## City of Dallas

## BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT (PANEL C)

November 14, 2022, Briefing at 11:00 A.M. and the Public Hearing at 1:00 P.M. Dallas City Hall, 6ES Council Briefing Room and Videoconference

* The Board of Adjustment hearing will be held by videoconference and in 6ES at City Hall. Individuals who wish to speak in accordance with the Board of Adjustment Rules of Procedure by joining the meeting virtually, must register online at https://form.jotform.com/210907944450153 or contact the Development Services Department at 214-670-4545 by the close of business Friday, November 11, 2022. All virtual speakers will be required to show their video in order to address the board. Public Affairs and Outreach will also stream the public hearing on Spectrum Cable Channel 96 or 99; and bit.ly/cityofdallastv or YouTube.com/CityofDallasCityHall, and the WebEx link: https://bit.ly/111422C

|  |  | AGENDA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | Call to Order |  | Robert Agnich, Vice-Chair |
| II. | Staff Presentation/Briefing |  |  |
| III. | Public Hearing |  | Board of Adjustment |
| IV. | Public Testimony |  |  |
| V. | Miscellaneous Items - | Approval of Panel C Minutes - October 17, 2022 |  |
| VI. | Case Docket |  | Board of Adjustment |
|  | - Uncontested Items |  |  |
| VII. | Adjournment |  |  |

## Handgun Prohibition Notice for Meetings of Governmental Entities

"Pursuant to Section 30.06, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with a concealed handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a concealed handgun."
"De acuerdo con la sección 30.06 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistol oculta), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola oculta."
"Pursuant to Section 30.07, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with an openly carried handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a handgun that is carried openly."
"De acuerdo con la sección 30.07 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola a la vista), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola a la vista."
"Pursuant to Section 46.03, Penal Code (places weapons prohibited), a person may not carry a firearm or other weapon into any open meeting on this property."
"De conformidad con la Sección 46.03, Código Penal (coloca armas prohibidas), una persona no puede llevar un arma de fuego u otra arma a ninguna reunión abierta en esta propriedad."

## EXECUTIVE SESSION NOTICE

A closed executive session may be held if the discussion of any of the above agenda items concerns one of the following:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the City Council under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a complaint or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex Govt . Code §551.087]
7. deliberating security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology, network security information, or the deployment or specific occasions for implementations of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices. [Tex Govt. Code §551.089]

## UNCONTESTED CASE(S)

| BDA212-105(OA) | 3923 Frontier Ln. <br> REQUEST: Application of Baldwin Associates for a <br> variance to the front yard setback regulations, for a special <br> exception to the fence height regulations, for a special <br> exception to the fence standards regulations, and for a <br> special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations |
| :--- | :--- |
| BDA212-108(OA) | 5146 Yolanda Ln <br> REQUEST: Application of Eun Sun Jung represented by |
| Elizabeth Siebert for a special exception to the fence height | 2 |
| regulations |  |

HOLDOVERS

None.

## REGULAR CASES

None.

## FILE NUMBER: BDA212-105(OA)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Baldwin Associates for a variance to the front yard setback regulations, for a special exception to the fence height regulations, for a fence standards regulation, for a special exception to the visibility visual obstruction regulation (twenty-five by twenty-five-foot visibility triangle) and for a at 3923 Frontier Lane. This property is more fully described as Lot 18, Block 3/2972, and is zoned R-7.5(A), Single Family District which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to four feet, requires a twenty-foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches, a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open and may not be located less than five-feet form the front lot line, and requires a front yard setback of thirty-feet. The applicant proposes to construct a single family residential structure and provide a five-foot front yard setback, which will require a twenty-five foot variance to the front yard setback regulations and to construct an eight foot nine inch high fence in the required front yard which will require a four food nine inch special exception to the fence regulations and to construct a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area and located less than five-feet from the front lot line which will require a special exception to the fence regulation, and to construct a single family residential fence structure in a required visibility obstruction at the driveway approaches.

## LOCATION: 3923 Frontier Lane

APPLICANT: Baldwin Associates

## REQUESTS:

The following requests have been made on a site that is developed with a single family home:

1. A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 25 -feet is made to maintain an approximately 768 square-foot pool located five-feet from one of the site's two recorded front property lines (Ellsworth Street) or five feet into this 30-foot recorded front yard setback on a site that is developed with a single-family structure.
2. A request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to the maximum fence height of four feet is made to construct and maintain an eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street).
3. A request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to fence panels with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open and less than five feet from the front lot line is made to construct and maintain the eight foot nine-inch-high
solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate located in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street)
4. A request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations is made to locate and maintain an eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate located within both 20 -foot visibility triangle at the driveway approaches into the site from Ellsworth Street.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section $51(A)-3.102(d)(10)$ of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## State Law/HB 1475 effective 9-1-21

$>$ the board may consider the following as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality consider the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE VISUAL OBSTRUCTION REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(d) (3) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of the visual obstruction regulations when, in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (front yard variance):

Approval, subject to the following condition:

- Compliance with the submitted site plan is required.

Rationale:

- Staff concluded that the subject site is unique and different from most lots in the R-7.5(A) single family zoning district due to its restrictive area, slightly irregular shape and slightly sloped with two front setbacks causing less area to be built compared to 20 other lots in the same R-7.5(A) single family zoning district. Ultimately, the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon five other parcels of land with the same the same R-7.5(A) single family zoning district.
- The applicant submitted a document (Attachment A) indicating, among other things, that the proposed pool addition on the subject site is commensurate to 20 other lots in the same R-7.5(A) single family zoning district.


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (fence standards regulations):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION (visual obstruction special exceptions 20-foot visibility triangles):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not constitute a traffic hazard. However, staff does provide a technical opinion to assist in the board's decision-making.

The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer has no objections to the proposed requests to encroach into the required visual obstruction special exceptions to both 20 -foot visibility triangle at the driveway approaches. (Attachment B).

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

| Site: | R-7.5(A) Single Family District <br> R-7.5(A) Single Family District |
| :--- | :--- |
| North: | R-7.5(A) Single Family District <br> South |
| East: | R-7.5(A) Single Family District <br> West: |
| R-7.5(A) Single Family District |  |

## Land Use:

The subject site and surrounding properties are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has been one related board or zoning cases in the immediate vicinity within the last five years.

1. BDA189-022: On February 19, 2019, Panel C denied a variance to the front yard setback regulations without prejudice and granted a special exception to the height requirements to maintain an eight-foot-high fence in one of the site's two required front yards (Williamson) at 3956 Frontier Lane.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (front yard variance):

This request focuses on maintaining an approximately 768 square-foot pool located five-feet from one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street) or five feet into this 30-foot recorded front yard setback on a site that is developed with a single-family structure.

Structures on lots zoned R-7.5(A) single family district must have a minimum front yard setback of 25 feet. However, the subdivision plat was recorded with a 30-foot front yard setback for Jerome Way, now Frontier Lane and Ellsworth Street. A site plan has been submitted denoting the existing pool structure located 5 -feet from one of the two front property lines (Ellsworth Street). The site plan shows that approximately 75 percent of the pool structure will be in the site's 30 -foot front yard setback. Note that the city of Dallas issued a permit for the pool. The permit was issued in error.

The subject site is slightly irregular in shape, slightly sloped and the lot is approximately 11,154 square feet in area. R-7.5(A) single family zoning district requires lots within this area to have a minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet.
The applicant submitted a document (Attachment A) indicating, among other things, that the proposed pool structure on the subject site is commensurate to five other lots in the same R-7.5(A) single family zoning district. Attachment A also notes the lot area to home size is 50 percent while 19 other lots have less than 50 percent to the lot area to home size. This may be adjudicated to the lot having two front yards.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the front yard setback regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same R-7.5(A) single family zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same R-7.5(A) single family zoning classification.

If the board were to grant this front yard setback variance request and impose the submitted site plan as a condition, the pool structure in the front yard setback would be limited to what is shown on this document. Granting this special exception request will not provide any relief to the Dallas Development Code regulations other than for an approximately 768 square-foot located 5 feet from the site's front property line or 25 feet into the 30 -foot recorded front yard setback on a site that is developed with a 5,551 square foot two-story residential structure.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (fence standards special exceptions):

These requests focus on constructing and maintaining an eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street) and maintaining this fence and gate with fence panels with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open and less than five feet from the front lot line in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street).

The requests for special exceptions to the fence standards regulations related to height and fence panel materials/location from one of the site's two recorded front property lines (Ellsworth Street):

- constructing and maintaining an eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street) and maintaining this fence and gate with fence panels with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open and less than five feet from the front lot line in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street).

Section 51A-4.602(a)(2) of the Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed four feet above grade when located in the required front yard. As noted, the proposed fence would be within the required 40-foot front yard setback.

Additionally, the Dallas Development Code states that in single family districts, a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than five from the front lot line.

The submitted site plan and revised elevation denotes the proposed eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood panel fence with a six-foot-tall wood panel gates located within the required front yard and along the property line.

The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:

- The proposed/existing fence consists of a 6-foot-tall cedar fence set on top of a two foot nine each tall retaining wall with a 6 -foot gate and it is approximately 45 feet in length parallel to Ellsworth Street to the front property line of this street.
- The distance between the proposed fence and the pavement line is 11 feet. The fence is located at the property line.
Staff conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area and noted several fences that appeared to be above four feet-in-height in the required front yard on Williamson Road and at Chantilly Lane and Frontier Lane.
As of November 4, 2022, no letters have been received in opposition or support of this request.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exceptions to the fence standards related to the height of four feet and to location and materials located on Ellsworth Street will not adversely affect neighboring property.

Granting these special exceptions to the fence standards related to the height and opacity would require the proposal exceeding four feet-in-height in the front yard setbacks located in one of the site's two front property lines (Ellsworth Street) and
exceeding 50 percent opacity to be maintained in the locations and of the heights and materials as shown on site plan and revised elevation.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (visual obstruction special exceptions 20'

 visibility triangles):These requests for special exceptions to the visual obstruction regulations focus on constructing and maintaining portions of the eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate within the required 20 -foot visibility triangle at the driveway approaches into the site on Ellsworth Street.

The Dallas Development Code states the following: a person shall not erect, place, or maintain a structure, berm, plant life or any other item on a lot if the item is:

- in a visibility triangle as defined in the Code (45-foot visibility triangles at street intersections and 20 -foot visibility triangles at drive approaches and at alleys on properties zoned single family); and
- between two and a half and eight feet in height measured from the top of the adjacent street curb (or the grade of the portion on the street adjacent to the visibility triangle).

The applicant is requesting special exceptions to the visual obstruction regulations for the two required 20 -foot visibility triangles on each side of the driveway into the site on Ellsworth Street.

The applicant submitted a site plan and revised elevation indicating portions of the eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate within the 20 -foot visibility triangle located on the north and south sides of the driveway into the site on Ellsworth Street.

The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer has submitted a review comment sheet marked "Has no objections".

As of November 5, 2022, no letters have been received in opposition or support of this request.
The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing how granting the requests for special exceptions to the visual obstruction regulations, to locate and maintain portions of the of the eight foot nine-inch-high solid wood fence and a six-foot tall solid wood gate within the required 20 -foot visibility triangle at the driveway approaches, do not constitute a traffic hazard.

Granting these special exceptions with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and revised elevation would require the fence exceeding four-feet-in-height in the front yard setback and all visual obstructions to be constructed in the locations and heights as shown on these documents.

## Timeline:

September 2, 2022: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents that have been included as part of this case report.

October 13, 2022: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel C.

October 14, 2022: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October $24^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the November $4^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

October 24, 2022: The applicant submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with the original application (Attachment A)

October 27, 2022: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included the Development Services Assistant Director, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, The Development Services Chief Planner, Development Service Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Transportation Development Services Senior Engineer, Development Services Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

October 31, 2022: The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "no objection to
existing encroachment to visibility triangle at private residential driveway on Ellsworth Street" (Attachment B).

November 1, 2022: The applicant submitted a revised fence elevation drawing to staff with new materials for the fence (Attachment C)




# Notification List of Property Owners 

## BDA212-105

25 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3923 | FRONTIER LN |
| 2 | 3908 | FRONTIER LN |
| 3 | 3902 | FRONTIER LN |
| 4 | 3914 | FRONTIER LN |
| 5 | 3920 | FRONTIER LN |
| 6 | 3926 | FRONTIER LN |
| 7 | 3932 | FRONTIER LN |
| 8 | 3938 | FRONTIER LN |
| 9 | 3944 | FRONTIER LN |
| 10 | 6961 | KENWOOD ST |
| 11 | 6967 | KENWOOD ST |
| 12 | 6971 | KENWOOD ST |
| 13 | 6975 | KENWOOD ST |
| 14 | 6981 | KENWOOD ST |
| 15 | 3903 | FRONTIER LN |
| 16 | 3909 | FRONTIER LN |
| 17 | 3915 | FRONTIER LN |
| 18 | 6946 | ELLSWORTH AVE |
| 19 | 6942 | ELLSWORTH AVE |
| 20 | 6932 | ELLSWORTH AVE |
| 21 | 6935 | ELLSWORTH AVE |
| 22 | 6941 | ELLSWORTH AVE |
| 23 | 6947 | ELLSWORTH AVE |
| 24 | 3933 | FRONTIER LN |
| 25 | 3939 | FRONTIER LN |

Owner
GOMAS TIFFANY
HOCKADAY BRENT
HANSON PERRY L
MABEL MATTHEW D
NEILSON MICHAEL A \&
STAINBACK JESSICA \&
SRIVASTAVA UMA \& NEER
STOREY SHANNON J \&
MICKEY STEVEN ANDREW \&
SMITH SUE JEAN
GALLIVAN CHRISTOPHER J \& HULSEY KERI

PANNECK MICHELLE NICOLE \&
ELKMAN PROPERTIES LLC
ALLGEIER STURGEON DANIEL \& THERESE IRENE
Taxpayer at
MADDOX MICHAEL D \& CAITLIN C
WASILEWSKI SUSAN E
HECK ELIZABETH MARY
BORRAS BLANCA IRIS
Taxpayer at
ULRICH BENJAMIN J \& ANGELA P
LEWIS SARAH T LIFE ESTATE
WILSON JAMES F \&
LEACH DAVID \&


City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Tiffany Gomas
Applicant: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates Telephone: 214-824-7949

Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX_ Zip Code: 75226
E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Represented by: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates Telephone: 214-824-7949
Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX $\qquad$ Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $X$, or Special Exception_, of 20 feet into the required 25 -foot projected front yard. Special exception Fo fence height regulation, special exception to fence requiatien for fence panel having less than $50 \%$ open Surface, special exception to visibility triangle (45) Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
The property in encumbered with two front yards and the city isued and approved a permit to construct a swimming pool in the projected front yard along Ellsworth. The pool has been consructed as permitted, but is located in one of the front yards.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared Robert Baldwin
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of



Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that BALDWIN ASSOCIATES
did submit a request
at
for a variance to the front yard setback regulations, and for a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for a special exception to the fence standards regulations, and for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations

3923 Frontier Lane

BDA212-105. Application of BALDWIN ASSOCIATES for a variance to the front yard setback regulations, for a special exception to the fence height regulations, for a special exception to the fence standards regulations, and for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 3923 FRONTIER LN. This property is more fully described as Block $3 / 2972$, Lot 18 and is zoned $\mathrm{R}-7.5(\mathrm{~A})$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to four feet, and requires a twenty foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches, and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than five feet from the front lot line, and requires a front yard setback of thirty feet. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a single family residential structure and provide a five foot front yard setback, which will require a twenty-five foot variance to the front yard setback regulations, and to construct an eight foot nine inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a four foot nine inch special exception to the fence regulations, and to construct a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than five-feet from the front lot line, which will require a special exception to the fence regulations, and to construct a single family residential fence structure in a required 20 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulation.

Sincerely,

AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA $\qquad$
212-105
I,

(Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed)
at: $\qquad$
(Address of property as stated on application)
Authorize:
Rob Baldwin / Baldwin Associates
(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)
$X$ Special Exception (specify below) to fence height and panel regulation a to risibility triangle
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: The property is encumbered with 2 front yards; the city issued a pool permit to construct a swimming pool in the projected front yard along Ellsworth. The pool has been constructed as permitted, but is located in one of the front yards. $\qquad$


Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\qquad$
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of $\qquad$ , 2022



This data is to be used for graphical
representation only. The accuracy is not to be taken/used as data produced by a Registered Professional Land Surveyor (RPLS) for the State of Texas. 'This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or survey ing purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.' (Texas Government Code § 2051.102)
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## Baldwin

Associates

Oscar Aguilera
Senior Planner
City of Dallas
Development Services
320 E. Jefferson Blvd., Room 210
Dallas, Texas 75201

Re: BDA212-105 - 3923 Frontier Lane

Dear Mr. Aguilera,

This firm represents the owner of the property known as 3923 Frontier Lane in their request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 20 -feet into the required 25 -foot projected front yard along Ellsworth Street. We are also requesting special exceptions to the following regulations:

- Fence height regulations where a front yard fence is limited to 4-feet in height.
- Fence standard regulations where a fence panel with a surface panel that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than five feet from the front lot line.
- To the visibility obstruction regulations:
- At a driveway approach are required to have a visibility obstruction triangle of 20 -feet.
- At street intersections are required to have a visibility obstruction triangle of 45-feet.

The property fronts on Frontier Lane and Ellsworth Street and has an existing fence structure along Ellsworth Street. The property is in Block 3/2972 and is zoned R-7.5(A), which limits the height of a fence to the front yard to four feet. Because the property is a corner lot and the neighboring lot faces Ellsworth St., both Frontier Lane and Ellsworth Street are considered front yards.

## VARIANCE

The property at 3923 Frontier is encumbered with two front yards, along Ellsworth and Frontier. The subdivision plat shows a 30 -foot build line along both Frontier and Ellsworth which makes the setback at both streets, 30-feet, instead of the R-7.5(A) zoning
requirement of 25 -feet. The side and rear setbacks are both 5 -feet. In addition, the currently constructed fence has a fence panel with surface panel that is less than $50 \%$, adding another 5 -feet to the 30 -foot setback/build line, a total of a 35 -foot front yard setback along Ellsworth. So, only a portion of the 11,154 square foot lot is buildable. In fact, both front yard setbacks equal 6,308 square feet, leaving only 4,846 square feet for the building footprint. (The lot is 11,154 square feet less front yard setback square footage of 6,6308 square feet $=4,846$ square feet), (*see below for calculations).

The pool constructed along Ellsworth Street was legally permitted and constructed, however the City neglected to show the pool along the legal front yard at Ellsworth. The owner has already acknowledged that the lot will need to be replatted to remove the $30^{\prime}$ build line.

Based on the above hardships and special conditions a literal enforcement of the zoning ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship. In this instance, we believe that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice will be done in granting this variance.

## SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS

Upon investigating the other single-family homes in the Bob O'Link Subdivision, we found that many of the corner lots also had fences over 4 ft in the front yards, they are:

- 6742 Ellsworth @ Sperry - 7'-6" front yard fence @ Sperry
- 6819 Ellsworth @ Chantilly - 6'-1" front yard fence @ Chantilly
- 3953 Frontier @ Chantilly - 8'-2" front yard fence @ Chantilly
- 6902 Kenwood @ Sperry - 7'-9" front yard fence @ Sperry

Again, in the Bob O'Link Subdivision, we found several properties which were in violation of the visibility obstruction regulations at a driveway approach, they are:

- 6904 Bob O Link @ Sperry - 4'5" at Sperry
- 6819 Ellsworth @ Chantilly - 6'5" at Chantilly
- 3953 Frontier @ Chantilly - $10^{\prime} 6$ " at Chantilly
- 6815 Kenwood @ Sperry - 10'-10" at Sperry

As to the 45 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, the property is within 10 -feet of the requirement. Since the slope of the front yard required retaining walls, the owner felt that the visibility at the corner of Frontier and Ellsworth was sufficient. In addition, the fence panel exception of less than $50 \%$ open surface panel should also be granted as it does not adversely impact the surrounding properties.

Given the set of facts outlined in this request, we believe these special exceptions do not adversely impact the surrounding properties, in fact we believe that this request is consistent with the characteristics of the neighboring properties.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. Please let me know if you have any questions or comments or if I can be of any assistance.

With kind regards,


Robert Baldwin

## *Calculations:

## Front Yard - Frontier Lane

Length 99.80' - Width $35^{\prime}$ at Ellsworth \& Frontier $=64.8^{\prime}$ X 30' setback (build line) $=1,944$ square feet.

## Front Yard - Ellsworth Street

Length $124.69^{\prime} \times$ Width $35^{\prime}$, ( $30^{\prime}$ setback $+5^{\prime}$ for fence panel), $=4,364$ square feet
Total Front Yard setback square feet $=1,944+4,364=6,308$ square feet


BDA212-105 Attachment A

## BDA212-105 <br> Attachment A
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6902 Kenwood @ Sperry



## 6904 Bob O Link @ Sperry

BDA212-105
Comaprsion of Lot Area and Building Coverage

| Address | Lot Area | Building ArıStories |  | Year Built lot Area/Home Size |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3923 Frontier | 11,154 | 5,551 | 2 | 2022 | $50 \%$ Yes |
| 3915 Fronter | 8,858 | 4,290 | 2 | 2020 | $48 \%$ Yes |
| 3908 Frontier | 6,932 | 1,355 | 1 | 1951 | $20 \%$ No |
| 3902 Frontier | 10,041 | 2,996 | 1 | 1950 | $30 \%$ Yes |
| 6931 Kenwood | 8,429 | 4,057 | 2 | 2006 | $48 \%$ No |
| 3932 Frontier | 11,432 | 4,019 | 2 | 2015 | $35 \%$ No |
| 3926 Frontier | 12,314 | 2,123 | 1 | 1951 | $17 \%$ No |
| 3920 Frontier | 11,955 | 3,045 | 1 | 1951 | $25 \%$ Yes |
| 3914 Frontier | 12,830 | 2,908 | 1 | 1948 | $23 \%$ No |
| 3908 Frontier | 9,965 | 2,794 | 1.5 | 1951 | $28 \%$ No |
| 3933 Frontier | 14,311 | 1,433 | 1 | 1950 | $10 \%$ No |
| 3939 Frontier | 8,714 | 3,110 | 2 | 2010 | $36 \%$ No |
| 6947 Ellsworth | 9,262 | 1,264 | 1 | 1948 | $14 \%$ No |
| 6941 Ellsworth | 8,000 | 4,043 | 2 | 2014 | $51 \%$ No |
| 6935 Ellsworth | 8,037 | 1,220 | 1 | 1948 | $15 \%$ No |
| 6931 Ellsworth | 7,962 | 3,934 | 2 | 2005 | $49 \%$ No |
| 6927 Ellsworth | 8,027 | 1,482 | 1 | 1948 | $18 \%$ No |
| 6946 Ellsworth | 9,990 | 1,453 | 1 | 1948 | $15 \%$ No |
| 6942 Ellsworth | 8,377 | 1,902 | 1 | 1950 | $23 \%$ No |
| 6938 Ellsworth | 8,201 | 3,734 | 1.5 | 2007 | $46 \%$ No |
| 6932 Elssworth | 8,276 | 3,995 | 2 | 2009 | $48 \%$ Yes |

Subject Property

## Buildable Area

In Normal R-7.5(A) = 60\% Buildable Area

In this Case = 41\% Buildable Area


Has no objections if certain conditions are met (see comments below or attached)

Recommends denial
(see comments below or attached)
No comments

COMMENTS:

No objection to existing encroachment to visibility triangle at private residential driveway on Ellsworth Street.


[^1]Please respond to each case and provide comments that justify or elaborate on your response. Dockets distributed to the Board will indicate those who have attended the review team meeting and who have responded in writing with comments.

(1A) $\frac{\text { FENCE ELEVATION; FRONTIER }}{\text { ScALE } 3 / 6^{\circ}=1 \cdot-0 \cdot}$


1B FENCE ELEVATION; ELLSWORTH STREET
SCALE: $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1$ - $-0 "$
(1B)

FILE NUMBER: BDA212-108(OA)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Eun Sun Jung represented by Elizabeth Siebert for a special exception to the fence height regulations at 5146 Yolanda Lane. This property is more fully described as Lot 3, Block B/5518, and is zoned an R-1ac(A) Single Family District, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to four feet. The applicant proposes to construct a seven-foot six-inch-high fence in a required front yard, which will require a three-foot six-inch special exception to the fence regulations.

LOCATION: 5146 Yolanda Lane
APPLICANT: Eun Sun Jung represented by Elizabeth Siebert

## REQUESTS:

A request for a three-foot six-inch special exception to the fence height regulations is made to construct and maintain a six foot-three-inch-high brick/wrought iron fence with up to seven foot-six-inch-tall brick columns with two six foot-three-inch-high iron gates and a six foot-three-inch-high iron pedestrian gate in the required front yard on a site being developed with a single-family home.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence standards since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single family district)
North: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single family district)
East: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single family district)
South: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single family district)

West: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district)

## Land Use:

The subject site is being developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There have not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site within the past five years.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

The purpose of this request for a special exception to the fence height standards focuses on constructing and maintaining a six foot-three-inch-high brick/wrought iron fence with up to seven foot-six-inch-tall brick columns with two six foot-three-inch-high iron gates and a six foot-three-inch-high iron pedestrian gate in the required front yard on a site being developed with a single-family home.
The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed four feet above grade when located in the required front yard.

The subject site is zoned an R-1ac(A) Single Family District where a 40 -foot front yard setback is required.

The proposed fence is to be in this required front yard. The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:

- The proposed fence is approximately 200 feet in length parallel to Yolanda Lane and runs between zero and two-feet-six inches perpendicular to the front property line.
- The distance between the proposed fence and the pavement line is between five feet six inches to eight-feet.

Staff conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area (approximately 400 feet north, south, east, and west of the subject site) and noticed other fences that appear to be above four feet-in-height and located in a front yard setback. Note that staff did not find any history for the existing fences.

As of November 4, 2022, no letters have been received in opposition or support of this request.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence height regulation of two feet will not adversely affect neighboring property.

Granting this special exception with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevation would require the proposal exceeding four feet in the front yard setback to be constructed in the location and heights as shown on these documents.

## Timeline:

September 9, 2022: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents that have been included as part of this case report.

October 13, 2022: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel C.

October 14, 2022: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October $24^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the November $4^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

October 27, 2022: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included the Development Services Assistant Director, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, The Development Services Chief Planner, Development Service Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Transportation Development Services Senior Engineer, Development Services Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.




# Notification List of Property Owners <br> BDA212-108 

11 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 5146 | YOLANDA LN |
| 2 | 5121 | KELSEY RD |
| 3 | 5135 | KELSEY RD |
| 4 | 5147 | KELSEY RD |
| 5 | 5207 | KELSEY RD |
| 6 | 5219 | KELSEY RD |
| 7 | 5159 | YOLANDA LN |
| 8 | 5145 | YOLANDA LN |
| 9 | 10412 | INWOOD RD |
| 10 | 5130 | YOLANDA LN |
| 11 | 5162 | YOLANDA LN |

Owner
JUNG DAE GEUN \& EUN SUN
ALLEN HENRY \&
CHIMATA YUGANDHAR \&
TOMLIN DANIEL \& JUDY
ANDERSON PAUL K \&
NEIL F JAMES JR \& JEAN M
WAGNER ALDEN E JR \&
MCMANEMIN WILLIAM CASEY \&
FOSTER ROBERT D \& KAREN L
FAGELMAN MITCHELL \& KRISTEN E SINGH JITENDRA \&


City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

## Data Relative to Subject Property:

Case No.: BDA 212-108

Location address: 5146 Yolanda Lane
Date: $8 / 3 / 2022$ Zoning District: $R-1 a c(A)$
Lot No.: $\qquad$ Block No.: E/5518 Acreage: 1.202 $\square$ Census Tract: 76.05

Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) 200.52 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5)

To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Dace Geun \& Kun Sun Jung
Applicant: Eu Sun Jung Telephone: ( 818 ) $590-3442$
Mailing Address: 5009 sail Creek Dr, Plano, $7 X \quad$ Zip Code: 75093
E-mil Address: eunsununj 0 gmail.com
Represented by: Elizabeth Sickert Telephone: 646-662-2102
Mailing Address: 2720 Amherst Ave, Dallas, 7X Zip Code: 75225
E-mail Address: elizabeth k 1996 (a) gmail.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\checkmark$, or Special Exception _ of being permitted. to rave the front yard fence height to 6 feet which exceeds
the ordinance limit of 4 feet.
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
During 2021, the owners hake experienced 2 robberies and numerous incidences of trespassing on the property. Ats they prepare to move in, they are hight anxious and wish to make the property

 permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared Fun Sun Jung i
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
Respectfully submitted:
 0000000000000000000000000000000 d

Chairman


## Building Official's Report

```
I hereby certify that EUN SUN JUNG
    represented by ELIZABETH SIEBERT
did submit a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations
    at 5146 YOLANDA LANE
```

BDA212-108. Application of EUN SUN JUNG represented by ELIZABETH SIEBERT for a special exception to the fence height regulations at 5146 YOLANDA LN. This property is more fully described as Block $\mathrm{E} / 5518$ Lot 3 and is zoned $\mathrm{R}-\operatorname{tac}(\mathrm{A})$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a seven-foot six-inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a three-foot six-inch special exception to the fence regulations.

Sincerely,

## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA 212-108
I, Dee Geun JUng $\quad$ (Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed) $\quad$ Owner of the subject property
at: 5146 yolanda LN Dallas TX 15229
Authorize:- Eun 'Son Jung
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\times$ Variance (specify below)
$\qquad$ Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: Asking to be allowed to erect a fence that is 6' in The font which is greater than 4' allowed by the city ordinance

## Date Gean Jung

Print name of property owner or registered agent

Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\qquad$ $08-18-2022$

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared $\square$ Dace Geun Jung
Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2022


Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas
Commission expires on $6 / 27 / 2024$

## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA $212-108$
I,
 . Owner of the subject property
at: $\qquad$ 5146 Yolanda Lane Dallas $7 x$ 75229
(Address of property as stated of application)
Authorize: $\qquad$ Elizabeth Siebert
(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)
$\qquad$ Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: request to erect a fence in the front yard that is 6 feet 1 which exceeds the ordinance limit of 4 feet

## EOn Sun Jung

Print name of property owner-ov registered agent


Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\qquad$
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared Eun Son Jung
Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge. Subscribed and sworn to before me this
 day of AALSt





## FILE NUMBER: BDA212-110(OA)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application Kara Crane represented by Tracy Elmore for a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 7021 Creek Bend Road. This property is more fully described as Lot 1, Block P/8727, and is zoned PD-106 (R10(A) Plan Development No. 106, which requires a front yard setback of 30 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a single-family residential structure and provide a ninefoot front yard setback, which will require a 21 -foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.

## LOCATION: 7021 Creek Bend Road

APPLICANT: Kara Crane represented by Tracy Elmore

## REQUEST:

A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 21 feet is made to construct and maintain a two-story single-family structure with a total square footage of 4,428 square feet and a 430 square foot pool, part of which are to be located nine feet from one of the site's two front property lines (Windpiper Drive) or 21 feet into this 30foot front yard setback on a site that is currently undeveloped.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51(A)-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) Not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## State Law/HB 1475 effective 9-1-21

$>$ the board may consider the following as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality consider the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approval, subject to the following condition:

- Compliance with the submitted site plan is required.

Rationale:

- Staff concluded that the subject site is unique and different from most lots in the PD-106 (R-10(A) zoning district due to its irregular shape, its restrictive area due to having two, 30-foot front yard setbacks when most lots in this zoning district have one 30 -foot front yard setback. This reduces the development area to 6,711 square feet. Ultimately, the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same PD No 106 (R-10(A)).


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: Plan Development District No. 106
North: Plan Development District No. 106
East: Plan Development District No. 106
South: Plan Development District No. 106

## West: Plan Development District No. 106

## Land Use:

The subject site is undeveloped. The areas to the north, south, west, and east are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

The has been three recent related board cases recorded in the vicinity of the subject site within the past five years.

1. BDA189-138 On December 16, 2019, the Board of Adjustment Panel C approved 1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 10 feet is made to construct a structure in the 30-foot front yard setback 2) a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to the fence height of four feet to construct an eight-foot high fence in the site's front yard setback; and 3) a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to fence panels having less than 50 percent open surface area when located less than five feet from the front lot line is made to construct a gabion fence within five feet of the front property line at 6608 Frankford Road.
2. BDA189-139 On December 16, 2019, the Board of Adjustment Panel C approved 1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 10 feet to construct a structure in the 30 -foot front yard setback 2) a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to the fence height of four feet to construct an eight-foot high fence with an eightfoot high, 31-foot wide vehicular gate and two three-foot wide pedestrian gates in the site's front yard setback; and 3) a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to fence panels having less than 50 percent open surface area when located less than five feet from the front lot line is made to construct a gabion fence within five feet of the front property line at 6610 Frankford Road.
3. BDA201-025 On April 21, 2021, the Board of Adjustment Panel B approved a request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to height of two-feet four-inches is made to construct and maintain a six-foot-high wrought iron fence with a six-foot-four-inch wrought iron vehicular gate located in the site's required front yard on a property developed with a single-family home at 7004 Creek Bend Road.

## GENERAL FACTS /STAFF ANALYSIS:

The request for a 21-foot variance to the front yard setback requirement focuses on constructing and maintaining a two-story single-family structure with a total square footage of 4,428 square feet and a 430 square foot pool, part of which is to be located nine feet from one of the site's two front property lines (Windpiper Drive) or 21 feet into this 30 -foot front yard setback on a site that is currently undeveloped.

The site is currently undeveloped and zoned PD-106 (R-10(A) Plan Development No. 106. The minimum front yard requirement for this zoning district is 30 feet. The subject site is located at the northeast corner of Creek Bend Road and Windpiper Drive. Regardless of how the structure is proposed to be oriented to front Creek Bend Road, the property has a 30 -foot front yard setback along both street frontages. This lot runs from one street to another and has double frontage, a required front yard must be provided on both streets.

The submitted site plan indicates the proposed 4,428 square feet two-story single-family home and a 430 square foot pool, part of which are to be located nine feet from the Windpiper Drive front property line or 21 feet into this 30 -foot front yard setback.

According to CCAD records, there are "no main improvements" or "no additional improvements" for the property addressed at 7021 Creek Bend Road.

The subject site is flat, irregular in shape and according to the submitted application the lot is 0.428 acres (or 18989 square feet) in area. The site is zoned PDD No. 106 where lots must be a minimum of 10,000 square feet in area. This lot is restricted in area due to the lot's irregular shape and having two, 30-foot front yard setbacks. These conditions reduce the development area to 6,711 square feet. Ultimately, the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same PD No 106 (R-10(A)).

The applicant submitted a document (Attachment A) indicating that the proposed structure on the site is commensurate to seven other lots located in the same zoning district. The document compared among other things the home square feet area to the lot area of seven other properties within the same in the same zoning district. The average percent of home square feet over the lot square feet is 23 percent which is equal to what the applicant is proposing.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the front yard setback regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal
enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same PD No 106 (R10(A)) District zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same PD No 106 (R-10(A)) District zoning classification.

If the board were to grant the variance request and impose the submitted site plan as a condition, the structure in the front yard setback would be limited to what is shown on this document- which in this case are a two-story single-family structure with a total square footage of 4,428 square feet and a 430 square foot pool, part of which are to be located nine feet from one of the site's two front property lines (Windpiper Drive) or 21 feet into this 30 -foot front yard setback on a site that is currently undeveloped

## Timeline:

September 8, 2022: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents that have been included as part of this case report.

October 13, 2022: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel C.

October 14, 2022: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October $24^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the November $4^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

October 21, 2022: The applicant submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with the original application (Attachment A)

October 27, 2022: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included the Development Services Assistant Director, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, The Development Services Chief Planner, Development Service Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Transportation Development Services Senior Engineer, Development Services Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.




# Notification List of Property Owners 

## BDA212-110

18 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 7021 | CREEK BEND RD | CRANE NEIL \& KARA |
| 2 | 6506 | FRANKFORD RD | TEXAS TORAH INSTITUTE |
| 3 | 7105 | WINDING CREEK RD | Taxpayer at |
| 4 | 7032 | CREEK BEND RD | EVANS BRUCE D |
| 5 | 7028 | CREEK BEND RD |  |
| 6 | 7024 | CREEK BEND RD | BARNETT JAMES M \& TONI S |
| 7 | 7020 | CREEK BEND RD | WILLIAMS H L JR |
| 8 | 7016 | CREEK BEND RD |  |
| 9 | 7008 | CREEK BEND RD | BRION WILLIAM J \& TERRY S |
| 10 | 7029 | CREEK BEND RD | SHAY KEVIN M |
| 11 | 18000 | DAVENPORT RD | LOWE SHANNON M |
| 12 | 18004 | DAVENPORT RD | DODS PAUL E W |
| 13 | 17815 | WINDPIPER DR | ROM MARTIN N \& YINGJIE MAI |
| 14 | 17809 | WINDPIPER DR | SHIVER JOHN D JR \& SHIELA M |
| 15 | 17805 | WINDPIPER DR | ALL TRADE PROS CONSTRUCTION SERVICES LLC |
| 16 | 7001 | CREEK BEND RD | HAND JIMMIE R |
| 17 | 7025 | CREEK BEND RD | THIBODEAUX BONNIE YOUNG |
| 18 | 7012 | CREEK BEND RD | NANCLARES ALFONSO \& MONIQUE |

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Case No: BDA 2/2-110

Data Relative to Subject Property:
Date: 9/8/2022
Location address: 7021 Creek Bend Rd., Dallas TX 75252
Zoning District:
Lot No:: 1 Block No.: P/8727 Acreage: $.1 / 28$
Census Tract:
Strect Frontage (in Feet): 1) 200
2) 261
3)
4)
5)

Tothe Honorable Board ol Adjasiment:
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Neil \& Kara Crane $\qquad$
Applicant: Kara Crane Telephone: 702-767-9019
Mailing Address: 7021 Creek Bend Rd., Dallas, TX Zip Code: 75252
E-mail Address: ._ kara_a_crane@uhc.com
Represented by: Tracy Elmore Telephone: 469-855-5547
Mailing Address: 1115 Hampshire Ln, Richardson, TX_Z Zip Code: 75080
E-mail Address: ...tracy@elmorecustomhomes.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance X, or Special Exception __ of
Current setback is 30 feet on Creek Bend Rd and Windpiper Dr.. A 30 foot setback is fine for Creek Bend Rd.. We are requesting a setback of $\&$ feet on Windpiper Dr.

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
The lot is on a corner and has a double setback of 30 feet on Creek. Bend Rd and Windpiper Dr. We are requesting a variance of 8 foot setback on Windpiper.Dr.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared
Kara Crane
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ 8th
day of

Chairman


## Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that KARA CRANE <br> represented by did submit a request <br> at <br> TRACY ELMORE <br> for a variance to the front yard setback regulations 7021 CREEK BEND ROAD

BDA212-110. Application of KARA CRANE represented by TRACY ELMORE for a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 7021 CREEK BEND RD. This property is more fully described as Block P/8727, Lot 1 and is zoned PD-106 (R-10 (A)), which requires a front yard setback of 30 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a single family residential structure and provide a 9 foot front yard setback, which will require a 21 foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.

Sincerely,

## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA $\qquad$

1. Neil Crane
(Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed)
, Owner of the subject property
at: $\quad 7021$ Creek Bend Rd., Dallas, TX 75252
(Address of property as stated on application)
Authorize: Kara Crane
(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
X Variance (specify below)
___Special Exception (specify below)
___Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: Current set back is 30 feet on Creek Bend Rd and Windpiper Dr.
A 30 foot setback is fine for Creek Bend Rd. We are requesting a setback of 8 feet on Windpiper Dr.

Neil Crane
Print name of property owner or registered agent


Date $\qquad$ 9/9/22

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ Neil Crane

Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge. Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of $\qquad$ , $\qquad$


Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas

Dear Members of the Zoning Board of Appeals:
Thank you for reviewing our variance request submitted by our builder, Elmore Custom Homes, for 7021 Creek Bend Rd, Dallas, TX 75252. It is our wish that you support our builder's recommendation for the zoning variance so that we may move forward with our build. Our plot of land is unique in nature, and we hope you agree that our home will produce an aesthetically beautiful addition to the small neighborhood with the variance approval.

It has been a financial hardship waiting for our final permit since submitting April 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2022. If our variance can be expedited in any way, we would be most grateful. Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at 702-767-9019.

Sincerely,
Kara and Neil Crane


This data is to be used for graphical representation only. The accuracy is not to be taken/used as data produced by a Registered Professional Land Surveyor (RPLS) for the State of Texas. 'This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.' (Texas Government Cade § 2051.102)
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PRoJECT description single family residence

ILEGaL description


AREAS

| LOWER floor <br> UPPER FLOOR | $\begin{aligned} & =\begin{array}{l} 2,938 \text { sF } \\ = \\ = \\ 11,4005 F \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| IOTAL NEW RESIDENCE | $=4.228$ SF |
| garage | $=784$ |

|lot coverage
LOT AREA $=18,88955$
Allowable Lot Coverage (45\%)





EMMORE CUSIOM HOMES<br>Tracy Elmore<br>1115 Hampshire Ln., Richardson, TX 75080<br>945-210-8476<br>tracy@elmorecustomhomes.com

September 9, 2022
Board of Adjustment
Variance Appeal for 7021 Creek Bend Rd., Dallas 75252

As we were moving through the permitting process, we have been notified that the 4,428 sf home we are planning to build on a corner lot at 7021 Creek Bend Rd., Dallas 75252 has a double setback of 30 ft . on both Creek Bend Rd. and Windpiper Dr. Houses on both Creek Bend Rd. and Windpiper Dr. face the street with front yards, so essentially this house is projected to have two front yards according to the way it is currently zoned.

Since the house has a Creek Bend address, it is suitable to have the front setback at 30 ft on the Creek Bend Rd. side. However, since the back of the house faces Windpiper Dr., to adhere to a 30 ft setback would impact a large portion of the square footage of the house and ultimately the future marketability of the lot. Due to the particular shape and location of the property, if the zoning regulation were to be carried out, building a comparable home in line with other homes in the neighborhood would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, thereby causing a significant hardship upon the Owner.

It is my professional opinion that granting the variance will not be detrimental or injurious to the public or other property/improvements in the neighborhood nor affect the character of the neighborhood in any way. In fact, by allowing this variance, property values within the neighborhood would only increase.

Please be advised that the request for the 9 ft setback on Windpiper Dr. is the minimum variance that will make possible the least modification of the regulation to allow reasonable use of the lot.


Sincerely,
Tracy Elmore

7021 Creek Bend - Variance Hearing

## Adjacent Homes - Lot/Home Square Footages



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Pool |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Planned |  |
| Yes |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | Buildable Lot SF w/dbl setback in place |
| Planned | 6,711 |
|  |  |

## LETTER OF INTENT

Dear Neighbors of Windpiper Dr. and Creek Bend Rd.:
We are writing this letter to request a signature in support of a zoning variance on our property at 7021
Creek Bend Road. As you are likely aware, our lot has some unique challenges in terms of buildable area due to the shape of the lot, in addition to having dual frontage conditions with the roads on both sides and intersecting at the south point of the lot. The dual frontage condition triggers a double setback requirement of 30 feet on both sides, essentially this house is projected to have two front yards according to the way it is zoned.

Since the house has a Creek Bend Rd. address, it is suitable to have the front setback at 30 ft . on the Creek Bend Rd. side. However, the back of the house faces Windpiper Dr., and to adhere to a 30 ft . setback would impact a large portion of the square footage of the house.

Due to the particular shape and location of the property, if the zoning regulation were to be carried out, building a comparable home in line with other homes in the neighborhood would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, thereby causing a significant hardship, as well as future marketability of the lot.

## We are requesting an 8 ft setback on Windpiper Dr., this is the minimum variance that will make possible the least modification of the regulation to allow reasonable use of the lot.

It is our opinion that granting the variance will not be detrimental or injurious to the public and other property or improvements in the neighborhood nor affect the character of the neighborhood in any way. We believe that by allowing this variance, property values would only increase within our beautiful neighborhood.

Sincerely,
Neil \& Kara Crane
Name: $\qquad$
Address: 7024 CReek Bend Ret.
1, Ja mes $\mathcal{\text { F }}$ To mi DAR Creek Bend Road, Dallas TX 75082. Please check box and initial TB $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ 2022
TAu Boonitu

## LETTER OF INTENT

## Dear Neighbors of Windpiper Dr. and Creek Bend Rd.:

We are writing this letter to request a signature in support of a zoning variance on our property at 7021 Creek Bend Road. As you are likely aware, our lot has some unique challenges in terms of buildable area due to the shape of the lot, in addition to having dual frontage conditions with the roads on both sides and intersecting at the south point of the lot. The dual frontage condition triggers a double setback requirement of 30 feet on both sides, essentially this house is projected to have two front yards according to the way it is zoned.

Since the house has a Creek Bend Rd. address, it is suitable to have the front setback at 30 ft . on the Creek Bend Rd. side. However, the back of the house faces Windpiper Dr., and to adhere to a 30 ft . setback would impact a large portion of the square footage of the house.

Due to the particular shape and location of the property, if the zoning regulation were to be carried out, building a comparable home in line with other homes in the neighborhood would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, thereby causing a significant hardship, as well as future marketability of the lot.

## We are requesting an 8 ft setback on Windpiper Dr., this is the minimum variance that will make possible the least modification of the regulation to allow reasonable use of the lot.

It is our opinion that granting the variance will not be detrimental or injurious to the public and other property or improvements in the neighborhood nor affect the character of the neighborhood in any way. We believe that by allowing this variance, property values would only increase within our beautiful neighborhood.

Sincerely,
Neil \& Kara Crane
Name:


Address:

$$
7028 \text { Creek Bend }
$$

I, Sail Purvis, , am in support and approve the zoning variance request for 7021
Creek Bend Road, Dallas TX 75082. Please check box and initial

Signature:
 Date: $\qquad$

## LETTER OF INTENT

## Dear Neighbors of Windpiper Dr. and Creek Bend Rd.:

We are writing this letter to request a signature in support of a zoning variance on our property at 7021 Creek Bend Road. As you are likely aware, our lot has some unique challenges in terms of buildable area due to the shape of the lot, in addition to having dual frontage conditions with the roads on both sides and intersecting at the south point of the lot. The dual frontage condition triggers a double setback requirement of 30 feet on both sides, essentially this house is projected to have two front yards according to the way it is zoned.

Since the house has a Creek Bend Rd. address, it is suitable to have the front setback at 30 ft . on the Creek Bend Rd. side. However, the back of the house faces Windpiper Dr., and to adhere to a 30 ft . setback would impact a large portion of the square footage of the house.

Due to the particular shape and location of the property, if the zoning regulation were to be carried out, building a comparable home in line with other homes in the neighborhood would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, thereby causing a significant hardship, as well as future marketability of the lot.

## We are requesting an 8 ft setback on Windpiper Dr., this is the minimum variance that will make possible the least modification of the regulation to allow reasonable use of the lot.

It is our opinion that granting the variance will not be detrimental or injurious to the public and other property or improvements in the neighborhood nor affect the character of the neighborhood in any way. We believe that by allowing this variance, property values would only increase within our beautiful neighborhood.

Sincerely,
Neil \& Kara Crane


Address

 Creek Bend Road, Dallas TX 75082. Please check box and initial

Signature:


Date:


## LETTER OF INTENT

## Dear Neighbors of Windpiper Dr. and Creek Bend Rd.:

We are writing this letter to request a signature in support of a zoning variance on our property at 7021 Creek Bend Road. As you are likely aware, our lot has some unique challenges in terms of buildable area due to the shape of the lot, in addition to having dual frontage conditions with the roads on both sides and intersecting at the south point of the lot. The dual frontage condition triggers a double setback requirement of 30 feet on both sides, essentially this house is projected to have two front yards according to the way it is zoned.

Since the house has a Creek Bend Rd. address, it is suitable to have the front setback at 30 ft . on the Creek Bend Rd. side. However, the back of the house faces Windpiper Dr., and to adhere to a 30 ft . setback would impact a large portion of the square footage of the house.

Due to the particular shape and location of the property, if the zoning regulation were to be carried out, building a comparable home in line with other homes in the neighborhood would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, thereby causing a significant hardship, as well as future marketability of the lot.

## We are requesting an 8 ft setback on Windpiper Dr., this is the minimum variance that will make possible the least modification of the regulation to allow reasonable use of the lot.

It is our opinion that granting the variance will not be detrimental or injurious to the public and other property or improvements in the neighborhood nor affect the character of the neighborhood in any way. We believe that by allowing this variance, property values would only increase within our beautiful neighborhood.

Sincerely,
Neil \& Kara Crane

Name:


Address:
 , am in support and approve the zoning variance request for 7021
Creek Bend Road, Dallas TX 45082. Please check box and initial


Date:
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[^1]:    Name/Title/Department

