The National

CITIZEN SURVEYTM 2 0 0 5

Report of Geographic Subgroup Comparisons for the City of Dallas, Texas



Submitted by:

NATIONAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. 3005 30th Street • Boulder, CO 80301 tel. 303-444-7863 • fax. 303-441-1145 e-mail: nrc@n-r-c.com • www.n-r-c.com

Table of Contents

| Survey Background | 1 |
|------------------------------------|---|
| About The National Citizen Survey™ | 1 |
| Understanding the Results | 3 |
| Comparisons | 5 |

URVEY BACKGROUN

URVEY BACKGROUND ABOUT THE NATIONAL CITIZEN SURVEYTM

The National Citizen Survey[™] (The NCS[™]) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA).

The National Citizen SurveyTM was developed to provide local jurisdictions an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important community issues. While standardization of question wording and survey methods provide the rigor to assure valid results, each jurisdiction has enough flexibility to construct a customized version of The National Citizen SurveyTM that asks residents about key local services and important local issues.

Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about local government performance and as such provide important benchmarks for jurisdictions working on performance measurement. The National Citizen SurveyTM is designed to help with budget, land use and strategic planning as well as to communicate with local residents. The National Citizen SurveyTM permits questions to test support for local policies and answers to its questions also speak to community trust and involvement in community-building activities as well as to resident demographic characteristics.

The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality survey methods and comparable results across The National Citizen Survey in jurisdictions. Participating households are selected at random and the household member who responds is selected without bias. Multiple mailings give each household more than one chance to participate with self-addressed and postage paid envelopes. Results are statistically reweighted to reflect the proper demographic composition of the entire community. The National Citizen Survey customized for this jurisdiction was developed in close cooperation with local jurisdiction staff. The City of Dallas staff selected items from a menu of questions about services and community problems; they defined the jurisdiction boundaries we used for sampling; and they provided the appropriate letterhead

and signatures for mailings. City of Dallas staff also determined local interest in a variety of add-on options for The National Citizen SurveyTM Basic Service.

One of the add-on options that Dallas chose was to have crosstabulations of evaluative questions 1-15 by the 14 Council Districts. The survey materials were color-coded by District.

SURVEY BACKGROUND

Understanding the Results

"Don't Know" Responses

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply are shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix I of the Report of Results. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in this report. In other words, the tables display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

There were two exceptions to the removal of "don't know" responses. For items related to crime victimization and crime reporting, "don't know" responses were not removed. In addition, the "don't know" responses were not removed from the policy questions.

Putting Evaluations Onto a 100-Point Scale

Although responses to many of the evaluative questions were made on a 4 point scale with 4 representing the best rating and 1 the worst, many of the results in this summary are reported on a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone reported "excellent," then the result would be 100 on the 100-point scale. Likewise, if all respondents gave a "poor" rating, the result would be 0 on the 100-point scale. If the average rating for quality of life was "good," then the result would be 67 on a 100-point scale; "fair" would be 33 on the 100-point scale.

Understanding the Tables

In this report, comparisons between geographic subgroups are shown. For most of the questions, we have shown only one number for each question. Usually this number is the rating on a 100-point scale. Sometimes this scale was not appropriate to use. In these cases we have summarized responses to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who reported a crime, or the percent of respondents who felt the rate of growth was "about right." For a few questions, we have shown the full set of responses: these include the question about respondents' perceptions about the economy.

VEY BACKGROUND

Anova and chi-square tests of significance were applied to these comparisons of survey questions by geographic subgroups. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between subgroups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed are "real." Where differences were statistically significant, they are marked in gray.

The 95 percent confidence level for this survey is generally no greater than plus or minus 2 percentage points around any given percent reported for the entire sample (1,919 completed surveys). For each Council District, the margin of error rises to approximately + or - 10% as this reflects the smallest number of surveys received from a single Council District (90). Below is a table with the number of surveys received from each Council District.

| City of Dallas 2005 Citizen Survey: Number of Completed Surveys by Council District | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Number of surveys mailed within district | Number of completed surveys received | | | | | | | | | |
| District 1 | 600 | 157 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 2 | 600 | 97 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 3 | 600 | 104 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 4 | 600 | 160 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 5 | 600 | 154 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 6 | 600 | 90 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 7 | 600 | 109 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 8 | 600 | 125 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 9 | 600 | 198 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 10 | 600 | 125 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 11 | 600 | 149 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 12 | 600 | 146 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 13 | 600 | 162 | | | | | | | | | |
| District 14 | 600 | 143 | | | | | | | | | |

COMPARISONS

| Figure 1: Quality of Life Ratings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Dallas as a place to live | 51 | 54 | 51 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 51 | 46 | 58 | 56 | 58 | 62 | 58 | 61 |
| Neighborhood as a place to live | 37 | 42 | 48 | 37 | 43 | 35 | 37 | 41 | 57 | 50 | 55 | 65 | 58 | 68 |
| Dallas as a place to raise children | 38 | 41 | 33 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 35 | 37 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 42 | 43 |
| Dallas as a place to retire | 33 | 32 | 28 | 41 | 40 | 38 | 39 | 33 | 39 | 30 | 37 | 34 | 30 | 37 |
| Overall quality of life in Dallas | 43 | 50 | 44 | 50 | 46 | 50 | 45 | 37 | 52 | 47 | 50 | 53 | 52 | 58 |
| Average Rating on a 100-point Scale (0=poor, 100=excellent) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 2: Characteristics of the Community | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Sense of community | 33 | 36 | 38 | 35 | 39 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 38 | 39 | 37 | 39 |
| Openness and acceptance | 38 | 39 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 37 | 39 | 45 | 43 | 47 | 43 | 44 | 43 |
| Overall appearance of Dallas | 42 | 42 | 45 | 45 | 47 | 46 | 43 | 39 | 48 | 45 | 50 | 47 | 45 | 48 |
| Opportunities to attend cultural activities | 47 | 55 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 55 | 54 | 49 | 61 | 52 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 57 |
| Shopping opportunities | 63 | 82 | 65 | 66 | 63 | 73 | 64 | 59 | 81 | 73 | 87 | 79 | 84 | 83 |
| Air quality | 38 | 35 | 30 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 34 | 30 | 38 | 33 | 36 | 29 | 37 |
| Recreational opportunities | 47 | 45 | 40 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 47 | 47 | 50 | 48 | 56 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Job opportunities | 34 | 44 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 42 | 32 | 28 | 47 | 42 | 49 | 44 | 53 | 56 |
| Access to affordable quality housing | 33 | 41 | 35 | 38 | 36 | 37 | 44 | 32 | 43 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 46 |
| Access to affordable quality child care | 34 | 45 | 32 | 35 | 32 | 24 | 40 | 33 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 43 |
| Access to affordable quality health care | 33 | 44 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 34 | 39 | 30 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 47 | 52 |
| Ease of car travel | 37 | 42 | 40 | 38 | 40 | 46 | 38 | 35 | 38 | 34 | 38 | 33 | 44 | 42 |
| Ease of bus travel | 52 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 57 | 47 | 47 | 48 | 38 | 47 | 46 | 32 | 44 | 36 |
| Ease of rail/subway travel in Dallas | 58 | 50 | 48 | 55 | 53 | 49 | 45 | 48 | 48 | 53 | 42 | 37 | 46 | 44 |
| Ease of bicycle travel | 29 | 23 | 30 | 33 | 34 | 19 | 29 | 36 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 26 |
| Ease of walking | 30 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 29 | 25 | 30 |
| Overall image/reputation of Dallas | 40 | 46 | 39 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 39 | 38 | 49 | 45 | 51 | 49 | 46 | 47 |
| Average Rating on a 100-point Scale (0=poor, 100=excellent) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 3: Ratings of Growth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Population growth | 27% | 44% | 23% | 32% | 23% | 41% | 34% | 24% | 32% | 36% | 36% | 35% | 47% | 53% |
| Retail growth (stores, restaurants etc.) | 54% | 64% | 45% | 47% | 44% | 70% | 60% | 55% | 63% | 51% | 54% | 63% | 63% | 58% |
| Jobs growth | 25% | 28% | 30% | 21% | 21% | 21% | 23% | 18% | 24% | 26% | 19% | 31% | 35% | 46% |
| Proportion of Respondents Rating as "About Right" | Proportion of Respondents Rating as "About Right" | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 4: Ratings of Potential Problems in Dallas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Crime | 8 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| Drugs | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 17 |
| Too much growth | 35 | 51 | 38 | 41 | 33 | 47 | 47 | 43 | 41 | 44 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 53 |
| Lack of growth | 60 | 65 | 67 | 55 | 51 | 68 | 56 | 60 | 73 | 68 | 76 | 71 | 70 | 74 |
| Graffiti | 35 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 37 | 43 | 36 | 35 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 55 | 48 | 51 |
| Noise | 41 | 43 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 35 | 43 | 38 | 43 | 45 | 48 | 51 | 48 | 52 |
| Run down buildings, weed lots, or junk vehicles | 29 | 33 | 34 | 26 | 24 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 37 | 38 | 44 | 44 | 38 | 39 |
| Taxes | 32 | 44 | 31 | 25 | 23 | 30 | 26 | 26 | 32 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 34 | 43 |
| Traffic congestion | 24 | 22 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 23 | 22 |
| Unsupervised youth | 19 | 23 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 23 | 14 | 23 | 22 | 32 | 33 | 24 | 37 |
| Homelessness | 23 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 22 | 18 | 29 | 35 | 23 | 24 |
| Weeds | 36 | 46 | 41 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 31 | 33 | 51 | 45 | 56 | 56 | 49 | 54 |
| Average Rating on a 100-point Scale (0=major problem, 100=not a problem) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 5: Ratings of Safety from Various Problems | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| District | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Violent crime | 35 | 35 33 33 33 34 36 34 25 42 39 44 48 48 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property crimes | 28 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 36 | 32 | 23 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 40 | 39 | 38 |
| Fire 59 63 57 59 56 64 55 55 60 59 62 64 64 67 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Rating on a 100-Point Scale (0=very unsafe, 100=very safe) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 6: Ratings of Feelings of Safety in Various Areas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 68 | 65 | 71 | 62 | 67 | 64 | 66 | 66 | 76 | 76 | 82 | 83 | 78 | 79 |
| In your neighborhood after dark | 39 | 30 | 47 | 44 | 43 | 35 | 37 | 44 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 60 | 57 | 53 |
| In Dallas's downtown District during the day | 61 | 69 | 69 | 65 | 61 | 62 | 66 | 60 | 65 | 66 | 65 | 61 | 63 | 65 |
| In Dallas's downtown District after dark | 32 | 27 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 26 | 29 | 28 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 27 | 31 |
| In Dallas's parks during the day | 58 | 64 | 61 | 58 | 62 | 67 | 58 | 58 | 65 | 68 | 73 | 68 | 62 | 64 |
| In Dallas's parks after dark | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 27 | 21 | 21 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 20 | 22 | 17 | 24 |
| Average Rating on a 100-Point Scale (0=very unsafe, 100=very safe) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 7: Crime Victimization and Reporting | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----|-----|
| | District | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| During the past twelve months, were you or anyone in your household the victim of any crime? 37% 30% 33% 31% 35% 36% 29% 26% 19% 21% 24% 26% 24% | | | | | | | | | | 24% |
| If yes, was this crime (these crimes) reported to the police? 64% 76% 89% 84% 76% 43% 60% 78% 64% 91% 84% 71% 52% 63% | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of Respondents Whose Households Were Victims of Crime, and Who Reported the Crime | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 8: Use of Community Amenities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | | Dis | trict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Used Dallas public libraries or their services | 70% | 71% | 74% | 78% | 66% | 64% | 79% | 78% | 67% | 71% | 71% | 61% | 60% | 51% |
| Used Dallas recreation centers | 59% | 43% | 61% | 54% | 60% | 62% | 63% | 60% | 47% | 42% | 52% | 40% | 42% | 40% |
| Participated in a recreation program or activity | 35% | 30% | 44% | 42% | 42% | 28% | 53% | 40% | 29% | 37% | 43% | 33% | 33% | 27% |
| Visited a Dallas park | 82% | 87% | 86% | 80% | 81% | 82% | 80% | 81% | 85% | 86% | 86% | 85% | 81% | 76% |
| Listened to the City's radio station WWR | 39% | 63% | 57% | 48% | 33% | 40% | 36% | 35% | 58% | 63% | 73% | 77% | 69% | 82% |
| Ridden a local bus within Dallas | 58% | 38% | 56% | 56% | 59% | 57% | 58% | 47% | 32% | 53% | 30% | 29% | 33% | 24% |
| Attended a meeting of local elected officials or other local public meeting | 36% | 27% | 34% | 30% | 29% | 30% | 31% | 27% | 26% | 25% | 18% | 12% | 25% | 16% |
| Watched a meeting of local elected officials or other local public meeting on cable television | 57% | 51% | 47% | 68% | 52% | 38% | 59% | 56% | 41% | 41% | 57% | 36% | 48% | 40% |
| Recycled used paper, cans or bottles from your home | 64% | 44% | 59% | 55% | 53% | 54% | 67% | 45% | 58% | 55% | 59% | 51% | 62% | 45% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Dallas | 39% | 54% | 47% | 39% | 37% | 30% | 47% | 33% | 40% | 39% | 52% | 39% | 52% | 46% |
| Used the Internet for anything | 22% | 20% | 33% | 26% | 27% | 18% | 35% | 16% | 31% | 28% | 22% | 18% | 39% | 25% |
| Used the Internet to conduct business with Dallas | 67% | 75% | 72% | 76% | 60% | 68% | 58% | 65% | 84% | 90% | 88% | 91% | 84% | 91% |
| Purchased an item over the Internet | 32% | 38% | 45% | 35% | 22% | 33% | 33% | 26% | 45% | 47% | 57% | 59% | 53% | 58% |
| Proportion of Respondents Rating Engaging in Activity At Least Once in La | st 12 | Month | ns | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 9a: Quality of Service Ratings District | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Police services | 47 | 44 | 43 | 45 | 41 | 48 | 39 | 38 | 48 | 48 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 51 |
| Fire services | 72 | 71 | 66 | 69 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 67 | 74 | 70 | 75 | 67 | 68 | 70 |
| Ambulance/emergency medical services | 65 | 65 | 62 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 58 | 71 | 66 | 71 | 66 | 65 | 66 |
| Crime prevention | 24 | 25 | 25 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 33 | 32 | 28 | 35 | 31 | 32 |
| Fire prevention and education | 50 | 51 | 48 | 47 | 52 | 49 | 54 | 47 | 55 | 50 | 54 | 47 | 45 | 52 |
| Traffic enforcement | 38 | 43 | 44 | 42 | 43 | 49 | 41 | 39 | 44 | 40 | 49 | 45 | 44 | 40 |
| Garbage collection | 56 | 51 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 55 | 52 | 49 | 63 | 54 | 64 | 61 | 55 | 59 |
| Recycling | 38 | 34 | 31 | 35 | 39 | 37 | 42 | 34 | 37 | 42 | 34 | 39 | 32 | 29 |
| Street repair | 17 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 14 | 22 | 27 | 32 | 31 | 20 | 21 |
| Street cleaning | 26 | 29 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 20 | 23 | 33 | 35 | 44 | 39 | 34 | 35 |
| Street lighting | 32 | 36 | 39 | 31 | 31 | 37 | 32 | 24 | 40 | 42 | 47 | 42 | 38 | 45 |
| Snow removal | 47 | 51 | 45 | 39 | 47 | 45 | 41 | 43 | 51 | 56 | 59 | 51 | 54 | 55 |
| Traffic signal timing | 44 | 43 | 39 | 41 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 34 | 45 | 44 | 39 | 36 | 42 | 42 |
| Amount of public parking | 35 | 36 | 38 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 38 | 41 | 37 | 35 | 36 | 36 |
| Bus/transit services | 59 | 51 | 54 | 59 | 61 | 54 | 53 | 47 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 41 | 45 | 48 |
| Storm drainage | 43 | 41 | 43 | 38 | 38 | 47 | 42 | 41 | 48 | 43 | 52 | 47 | 45 | 51 |
| Drinking water | 46 | 45 | 46 | 41 | 47 | 51 | 44 | 40 | 50 | 47 | 46 | 48 | 47 | 46 |
| Sewer services | 46 | 50 | 49 | 48 | 46 | 49 | 45 | 41 | 55 | 49 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 57 |
| City parks | 48 | 47 | 52 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 43 | 44 | 52 | 49 | 56 | 49 | 47 | 51 |
| Recreation programs or classes | 46 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 41 | 42 | 53 | 43 | 48 | 50 | 55 | 48 | 41 | 52 |
| Range/variety of recreation programs and classes | 44 | 43 | 46 | 39 | 41 | 42 | 49 | 40 | 46 | 45 | 51 | 47 | 39 | 50 |
| Recreation centers/facilities | 43 | 44 | 48 | 40 | 40 | 43 | 50 | 41 | 49 | 45 | 53 | 49 | 45 | 48 |
| Accessibility to parks | 48 | 51 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 53 | 47 | 50 | 56 | 52 | 57 | 50 | 54 | 54 |
| Accessibility to recreation centers/facilities | 45 | 49 | 48 | 42 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 52 | 51 | 56 | 49 | 48 | 49 |
| Appearance/maintenance of parks | 47 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 49 | 45 | 43 | 50 | 48 | 54 | 51 | 45 | 48 |
| Appearance of recreation centers/facilities | 45 | 40 | 44 | 44 | 40 | 49 | 45 | 41 | 49 | 47 | 53 | 51 | 43 | 45 |

| 9 | 1 | 2 |
|----|-----|---|
| (| 7 | 3 |
| | 700 | į |
| : | 2 | 5 |
| ,` | _ | ₹ |

| Figure 9a: Qua | Figure 9a: Quality of Service Ratings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| | | District | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 39 | 29 | 39 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 30 | 29 | 38 | 34 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 42 | |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc) | 26 | 28 | 31 | 25 | 21 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 34 | 27 | 36 | 39 | 34 | 32 | |
| Animal control | 23 | 40 | 33 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 24 | 44 | 44 | 50 | 50 | 44 | 46 | |
| Economic development | 37 | 39 | 47 | 34 | 34 | 43 | 29 | 35 | 44 | 38 | 47 | 43 | 41 | 46 | |
| Health services | 40 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 40 | 45 | 48 | 32 | 44 | 44 | 52 | 45 | 48 | 52 | |
| Services to seniors | 38 | 35 | 39 | 34 | 41 | 41 | 48 | 30 | 43 | 34 | 41 | 38 | 40 | 39 | |
| Services to youth | 35 | 34 | 32 | 28 | 32 | 37 | 37 | 25 | 34 | 33 | 41 | 38 | 39 | 35 | |
| Services to low-income people | 35 | 29 | 32 | 26 | 33 | 31 | 44 | 26 | 31 | 33 | 37 | 34 | 31 | 33 | |
| Public library services | 62 | 65 | 60 | 58 | 59 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 63 | 64 | 66 | 63 | 57 | 61 | |
| Variety of library materials | 58 | 60 | 60 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 62 | 47 | 65 | 62 | 65 | 59 | 58 | 63 | |
| Public information services | 47 | 49 | 47 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 52 | 41 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 47 | 47 | 49 | |
| Municipal courts | 45 | 41 | 39 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 36 | 47 | 42 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 47 | |
| Cable television | 42 | 42 | 39 | 47 | 45 | 43 | 46 | 41 | 48 | 42 | 49 | 47 | 40 | 43 | |
| Bulk trash pick-up | 44 | 39 | 46 | 46 | 44 | 42 | 45 | 36 | 57 | 48 | 50 | 54 | 46 | 50 | |
| Alley maintenance | 22 | 23 | 29 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 25 | 24 | 30 | 31 | 41 | 41 | 29 | 35 | |
| 3-1-1 services | 52 | 55 | 47 | 50 | 44 | 52 | 47 | 40 | 50 | 46 | 51 | 43 | 44 | 54 | |
| Average Rating on a 100-Point Scale (0=poor, 100=excellent) | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 10: Ratings of Various Levels of Government | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | District | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the City of Dallas? | 44 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 41 | 46 | 38 | 35 | 46 | 44 | 49 | 47 | 47 | 44 |
| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the Federal Government? | 40 | 47 | 41 | 38 | 40 | 53 | 40 | 32 | 41 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 47 | 44 |
| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by the State Government? | 39 | 42 | 39 | 36 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 32 | 40 | 44 | 39 | 43 | 43 | 45 |
| Average Rating on a 100-point Scale (0=poor, 100=excellent) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 11: Proportion of Population Having Contact with City Employees | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | District | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Have you had any in-person or phone contact with an employee of the City of Dallas within the last 12 months? | 61% | 58% | 67% | 57% | 63% | 58% | 55% | 55% | 57% | 51% | 53% | 53% | 58% | 50% |
| Percent of Respondents Who Reported Contact with a City Employee in the Last 12 Months | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Fi | gure 12 | : Rating | gs of Co | ontact w | ith City | Emplo | yees | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Dist | rict | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Knowledge | 50 | 49 | 53 | 58 | 48 | 59 | 53 | 46 | 60 | 53 | 55 | 57 | 56 | 62 |
| Responsiveness | 42 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 44 | 47 | 50 | 34 | 46 | 49 | 56 | 48 | 49 | 51 |
| Courtesy | 55 | 50 | 52 | 58 | 46 | 50 | 52 | 43 | 57 | 51 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 57 |
| Overall Impression | 43 | 48 | 49 | 49 | 43 | 47 | 45 | 37 | 50 | 49 | 54 | 52 | 49 | 55 |
| Average Rating on a 100-point Scale (0=po | or, 100= | excelle | nt) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Figure 13: Ratings of Public Trust | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | District | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| I receive good value for the City of Dallas taxes I pay | 50 | 49 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 49 | 41 | 43 | 49 | 44 | 54 | 51 | 49 | 52 |
| I am pleased with the overall direction that the City of Dallas is taking | 51 | 47 | 45 | 48 | 44 | 55 | 44 | 41 | 48 | 46 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 49 |
| The City of Dallas government welcomes citizen involvement | 58 | 49 | 54 | 54 | 53 | 59 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 50 | 57 | 47 | 53 | 50 |
| The City of Dallas government listens to citizens | 47 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 48 | 53 | 46 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 44 | 41 | 48 | 40 |
| Average Rating on a 100-point Scale (0=strongly disagree, 100=strongly agree) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | Figure | 14: Pe | erception | ons of | Econo | my | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | | District | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | |
| | very positive | 6% | 2% | 4% | 7% | 5% | 4% | 3% | 3% | 5% | 8% | 8% | 4% | 12% | 6% | |
| What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income | somewhat positive | 23% | 22% | 26% | 18% | 19% | 28% | 31% | 14% | 21% | 27% | 26% | 34% | 27% | 35% | |
| | neutral | 42% | 50% | 42% | 51% | 42% | 52% | 38% | 41% | 49% | 43% | 39% | 37% | 43% | 43% | |
| | somewhat negative | 24% | 21% | 20% | 19% | 24% | 13% | 18% | 25% | 17% | 17% | 22% | 22% | 17% | 12% | |
| | very negative | 5% | 6% | 7% | 5% | 10% | 3% | 10% | 17% | 7% | 5% | 5% | 3% | 1% | 3% | |
| | Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |