Memorandum



DATE: June 15, 2018

- TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
- **SUBJECT:** Audit Follow-Up of Prior Audit Recommendations Audit of the Design of the City of Dallas' Pandemic Influenza Continuity of Operations Basic Plan¹

Between Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 and FY 2017, the Office of Emergency Management (OEM), with the assistance of a consulting firm and certain department directors, identified and prioritized City of Dallas' (City) mission essential functions and updated the City's Continuity of Operations (COOP) Basic Plan and department specific annexes (refer to textbox). This updated information was used by Department of Communication and the Information Services (CIS) to develop a framework for a comprehensive Disaster Recovery Plan (DRP). Although annual updates are required, in FY 2017 most of the departments' annexes were not updated.

Despite measurable progress by OEM and CIS (see Attachment II), the three recommendations (page 2) included in the original audit, *Audit of the Design of the City of Dallas' Pandemic Influenza Continuity of Operations Basic Plan*, Report No. A13-009, September 13, 2013, were not fully implemented.

Background

Continuity of operations provides procedures and guidance to sustain an organization's mission essential functions at an alternate site for up to 30 days; mandated by Federal directives.

Source: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), SP 800-34 *Contingency Planning Guide for Federal Information Systems,* Chapter 2, Page 12

The City of Dallas' (City) Continuity of Operations (COOP) Basic Plan was initially titled the Pandemic Influenza Continuity of Operations Basic Plan to show compliance with the use of the Federal grant designed to support emergency operations. The City's COOP Basic Plan; however, is broader and endeavors to address all hazards.

The City's COOP Basic Plan provides an overview of the City's approach to continuity operations. The City departments' specific information are included in annexes to the City's COOP Basic Plan.

Source: Office of Emergency Management

¹ We conducted this audit under the authority of the City Charter, Chapter IX, Section 3, and in accordance with the Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 Audit Plan approved by the City Council. This performance audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The audit objective was to evaluate whether, as of December 31, 2017, certain FY 2013 prior audit recommendations were implemented. The audit methodology included requesting management of the Office of Emergency Management and the Department of Communication and Information Services to report on the implementation status of three recommendations which management agreed to implement. The auditors also conducted interviews, reviewed documentation, and performed other tests as deemed necessary.

Three Recommendations from the Original Audit

- 1. The City Manager and/or designated continuity personnel develop and implement the ten Continuity Management Functions of the COOP Plan
- 2. The City Manager and/or designated continuity personnel provide periodic updates to the City Council on the project to ensure transparency
- 3. The City Manager and the Director of CIS develop a DRP using the information from the ten Continuity Management Functions of the COOP Plan

As a result, the City may not be able to demonstrate business continuity when faced with disruptions or emergency events that impact Dallas City Hall and/or City related operations. In addition, the City's COOP Basic Plan and the departments' annexes may be ineffective without a comprehensive DRP to support recovery after a disruption or emergency event. The DRP refers to a coordinated strategy involving plan, procedures, and technical measures that enable the recovery of information technology (IT) systems, operations, and data.

Full recommendation implementation required City management to: (1) address 50 critical characteristics identified in the original audit as missing components from the ten Continuity Management Functions; (2) communicate with City Council; and, (3) develop a comprehensive DRP. As of December 31, 2017, this Follow-Up Audit of Prior Audit Recommendations determined 13 of the required elements were implemented, 24 were not implemented, and 13 were no longer considered applicable and were therefore not tested². The detailed recommendation implementation status shows the areas where missing components continue to exist in the continuity planning process and supporting continuity planning elements (See Attachment I).

In addition, without the City's COOP Basic Plan and departments' annexes, CIS did not have all the required information (e.g. list of applications, network needs, and access to key data files) to develop a comprehensive DRP.

² In 2013, the Federal Emergency Management Agency updated the Continuity Assistance Tool (CAT) included in the Continuity Guidance for Non-Federal Governments (States Territories, Tribes, and Local Government Jurisdictions). As a result, some critical characteristics in the 2009 CAT are no longer represented as high priority criteria necessary for functional continuity programs and plans. For this Audit Follow-Up of Prior Audit Recommendations, a missing component no longer identified as a critical characteristic was not tested and is stated as such in Attachment I.

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council June 15, 2018 Page 3 of 5

Factors Influencing the City's Ability to Fully Establish Business Continuity

According to OEM and CIS management the following factors influenced the City's ability to fully establish business continuity

- An administrative directive that establishes the City's policy regarding business continuity planning³ and the COOP Basic Plan at both a citywide and departmental level
- An organizational structure, that clearly defines roles, responsibilities, and accountability
- Dedicated continuity of operations personnel with the appropriate authority and resources
- Departmental commitment to ensure the City's COOP Basic Plan and the departments' annexes are complete, including adequate IT resources and other supplies necessary to restore and maintain essential functions for 30 days; regularly updated (at least annually), and properly tested
- Efficient IT systems to document the departments' annexes and monitor annual updates
- Consensus among departments regarding which IT systems must be restored

The Federal Emergency Management Agency Continuity Guidance Circulars (CGCs) 1 and 2, issued in 2009 and 2010, respectively, and updated in 2013 were the criteria for the initial audit and this Audit Follow-up of Prior Audit Recommendations. The CGCs 1 and 2 provide direction to "non-Federal Governments (States, Territories, Tribes, and Local Government Jurisdictions)" for developing continuity plans and programs. According to the CGC-1, continuity planning is the good business practice of ensuring the execution of essential functions under all circumstances.

Continuity consists of all activities conducted by jurisdictions to ensure that their essential functions can be performed. These efforts include plans and procedures that delineate essential functions; specify succession to office and emergency delegation of authority; provide for the safekeeping of and access to essential records and databases; identify alternate operating strategies; provide for continuity communications; provide for human resources planning; validate these capabilities through test, training, and exercise programs; specify devolution of control and direction; and provide for reconstitution.

³ The Business Continuity Plan (BCP) focuses on sustaining an organization's mission/business processes during and after a disruption. A BCP may be used for long-term recovery in conjunction with the COOP plan, allowing for additional functions to come online as resources or time allow. Because mission/business processes use information systems (IS), the business continuity planner must coordinate with IS owners to ensure that the BCP expectations and IS capabilities are matched. **Source:** NIST, SP 800-34 *Contingency Planning Guide for Federal Information Systems*, Chapter 2, page 8.

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council June 15, 2018 Page 4 of 5

Principle 3, Establish Structure, Responsibility, and Authority, of the Standards for Internal Control for the Federal Government by the Comptroller General of the United States (the Green Book) identified the need for management to establish an organizational structure, assign responsibility and delegate authority to achieve the entity's objectives. Specifically:

- Management establishes the organizational structure necessary to enable the entity to plan, execute, control, and assess the organization in achieving its objectives. Management develops the overall responsibilities from the entity's objectives that enable the entity to achieve its objectives and address related risks. [3.02]
- Management establishes reporting lines within an organizational structure so that units can communicate the quality information necessary for each unit to fulfill its overall responsibilities. [3.04]
- Management determines what level of authority each key role needs to fulfill a responsibility. Management delegates authority only to the extent required to achieve the entity's objectives. [3.08]

Recommendation I

We recommend the City Manager in coordination with the Directors of OEM and CIS:

- Develop an administrative directive that establishes the City's policy regarding business continuity planning and the COOP Basic Plan at both a citywide and departmental level
- Establish an organizational structure that clearly defines roles, responsibilities, and accountability related to business continuity planning and the COOP Basic Plan
- Dedicate continuity of operations personnel with the appropriate authority and resources
- Obtain departmental commitment to ensure: (1) the City's COOP Basic Plan and the departments' annexes are complete, including adequate IT resources and other supplies necessary to restore and maintain essential functions for 30 days; (2) regularly updated (at least annually); and, (3) properly tested
- Develop or obtain IT systems to document the departments' annexes and monitor annual updates
- Reach a consensus among departments regarding which IT systems must be restored

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council June 15, 2018 Page 5 of 5

Recommendation II

We recommend the City Manager in coordination with the Director of CIS:

• Develop a comprehensive DRP by establishing a formal plan that includes measurable interim milestones to demonstrate progress

Recommendation III

We recommend the City Manager periodically briefs the City Council on the City's business continuity.

Please see Attachment III for management's response to the recommendations.

The Office would like to acknowledge City management and staff for their assistance. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (214) 670-3222 or Carol A. Smith, First Assistant City Auditor, at (214) 670-4517.

Sincerely,

Ciais D. Kinton

Craig D. Kinton City Auditor

Attachments

 C: T. C. Broadnax, City Manager Kimberly B. Tolbert, Chief of Staff Jon Fortune, Assistant City Manager Jo M. (Jody) Puckett, P.E., Interim Assistant City Manager William Finch, Director – Department of Communication and Information Services Rocky Vaz, Director – Office of Emergency Management

ATTACHMENT I

	Continuity Management Function⁴	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)⁵
1	Essential Functions	(1) The essential functions were not identified using a business process analysis which incorporates the elements of risk, prioritization, and mapping interdependencies inherent in an organization.	Not Implemented
	The limited set of organization level functions that should be	(2) Specific criteria for defining essential functions were not available for departments to distinguish between general operational functions and those that are critical for continuity planning.	Not Implemented
	continued throughout, or resumed rapidly after, a disruption of normal activities.	(3) Sufficient guidance on how to evaluate recovery times for essential functions was not provided and departments' identified recovery times based on "criticality."	Implemented
		(4) The essential functions are missing key information (interim process, phone numbers, vital records, key equipment needs).	Not Implemented
		(5) The manual interim process, related vital records, procurement needs, and security considerations for essential functions are not included.	Implemented

Elements of a Viable Continuity Capability

⁴ The September 13, 2013 Audit of the Design of the City of Dallas' Pandemic Influenza Continuity of Operations Basic Plan indicated the Continuity Management Functions: Order of Succession, Delegation of Authority, Continuity Communications and Test, Training and Exercise Programs, were partially satisfied. The remaining six Continuity Management Functions were not satisfied.

⁵ In 2013, the Federal Emergency Management Agency updated the Continuity Assistance Tool (CAT) included in the *Continuity Guidance for Non-Federal Governments* (States Territories, Tribes, and Local Government Jurisdictions). As a result, some critical characteristics in the 2009 CAT are no longer represented as high priority criteria necessary for functional continuity programs and plans. For this Audit Follow-Up of Prior Audit Recommendations, a missing component no longer identified as a critical characteristic was not tested and is stated as such in Attachment I.

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
2	Orders of Succession	(1) The orders of succession are not always three positions deep or identified for certain essential functions.	Not Tested
	Provisions for the	(2) Personnel identified as the primary lead also serve as the backup for other essential functions.	Not Implemented
	assumption of senior agency offices during an emergency in the event that any of	(3) The methods of notification for the personnel in the orders of succession are limited to work phone numbers and e-mails. These methods of notification are generally the first form of communications that are not operating or functional during an emergency event.	Not Implemented
	those officials are unavailable to execute their legal duties.	(4) The orders of succession are not reviewed periodically and are not accurate or complete.	Not Implemented

	Continuity Management Function		Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
3	Delegations of Authority	(1)	Each delegated position's authority and responsibility for making policy determinations and decisions at headquarters, field levels, and all other organizational locations are not stated.	Not Implemented
	Identification, by position, of the authorities for	(2)	The identified delegates and their roles are not communicated and included appropriately in the Continuity Management Functions including Vital Records, Continuity Facilities, and Continuity Communications.	Not Implemented
	making policy determinations and decisions at headquarters, field levels, and all other organizational locations. Generally, pre-determined delegations of authority will take effect when normal channels of direction have been disrupted and will lapse when these channels have been re-established.	(3)	The list of pre-delegates is not reviewed periodically and therefore does not account for changes in personnel.	Not Implemented

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
4	Continuity Facilities	(1) The continuity location's selection is not based on identifiable criteria, such as geographic location, electricity grids, potential road hazards, or accessibility to location during severe weather conditions.	Not Implemented
	Continuity facilities are	(2) Not all business processes have a primary location and two continuity locations identified.	Implemented
	locations from which leadership	(3) Continuity location references are not specific.	Implemented
	and critical positions may operate during a continuity event.	(4) A continuity location may not be able to support the operations of all departments that identified that location as a continuity location.	Implemented
	These may include one or many facilities or	(5) The identified continuity locations have not been tested or validated to determine whether they have the capacity to support essential functions for up to 30 days, such as health, safety, and security of employees.	Not Implemented
	virtual offices from which to continue	(6) Memorandums of Understanding, where City departments intend to use other City department's primary locations as an alternate location for emergencies, are not outlined.	Not Implemented
	essential operations.	(7) Agreements with vendors, other cities, or entities that the City is placing reliance upon during emergencies have not been developed.	Implemented

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
5	Continuity Communications	(1) Minimum communication requirements for primary and alternate continuity facilities are not established.	Implemented
	Continuity communications are the systems	(2) Interoperable and available communication capabilities are not in sufficient quantities to support essential functions for at least 30 days.	Not Implemented
	that support full connectivity among leadership, internal elements, and other organizations to perform Essential Functions during a continuity event.	(3) Sufficient communication capabilities are not available to support senior leadership and City staff when alternate facilities are being used by more than one department.	Not Implemented

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
6	Vital Records Management	(1) An inventory of key records for emergency operating records or rights and interests are not available.	Implemented
	Records management is	(2) The appendices in each City department's plan, where vital records are generally identified, are not limited to essential functions.	Implemented
	the identification, protection, and availability of information	(3) Memorandums of Understanding between the City departments and CIS outlining the data classification needs are not in place.	Not Implemented
	systems and (4) applications, electronic and hardcopy	(4) Alternate location(s) do not have hard or electronic copies of data stored along with the appropriate media necessary to read the vital data including network support.	Not Implemented
		(5) Protection for vital records, including passcodes, access codes, keys, and other means for disbursing sensitive information during emergencies are not established.	Not Implemented
	to support Essential Functions during	(6) An annual review that includes rotations and cycles of latest versions of vital records at various locations is not performed.	Not Implemented
	a continuity event.	(7) A training program for City personnel to focus on identifying, inventorying, protecting, storing, accessing, and updating vital records is not completed.	Not Implemented

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
7	Human Capital	(1) The City has not established an emergency relocation group with the specific skill sets to perform essential functions or support tasks in an emergency event.	Implemented
	Human capital	(2) The City has not developed and implemented a process to decument, communicate, and train continuity	
	involves policies, plans, and procedures that	(2) The City has not developed and implemented a process to document, communicate, and train continuity personnel of their roles and responsibilities, including preparedness planning.	Implemented
	, address human capital needs during a	(3) The City has not developed a process to communicate with staff on how to report to work during emergency events.	Not Implemented
	continuity event, such as guidance	(4) The City has not implemented a process to contact and account for all staff during an emergency event.	Not Implemented
	on pay, leave, work scheduling, benefits,	(5) The City has not identified a continuity coordinator to work with the City's Department of Human Resources to resolve human capital issues related to an emergency planning.	Not Implemented
	telework, hiring, authorities, and flexibilities.	(6) The City has not developed key policies related to emergency planning, including employee leave and compensation, telecommuting, social distancing, and other human resource related activities, such as work scheduling and benefits.	Not Implemented
		(7) The City's Administrative Directive 2-5, <i>Emergency Evacuation,</i> is obsolete and does not include physical infrastructure changes, such as restriction to stairs and changes to evacuation strategies since 1995.	Not Implemented

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
8	Test, Training,	Test	Not Tested
	and Exercise Program	The City does not have an annual testing program that includes validation of:	
	An effective Test, Training, and	(1) Alert, notification, and activation procedures for continuity personnel	
	Exercise Program identifies, trains,	(2) Recovery of vital records, critical information systems, services, and data	
	and prepares personnel capable	(3) Primary and backup infrastructure systems and services (e.g., power, water, fuel) at continuity facilities	
	of performing their continuity responsibilities	(4) Physical security capabilities	
	and implementing	(5) Equipment to ensure internal and external interoperability and viability of communication systems	
	procedures to support the continuation of	(6) Personnel capabilities to perform essential functions	
	Essential	(7) Dependencies, internal and external, with respect to essential functions	
	Functions. Training provides the skills and	Training	Not Tested
	familiarizes personnel with	The City does not have a training program that includes continuity training for:	
	, procedures and tasks. Tests and	(1) The entire workforce	
	exercises serve to assess and validate all the	(2) Continuity personnel who assume authority and responsibility and/or assigned to activate, support, and sustain continuity operations	
	components of continuity plans, policies.	(3) Continuity leadership	
	policies, procedures, systems, and	(4) Department-delegated personnel authorized for making policy determinations	
	facilities	(5) Using or relocating to continuity facilities	

Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
	Training (continued from previous page: The City does not have a training program that includes continuity training for)	
	(6) Communication capabilities	
	(7) Identification, protection, and availability of vital records	
	(8) Devolution	
	(9) Reconstitution of operations	
	Exercise	
	Between 2010 and 2012, the City participated in two actual events and implemented one desktop exercise. The After- Action Reports for the two actual events identified similar issues that were not addressed. These issues included:	Not Tested
	(1) Inadequate training for DPD and DFR personnel on the implementation of Incident Command System	
	(2) Inconsistent resource staffing where personnel were overstaffed or not available	
	(3) Deficiencies in continuity facilities where the facilities were not identified or were not sufficient for operations	
	(4) Insufficient communication equipment and/or missing communication equipment	

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
9	Devolution of	The City does not have devolution plans that:	
	Control and Direction	(1) Include a program plan and procedures; budgeting and acquisitions; essential functions; orders of	Implemented
	Devolution is the capability to transfer statutory	succession; delegations of authority; continuity communications; vital records management; human capital; test, training and exercise program; and, reconstitution elements of a viable continuity of operations capability	
	authority and responsibility for Essential	(2) Identify prioritized essential functions for devolution, define tasks, and resources to facilitate immediate transfer to devolution site	Not Tested
	Functions from primary operating staff and facilities	(3) Include a roster that identifies fully equipped and trained personnel who will be stationed at the devolution site with the authority to perform essential functions	Not Tested
	to other employees and facilities. It also	(4) Identify what would likely activate or "trigger" the devolution option	Not Tested
	provides the means to sustain	(5) Specify how and when direction and control of organization will be transferred to and from the devolution site	Not Tested
	that operational capability for an extended period.	(6) List the necessary resources to facilitate the performance of essential functions at the devolution site	Not Tested
	estended period.	(7) Establish and maintain reliable processes and procedures for acquiring the resources necessary to continue essential functions for extended periods	Not Tested
		(8) Establish and maintain capability to resource or reconstitute organization authorities to their pre-event status	Not Tested

(8) Establish and maintain capability to resource or reconstitute organization authorities to their pre-event status **Not Tested** upon termination of devolution

	Continuity Management Function	Required Elements Identified as Missing Components in the Original Audit	Follow-Up Status (Implemented/ Not Implemented)
10	Reconstitution Operations	The City does not have a reconstitution plan to return to normal operations consisting of:	
	Reconstitution planning is the	(1) An executable plan for transitioning back to efficient normal operational status once a threat or disruption has passed	Implemented
	process by which organizations/	(2) Options to move operations from the devolution sites to either the original operating facility, or, if necessary, to a new operating facility	Not Tested
	personnel resume normal operations from the original or a replacement primary operating facility.	(3) Necessary procedures for conducting a smooth transition from the relocation site(s) to a new facility(ies)	Not Tested

ATTACHMENT II

As shown in Table I below, according to the Office of Emergency Management (OEM) and the Department of Communication and Information Services (CIS), since the final acceptance of the City of Dallas' (City) Continuity of Operations (COOP) Basic Plan and the Departments' annexes in December 2015, both departments have facilitated progress towards business continuity by assisting departments in completing updates to their annexes or in continuing to develop necessary components of a Disaster Recovery Plan (DRP).

Table I

Progress Towards Business Continuity

Date/Fiscal Year	Progress Facilitated by OEM
December 2016	Reviewed all departmental annexes and noted specific vagaries, deficiencies, and omitted information and provided this information to each department to act upon
February 2016Provided training modules tailored to each department for training staff on the COOP Basic Plan and the exp departments in the event the COOP Basic Plan is activated	
December 2017	Engaged all Tier 1 departments to conduct a thorough review and update to their COOPs
March 27, 2018	Five of the seven Tier 1 departments have completed draft annex updates that should be finalized within 30 days
December 2015 June 2016 (Cart upgrade)	Purchased and staged equipment at the locations Tier 1 departments relocate to in a COOP event. Laptops, printers, radios, vital records and software, and copies of department annexes are located at these sites.
July 21, 2015 December 14, 2018	Conducted two full-scale tests of the certain annexes in conjunction with Dallas City Hall Evacuation drills. These exercises have relocated staff from Tier 1 departments to their designated back-up location to test equipment that has been pre-staged for functionality and to test communications procedures outlined in the annexes.
September 15, 2015 August 23, 2017 November 2, 2017 January 23, 2018	Conducted four exercises of the back-up 9-1-1 center. These exercises relocated dispatch and call taker staff to the back-up location, required set-up of the center, and the taking of live calls to test the capability of the City of Dallas (City) to continue operations in the event of a loss of operating capacity at the primary location.

Date/Fiscal Year	Progress Facilitated by CIS
Fiscal Year 2015	The CIS began building an Information Technology (IT) Disaster Recovery Program (Program). The CIS hired a Disaster Recovery (DR) Manager in September 2015 to head the Program. The DR Manager reviewed and created standards, completed a gap analysis of existing resources, socialized findings with CIS Executives, Senior IT Managers, IT Managers and departmental staff, plus created the first ever City DR Lab (without increasing funding for disaster recovery). The DR Lab is used for the testing of recovery processes including applications.
Fiscal Year 2018	Currently, CIS is testing moving the DR Lab out of Dallas City Hall as a possible replacement to outside services. Working with the departmental and CIS managers, the DR Manager has developed 58 process mappings, 18 DR plans (departmental and application), and performed 36 table top or off-site tests. CIS will continue to work with the departmental and CIS managers, via its iterative methodology.

Source: OEM and CIS

ATTACHMENT III

Management's Response

RECEIVED

Memorandum

JUN 5 2018

City Auditor's Office



CITY OF DALLAS

- DATE: May 31, 2018
 - TO: Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
- SUBJECT: Response to Audit Report: Audit Follow-Up of Prior Audit Recommendations – Audit of the Design of the City of Dallas' Pandemic Influenza Continuity of Operations Basic Plan

Our responses to the audit report recommendations are as follows:

Recommendation I

We recommend the City Manager in coordination with the Directors of OEM and CIS:

- Develop an administrative directive that establishes the City's policy regarding business continuity planning and the COOP Basic Plan at both a citywide and departmental level
- Establish an organizational structure that clearly defines roles, responsibilities, and accountability related to business continuity planning and the COOP Basic Plan
- Dedicate continuity of operations personnel with the appropriate authority and resources
- Obtain departmental commitment to ensure: (1) the City's COOP Basic Plan and the departments' annexes are complete, including adequate IT resources and other supplies necessary to restore and maintain essential functions for 30 days; (2) regularly updated (at least annually); and, (3) properly tested
- Develop or obtain IT systems to document the departments' annexes and monitor annual updates
- Reach a consensus among departments regarding which IT systems must be restored

"Our Product is Service" Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

Page 1 of 6

RECEIVED

Management Response / Corrective Action Plan

Agree Disagree

Since the original audit findings in 2016 and throughout the process of the follow up audit the Office of Emergency Management has acted to implement all the recommendations as per the guidance (Continuity Assessment Tool, 2009) used to conduct the original audit.

In a continual effort to make improvements to plans, policies and procedures, the Office of Emergency Management continues to implement the auditor's recommendations in future updates to the citywide Continuity of Operations Plan. We continue to monitor and engage departments to ensure their continuity plans are maintained and that departments are using the training documents provided by OEM to familiarize staff on the specifics of each Continuity of Operations Plan.

Since the final acceptance of the Continuity of Operations Plan, OEM has taken the following steps to assist departments in completing updates to their Continuity of Operations Plans:

Actions Taken:

- Reviewed all departmental COOP plans in December 2016 and noted specific vagaries, deficiencies, and omitted information and provided this information to each department to act upon.
- Provided training modules tailored to each department for training staff on the Continuity of Operations Plan and the expected actions of departments in the event the plan is activated.
- OEM has provided and will continue to provide technical assistance during review and revision processes to facilitate a smooth process and increase departmental knowledge of what is required in the departmental annexes.
- Engaged all Tier 1 departments in December 2017 to conduct a thorough review and update to their Continuity of Operations Plans. As of this writing
 - a. 5 of the 10 Tier 1 departments have completed their review and update process
 - b. The remaining 5 departments will complete plan review and update process by August 30, 2018.
- 5. OEM has reformatted annexes to
 - a. Be more readable and user-friendly
 - b. Provide ease and flexibility during the review and revision process
 - c. Centralizing forms tor documentation of revisions, document changes, training, and drills and exercises.

"Our Product is Service" Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

Page 2 of 6

- Created a SharePoint site to migrate all COOP plans to facilitating easier revisions and encouraging more frequent updates by departments. OEM will provide administration of the site, but each department will have readonly rights to all annexes and editing rights to their own departmental annex.
- 7. Changed Tier 1 departments schedule of review and revision from once a year to biannual review to ensure accuracy of information. Tier 1 departments will begin review and revision in December 1st every year with finalization no later than April 1st. The second review will be a personnel, vendor, and contact information review beginning in August with a completion date no later than September 1st.

Tier 2 and 3 departments will be required to complete an annual review of plans beginning on December 1st with finalization no later than April 1st.

- 8. Inserted a promulgation statement in each departmental annex that affirms the department's commitment to maintaining a current COOP plan that includes staff training and exercises. These statements are signed off by the department directors as the final step in the revision cycle.
- Purchased and staged equipment at the locations Tier 1 departments relocate to in a Continuity of Operations event. Laptops, printers, radios, vital records & software, and copies of Continuity of Operations Plans are located at these sites.
- 10. Conducted two full-scale tests of the Continuity of Operations Plan in conjunction with City Hall Evacuation drills. These exercises have relocated staff from Tier 1 departments to their designated back-up location to test equipment that has been pre-staged for functionality and to test communications procedures outlined in the Continuity of Operations Plans.
- 11. Conducted four exercises of the back-up 9-1-1 center. These exercises relocate dispatch and call taker staff to the back-up location, require setup of the center, and the taking of live calls to test the capability of the City to continue operations in the event of a loss of operating capacity at the primary location.

In Progress Actions:

- 1. OEM will create and implement an Administrative Directive to govern the City of Dallas Continuity of Operations program by October 30, 2018
- OEM will develop a COOP management structure (committee) that will oversee the development, implementation, and maintenance of the City's COOP program by October 30, 2018.
- In final stages of completion of a "hot site" back up 9-1-1 center and a backup OEM emergency operations center to be operational by August 30, 2018.

"Our Product is Service" Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

Page 3 of 6

The Office of Emergency Management will continue to implement and refine actions taken to maintain and develop the City's Continuity of Operations Program. We will assign the appropriate resources to implement the recommendations made by the City Auditor.

In the final analysis, the Office of Emergency Management recognizes the urgency of preparedness prior to emergency events taking place. We will continue to work with departments to update and enhance Continuity of Operations Plans to ensure that critical services can be quickly reconstituted in an emergency to provide service to the residents and guests of the City of Dallas.

Implementation Date 10/1/2019

Responsible Manager Rocky Vaz, Managing Director, Office of Emergency Management

Recommendation II

We recommend the City Manager in coordination with the Director of CIS:

 Develop a comprehensive DRP by establishing a formal plan that includes measurable interim milestones to demonstrate progress

Management Response / Corrective Action Plan

Agree 🛛 Disagree 🗌

Beginning in 2016, Communication and Information Services (CIS) took actions to implement the recommendations reported in the original audit. CIS has worked jointly with OEM and directly with City departments to expand the City's COOP, Annexes, and DRP's for the entire City.

In 2018, CIS continues to make improvements to plans, policies and procedures in an ongoing effort to implement the auditor's recommendations. CIS continues to monitor and directly engage departments to ensure their business continuity and DR plans are maintained. CIS has also provided training documents to familiarize staff on the specifics of each Business Continuity/DR Plan (BCP/DRP).

CIS began building an Information Technology (IT) Disaster Recovery Program (Program) in 2015 by hiring a Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity Manager (DR Manager). The DR Manager reviewed and created standards, completed a gap analysis of existing resources, socialized findings with CIS Executives, Senior IT Managers and departmental staff, and created the first-ever City DR

> "Our Product is Service" Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

Page 4 of 6

Lab (without increasing funding for disaster recovery). The DR Lab is used for the testing of recovery processes including applications.

Working with the departmental and CIS managers, the DR Manager has developed 58 process mappings, 18 DR plans (departmental and application), and performed 36 table top or off-site tests. CIS will continue to work with the departmental and CIS managers via its iterative testing methodology.

CIS recognizes the urgency of Business Continuity. Working in concert with OEM, CIS will continue its ongoing work of updating and enhancing BCPs and DRPs to ensure that critical services can be quickly restored to the residents and guests of the City of Dallas during service interruptions.

CIS will establish a formal plan, including measurable interim milestones to demonstrate progress, to develop an enterprise DRP.

Implementation Date

Refine requirements – October 1, 2018 Update formal plan – December 31, 2018 Demonstrate progress – Provide annual updates to Internal Audit

Responsible Manager CIS Disaster Recovery Manager

Recommendation III

We recommend the City Manager periodically briefs the City Council on the City's business continuity.

Management Response / Corrective Action Plan

Agree 🛛 Disagree 🗍

The Office of Emergency Management and the Department of Communications and Information Services will provide periodic updates to the City Council of the implementation of recommendations I and II outlined in this report.

Implementation Date

Upon completion of each milestone outlined in this memorandum.

Responsible Manager

Rocky Vaz, Managing Director, Office of Emergency Management William Finch, Director, Department of Communication and Information Services

"Our Product is Service" Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

Page 5 of 6

Audit Follow-Up of Prior Audit Recommendations – Audit of the Design of the City of Dallas' Pandemic Influenza Continuity of Operations Basic Plan

T. C. Broadnax City Manager

Jon brtune Assistant City Manager

Jo M (Jody) Puckett P.E, Interim Assistant City Manager

> C: Kimberly B. Tolbert, Chief of Staff William Finch, Director – Department of Communication and Information Services Rocky Vaz, Director – Office of Emergency Management

> > "Our Product is Service" Empathy | Ethics | Excellence | Equity

Page 6 of 6