44.1%	16 29.1%	14 33.3%	10 30.3%	14 32.6%	14 34.1%	11 26.2%	224 32.8%
Poor	10 18.9%	6 14.0%	15 25.4%	12 21.4%	11 21.2%	16 29.1%	8 16.3%	12 20.3%	8 14.5%	7 16.7%	6 18.2%	7 16.3%	8 19.5%	7 16.7%	133 19.5%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q11-13. City golf courses															
Excellent	8	3	6	8	5	3	8	7	9	3	2	4	10	8	84
	18.2%	11.1%	13.6%	17.8%	11.6%	8.1%	21.6%	15.9%	18.4%	8.1%	7.4%	13.8%	25.6%	19.5%	15.5%
Good	26 59.1%	13 48.1%	17 38.6%	17 37.8%	19 44.2%	16 43.2%	18 48.6%	11 25.0%	30 61.2%	24 64.9%	14 51.9%	13 44.8%	12 30.8%	21 51.2%	251 46.2%
Fair	7	6	15	17	15	13	7	13	9	7	11	6	12	7	145
	15.9%	22.2%	34.1%	37.8%	34.9%	35.1%	18.9%	29.5%	18.4%	18.9%	40.7%	20.7%	30.8%	17.1%	26.7%
Poor	3 6.8%	5 18.5%	6 13.6%	3 6.7%	4 9.3%	5 13.5%	4 10.8%	13 29.5%	1 2.0%	3 8.1%	0 0.0%	6 20.7%	5 12.8%	5 12.2%	63 11.6%

## Q12. Which THREE of the Park and Recreation services listed in Question 11 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 3)

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q12. Sum of top 3 choices															
City parks	38	48	38	22	33	43	39	34	58	48	44	46	57	55	603
	36.5%	46.6%	36.9%	21.8%	33.0%	43.0%	37.1%	34.0%	47.5%	43.2%	43.6%	45.1%	51.4%	49.1%	40.9%
Recreation programs or classes	27	16	25	20	26	20	28	15	20	13	22	18	20	17	287
	26.0%	15.5%	24.3%	19.8%	26.0%	20.0%	26.7%	15.0%	16.4%	11.7%	21.8%	17.6%	18.0%	15.2%	19.5%
Range/variety of recreation programs & classes	30	19	25	27	36	18	24	22	26	26	27	18	26	13	337
	28.8%	18.4%	24.3%	26.7%	36.0%	18.0%	22.9%	22.0%	21.3%	23.4%	26.7%	17.6%	23.4%	11.6%	22.8%
Recreation centers/facilities	21	18	23	23	16	22	22	20	32	23	19	21	17	22	299
	20.2%	17.5%	22.3%	22.8%	16.0%	22.0%	21.0%	20.0%	26.2%	20.7%	18.8%	20.6%	15.3%	19.6%	20.3%
Accessibility of parks	17	29	14	13	17	13	19	16	23	21	19	15	17	22	255
	16.3%	28.2%	13.6%	12.9%	17.0%	13.0%	18.1%	16.0%	18.9%	18.9%	18.8%	14.7%	15.3%	19.6%	17.3%
Accessibility of recreation centers/facilities	23	11	19	14	15	11	19	15	11	10	17	18	12	14	209
	22.1%	10.7%	18.4%	13.9%	15.0%	11.0%	18.1%	15.0%	9.0%	9.0%	16.8%	17.6%	10.8%	12.5%	14.2%
Appearance/maintenance of parks	37	47	17	37	27	39	33	32	46	39	34	43	51	46	528
	35.6%	45.6%	16.5%	36.6%	27.0%	39.0%	31.4%	32.0%	37.7%	35.1%	33.7%	42.2%	45.9%	41.1%	35.8%
Appearance/maintenance of recreation centers/facilities	13	12	23	19	18	18	19	14	16	18	9	21	13	13	226
	12.5%	11.7%	22.3%	18.8%	18.0%	18.0%	18.1%	14.0%	13.1%	16.2%	8.9%	20.6%	11.7%	11.6%	15.3%

## Q12. Which THREE of the Park and Recreation services listed in Question 11 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 3) (cont.)

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q12. Sum of top 3 choices (cont.)															
Outdoor athletic facilities															
(soccer/baseball fields, tennis	14	15	17	17	9	15	16	14	20	26	14	14	22	18	231
courts)	13.5%	14.6%	16.5%	16.8%	9.0%	15.0%	15.2%	14.0%	16.4%	23.4%	13.9%	13.7%	19.8%	16.1%	15.7%
Walking trails in City	37	48	29	27	29	34	43	29	44	41	39	38	45	56	539
<b>5 5 1 1 1</b>	35.6%	46.6%	28.2%	26.7%	29.0%	34.0%	41.0%	29.0%	36.1%	36.9%	38.6%	37.3%	40.5%	50.0%	36.5%
Outdoor swimming facilities	12	14	20	22	14	14	7	18	21	7	11	5	6	11	182
	11.5%	13.6%	19.4%	21.8%	14.0%	14.0%	6.7%	18.0%	17.2%	6.3%	10.9%	4.9%	5.4%	9.8%	12.3%
Ease of registering for City	13	12	18	17	13	6	13	12	11	10	8	14	5	11	163
recreation programs/events	12.5%	11.7%	17.5%	16.8%	13.0%	6.0%	12.4%	12.0%	9.0%	9.0%	7.9%	13.7%	4.5%	9.8%	11.1%
City golf courses	3	5	6	5	6	8	2	2	6	5	9	5	8	10	80
	2.9%	4.9%	5.8%	5.0%	6.0%	8.0%	1.9%	2.0%	4.9%	4.5%	8.9%	4.9%	7.2%	8.9%	5.4%
None chosen	7	4	9	11	11	9	9	16	9	13	10	9	9	7	133
	6.7%	3.9%	8.7%	10.9%	11.0%	9.0%	8.6%	16.0%	7.4%	11.7%	9.9%	8.8%	8.1%	6.3%	9.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-1. Enforcement of multi-family	/ building	condition	<u>S</u>												
Excellent	1	2	3	2	1	0	3	3	0	1	0	0	1	2	19
	1.7%	2.7%	4.4%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%	4.6%	4.5%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	2.9%	2.1%
Good	8 13.8%	15 20.3%	10 14.7%	10 15.6%	9 14.8%	18 26.9%	11 16.9%	15 22.4%	10 16.9%	7 9.6%	6 11.3%	6 10.9%	10 16.4%	14 20.0%	149 16.6%
Fair	22 37.9%	25 33.8%	28 41.2%	21 32.8%	23 37.7%	24 35.8%	23 35.4%	26 38.8%	18 30.5%	30 41.1%	18 34.0%	24 43.6%	24 39.3%	30 42.9%	336 37.5%
Poor	27 46.6%	32 43.2%	27 39.7%	31 48.4%	28 45.9%	25 37.3%	28 43.1%	23 34.3%	31 52.5%	35 47.9%	29 54.7%	25 45.5%	26 42.6%	24 34.3%	391 43.7%

### Q13. Code Enforcement Services. Please rate the City's performance in following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-2. Enforcement of mowing of v	weeds & h	igh grass	on priva	te proper	<u>rty</u>										
Excellent	3	3	4	7	3	3	7	4	3	5	4	2	1	8	57
	3.6%	3.4%	4.4%	8.0%	3.7%	3.5%	7.9%	4.7%	3.6%	6.3%	7.0%	2.8%	1.3%	9.5%	5.0%
Good	16 19.3%	30 34.1%	16 17.8%	19 21.6%	23 28.0%	26 30.6%	29 32.6%	20 23.3%	28 33.3%	23 28.8%	12 21.1%	22 30.6%	22 28.9%	21 25.0%	307 26.8%
Fair	38 45.8%	25 28.4%	29 32.2%	36 40.9%	31 37.8%	31 36.5%	22 24.7%	36 41.9%	35 41.7%	36 45.0%	28 49.1%	23 31.9%	30 39.5%	43 51.2%	443 38.7%
Poor	26 31.3%	30 34.1%	41 45.6%	26 29.5%	25 30.5%	25 29.4%	31 34.8%	26 30.2%	18 21.4%	16 20.0%	13 22.8%	25 34.7%	23 30.3%	12 14.3%	337 29.5%

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-3. Enforcement of blighted res	sidential p	roperties													
Excellent	1	1	4	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	17
	1.4%	1.3%	4.8%	2.5%	1.5%	1.4%	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.4%	2.9%	1.7%
Good	9 12.3%	16 20.0%	7 8.4%	14 17.7%	13 19.1%	14 18.9%	14 17.9%	12 16.0%	14 20.6%	10 13.9%	7 13.7%	13 21.3%	5 7.1%	7 10.0%	155 15.5%
Fair	28 38.4%	24 30.0%	30 36.1%	32 40.5%	25 36.8%	29 39.2%	35 44.9%	29 38.7%	30 44.1%	33 45.8%	23 45.1%	18 29.5%	30 42.9%	33 47.1%	399 39.8%
Poor	35 47.9%	39 48.8%	42 50.6%	31 39.2%	29 42.6%	30 40.5%	27 34.6%	33 44.0%	24 35.3%	29 40.3%	21 41.2%	29 47.5%	34 48.6%	28 40.0%	431 43.0%

### Q13. Code Enforcement Services. Please rate the City's performance in following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-4. Enforcement of sign regulation	ions														
Excellent	2	4	2	4	0	3	4	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	31
	3.1%	6.2%	2.5%	5.3%	0.0%	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%	3.1%	2.8%	3.4%	0.0%	2.9%	2.7%	3.2%
Good	19 29.2%	17 26.2%	16 20.3%	16 21.1%	16 24.6%	18 24.3%	17 22.4%	13 19.7%	17 26.6%	20 28.2%	16 27.1%	22 36.1%	14 20.3%	18 24.7%	239 24.8%
Fair	25 38.5%	27 41.5%	30 38.0%	32 42.1%	24 36.9%	30 40.5%	32 42.1%	34 51.5%	28 43.8%	34 47.9%	23 39.0%	15 24.6%	28 40.6%	31 42.5%	393 40.8%
Poor	19 29.2%	17 26.2%	31 39.2%	24 31.6%	25 38.5%	23 31.1%	23 30.3%	17 25.8%	17 26.6%	15 21.1%	18 30.5%	24 39.3%	25 36.2%	22 30.1%	300 31.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-5. City efforts to remove junk i	motor veh	icles (ino	perative)	on priva	te propei	<u>rty</u>									
Excellent	1	2	3	6	3	3	4	2	1	0	2	1	2	4	34
	1.5%	2.9%	3.7%	7.9%	4.3%	4.4%	5.6%	2.6%	1.7%	0.0%	3.9%	2.1%	4.4%	8.5%	3.8%
Good	11 16.2%	17 25.0%	10 12.2%	11 14.5%	12 17.4%	13 19.1%	14 19.4%	11 14.3%	16 27.1%	14 25.5%	9 17.6%	12 25.5%	7 15.6%	12 25.5%	169 19.1%
Fair	17 25.0%	19 27.9%	22 26.8%	17 22.4%	18 26.1%	22 32.4%	19 26.4%	30 39.0%	24 40.7%	16 29.1%	23 45.1%	11 23.4%	15 33.3%	19 40.4%	272 30.8%
Poor	39 57.4%	30 44.1%	47 57.3%	42 55.3%	36 52.2%	30 44.1%	35 48.6%	34 44.2%	18 30.5%	25 45.5%	17 33.3%	23 48.9%	21 46.7%	12 25.5%	409 46.3%

### Q13. Code Enforcement Services. Please rate the City's performance in following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475 District													Total		
_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-6. Enforcement of bulk/brush t	rash viola	<u>ations</u>													
Excellent	3	5	4	11	7	4	10	2	6	5	3	1	5	3	69
	3.9%	7.0%	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	5.2%	12.0%	2.6%	7.4%	6.8%	5.3%	1.4%	6.4%	4.6%	6.5%
Good	24 31.6%	19 26.8%	20 22.5%	17 21.0%	24 31.2%	26 33.8%	28 33.7%	21 27.3%	31 38.3%	27 37.0%	19 33.3%	23 31.9%	26 33.3%	17 26.2%	322 30.5%
Fair	17 22.4%	22 31.0%	32 36.0%	31 38.3%	22 28.6%	20 26.0%	20 24.1%	32 41.6%	26 32.1%	26 35.6%	19 33.3%	27 37.5%	28 35.9%	29 44.6%	351 33.2%
Poor	32 42.1%	25 35.2%	33 37.1%	22 27.2%	24 31.2%	27 35.1%	25 30.1%	22 28.6%	18 22.2%	15 20.5%	16 28.1%	21 29.2%	19 24.4%	16 24.6%	315 29.8%

N=1475	District														Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-7. Enforcement of litter on priv	ate prope	<u>erty</u>													
Excellent	2	5	4	7	2	3	6	2	2	1	1	2	3	2	42
	2.7%	7.2%	4.8%	9.1%	2.6%	3.9%	7.2%	2.6%	2.8%	1.5%	1.9%	3.2%	4.4%	3.5%	4.2%
Good	14 18.7%	16 23.2%	8 9.5%	13 16.9%	20 26.0%	17 22.4%	20 24.1%	16 21.1%	20 27.8%	13 19.4%	16 30.8%	12 19.4%	16 23.5%	10 17.5%	211 21.2%
Fair	17 22.7%	21 30.4%	30 35.7%	24 31.2%	20 26.0%	25 32.9%	21 25.3%	26 34.2%	30 41.7%	27 40.3%	16 30.8%	20 32.3%	24 35.3%	26 45.6%	327 32.9%
Poor	42 56.0%	27 39.1%	42 50.0%	33 42.9%	35 45.5%	31 40.8%	36 43.4%	32 42.1%	20 27.8%	26 38.8%	19 36.5%	28 45.2%	25 36.8%	19 33.3%	415 41.7%

### Q13. Code Enforcement Services. Please rate the City's performance in following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-8. City efforts to survey & abat	e mosqui	tos carryi	ng viruse	<u>s</u>											
Excellent	1	5	5	5	3	3	4	2	8	1	3	3	5	1	49
	1.5%	8.5%	7.1%	7.4%	3.8%	4.3%	5.6%	2.9%	10.3%	1.2%	4.3%	4.1%	6.3%	1.4%	4.9%
Good	18 26.9%	18 30.5%	13 18.6%	14 20.6%	25 31.6%	19 27.5%	19 26.4%	18 26.5%	30 38.5%	36 44.4%	20 29.0%	28 38.4%	27 33.8%	28 38.4%	313 31.1%
Fair	28 41.8%	18 30.5%	28 40.0%	27 39.7%	25 31.6%	24 34.8%	23 31.9%	21 30.9%	23 29.5%	29 35.8%	30 43.5%	23 31.5%	25 31.3%	27 37.0%	351 34.9%
Poor	20 29.9%	18 30.5%	24 34.3%	22 32.4%	26 32.9%	23 33.3%	26 36.1%	27 39.7%	17 21.8%	15 18.5%	16 23.2%	19 26.0%	23 28.8%	17 23.3%	293 29.1%

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-9. Enforcement of food safety	in restaur	ants													
Excellent	3	5	4	8	6	6	6	5	8	5	0	5	7	6	74
	4.6%	7.6%	5.9%	10.8%	9.5%	8.8%	9.1%	7.2%	12.1%	6.5%	0.0%	7.4%	9.3%	9.4%	7.9%
Good	35 53.8%	36 54.5%	22 32.4%	26 35.1%	25 39.7%	35 51.5%	26 39.4%	24 34.8%	38 57.6%	40 51.9%	29 54.7%	29 42.6%	41 54.7%	36 56.3%	442 46.9%
Fair	20 30.8%	17 25.8%	23 33.8%	29 39.2%	20 31.7%	16 23.5%	23 34.8%	22 31.9%	11 16.7%	25 32.5%	22 41.5%	20 29.4%	20 26.7%	20 31.3%	288 30.6%
Poor	7 10.8%	8 12.1%	19 27.9%	11 14.9%	12 19.0%	11 16.2%	11 16.7%	18 26.1%	9 13.6%	7 9.1%	2 3.8%	14 20.6%	7 9.3%	2 3.1%	138 14.6%

### Q13. Code Enforcement Services. Please rate the City's performance in following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475 District														Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q13-10. Enforcement of yard parkin	g regulat	ions in yo	our neighl	borhood											
Excellent	11	6	5	7	6	4	6	5	4	3	5	8	6	6	82
	13.9%	9.5%	6.0%	8.1%	8.1%	5.3%	7.4%	6.5%	5.8%	4.9%	8.3%	14.3%	9.4%	9.7%	8.3%
Good	15 19.0%	17 27.0%	15 17.9%	18 20.9%	19 25.7%	25 32.9%	23 28.4%	13 16.9%	32 46.4%	27 44.3%	26 43.3%	19 33.9%	25 39.1%	24 38.7%	298 30.0%
Fair	25 31.6%	20 31.7%	25 29.8%	19 22.1%	21 28.4%	18 23.7%	17 21.0%	26 33.8%	17 24.6%	21 34.4%	15 25.0%	14 25.0%	15 23.4%	15 24.2%	268 27.0%
Poor	28 35.4%	20 31.7%	39 46.4%	42 48.8%	28 37.8%	29 38.2%	35 43.2%	33 42.9%	16 23.2%	10 16.4%	14 23.3%	15 26.8%	18 28.1%	17 27.4%	344 34.7%

Q14. Which TWO of the code enforcement services listed in Question 13 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 2)

N=1475	<del></del>													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q14. Sum of top 2 choices															
Enforcement of multi-family building conditions	34	36	30	29	22	35	28	22	49	48	44	35	51	46	509
	32.7%	35.0%	29.1%	28.7%	22.0%	35.0%	26.7%	22.0%	40.2%	43.2%	43.6%	34.3%	45.9%	41.1%	34.5%
Enforcement of mowing of weeds & high grass on private property	22	20	19	20	18	19	16	19	13	19	13	14	13	11	236
	21.2%	19.4%	18.4%	19.8%	18.0%	19.0%	15.2%	19.0%	10.7%	17.1%	12.9%	13.7%	11.7%	9.8%	16.0%
Enforcement of blighted residential properties	31	32	24	23	17	26	22	28	37	32	30	29	39	39	409
	29.8%	31.1%	23.3%	22.8%	17.0%	26.0%	21.0%	28.0%	30.3%	28.8%	29.7%	28.4%	35.1%	34.8%	27.7%
Enforcement of sign regulations	7	6	4	5	7	12	10	7	9	2	7	6	7	9	98
	6.7%	5.8%	3.9%	5.0%	7.0%	12.0%	9.5%	7.0%	7.4%	1.8%	6.9%	5.9%	6.3%	8.0%	6.6%
City efforts to remove junk motor vehicles (inoperative) on private property	17	18	25	22	22	20	16	19	13	10	13	11	9	10	225
	16.3%	17.5%	24.3%	21.8%	22.0%	20.0%	15.2%	19.0%	10.7%	9.0%	12.9%	10.8%	8.1%	8.9%	15.3%
Enforcement of bulk/brush trash violations	12	13	20	11	14	12	13	6	8	14	15	20	17	16	191
	11.5%	12.6%	19.4%	10.9%	14.0%	12.0%	12.4%	6.0%	6.6%	12.6%	14.9%	19.6%	15.3%	14.3%	12.9%
Enforcement of litter on private property	11	24	14	14	16	15	14	15	9	6	10	10	7	12	177
	10.6%	23.3%	13.6%	13.9%	16.0%	15.0%	13.3%	15.0%	7.4%	5.4%	9.9%	9.8%	6.3%	10.7%	12.0%
City efforts to survey & abate mosquitos carrying viruses	26	20	18	18	26	24	21	22	32	28	29	31	31	26	352
	25.0%	19.4%	17.5%	17.8%	26.0%	24.0%	20.0%	22.0%	26.2%	25.2%	28.7%	30.4%	27.9%	23.2%	23.9%

## Q14. Which TWO of the code enforcement services listed in Question 13 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 2) (cont.)

						Distr	ict							Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
22 21.2%	20 19.4%	13 12.6%	19 18.8%	31 31.0%	22 22.0%	22 21.0%	25 25.0%	38 31.1%	36 32.4%	25 24.8%	27 26.5%	26 23.4%	24 21.4%	350 23.7%
14	7	15	19	7	7	14	13	10	7	2	3	5	14	137
13.5%	6.8%	14.6%	18.8%	7.0%	7.0%	13.3%	13.0%	8.2%	6.3%	2.0%	2.9%	4.5%	12.5%	9.3%
5 4.8%	5 4 0%	11 10.7%	9	9	3 0%	17 16 2%	12 12.0%	12	9	7 6.0%	9	8 7.2%	8 7.1%	124 8.4%
	21.2% 14 13.5%	21.2% 19.4%  14 7 13.5% 6.8%  5 5	21.2% 19.4% 12.6%  14 7 15 13.5% 6.8% 14.6%  5 5 11	22 20 13 19 21.2% 19.4% 12.6% 18.8% 14 7 15 19 13.5% 6.8% 14.6% 18.8% 5 5 11 9	22 20 13 19 31 21.2% 19.4% 12.6% 18.8% 31.0% 14 7 15 19 7 13.5% 6.8% 14.6% 18.8% 7.0%	22 20 13 19 31 22 21.2% 19.4% 12.6% 18.8% 31.0% 22.0% 14 7 15 19 7 7 13.5% 6.8% 14.6% 18.8% 7.0% 7.0% 5 5 11 9 9 3	1     2     3     4     5     6     7       22     20     13     19     31     22     22       21.2%     19.4%     12.6%     18.8%     31.0%     22.0%     21.0%       14     7     15     19     7     7     14       13.5%     6.8%     14.6%     18.8%     7.0%     7.0%     13.3%       5     5     11     9     9     3     17	22       20       13       19       31       22       22       25         21.2%       19.4%       12.6%       18.8%       31.0%       22.0%       21.0%       25.0%         14       7       15       19       7       7       14       13         13.5%       6.8%       14.6%       18.8%       7.0%       7.0%       13.3%       13.0%         5       5       11       9       9       3       17       12	1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9         22       20       13       19       31       22       22       25       38         21.2%       19.4%       12.6%       18.8%       31.0%       22.0%       21.0%       25.0%       31.1%         14       7       15       19       7       7       14       13       10         13.5%       6.8%       14.6%       18.8%       7.0%       7.0%       13.3%       13.0%       8.2%         5       5       11       9       9       3       17       12       12	1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9     10       22     20     13     19     31     22     22     25     38     36       21.2%     19.4%     12.6%     18.8%     31.0%     22.0%     21.0%     25.0%     31.1%     32.4%       14     7     15     19     7     7     14     13     10     7       13.5%     6.8%     14.6%     18.8%     7.0%     7.0%     13.3%     13.0%     8.2%     6.3%       5     5     11     9     9     3     17     12     12     9	1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9       10       11         22       20       13       19       31       22       22       25       38       36       25         21.2%       19.4%       12.6%       18.8%       31.0%       22.0%       21.0%       25.0%       31.1%       32.4%       24.8%         14       7       15       19       7       7       14       13       10       7       2         13.5%       6.8%       14.6%       18.8%       7.0%       7.0%       13.3%       13.0%       8.2%       6.3%       2.0%         5       5       11       9       9       3       17       12       12       9       7	1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9     10     11     12       22     20     13     19     31     22     22     25     38     36     25     27       21.2%     19.4%     12.6%     18.8%     31.0%     22.0%     21.0%     25.0%     31.1%     32.4%     24.8%     26.5%       14     7     15     19     7     7     14     13     10     7     2     3       13.5%     6.8%     14.6%     18.8%     7.0%     7.0%     13.3%     13.0%     8.2%     6.3%     2.0%     2.9%       5     5     11     9     9     3     17     12     12     9     7     9	1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9       10       11       12       13         22       20       13       19       31       22       22       25       38       36       25       27       26         21.2%       19.4%       12.6%       18.8%       31.0%       22.0%       21.0%       25.0%       31.1%       32.4%       24.8%       26.5%       23.4%         14       7       15       19       7       7       14       13       10       7       2       3       5         13.5%       6.8%       14.6%       18.8%       7.0%       7.0%       13.3%       13.0%       8.2%       6.3%       2.0%       2.9%       4.5%         5       5       11       9       9       3       17       12       12       9       7       9       8	1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9       10       11       12       13       14         22       20       13       19       31       22       22       25       38       36       25       27       26       24         21.2%       19.4%       12.6%       18.8%       31.0%       22.0%       21.0%       25.0%       31.1%       32.4%       24.8%       26.5%       23.4%       21.4%         14       7       15       19       7       7       14       13       10       7       2       3       5       14         13.5%       6.8%       14.6%       18.8%       7.0%       7.0%       13.3%       13.0%       8.2%       6.3%       2.0%       2.9%       4.5%       12.5%

N=1475	District														Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q15-1. Garbage collections															
Excellent	23	21	16	17	26	24	34	22	48	33	17	31	27	27	366
	22.1%	21.6%	16.0%	17.3%	26.5%	24.2%	33.0%	23.2%	41.0%	30.3%	18.3%	31.3%	25.2%	27.3%	25.8%
Good	43 41.3%	44 45.4%	48 48.0%	48 49.0%	44 44.9%	38 38.4%	45 43.7%	41 43.2%	48 41.0%	51 46.8%	44 47.3%	42 42.4%	42 39.3%	53 53.5%	631 44.5%
Fair	25 24.0%	23 23.7%	26 26.0%	24 24.5%	18 18.4%	24 24.2%	17 16.5%	25 26.3%	13 11.1%	21 19.3%	26 28.0%	13 13.1%	23 21.5%	17 17.2%	295 20.8%
Poor	13 12.5%	9 9.3%	10 10.0%	9 9.2%	10 10.2%	13 13.1%	7 6.8%	7 7.4%	8 6.8%	4 3.7%	6 6.5%	13 13.1%	15 14.0%	2 2.0%	126 8.9%

### Q15. Solid Waste Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q15-2. Recycling															
Excellent	17	16	17	18	15	21	35	22	37	33	10	21	22	22	306
	17.5%	17.8%	17.3%	20.2%	16.7%	22.6%	36.1%	23.9%	32.5%	30.8%	11.6%	22.8%	22.4%	22.2%	22.8%
Good	32 33.0%	34 37.8%	41 41.8%	34 38.2%	42 46.7%	38 40.9%	40 41.2%	34 37.0%	45 39.5%	41 38.3%	41 47.7%	38 41.3%	35 35.7%	32 32.3%	527 39.3%
Fair	31 32.0%	27 30.0%	30 30.6%	23 25.8%	19 21.1%	17 18.3%	17 17.5%	23 25.0%	25 21.9%	21 19.6%	25 29.1%	20 21.7%	20 20.4%	23 23.2%	321 23.9%
Poor	17 17.5%	13 14.4%	10 10.2%	14 15.7%	14 15.6%	17 18.3%	5 5.2%	13 14.1%	7 6.1%	12 11.2%	10 11.6%	13 14.1%	21 21.4%	22 22.2%	188 14.0%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q15-3. Yard waste pickup															
Excellent	12	14	14	14	13	19	28	15	32	27	14	14	22	12	250
	14.1%	17.9%	16.9%	16.9%	15.3%	21.3%	31.1%	17.4%	31.7%	28.7%	19.4%	17.9%	25.6%	15.8%	21.1%
Good	35 41.2%	38 48.7%	32 38.6%	26 31.3%	36 42.4%	38 42.7%	37 41.1%	35 40.7%	43 42.6%	42 44.7%	32 44.4%	37 47.4%	36 41.9%	38 50.0%	505 42.6%
Fair	31 36.5%	17 21.8%	29 34.9%	36 43.4%	26 30.6%	20 22.5%	17 18.9%	27 31.4%	15 14.9%	21 22.3%	21 29.2%	14 17.9%	19 22.1%	24 31.6%	317 26.7%
Poor	7 8.2%	9 11.5%	8 9.6%	7 8.4%	10 11.8%	12 13.5%	8 8.9%	9 10.5%	11 10.9%	4 4.3%	5 6.9%	13 16.7%	9 10.5%	2 2.6%	114 9.6%

### Q15. Solid Waste Services. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q15-4. Bulk trash pickup															
Excellent	22	15	16	22	19	26	31	21	40	34	18	22	30	19	335
	22.9%	17.0%	16.5%	22.9%	20.7%	28.0%	31.3%	22.6%	35.4%	33.0%	19.8%	23.9%	28.6%	21.6%	24.9%
Good	41 42.7%	41 46.6%	39 40.2%	29 30.2%	38 41.3%	37 39.8%	43 43.4%	37 39.8%	49 43.4%	52 50.5%	43 47.3%	37 40.2%	40 38.1%	41 46.6%	567 42.1%
Fair	25	22	29	39	24	16	18	24	15	11	23	21	21	24	312
	26.0%	25.0%	29.9%	40.6%	26.1%	17.2%	18.2%	25.8%	13.3%	10.7%	25.3%	22.8%	20.0%	27.3%	23.2%
Poor	8 8.3%	10 11.4%	13 13.4%	6 6.3%	11 12.0%	14 15.1%	7 7.1%	11 11.8%	9 8.0%	6 5.8%	7 7.7%	12 13.0%	14 13.3%	4 4.5%	132 9.8%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q15-5. Household hazardous waste	e disposal														
Excellent	6	4	6	8	6	14	8	5	16	13	4	5	10	7	112
	9.0%	7.7%	9.7%	14.8%	11.8%	21.9%	13.6%	7.7%	21.9%	15.7%	7.0%	8.1%	16.7%	12.7%	13.0%
Good	16	20	15	11	15	14	23	24	26	32	17	18	19	9	259
	23.9%	38.5%	24.2%	20.4%	29.4%	21.9%	39.0%	36.9%	35.6%	38.6%	29.8%	29.0%	31.7%	16.4%	30.0%
Fair	23	18	19	23	16	13	16	20	18	26	21	16	16	22	267
	34.3%	34.6%	30.6%	42.6%	31.4%	20.3%	27.1%	30.8%	24.7%	31.3%	36.8%	25.8%	26.7%	40.0%	30.9%
Poor	22	10	22	12	14	23	12	16	13	12	15	23	15	17	226
	32.8%	19.2%	35.5%	22.2%	27.5%	35.9%	20.3%	24.6%	17.8%	14.5%	26.3%	37.1%	25.0%	30.9%	26.2%

## Q16. Which TWO of the solid waste services listed in Question 15 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 2)

N=1475														Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q16. Sum of top 2 choices															
Garbage collections	60	64	64	51	51	59	59	51	73	67	58	55	78	63	853
	57.7%	62.1%	62.1%	50.5%	51.0%	59.0%	56.2%	51.0%	59.8%	60.4%	57.4%	53.9%	70.3%	56.3%	57.8%
Recycling	54	51	18	34	37	40	30	29	59	53	45	43	53	68	614
	51.9%	49.5%	17.5%	33.7%	37.0%	40.0%	28.6%	29.0%	48.4%	47.7%	44.6%	42.2%	47.7%	60.7%	41.6%
Yard waste pickup	17	18	16	25	21	20	15	19	14	16	7	15	8	9	220
	16.3%	17.5%	15.5%	24.8%	21.0%	20.0%	14.3%	19.0%	11.5%	14.4%	6.9%	14.7%	7.2%	8.0%	14.9%
Bulk trash pickup	37	38	54	46	46	43	51	46	45	41	45	40	46	29	607
	35.6%	36.9%	52.4%	45.5%	46.0%	43.0%	48.6%	46.0%	36.9%	36.9%	44.6%	39.2%	41.4%	25.9%	41.2%
Household hazardous waste disposal	31	27	31	25	25	28	33	23	34	26	29	36	24	32	404
	29.8%	26.2%	30.1%	24.8%	25.0%	28.0%	31.4%	23.0%	27.9%	23.4%	28.7%	35.3%	21.6%	28.6%	27.4%
None chosen	4	4	10	9	9	4	11	16	9	9	9	7	5	11	117
	3.8%	3.9%	9.7%	8.9%	9.0%	4.0%	10.5%	16.0%	7.4%	8.1%	8.9%	6.9%	4.5%	9.8%	7.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-1. Maintenance & repair of the	oroughfar	es & majo	or streets												
Excellent	0	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	2	2	1	3	1	22
	0.0%	2.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	2.0%	3.0%	2.1%	0.8%	1.9%	2.0%	1.0%	2.8%	0.9%	1.5%
Good	15 14.6%	21 21.0%	18 17.8%	19 19.2%	13 13.7%	14 14.0%	17 17.0%	15 15.8%	27 22.7%	25 23.1%	17 17.3%	20 20.0%	23 21.1%	11 10.2%	255 17.8%
Fair	42 40.8%	30 30.0%	42 41.6%	31 31.3%	38 40.0%	30 30.0%	37 37.0%	31 32.6%	48 40.3%	45 41.7%	43 43.9%	36 36.0%	32 29.4%	37 34.3%	522 36.4%
Poor	46 44.7%	47 47.0%	40 39.6%	48 48.5%	43 45.3%	54 54.0%	43 43.0%	47 49.5%	43 36.1%	36 33.3%	36 36.7%	43 43.0%	51 46.8%	59 54.6%	636 44.3%

### Q17. Streets and infrastructure/Mobility. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-2. Maintenance & repair of str	eets in yo	ur neighb	orhood												
Excellent	6	2	1	3	2	3	5	2	2	4	4	6	1	6	47
	5.8%	2.0%	1.0%	3.0%	2.1%	3.0%	5.0%	2.0%	1.7%	3.7%	4.1%	5.9%	0.9%	5.5%	3.3%
Good	16 15.4%	13 12.7%	15 15.0%	12 12.0%	12 12.4%	13 13.0%	22 22.0%	14 14.1%	23 19.5%	35 32.1%	17 17.5%	26 25.7%	26 23.9%	18 16.5%	262 18.1%
Fair	27 26.0%	27 26.5%	38 38.0%	23 23.0%	37 38.1%	27 27.0%	25 25.0%	27 27.3%	46 39.0%	44 40.4%	37 38.1%	30 29.7%	28 25.7%	29 26.6%	445 30.8%
Poor	55 52.9%	60 58.8%	46 46.0%	62 62.0%	46 47.4%	57 57.0%	48 48.0%	56 56.6%	47 39.8%	26 23.9%	39 40.2%	39 38.6%	54 49.5%	56 51.4%	691 47.8%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-3. Street striping															
Excellent	2	4	2	3	0	1	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	1	31
	2.1%	4.1%	2.2%	3.2%	0.0%	1.1%	3.3%	3.3%	1.9%	1.9%	3.6%	3.3%	2.0%	1.0%	2.3%
Good	14 14.7%	19 19.4%	14 15.1%	16 17.0%	16 17.6%	17 18.9%	19 21.1%	14 15.2%	20 18.5%	29 28.2%	18 21.4%	23 25.6%	26 25.5%	19 19.4%	264 19.9%
Fair	35 36.8%	34 34.7%	28 30.1%	27 28.7%	37 40.7%	27 30.0%	36 40.0%	25 27.2%	48 44.4%	37 35.9%	29 34.5%	27 30.0%	33 32.4%	39 39.8%	462 34.8%
Poor	44 46.3%	41 41.8%	49 52.7%	48 51.1%	38 41.8%	45 50.0%	32 35.6%	50 54.3%	38 35.2%	35 34.0%	34 40.5%	37 41.1%	41 40.2%	39 39.8%	571 43.0%

### Q17. Streets and infrastructure/Mobility. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-4. Street cleaning															
Excellent	4	6	3	3	2	3	3	5	4	3	2	5	4	5	52
	4.4%	6.2%	3.2%	3.4%	2.4%	3.2%	3.2%	5.3%	3.7%	3.2%	2.5%	5.8%	4.0%	5.3%	4.0%
Good	14 15.4%	24 24.7%	11 11.8%	9 10.2%	21 24.7%	15 16.0%	14 14.7%	14 14.7%	28 25.7%	28 29.5%	20 25.0%	26 30.2%	28 28.0%	18 19.1%	270 20.7%
Fair	31 34.1%	31 32.0%	26 28.0%	24 27.3%	28 32.9%	24 25.5%	27 28.4%	21 22.1%	37 33.9%	36 37.9%	33 41.3%	27 31.4%	31 31.0%	29 30.9%	405 31.1%
Poor	42 46.2%	36 37.1%	53 57.0%	52 59.1%	34 40.0%	52 55.3%	51 53.7%	55 57.9%	40 36.7%	28 29.5%	25 31.3%	28 32.6%	37 37.0%	42 44.7%	575 44.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-5. Street lighting															
Excellent	4	3	2	3	2	5	5	7	5	5	4	4	4	4	57
	3.9%	3.1%	2.0%	3.0%	2.1%	5.1%	4.9%	7.3%	4.2%	4.8%	4.3%	4.0%	3.6%	3.7%	4.0%
Good	24 23.5%	31 31.6%	26 26.3%	24 24.2%	35 37.2%	35 35.4%	29 28.2%	19 19.8%	39 32.8%	43 41.0%	34 37.0%	43 43.4%	45 40.9%	37 34.6%	464 32.6%
Fair	49 48.0%	39 39.8%	35 35.4%	35 35.4%	34 36.2%	30 30.3%	38 36.9%	39 40.6%	49 41.2%	43 41.0%	37 40.2%	32 32.3%	43 39.1%	39 36.4%	542 38.1%
Poor	25 24.5%	25 25.5%	36 36.4%	37 37.4%	23 24.5%	29 29.3%	31 30.1%	31 32.3%	26 21.8%	14 13.3%	17 18.5%	20 20.2%	18 16.4%	27 25.2%	359 25.2%

### Q17. Streets and infrastructure/Mobility. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-6. Traffic signs & signal operat	ions														
Excellent	6	6	6	4	14	6	12	8	8	8	4	8	8	7	105
	5.8%	5.9%	6.2%	4.1%	14.6%	6.1%	12.1%	8.2%	6.8%	7.4%	4.3%	8.0%	7.3%	6.5%	7.4%
Good	39 37.9%	41 40.6%	39 40.2%	44 45.4%	40 41.7%	51 51.5%	44 44.4%	28 28.6%	46 39.3%	56 51.9%	48 51.6%	50 50.0%	48 43.6%	49 45.8%	623 43.7%
Fair	45 43.7%	38 37.6%	33 34.0%	37 38.1%	30 31.3%	19 19.2%	28 28.3%	37 37.8%	35 29.9%	30 27.8%	29 31.2%	28 28.0%	43 39.1%	40 37.4%	472 33.1%
Poor	13 12.6%	16 15.8%	19 19.6%	12 12.4%	12 12.5%	23 23.2%	15 15.2%	25 25.5%	28 23.9%	14 13.0%	12 12.9%	14 14.0%	11 10.0%	11 10.3%	225 15.8%

N=1475						Distr	ict							Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-7. Sidewalk maintenance															
Excellent	2	1	0	2	0	1	4	1	0	2	1	2	3	0	19
	2.0%	1.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1.0%	4.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.1%	2.0%	3.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Good	15 15.0%	10 10.0%	14 14.4%	13 13.5%	17 19.3%	16 16.5%	15 15.0%	19 19.6%	19 16.4%	23 21.5%	20 22.7%	15 15.3%	17 17.0%	17 16.2%	230 16.6%
Fair	24 24.0%	34 34.0%	37 38.1%	31 32.3%	32 36.4%	27 27.8%	40 40.0%	33 34.0%	46 39.7%	48 44.9%	34 38.6%	39 39.8%	38 38.0%	33 31.4%	496 35.7%
Poor	59 59.0%	55 55.0%	46 47.4%	50 52.1%	39 44.3%	53 54.6%	41 41.0%	44 45.4%	51 44.0%	34 31.8%	33 37.5%	42 42.9%	42 42.0%	55 52.4%	644 46.4%

### Q17. Streets and infrastructure/Mobility. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-8. Alley maintenance															
Excellent	2	3	0	4	0	3	3	3	0	2	2	5	0	0	27
	2.2%	3.4%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	3.6%	3.7%	4.1%	0.0%	2.1%	2.4%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Good	10 11.2%	10 11.5%	5 6.0%	5 5.9%	10 13.0%	6 7.2%	11 13.4%	11 14.9%	14 13.6%	18 18.6%	14 17.1%	22 24.2%	7 7.3%	11 12.2%	154 12.6%
Fair	20 22.5%	29 33.3%	22 26.5%	30 35.3%	30 39.0%	25 30.1%	25 30.5%	23 31.1%	31 30.1%	32 33.0%	24 29.3%	27 29.7%	23 24.0%	38 42.2%	379 31.1%
Poor	57 64.0%	45 51.7%	56 67.5%	46 54.1%	37 48.1%	49 59.0%	43 52.4%	37 50.0%	58 56.3%	45 46.4%	42 51.2%	37 40.7%	66 68.8%	41 45.6%	659 54.1%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-9. Curbs & gutters															
Excellent	2	1	1	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	6	1	0	31
	2.2%	1.1%	1.1%	2.2%	3.6%	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%	1.8%	3.0%	1.2%	6.5%	1.0%	0.0%	2.4%
Good	18 19.4%	15 16.9%	16 17.6%	13 14.6%	24 28.6%	16 17.4%	18 20.5%	17 19.3%	33 29.7%	28 27.7%	29 35.4%	27 29.3%	30 30.3%	32 30.8%	316 24.3%
Fair	32 34.4%	43 48.3%	36 39.6%	41 46.1%	32 38.1%	28 30.4%	43 48.9%	32 36.4%	50 45.0%	51 50.5%	24 29.3%	38 41.3%	42 42.4%	38 36.5%	530 40.7%
Poor	41 44.1%	30 33.7%	38 41.8%	33 37.1%	25 29.8%	45 48.9%	24 27.3%	36 40.9%	26 23.4%	19 18.8%	28 34.1%	21 22.8%	26 26.3%	34 32.7%	426 32.7%

### Q17. Streets and infrastructure/Mobility. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q17-10. Bike lanes in City (shared,	protected	& multi-ı	use)												
Excellent	4	6	2	2	2	7	4	2	4	4	4	3	6	3	53
	4.4%	7.4%	3.0%	2.9%	3.2%	8.8%	5.5%	2.8%	4.2%	5.1%	5.4%	4.3%	7.4%	3.6%	4.9%
Good	24 26.7%	18 22.2%	15 22.7%	22 31.4%	17 27.4%	13 16.3%	17 23.3%	23 31.9%	27 28.4%	18 22.8%	17 23.0%	18 25.7%	24 29.6%	21 25.0%	274 25.4%
Fair	26 28.9%	23 28.4%	24 36.4%	24 34.3%	23 37.1%	28 35.0%	32 43.8%	18 25.0%	36 37.9%	28 35.4%	26 35.1%	21 30.0%	31 38.3%	23 27.4%	363 33.7%
Poor	36 40.0%	34 42.0%	25 37.9%	22 31.4%	20 32.3%	32 40.0%	20 27.4%	29 40.3%	28 29.5%	29 36.7%	27 36.5%	28 40.0%	20 24.7%	37 44.0%	387 35.9%

## Q18. Which TWO of the street and infrastructure services in Question 17 do you think should be the City's top priorities? (top 2)

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q18. Sum of top 2 choices															
Maintenance & repair of thoroughfares & major streets	60	61	52	50	51	63	54	42	70	75	65	78	78	76	875
	57.7%	59.2%	50.5%	49.5%	51.0%	63.0%	51.4%	42.0%	57.4%	67.6%	64.4%	76.5%	70.3%	67.9%	59.3%
Maintenance & repair of streets	55	52	52	52	59	54	52	56	47	42	44	45	59	50	719
in your neighborhood	52.9%	50.5%	50.5%	51.5%	59.0%	54.0%	49.5%	56.0%	38.5%	37.8%	43.6%	44.1%	53.2%	44.6%	48.7%
Street striping	9	7	5	9	9	7	6	8	10	12	9	10	6	10	117
	8.7%	6.8%	4.9%	8.9%	9.0%	7.0%	5.7%	8.0%	8.2%	10.8%	8.9%	9.8%	5.4%	8.9%	7.9%
Street cleaning	5	4	13	11	8	9	15	11	8	1	5	5	4	5	104
	4.8%	3.9%	12.6%	10.9%	8.0%	9.0%	14.3%	11.0%	6.6%	0.9%	5.0%	4.9%	3.6%	4.5%	7.1%
Street lighting	15	16	17	19	10	18	12	18	15	16	12	8	13	10	199
	14.4%	15.5%	16.5%	18.8%	10.0%	18.0%	11.4%	18.0%	12.3%	14.4%	11.9%	7.8%	11.7%	8.9%	13.5%
Traffic signs & signal operations	9	11	9	5	8	6	9	10	28	22	12	17	9	14	169
	8.7%	10.7%	8.7%	5.0%	8.0%	6.0%	8.6%	10.0%	23.0%	19.8%	11.9%	16.7%	8.1%	12.5%	11.5%
Sidewalk maintenance	22	25	9	19	17	14	20	11	19	20	18	14	11	24	243
	21.2%	24.3%	8.7%	18.8%	17.0%	14.0%	19.0%	11.0%	15.6%	18.0%	17.8%	13.7%	9.9%	21.4%	16.5%
Alley maintenance	7	8	19	15	7	8	12	12	13	10	13	7	21	9	161
	6.7%	7.8%	18.4%	14.9%	7.0%	8.0%	11.4%	12.0%	10.7%	9.0%	12.9%	6.9%	18.9%	8.0%	10.9%
Curbs & gutters	4	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	28
	3.8%	2.9%	1.9%	3.0%	2.0%	3.0%	2.9%	2.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.9%
Bike lanes in City (shared, protected & multi-use)	13	9	10	6	10	14	10	9	18	10	8	7	12	11	147
	12.5%	8.7%	9.7%	5.9%	10.0%	14.0%	9.5%	9.0%	14.8%	9.0%	7.9%	6.9%	10.8%	9.8%	10.0%
None chosen	4	5	8	6	9	1	8	10	7	6	7	5	4	6	86
	3.8%	4.9%	7.8%	5.9%	9.0%	1.0%	7.6%	10.0%	5.7%	5.4%	6.9%	4.9%	3.6%	5.4%	5.8%

N=1475	District													Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-1. Services to seniors															
Excellent	2	2	3	2	3	6	1	6	1	1	1	4	2	2	36
	4.3%	5.0%	4.5%	3.1%	5.6%	12.2%	1.6%	8.7%	1.7%	1.9%	2.0%	7.0%	3.8%	4.3%	4.7%
Good	13 27.7%	11 27.5%	21 31.3%	15 23.4%	13 24.1%	13 26.5%	17 27.4%	21 30.4%	19 32.2%	12 23.1%	13 26.5%	21 36.8%	11 21.2%	16 34.8%	216 28.2%
Fair	14 29.8%	19 47.5%	20 29.9%	29 45.3%	18 33.3%	16 32.7%	23 37.1%	23 33.3%	21 35.6%	27 51.9%	23 46.9%	16 28.1%	23 44.2%	17 37.0%	289 37.7%
Poor	18 38.3%	8 20.0%	23 34.3%	18 28.1%	20 37.0%	14 28.6%	21 33.9%	19 27.5%	18 30.5%	12 23.1%	12 24.5%	16 28.1%	16 30.8%	11 23.9%	226 29.5%

### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-2. Services to youth															
Excellent	0	2	3	2	2	4	2	5	2	2	0	2	4	1	31
	0.0%	5.0%	4.4%	3.6%	3.7%	8.2%	3.7%	7.0%	3.8%	3.5%	0.0%	4.3%	8.7%	2.3%	4.2%
Good	15 27.8%	14 35.0%	15 22.1%	15 26.8%	17 31.5%	12 24.5%	18 33.3%	16 22.5%	16 30.8%	17 29.8%	13 31.0%	20 43.5%	12 26.1%	16 37.2%	216 29.5%
Fair	20 37.0%	17 42.5%	22 32.4%	20 35.7%	17 31.5%	17 34.7%	20 37.0%	29 40.8%	20 38.5%	27 47.4%	17 40.5%	13 28.3%	22 47.8%	20 46.5%	281 38.4%
Poor	19 35.2%	7 17.5%	28 41.2%	19 33.9%	18 33.3%	16 32.7%	14 25.9%	21 29.6%	14 26.9%	11 19.3%	12 28.6%	11 23.9%	8 17.4%	6 14.0%	204 27.9%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-3. Services to low-income peo	<u>ple</u>														
Excellent	3	3	3	2	2	4	4	4	1	2	3	0	3	2	36
	4.9%	6.0%	4.2%	2.9%	3.5%	6.8%	5.3%	5.5%	1.6%	3.3%	6.3%	0.0%	6.0%	4.1%	4.4%
Good	9 14.8%	7 14.0%	13 18.3%	5 7.2%	10 17.5%	11 18.6%	14 18.7%	13 17.8%	10 15.9%	13 21.7%	15 31.3%	12 28.6%	5 10.0%	11 22.4%	148 17.9%
Fair	12 19.7%	12 24.0%	16 22.5%	33 47.8%	16 28.1%	13 22.0%	16 21.3%	19 26.0%	23 36.5%	16 26.7%	9 18.8%	12 28.6%	22 44.0%	13 26.5%	232 28.1%
Poor	37 60.7%	28 56.0%	39 54.9%	29 42.0%	29 50.9%	31 52.5%	41 54.7%	37 50.7%	29 46.0%	29 48.3%	21 43.8%	18 42.9%	20 40.0%	23 46.9%	411 49.7%

### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-4. Services to homeless reside	<u>nts</u>														
Excellent	3	2	2	2	1	2	2	4	1	1	3	2	5	3	33
	4.4%	3.6%	3.0%	2.8%	1.6%	2.9%	2.8%	5.5%	1.4%	1.3%	4.5%	3.2%	7.1%	4.1%	3.4%
Good	2 2.9%	2 3.6%	11 16.4%	4 5.6%	5 8.2%	9 13.0%	8 11.3%	6 8.2%	7 9.7%	6 7.9%	10 14.9%	10 15.9%	4 5.7%	8 11.0%	92 9.6%
Fair	11 16.2%	9 16.4%	10 14.9%	9 12.5%	10 16.4%	9 13.0%	12 16.9%	17 23.3%	15 20.8%	13 17.1%	11 16.4%	9 14.3%	19 27.1%	16 21.9%	170 17.8%
Poor	52 76.5%	42 76.4%	44 65.7%	57 79.2%	45 73.8%	49 71.0%	49 69.0%	46 63.0%	49 68.1%	56 73.7%	43 64.2%	42 66.7%	42 60.0%	46 63.0%	662 69.2%

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-5. Housing services programs (	home rep	air, loan	<u>assistanc</u>	e, neighb	orhood g	grants, et	<u>c.)</u>								
Excellent	2	2	1	1	0	4	2	5	0	1	0	2	2	2	24
	3.4%	4.5%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%	7.4%	3.5%	7.8%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	5.6%	5.3%	5.0%	3.4%
Good	7 11.9%	5 11.4%	11 15.7%	2 3.0%	7 14.6%	6 11.1%	11 19.3%	9 14.1%	4 10.3%	5 9.3%	6 18.2%	5 13.9%	6 15.8%	2 5.0%	86 12.2%
Fair	19 32.2%	21 47.7%	13 18.6%	22 32.8%	16 33.3%	11 20.4%	14 24.6%	14 21.9%	20 51.3%	15 27.8%	9 27.3%	6 16.7%	17 44.7%	20 50.0%	217 30.9%
Poor	31 52.5%	16 36.4%	45 64.3%	42 62.7%	25 52.1%	33 61.1%	30 52.6%	36 56.3%	15 38.5%	33 61.1%	18 54.5%	23 63.9%	13 34.2%	16 40.0%	376 53.5%

### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-6. Variety of arts & cultural pro	ograms														
Excellent	14	13	8	11	10	13	15	17	25	22	20	19	29	22	238
	16.5%	17.8%	9.9%	13.8%	14.5%	18.8%	18.8%	21.5%	24.3%	24.4%	24.4%	23.2%	30.5%	23.7%	20.5%
Good	35 41.2%	33 45.2%	32 39.5%	31 38.8%	29 42.0%	27 39.1%	37 46.3%	31 39.2%	52 50.5%	45 50.0%	43 52.4%	37 45.1%	46 48.4%	42 45.2%	520 44.8%
Fair	27	24	29	32	22	22	24	17	22	20	14	20	17	24	314
	31.8%	32.9%	35.8%	40.0%	31.9%	31.9%	30.0%	21.5%	21.4%	22.2%	17.1%	24.4%	17.9%	25.8%	27.0%
Poor	9 10.6%	3 4.1%	12 14.8%	6 7.5%	8 11.6%	7 10.1%	4 5.0%	14 17.7%	4 3.9%	3 3.3%	5 6.1%	6 7.3%	3 3.2%	5 5.4%	89 7.7%

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-7. Appearance/maintenance o	f arts & cı	ultural ce	nters/fac	<u>ilities</u>											
Excellent	16	18	11	7	12	13	16	19	26	16	23	23	27	23	250
	18.6%	23.1%	14.1%	9.0%	17.6%	18.1%	20.8%	25.3%	25.7%	17.2%	29.1%	27.1%	28.4%	25.0%	21.6%
Good	41 47.7%	39 50.0%	39 50.0%	41 52.6%	34 50.0%	30 41.7%	34 44.2%	30 40.0%	54 53.5%	61 65.6%	41 51.9%	44 51.8%	55 57.9%	49 53.3%	592 51.2%
Fair	24 27.9%	18 23.1%	22 28.2%	21 26.9%	15 22.1%	23 31.9%	24 31.2%	15 20.0%	17 16.8%	12 12.9%	11 13.9%	13 15.3%	11 11.6%	15 16.3%	241 20.8%
Poor	5 5.8%	3 3.8%	6 7.7%	9 11.5%	7 10.3%	6 8.3%	3 3.9%	11 14.7%	4 4.0%	4 4.3%	4 5.1%	5 5.9%	2 2.1%	5 5.4%	74 6.4%

### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District														Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-8. Accessibility of arts & cultural centers/facilities															
Excellent	12	15	10	5	6	14	13	16	21	14	15	16	25	21	203
	14.6%	20.8%	12.5%	6.3%	9.1%	19.7%	16.9%	20.5%	22.6%	14.9%	18.5%	19.0%	27.8%	23.3%	17.9%
Good	33 40.2%	32 44.4%	26 32.5%	38 48.1%	29 43.9%	26 36.6%	35 45.5%	28 35.9%	46 49.5%	51 54.3%	39 48.1%	40 47.6%	46 51.1%	47 52.2%	516 45.4%
Fair	26 31.7%	22 30.6%	31 38.8%	27 34.2%	22 33.3%	20 28.2%	22 28.6%	19 24.4%	21 22.6%	24 25.5%	20 24.7%	23 27.4%	17 18.9%	21 23.3%	315 27.7%
Poor	11 13.4%	3 4.2%	13 16.3%	9 11.4%	9 13.6%	11 15.5%	7 9.1%	15 19.2%	5 5.4%	5 5.3%	7 8.6%	5 6.0%	2 2.2%	1 1.1%	103 9.1%

## Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475															Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-9. Variety of library materials															
Excellent	18	20	10	7	7	19	24	17	29	19	16	15	26	20	247
	23.1%	31.3%	14.1%	10.0%	9.9%	28.8%	30.4%	23.3%	31.2%	20.9%	22.9%	19.5%	36.1%	25.6%	23.5%
Good	39 50.0%	27 42.2%	31 43.7%	39 55.7%	41 57.7%	27 40.9%	34 43.0%	32 43.8%	45 48.4%	49 53.8%	36 51.4%	45 58.4%	28 38.9%	37 47.4%	510 48.4%
Fair	13 16.7%	13 20.3%	21 29.6%	20 28.6%	21 29.6%	15 22.7%	16 20.3%	14 19.2%	16 17.2%	18 19.8%	12 17.1%	12 15.6%	14 19.4%	15 19.2%	220 20.9%
Poor	8 10.3%	4 6.3%	9 12.7%	4 5.7%	2 2.8%	5 7.6%	5 6.3%	10 13.7%	3 3.2%	5 5.5%	6 8.6%	5 6.5%	4 5.6%	6 7.7%	76 7.2%

#### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-10. Appearance/maintenance of	of librarie	s/facilitie	<u>es</u>												
Excellent	14	15	15	7	11	16	22	20	31	20	12	20	19	14	236
	16.1%	20.5%	18.5%	8.5%	13.4%	21.6%	26.5%	25.6%	32.3%	20.4%	15.0%	22.7%	24.1%	15.7%	20.2%
Good	46 52.9%	37 50.7%	39 48.1%	48 58.5%	49 59.8%	35 47.3%	39 47.0%	35 44.9%	48 50.0%	60 61.2%	52 65.0%	46 52.3%	36 45.6%	43 48.3%	613 52.4%
Fair	17	15	22	25	20	17	20	17	14	14	15	19	18	26	259
	19.5%	20.5%	27.2%	30.5%	24.4%	23.0%	24.1%	21.8%	14.6%	14.3%	18.8%	21.6%	22.8%	29.2%	22.1%
Poor	10 11.5%	6 8.2%	5 6.2%	2 2.4%	2 2.4%	6 8.1%	2 2.4%	6 7.7%	3 3.1%	4 4.1%	1 1.3%	3 3.4%	6 7.6%	6 6.7%	62 5.3%

#### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-11. Accessibility of City facilitie	s/services	s for pers	ons with	disabilitie	<u>es</u>										
Excellent	6	5	8	7	7	6	10	10	5	7	7	11	11	8	108
	9.7%	10.0%	12.1%	10.1%	11.7%	10.9%	16.7%	15.2%	8.5%	9.9%	12.3%	18.6%	19.3%	15.4%	12.8%
Good	19 30.6%	30 60.0%	20 30.3%	32 46.4%	26 43.3%	28 50.9%	23 38.3%	24 36.4%	31 52.5%	33 46.5%	21 36.8%	27 45.8%	22 38.6%	22 42.3%	358 42.5%
Fair	25 40.3%	13 26.0%	27 40.9%	23 33.3%	20 33.3%	14 25.5%	20 33.3%	19 28.8%	16 27.1%	26 36.6%	18 31.6%	14 23.7%	21 36.8%	18 34.6%	274 32.5%
Poor	12 19.4%	2 4.0%	11 16.7%	7 10.1%	7 11.7%	7 12.7%	7 11.7%	13 19.7%	7 11.9%	5 7.0%	11 19.3%	7 11.9%	3 5.3%	4 7.7%	103 12.2%

#### Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-12. Variety of arts & cultural p	rograms														
Excellent	15	18	11	10	9	16	19	12	22	19	16	23	31	19	240
	18.5%	27.7%	15.3%	12.8%	13.8%	24.6%	25.7%	15.2%	22.9%	21.8%	19.5%	27.7%	36.9%	21.8%	21.9%
Good	37 45.7%	31 47.7%	24 33.3%	31 39.7%	29 44.6%	24 36.9%	34 45.9%	32 40.5%	58 60.4%	50 57.5%	51 62.2%	32 38.6%	36 42.9%	44 50.6%	513 46.7%
Fair	22	14	29	31	23	18	18	23	14	14	14	22	16	23	281
	27.2%	21.5%	40.3%	39.7%	35.4%	27.7%	24.3%	29.1%	14.6%	16.1%	17.1%	26.5%	19.0%	26.4%	25.6%
Poor	7 8.6%	2 3.1%	8 11.1%	6 7.7%	4 6.2%	7 10.8%	3 4.1%	12 15.2%	2 2.1%	4 4.6%	1 1.2%	6 7.2%	1 1.2%	1 1.1%	64 5.8%

## Q19. Other City Services/Facilities. Please rate the City's performance in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q19-13. Services that seek to reduc	e racial &	ethnic di	sparities												
Excellent	4	3	5	4	3	7	4	7	6	3	7	7	6	5	71
	5.6%	5.9%	7.9%	5.7%	5.5%	13.0%	6.2%	9.7%	10.3%	4.8%	13.5%	13.5%	11.5%	8.2%	8.5%
Good	18 25.0%	12 23.5%	9 14.3%	4 5.7%	7 12.7%	18 33.3%	17 26.2%	15 20.8%	16 27.6%	19 30.6%	18 34.6%	8 15.4%	12 23.1%	11 18.0%	184 21.9%
Fair	19	19	20	28	23	15	18	14	23	16	12	20	24	21	272
	26.4%	37.3%	31.7%	40.0%	41.8%	27.8%	27.7%	19.4%	39.7%	25.8%	23.1%	38.5%	46.2%	34.4%	32.4%
Poor	31 43.1%	17 33.3%	29 46.0%	34 48.6%	22 40.0%	14 25.9%	26 40.0%	36 50.0%	13 22.4%	24 38.7%	15 28.8%	17 32.7%	10 19.2%	24 39.3%	312 37.2%

# Q20. Customer Service. Have you had any in-person or phone contact with an employee of the City of Dallas within the last 12 months (including police, receptionists, librarians, or any others)?

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q20. Have you had any in-person o	r phone co	ontact wi	th a City	employee	e within l	ast 12 mo	onths								
Yes	73	55	71	71	60	57	73	57	78	71	70	56	73	72	937
	70.2%	53.4%	68.9%	70.3%	60.0%	57.0%	69.5%	57.0%	63.9%	64.0%	69.3%	54.9%	65.8%	64.3%	63.5%
No	31	48	32	30	40	43	32	43	44	40	31	46	38	40	538
	29.8%	46.6%	31.1%	29.7%	40.0%	43.0%	30.5%	43.0%	36.1%	36.0%	30.7%	45.1%	34.2%	35.7%	36.5%

## Q20a. Please rate the performance of the City employees who helped you the last time you contacted the City in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=937							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q20a-1. Knowledge															
Excellent	20	16	15	19	16	22	26	20	24	25	32	18	34	30	317
	28.6%	30.2%	21.7%	26.8%	26.7%	39.3%	37.1%	35.7%	30.8%	35.7%	47.1%	32.7%	48.6%	42.3%	34.6%
Good	29	16	31	37	23	11	25	22	35	36	23	24	23	19	354
	41.4%	30.2%	44.9%	52.1%	38.3%	19.6%	35.7%	39.3%	44.9%	51.4%	33.8%	43.6%	32.9%	26.8%	38.6%
Fair	15	16	11	13	13	14	11	8	10	6	5	5	6	15	148
	21.4%	30.2%	15.9%	18.3%	21.7%	25.0%	15.7%	14.3%	12.8%	8.6%	7.4%	9.1%	8.6%	21.1%	16.1%
Poor	6	5	12	2	8	9	8	6	9	3	8	8	7	7	98
	8.6%	9.4%	17.4%	2.8%	13.3%	16.1%	11.4%	10.7%	11.5%	4.3%	11.8%	14.5%	10.0%	9.9%	10.7%

Q20a. Please rate the performance of the City employees who helped you the last time you contacted the City in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=937							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q20a-2. Responsiveness															
Excellent	20	14	11	15	13	21	22	18	24	20	29	16	32	26	281
	27.4%	25.5%	15.9%	21.1%	22.0%	37.5%	31.4%	32.7%	31.6%	28.6%	42.6%	30.8%	43.8%	36.1%	30.6%
Good	27	20	24	29	22	15	28	16	28	33	19	20	25	17	323
	37.0%	36.4%	34.8%	40.8%	37.3%	26.8%	40.0%	29.1%	36.8%	47.1%	27.9%	38.5%	34.2%	23.6%	35.1%
Fair	13	12	13	16	13	8	10	11	14	8	11	12	6	19	166
	17.8%	21.8%	18.8%	22.5%	22.0%	14.3%	14.3%	20.0%	18.4%	11.4%	16.2%	23.1%	8.2%	26.4%	18.1%
Poor	13	9	21	11	11	12	10	10	10	9	9	4	10	10	149
	17.8%	16.4%	30.4%	15.5%	18.6%	21.4%	14.3%	18.2%	13.2%	12.9%	13.2%	7.7%	13.7%	13.9%	16.2%

Q20a. Please rate the performance of the City employees who helped you the last time you contacted the City in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=937							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q20a-3. Courtesy															
Excellent	25	19	18	24	22	27	28	22	33	30	38	23	41	32	382
	34.7%	34.5%	25.7%	33.8%	36.7%	48.2%	40.0%	39.3%	42.3%	42.3%	55.9%	42.6%	56.2%	44.4%	41.3%
Good	29	19	29	26	22	16	24	20	28	28	19	22	19	22	323
	40.3%	34.5%	41.4%	36.6%	36.7%	28.6%	34.3%	35.7%	35.9%	39.4%	27.9%	40.7%	26.0%	30.6%	34.9%
Fair	12	14	14	14	12	8	13	8	11	10	6	5	10	12	149
	16.7%	25.5%	20.0%	19.7%	20.0%	14.3%	18.6%	14.3%	14.1%	14.1%	8.8%	9.3%	13.7%	16.7%	16.1%
Poor	6	3	9	7	4	5	5	6	6	3	5	4	3	6	72
	8.3%	5.5%	12.9%	9.9%	6.7%	8.9%	7.1%	10.7%	7.7%	4.2%	7.4%	7.4%	4.1%	8.3%	7.8%

Q20a. Please rate the performance of the City employees who helped you the last time you contacted the City in the following areas. (without "don't know")

N=937							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q20a-4. Overall															
Excellent	23	16	12	17	18	20	22	21	25	22	29	15	33	28	301
	31.9%	29.1%	17.1%	23.9%	30.0%	36.4%	31.4%	37.5%	32.1%	31.4%	42.6%	27.3%	45.2%	39.4%	32.6%
Good	22	19	27	30	20	11	28	19	33	35	24	27	22	19	336
	30.6%	34.5%	38.6%	42.3%	33.3%	20.0%	40.0%	33.9%	42.3%	50.0%	35.3%	49.1%	30.1%	26.8%	36.4%
Fair	23	12	14	18	15	15	12	10	13	8	8	8	9	17	182
	31.9%	21.8%	20.0%	25.4%	25.0%	27.3%	17.1%	17.9%	16.7%	11.4%	11.8%	14.5%	12.3%	23.9%	19.7%
Poor	4	8	17	6	7	9	8	6	7	5	7	5	9	7	105
	5.6%	14.5%	24.3%	8.5%	11.7%	16.4%	11.4%	10.7%	9.0%	7.1%	10.3%	9.1%	12.3%	9.9%	11.4%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-1. I receive good value for City	of Dallas	taxes I pa	<u>iy</u>												
Strongly agree	3	2	2	1	2	4	6	3	6	3	3	2	4	0	41
	3.1%	2.1%	2.0%	1.1%	2.3%	4.3%	6.5%	3.3%	5.2%	2.9%	3.2%	2.0%	3.8%	0.0%	3.0%
Agree	16	22	14	21	16	14	15	15	27	36	16	29	21	17	279
	16.5%	23.4%	14.1%	23.6%	18.4%	15.2%	16.1%	16.7%	23.3%	34.3%	16.8%	29.6%	20.0%	17.0%	20.5%
Neutral	27	26	21	25	27	24	32	29	36	36	36	23	19	34	395
	27.8%	27.7%	21.2%	28.1%	31.0%	26.1%	34.4%	32.2%	31.0%	34.3%	37.9%	23.5%	18.1%	34.0%	29.0%
Disagree	27	24	30	23	22	21	19	19	25	20	24	25	26	28	333
	27.8%	25.5%	30.3%	25.8%	25.3%	22.8%	20.4%	21.1%	21.6%	19.0%	25.3%	25.5%	24.8%	28.0%	24.5%
Strongly disagree	24	20	32	19	20	29	21	24	22	10	16	19	35	21	312
<i>5.</i>	24.7%	21.3%	32.3%	21.3%	23.0%	31.5%	22.6%	26.7%	19.0%	9.5%	16.8%	19.4%	33.3%	21.0%	22.9%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475							Distr	ict							Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-2. I am pleased with overall dir	ection tha	at City of	Dallas is	taking											
Strongly agree	4	4	3	3	4	6	8	2	10	3	6	3	5	4	65
	4.1%	4.1%	3.1%	3.1%	4.7%	6.5%	8.3%	2.2%	8.5%	2.8%	6.3%	3.1%	4.7%	3.8%	4.7%
Agree	18	23	16	18	20	20	18	19	34	35	23	25	23	25	317
	18.6%	23.7%	16.5%	18.4%	23.3%	21.7%	18.8%	21.1%	28.8%	33.0%	24.2%	25.5%	21.5%	23.8%	22.9%
Neutral	35	31	28	38	27	28	39	29	39	37	22	27	23	34	437
	36.1%	32.0%	28.9%	38.8%	31.4%	30.4%	40.6%	32.2%	33.1%	34.9%	23.2%	27.6%	21.5%	32.4%	31.6%
Disagree	25	21	29	24	23	24	16	23	18	22	25	23	30	23	326
	25.8%	21.6%	29.9%	24.5%	26.7%	26.1%	16.7%	25.6%	15.3%	20.8%	26.3%	23.5%	28.0%	21.9%	23.6%
Strongly disagree	15	18	21	15	12	14	15	17	17	9	19	20	26	19	237
<i>5.</i>	15.5%	18.6%	21.6%	15.3%	14.0%	15.2%	15.6%	18.9%	14.4%	8.5%	20.0%	20.4%	24.3%	18.1%	17.1%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-3. City of Dallas government welcomes resident involvement															
Strongly agree	6	4	0	3	3	6	6	5	4	4	4	2	3	3	53
	6.3%	5.1%	0.0%	3.4%	4.2%	7.0%	7.0%	6.3%	3.9%	4.2%	4.9%	2.5%	3.4%	3.2%	4.4%
Agree	20	17	24	18	13	28	21	16	29	28	17	24	30	21	306
	21.1%	21.8%	27.6%	20.5%	18.1%	32.6%	24.4%	20.0%	28.4%	29.5%	20.7%	30.0%	34.5%	22.3%	25.2%
Neutral	34	27	21	37	31	25	35	33	34	32	34	24	21	33	421
	35.8%	34.6%	24.1%	42.0%	43.1%	29.1%	40.7%	41.3%	33.3%	33.7%	41.5%	30.0%	24.1%	35.1%	34.7%
Disagree	21	14	20	19	16	13	14	14	24	22	15	19	17	21	249
, and the second	22.1%	17.9%	23.0%	21.6%	22.2%	15.1%	16.3%	17.5%	23.5%	23.2%	18.3%	23.8%	19.5%	22.3%	20.5%
Strongly disagree	14	16	22	11	9	14	10	12	11	9	12	11	16	16	183
5.	14.7%	20.5%	25.3%	12.5%	12.5%	16.3%	11.6%	15.0%	10.8%	9.5%	14.6%	13.8%	18.4%	17.0%	15.1%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-4. City of Dallas government li	stens to a	diverse r	ange of p	<u>eople</u>											
Strongly agree	4	4	2	6	1	6	5	6	7	4	8	3	4	5	65
	4.3%	5.3%	2.4%	7.3%	1.4%	7.3%	6.3%	7.7%	7.9%	4.4%	10.1%	3.8%	4.8%	6.0%	5.7%
Agree	20	13	15	13	14	19	14	17	21	36	12	24	26	24	268
	21.7%	17.3%	17.9%	15.9%	20.0%	23.2%	17.5%	21.8%	23.6%	39.6%	15.2%	30.8%	31.3%	28.6%	23.4%
Neutral	22	24	22	28	21	25	27	22	27	23	27	19	23	16	326
	23.9%	32.0%	26.2%	34.1%	30.0%	30.5%	33.8%	28.2%	30.3%	25.3%	34.2%	24.4%	27.7%	19.0%	28.4%
Disagree	33	14	21	17	20	17	17	19	20	21	20	18	17	27	281
<b>G</b>	35.9%	18.7%	25.0%	20.7%	28.6%	20.7%	21.3%	24.4%	22.5%	23.1%	25.3%	23.1%	20.5%	32.1%	24.5%
Strongly disagree	13	20	24	18	14	15	17	14	14	7	12	14	13	12	207
3, 3	14.1%	26.7%	28.6%	22.0%	20.0%	18.3%	21.3%	17.9%	15.7%	7.7%	15.2%	17.9%	15.7%	14.3%	18.0%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-5. Employees at City of Dallas	are ethica	l in the w	ay they c	onduct C	ity busin	<u>ess</u>									
Strongly agree	4	1	1	3	2	7	4	2	6	3	4	1	6	3	47
	4.7%	1.4%	1.2%	3.7%	2.7%	9.6%	5.0%	2.6%	6.6%	3.7%	5.6%	1.3%	7.1%	3.6%	4.2%
Agree	22	20	15	20	23	10	28	21	28	24	19	28	26	33	317
	25.9%	27.4%	17.4%	24.7%	31.5%	13.7%	35.0%	27.3%	30.8%	29.6%	26.8%	37.3%	30.6%	39.8%	28.5%
Neutral	40	32	26	35	27	35	34	40	34	33	24	23	30	32	445
	47.1%	43.8%	30.2%	43.2%	37.0%	47.9%	42.5%	51.9%	37.4%	40.7%	33.8%	30.7%	35.3%	38.6%	39.9%
Disagree	12	8	24	13	14	13	7	6	16	15	18	9	12	7	174
<b>G</b>	14.1%	11.0%	27.9%	16.0%	19.2%	17.8%	8.8%	7.8%	17.6%	18.5%	25.4%	12.0%	14.1%	8.4%	15.6%
Strongly disagree	7	12	20	10	7	8	7	8	7	6	6	14	11	8	131
<i>5</i> ,	8.2%	16.4%	23.3%	12.3%	9.6%	11.0%	8.8%	10.4%	7.7%	7.4%	8.5%	18.7%	12.9%	9.6%	11.8%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-6. Government leaders at City of Dallas are ethical in the way they conduct business															
Strongly agree	4	3	2	2	4	6	4	5	5	2	4	1	2	3	47
	4.6%	3.9%	2.3%	2.4%	5.6%	8.1%	4.9%	6.3%	5.3%	2.4%	5.2%	1.3%	2.4%	3.4%	4.1%
Agree	13	15	12	19	15	11	17	14	21	22	8	19	23	26	235
	14.9%	19.5%	14.0%	22.9%	20.8%	14.9%	21.0%	17.5%	22.3%	26.5%	10.4%	23.8%	27.4%	29.9%	20.5%
Neutral	31	28	25	35	24	24	36	41	35	29	36	27	28	27	426
	35.6%	36.4%	29.1%	42.2%	33.3%	32.4%	44.4%	51.3%	37.2%	34.9%	46.8%	33.8%	33.3%	31.0%	37.2%
Disagree	26	17	26	18	17	21	16	8	20	22	15	16	17	19	258
	29.9%	22.1%	30.2%	21.7%	23.6%	28.4%	19.8%	10.0%	21.3%	26.5%	19.5%	20.0%	20.2%	21.8%	22.5%
Strongly disagree	13	14	21	9	12	12	8	12	13	8	14	17	14	12	179
	14.9%	18.2%	24.4%	10.8%	16.7%	16.2%	9.9%	15.0%	13.8%	9.6%	18.2%	21.3%	16.7%	13.8%	15.6%

Q21. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Strongly Agree" and 1 means "Strongly Disagree," please rate your level of agreement with the following statements. (without "don't know")

N=1475	District												Total		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Q21-7. I am pleased with the direction City is taking to reduce racial & ethnic disparities															
Strongly agree	4	4	2	2	4	7	5	5	6	1	5	2	2	3	52
	4.4%	5.2%	2.4%	2.4%	5.3%	9.2%	6.3%	6.5%	6.7%	1.1%	6.2%	2.6%	2.5%	3.6%	4.6%
Agree	15	22	12	17	17	18	15	12	17	22	23	15	11	17	233
	16.7%	28.6%	14.6%	20.7%	22.4%	23.7%	19.0%	15.6%	18.9%	25.3%	28.4%	19.7%	13.9%	20.5%	20.5%
Neutral	31	23	29	31	23	29	31	29	40	28	27	27	42	29	419
	34.4%	29.9%	35.4%	37.8%	30.3%	38.2%	39.2%	37.7%	44.4%	32.2%	33.3%	35.5%	53.2%	34.9%	36.9%
Disagree	26	17	15	16	15	13	16	18	21	25	13	17	16	23	251
	28.9%	22.1%	18.3%	19.5%	19.7%	17.1%	20.3%	23.4%	23.3%	28.7%	16.0%	22.4%	20.3%	27.7%	22.1%
Strongly disagree	14	11	24	16	17	9	12	13	6	11	13	15	8	11	180
	15.6%	14.3%	29.3%	19.5%	22.4%	11.8%	15.2%	16.9%	6.7%	12.6%	16.0%	19.7%	10.1%	13.3%	15.9%