ANNUAL REPORT

DALLAS EXECUTIVE AIRPORT

March 14, 2010

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 14, 2006, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) signed and published the latest version of the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Storm Water Multi-Sector General Permit TXR050000. This permit oversees storm water discharges from 30 types of industrial activities, including those involving air transportation. Air transportation facilities that are classified as SIC Code 45 and which have vehicle maintenance shops, equipment cleaning operations, and airport or aircraft deicing/anti-icing operations are regulated under this permitting program. Areas located within a regulated air transportation facility that are directly involved in vehicle maintenance (e.g., vehicle rehabilitation, mechanical repairs, painting, fueling, lubrication, etc.), equipment cleaning activities, and airport or aircraft deicing operations are specified as industrial activities and require permit coverage. The specific requirements for these activities are found under Sector S of the TPDES Multi Sector General Permit.

The Aviation Department and tenants filed NOIs for the TPDES MS General Permit within 90 days of the implementation date. On July 1, 2003, the Aviation Department and its tenants transferred to the current SWPPP (dated April 2003). This SWPPP replaced the "Dallas Redbird Airport Storm Water Pollution Plan, City of Dallas, Texas" dated September 1996 for the Aviation Department and their tenants. The 2003 SWPPP meets the TPDES MS General Permit. (Some tenants may also have individual SWPPPs that are more specific to their industrial activity and are more stringent than this document.)

This permit requires that qualified personnel conduct a "Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation" at least once yearly.

Objectives of this comprehensive evaluation are as follows:

- 1. Confirm the accuracy of the description of potential pollutant sources contained in the SWPPP
- 2. Determine the effectiveness of the SWPPP.
- 3. Modify the SWPPP as necessary.
- 4. Assess compliance with the terms and conditions of the facility's storm water permit.

The Dallas Executive Airport (RBD) site compliance evaluations were conducted by Dallas Department of Aviation (DOA) Environmental Specialists and Pollution Prevention Team (PPT) members.

This annual report is required by the permit. It defines the scope and summarizes the Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation performed during the 2009 permit year. It is to be retained as part of the SWPPP for at least three years from date of evaluation.

The current SWPPP for RBD was made effective in April, 2003. It identified airport operators that have elected to become co-permittees with the DOA to obtain General Permit coverage for storm water discharges associated with industrial activities from their area of operation. It also included an inventory of exposed materials, descriptions of potential pollution sources as well as pollution prevention measures and controls. All airport operators that became co-permittees by the SWPPP effective date, and whose employees or subtenants perform industrial activities were included in this Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation. Results of this Evaluation are presented as Attachment 1. For the purpose of implementing the SWPPP, the permit year is from January 1 to December 31.

TCEQ Airport Inspection

No TCEQ inspections were performed at Dallas Executive Airport during the 2006 permit year.

Dallas Storm Water Industrial Inspection

Dallas Storm Water conducted an industrial storm water inspection at Dallas Executive Airport that encompassed the Aviation Department and all permitted tenants.

II. SCOPE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

The Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation was conducted in each operator's lease or contracted work area(s) as well as applicable DOA work areas and associated storm water structural control facilities. The evaluation process consisted of several parts, including:

- 1. Verification of owner/operator information
- 2. Confirmation of the accuracy of potential pollutant sources as reported in the SWPPP
- 3. Review of operator's recordkeeping practices, and
- 4. Assessment of compliance with terms and conditions of the permit as reflected by operator compliance with the measures and controls contained in the SWPPP.

Initially, operator/leaseholder information was obtained and recorded. This included the name and telephone number of the operator or operator's representative present during the evaluation, leaseholder or subtenant status, and, if a subtenant, the name of the leaseholder's representative present during the evaluation.

The second component of the evaluation confirmed information regarding potential pollutant sources as currently recorded in the SWPPP. The airport operator's industrial activity summary contained in Appendix I of the SWPPP was reviewed and the operator/leasehold site map was revised, if necessary, to reflect any changes in the occurrence of industrial activities.

The third component related to the operator's recordkeeping practices. Important records, such as the operator's TCEQ permit number, certifications, completed self-inspection forms, training records, etc, were to be kept in the SWPPP or referenced elsewhere. A discussion was held with the operator/leaseholder emphasizing the importance of retaining these records in an accessible manner.

The fourth component of the evaluation assessed compliance with permit conditions and is related to the inspection process described in Section VI of the RBD SWPPP. As described in the SWPPP, a two-part inspection process has been implemented in response to the general permit requirements. The first part is an annual self-inspection conducted by the operator. Inspection checklists that pertain to specific industrial activities are to be completed by the operator during the self-inspection process. The Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation is the second part of the process, and it follows the completion of the operator's self-inspection.

The inspection checklists are as follows:

- Aircraft, Ground Vehicle, and Equipment Maintenance Areas
- Aircraft, Ground Vehicle, and Equipment Cleaning Areas
- Chemical/Material Storage Areas
- Fueling Activities
- Training Program
- Tenant/Operator Storm Water and Pollution Controls
- DOA Storm Water Structures, Pollution Controls and Sediment Controls
- Wet Weather Visual Inspections
- Dry Weather Evaluations

During the fourth component of the Site Compliance Evaluation, the evaluation team reviewed copies of completed checklists, and a walk-through inspection of the operator's industrial area(s) was

performed where adherence to the Best Management Practices (BMPs) was noted. If necessary, a follow-up inspection was scheduled to review actions taken by the operator to resolve SWPPP compliance issues. The evaluation inspections were also conducted for industrial activities performed in DOA work areas and for airport storm water structural controls.

III. RESULTS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

The evaluation process was conducted from October 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010. It included all operators that were permittees on January 2010, and whose employees or subtenants were performing industrial activities at RBD. Attachment 1 of this report contains a summary of the compliance efforts of airport operators to implement measures and controls contained in the SWPPP. The information presented in this report is based on information obtained from the RBD Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation process. The compliance report in Attachment 1 lists the operator under evaluation, the date(s) of the evaluation, PPT personnel conducting the evaluation, major observations relating to implementation of the SWPPP and identification of any incidents of noncompliance. It is to be kept for a minimum of three years from the date of evaluation. The major observations that were noted during the evaluation process are described below.

Aircraft, Vehicle, and Equipment Maintenance Areas

There were no cases of non-compliance noted for this item during the facility inspections at Dallas Executive Airport. In all instances maintenance activities were located under cover, drip pans were used when needed, spill kits were placed in appropriate locations, daily visual inspections were performed, proper disposal procedures noted for spent rags and wipes, temporary berms around drains were used properly.

Chemical/Material Storage Areas

There were three cases of non-compliance noted for this item during the facility inspections at Dallas Executive Airport. Inappropriate storage practices were found, and drums were not stored indoors or under cover or were found without secondary containment and lids were missing. Tenants revaluated subtenant operations and upon re-inspection the facilities had compliant storage areas. Dumpsters were kept closed during business, or closed at the end of the business day. Each tenant performs daily visual inspections of their leasehold area.

Spill Control Equipment

There were no instances of non-compliance noted for this item during the site assessments of Dallas Executive Airport. In all instances spill control equipment is accessible and located appropriately. Disposal of used containment and clean-up materials is handled properly. Spill response and reporting plans are sufficient.

Aircraft, Vehicle and Equipment Wash Area

No cases of non-compliance for this item were documented during the site investigations at Dallas Executive Airport. Tenants with oil/water separators maintain and inspect the separators appropriately. Washing practices are following the prescribed BMPs.

Fueling Activity

Fueling activity occurs in designated areas by qualified personnel, tenants perform daily visual inspection of equipment, and fueling does not occur within 50 feet of a storm drain. Spill response procedures appear to be adequate. There is one self-fueling station for small aircraft and it is properly maintained and controlled.

Training Program

There was one case of non-compliance noted for this item during the facility inspections at Dallas Executive Airport. One tenant failed to produce the proper training records despite being given ample time and resources to train employees. Reiteration of training requirements was given in writing to tenant.

Recordkeeping and Documentation

There was one instance of non-compliance noted for this item during the site assessments of Dallas Executive Airport. The annual checklist #6 failed to be completed by one tenant. The remaining required inspections and documentations were readily available for review from all tenants. Tenants submitted 4th quarter information upon completion.

Inspection of DOA Storm Water Structural Controls at RBD

There are several features constructed as part of the airport drainage system that enhance the quality of storm water. The Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation included inspection of these structural controls. The existing control measures at RBD consist of Outfall Closure Devices, Stormceptors, grass-lined ditches and swales that serve to decrease the velocity of storm water runoff. The four new Outfall Closure Gate Devices and two Stormceptors that have been installed act as structural controls for Dallas Executive Airport.

The storm water structural controls were inspected at RBD and not all are being maintained to function properly in the event of a spill emergency. Outfall Closure Devices throughout the airport have not been maintained by Field Maintenance. Specifically, the Outfall Closures have not been lubricated, leading to a likely malfunction in the event of an emergency. These problems have been considered and a maintenance contractor is being selected to maintain these outfall closure devices and the Stormceptors. In addition, erosion and sediment build up are becoming major issues.

IV. SIGNIFICANT REVISIONS TO THE SWPPP

As a result of the implementation of the SWPPP in July, 2003 and the Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation performed for the 2010 permit year, minor revisions were made to the SWPPP to strengthen its pollution prevention objectives and to make it more user-friendly to the airport operators.

The SWPPP is still available on line http://dallascityhall.com/aviation/dallas_executive_swppp.html and because of this, tenants with internet access are no longer required to maintain a hard copy of this document. Tenants are still be responsible for reviewing this document as it is updated, completing and retaining their appropriate checklists, retaining other required documentation associated with the SWPPP, and making it available for review upon request.

Site-Specific Best Management Practices

Site-specific BMPs have been developed by some of the airport operators to specifically describe pollution prevention procedures to be used only in the operator's lease area. Prior to implementation, each airport operator must submit a description of the BMP to the DOA for written approval. Once approval is received, the operator may implement the site-specific BMP in the operator's lease area. The operator can lose the privilege of implementing site-specific BMPs if the operator does not adhere to the approved procedures.

Recordkeeping and Documentation

The requirement for tenants to complete quarterly visual wet weather monitoring at designated Storm Water Monitoring Locations (SWMLs) continues to successfully be implemented.

V. MAJOR OBSERVATIONS RELATING TO SWPPP IMPLEMENTATION

Overall, implementation of the SWPPP at RBD by industrial operators appears to be successful. The same can be said for the operator self-inspection process and Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation, except as noted.

The total number of discrepancies decreased from last year. There was no indication that any soil or water contamination occurred as a result of the discrepancies, and the annual sampling report reflected this.

All tenants found to be in compliance during their comprehensive site compliance evaluation have already or will submit a certification of compliance for their facility to the Department of Aviation. All tenants found to be in non-compliance during their comprehensive site compliance evaluation have 30 days to correct any issues and submit a certification of compliance to the Department of Aviation.

CERTIFICATION

Permit/Registration NoTXR 05V413	
I, Steven Peacock, Ph.D. Typed or printed name	Environmental Manager Title
properly gather and evaluate the information su persons who manage the system, or those perso	estem designed to assure that qualified personnel bmitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or ns directly responsible for gathering the e best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, benalties for submitting false information,
I further certify that I am authorized under 30 T this document and can provide documentation i	ů E
Signature:	Date:

2010 Wet Weather Monitoring

Wet weather monitoring was conducted on January 28, 2009 at Infall 1 and Outfalls 1, 2, 4, and 6. Sampling was conducted within the first hour of rainfall commencement. Annual metals testing were performed on these outfalls and visual monitoring was also conducted at this time. The results of the laboratory analysis for metals indicate no evidence of pollutants in these samples. Visual observations of the outfalls indicate no heavy sedimentation in the waters. For further information on the laboratory results see table below or consult the Xenco Laboratories documentation dated 08 FEB 10,

Dallas Executive Airport Annual Storm Water Results – 2010

Pollutant	Recordable Level	Daily Maximum Concentrati on (mg/L)	IF-1	OF-1	OF-2	OF-4	OF-6	Pollutant Exceeded
Arsenic	0.010	0.3	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	No
Barium	0.010	4.0	0.033	0.047	BRL	0.021	0.060	No
Cadmium	0.005	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	No
Chromium	0.005	5.0	BRL	BRL	BRL	0.006	BRL	
Copper	0.010	2.0	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	0.014	No
Lead	0.012	1.5	0.014	BRL	BRL	BRL		No
Manganese	0.010	3.0	0.059	0.063	0.011		0.012	No
Mercury	0.0001	0.01	BRL	BRL		0.048	0.113	No
Nickel	0.010	3.0	BRL		BRL	BRL	BRL	No
Selenium	0.010	0.2		BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	No
Silver	0.004	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	No
Zinc	0.010		BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	No
	0.010	6.0	0.147	0.025	0.051	0.042	0.081	No

BRL = Below Recordable Level

Analytical Report 360337

for

City of Dallas-Aviation

Project Manager: Sam Peacock

Dallas Executive Airport RBD

08-FEB-10





9701 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75220 Ph:(214) 902-0300 Fax:(214) 351-9139

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122):

Texas (T104704215-08-TX), Arizona (AZ0738), Arkansas (08-039-0), Connecticut (PH-0102), Florida (E871002) Illinois (002082), Indiana (C-TX-02), Iowa (392), Kansas (E-10380), Kentucky (45), Louisiana (03054) New Hampshire (297408), New Jersey (TX007), New York (11763), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610) Rhode Island (LAO00308), USDA (S-44102)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046): Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Utah (AALI1), West Virginia (362), Kentucky (85) Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

Xenco-Miami (EPA Lab code: FL01152): Florida (E86678), Maryland (330)
Xenco-Tampa Mobile (EPA Lab code: FL01212): Florida (E84900)
Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-08-TX)
Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-08-TX)
Xenco-Corpus Christi (EPA Lab code: TX02613): Texas (T104704370-08-TX)
Xenco-Boca Raton (EPA Lab Code: FL00449): Florida(E86240),
South Carolina(96031001), Louisiana(04154), Georgia(917)





08-FEB-10

Project Manager: Sam Peacock City of Dallas-Aviation 8008 Ceder Springs Rd. LB16 Dallas, TX 75235

Reference: XENCO Report No: 360337

Dallas Executive Airport

Project Address: -

Sam Peacock:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number 360337. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. Estimation of data uncertainty for this report is found in the quality control section of this report unless otherwise noted. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 360337 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Carlos Castro

Managing Director, Texas

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Atlanta - Corpus Christi - Latin America





Client Name: City of Dallas-Aviation Project Name: Dallas Executive Airport

Project ID:

RBD

Work Order Number: 360337

Report Date: 08-FEB-10 Date Received: 01/29/2010

Sample receipt non conformances and Comments:

None

Sample receipt Non Conformances and Comments per Sample:

None

Analytical Non Conformances and Comments:

Batch: LBA-791903 Metals per ICP by EPA 200.7

None

Batch: LBA-792091 Mercury by EPA 245.1

None



Project Id: RBD

Contact: Sam Peacock

Project Location: --

Certificate of Analysis Summary 360337 City of Dallas-Aviati Dallas, TX

Date Received in Lab: Fri Jan-29-10 09:30 am Project Name: Dallas Executive Airport

Report Date: 08-FEB-10

	J L				Project Manager.	Monice Toher	
	Lab Id:	360337-001	160337 003			Monte Lobal	
	· · ·		700-75000	20037-003	360337-004	360337-005	
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	0F-4	OF-2	0F-6	2		
	Depth:			;	1,511	T-J	
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATTER		
	Sampled:	Jan-28-10 13:59	Jan-28-10 14:40	Jan-28-10 14:09	Jan-28-10 14:19	WAIER Ian-28 10 14:30	
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Extracted:	Feb-03-10 07:15	Feb-03-10 07:15	Feb-03-10 07:15	Feb-03-10 07-15	Est 63 60 62 12	
	Analyzed:	Feb-03-10 10:16	Feb-03-10 10:17	Feb-03-10 10-19	Feb-03 10 10:30	reo-03-10 0/:15	712 60
Management of the second of th	Units/RL:	ug/L RL	ug/L RI	10/L D1	07:01 01:00:00	rep-03-10 10:77	
wercury, lotal			0 1	DDI O 1000	ug/L KL	ug/L RL	
Metals per ICP hy FPA 200 7	-		0.1000	BKL 0.1000	BRL 0.1000	BRL 0.1000	
	Extracted:	Feb-01-10 07:15	Feb-01-10 07:15	Feb-01-10 07:15	Feb-01-10 07:15	Feb-01-10 07:15	And a second community of the
	Analyzed:	Feb-02-10 12:38	Feb-02-10 12:39	Feb-02-10 12;40	Feb-02-10 12:41	Eab 02 10 12.41	
	Units/RL:	mg/L RL	mg/L RI	ma/I DI		2	
Alsenic		į		7/9	mg/L KL		
Barium	+ : ! ! ! !		. !		,	BRL 0.010	
Cadmium	1	0.001 0.010	BRL 0.010	0.060 0.010	0.033 0.010	0.047 0.010	
Chroming	j.				BRI. 0.005	BDI 0.006	
Connect	}		1	BRL 0.005	BRI 0.005	- 1	
Toddoo		BRL 0.010	BRL 0.010	0.014 0.010	BPI 0.003	DAL 0.005	
Management	:		BRL 0.012		ſ	1	And the second s
Niokal	 	0.048 0.010	0.011 0.010		0100 0500	DOC 0.012	
NICACI Selantim		BRL 0,010	BRL 0,010		HP1 0.010	1	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Silvar	:	BRL 0.010	BRL 0.010	1	- 1	BKL 0.010	
Zinc		BRL 0.004	BRL 0.004	BRI 0.004	;	BKL 0,010	1
Allez		0.042 0.010	0.051 0.010	1			
				ı	- 1		o ex

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data bereby presented. Our hability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Latin America - Atlanta - Corpus Christi Since 1990

Managing Director, Texas

Page 4 of 9

Final Ver. 1.000



Flagging Criteria



- In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to effect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also effect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
 - **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
 - **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
 - E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
 - F RPD exceeded lab control limits.
 - J The target analyte was positively identified below the MQL and above the SQL.
 - U Analyte was not detected.
 - L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- H The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
 - K Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- **RL** Reporting Limit
- * Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC Accreditation.

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Corpus Christi - Midland/Odessa - Tampa - Miami - Latin America

Phone Fax 4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, Tx 77477 (281) 240-4200 (281) 240-4280 9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220 (214) 902 0300 (214) 351-9139 5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238 (210) 509-3334 (210) 509-3335 2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619 (813) 620-2000 (813) 620-2033 5757 NW 158th St, Miami Lakes, FL 33014 (305) 823-8500 (305) 823-8555 12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765 (432) 563-1800 (432) 563-1713 842 Cantwell Lane, Corpus Christi, TX 78408 (361) 884-0371 (361) 884-9116





Project Name: Dallas Executive Airport

Work Order #: 360337

Analyst: DAT

Lab Batch ID: 792091

Units: ug/L

Date Prepared: 02/03/2010

Batch #: 1

Sample: 549183-1-BKS

Date Analyzed: 02/03/2010 Project ID: RBD

Matrix: Water

BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

Mercury, Total	Mercury, Total		Analytes			×
						Mercury by EPA 245.1
	6,1000	<0.1000		[A]	Sample Result	Blank
	5.000	\$ 000	[B]		Added	Snike
	3.036	2027	C	Result	Spile	Ħ
	101		Ð	Spike %R	DININ	DII.
	5		E	Added	Spike	2
	5.033		Result [F]	Spike	Blank	
	101		<u>.</u>	Dup.	Blk. Spk	
	0		%	RPD		
	70-130		%R	Limits	Control	The second secon
	20		%RPD	Limits	Control	
		-		Flag	_	

Lab Batch ID: 791903

Sample: 548935-1-BKS

Batch #: 1

Date Analyzed: 02/02/2010

Matrix: Water

Units: mg/L		BLANK	BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BI AND S	DIKE / B	ANIE	ישות הייות	3		2002		
					LIZE VIX D	TIME DUFLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	ACAIE I	KECOVE	KY STUD	Y	
Metals per ICr by EFA 200.7	Blank Sample Result	Spike Added	Blank Spike	Blank Spike	Spike Added	Blank Spike	Blk. Spk Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	ឡូណ
Analytes	Ā	В	Result [C]	⊡ % R	E	Duplicate Result [F]	જિ. જે રે.	%	%R	%RPD	7 20 20
Arsenic	<0.010	1.00	0 988	3	-						
Barium	0.010	1.00	0.968	97	-	1.02	102	y,	75-125	20	
Cadmium	20,010	1.00	0.932	93		0.976	98	5	75-125	20	
Chroming	<0.005	1.00	0.916	92		0.972	97	6	75-125	30	
Chiohhali	<0.005	1.00	1.01	<u>101</u>	-	1 04	104	,	75 100	: ;	
Copper	<0.010	8	101	101	-		101	٠	73-123	20	
Lead	C10 02	1 00	1.01	101	-	0.941	22	7	75-125	20	
Manganese	0.010	.90	2,40.0	3	_	0.954	95	7	75-125	20	
Nickel	50.010	1.00	0.908	91		0.935	94	3	75-125	20	
	<0.010	1.00	0.938	94	1	0.980	08	4	301.35		
Selenium	<0.010	96	0.048	0.7	.		20	+	/3-125	20	
Silver	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		0.740	3	_	1.00	100	<u>ب</u>	75-125	20	
Zinc	<0.004	1.00	0.960	96	<u>. </u>	0.935	94	w	75-125	20	
	<0.010	1.00	0.979	98		0.994	99	3	75 175	3	
								ľ	70 110	0.7	

Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*[(C-F)/(C+F)]
Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100*(C)/[B]
Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = 100*(F)/[E]
All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes





Final Ver. 1.000

Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Dallas Executive Airport

Work Order #: 360337

Lab Batch ID: 792091

Date Analyzed: 02/03/2010

Reporting Units: ug/L

Project ID: RBD

QC- Sample ID: 360336-001 S **Date Prepared:** 02/03/2010

> Batch #: Matrix: Water

Analyst: DAT

MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

Lab Batch ID: 791903 Mercury, Total Mercury by EPA 245.1 Analytes < 0.1000 Parent Sample Result [A] Spike Added [B] 5.000 Spiked Sample Spiked Sample Sample Sample Sample %R 4.630 Sample %R [D] 93 Spike Added [E] 5.000 Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 4.776 Spiked Dup. 96 % RPD Control Limits %R 70-130 Limits %RPD Control

QC- Sample ID: 359934-001 S Date Prepared: 02/01/2010

Analyst:

Batch #:

Matrix: Water

20

Flag

Reporting Units: mg/L Date Analyzed: 02/02/2010 DAT

Water	9	~	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX	E/MAT	RIX SPI	SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	TE REC	OVERY S	TUDY		
Analytes	Sample Result	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	. 00	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Arsenic	20 010	1 20			1		3				
Barium	>0.010	1.00	10.1	101	1.00	1.01	101	0	75-125	20	
	0.017	1.00	1.03	101	1.00	1.06	2	,	76 126	3 1	
Caumum	<0.005	-1 96	0 971	07	100				10-120	70	
Chromium	>00.00\$	1 00	1.00	,	00.1	0.995	100	2	75-125	20	
Copper	0.000		1.00	108	1.98	1.06	106	2	75-125	20	
Lead	20.010	1.00	C0.1	105	1.00	1.05	105	0	75-125	20	
Manganaga	<0.012	1.00	0.975	98	1.00	0.979	98	0	75-125	36	
Somman	0.015	1.00	0.986	97	1.00	100	101	,	3		
Nickel	<0.010	- 8	0 984	99	1 20	7.0.1	101	٦	/5-125	20	
Selenium	<0.010	1.00	0.003	99	1.00	1.02	102	3	75-125	20	
Silver	<0.004	1 00	0.935	279	1.90	0.977	98	2	75-125	20	
Zine	190.0	1.00	0.983	% %	1.00	1.02	102	4	75-125	20	
		.00	01.1	701	1.00	1.10	102	0	75-125	20	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*(C-F)/(C+F)

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, l = Interference, NA = Not ApplicableN = Specific EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit



Final Ver. 1.000



	11381 Meadowglen, Suite L., Houston, TX 77082 281-586	5309 Wurzbach, Sulte 104, San Antonio, TX 78238 210-	X 9700 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75220 972-902-0300
200			X
	Ì		
	37.15	Jan Santan	

Company-Chy

<u>B</u> to:

11381 Meadowglen, Suite L., Houston, TX 77082 281-589-0692

5309 Wurzbach, Suite 104, San Antonio, TX 78238 210-509-3334

0
O
ш
RECO
~
=
2
77
~~
π
J
يا
HAIN OF CUSTODY
~
=
⋖
픙
Ö
જ
EQUEST
S
ш
\Rightarrow
Œ
ш
œ
⋖

LEGING SUNSON

Раде

198076

Serial #:

5757 N.W. 158th Street, Miami Lakes, Fl 33014 305-823-8500

3016 US Highway 301 N., Suite 900, Tampa, Fl 33619 813-620-2000

Remarks Sample Clean-ups are pre-approved Hold Analysis (Surcharges will apply) IssoqsiQ bloH It is typically 5-7 Working Days for level II and 10+ Working days for level III and IV data mg/Kg S Highest Hit 'M 7/6w 7d 10d 21d Standard TAT is project specific. Addn: PAH above 10d 21d PZ pg. Þε 15P S4P 48P 45 RBJ ÓΝ Hd *CPACK Project ID maub 8 48h 3d 5d nigri√-noM Nigin FL Prebum - Revised: sqq TOL **A8N8** SAOC® PN 8510 SHA9 3SB TCL 899 ΗΟΛ AOV AOCs by 8021 **954** 8560 45 200.8 SHCRA Tot Pb TCLP8 13PP 23TAL Metals by 6020 유 12 9310 OYS8 yd eHAS Dallas Executive Air Por 1.814 ORG2108 8015GRO FL-Pro 1664 RPH by TX1005 TAT: Other 954 8560 8051 RTEX-MTBE by Other **†**29 802 8560 SIEX by 8021 Steven Pracock@dallascityhall.com Call for a P.O. Preservatives 214-670-6654 Other: TPNES MSC-P Sontainer Type Involce to Accounting I inc. Invoice with Final Report I Invoice must have a P.O. Sontainer Size Target DLs (DW CRDL TRRP QAPP MDLs See Lab PM Attached Call) Steniatnoo ! Mag Grab × × × × eneoquo: Industrial XinteN d ,u ,u Depth Signature UST State Dallas - Arrachon P.O No: Dereviously performed at XENCO E E 1-28-104 21:40 -23-104 R:09 P1:6 10-86-1-28 to Mock Reg Program: CLP AFCEE TRRP DW Sampling Date 1-23-10 Residential SAM PRACOCK Sampler Name Jessy Ca TRRP PCLs: Tier 1 Tier 2 Fax Results to DPM or LPST No.: (Required) Proj. Manager (PM) Sample ID DF-8 ट्रमाक, DF- 6 ナーはつ 7 Project Name 12 a-mall to: Quote No 4

Preservatives: Various (V), HCl pH-2 (H), H2SO4 pH-2 (S), HNO3 pH-2 (N), Asbc Acid&NaOH (A), ZnAc&NaOH (Z), (Cool, <4C) (C), None (NA), See Label (L), Other (O)

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40mi VOA (V), 1L (1), 500mi (5), Tediar Bag (B), Wipe (W), Other

Cont. Type: Glass Amb (A), Glass Clear (C), Plastic (P), Other (O) Ochtainers Received: く

ڳ ڇ

Matrix: Air (A), Product (P), Solid(S), Water (W)

Rush Charges are Pre-Approved upon requesting them

Instructions

Date & Time

Refinquished to (Initials and Sign)

Date & Time

Initials and Sign)

Relinquished by

3

A Minet

31-15

All XENCO Standard Terms and Conditions Apply

SDBE Committed to Excellence in Service and Quality since 1000



Prelogin / Nonconformance Report - Sample Log-In

Client: COD- AVIOTON	- Post Outil	ne Log-	111	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Date/Time: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		•		
Lab ID#: 340337				
Initials: AM				
Sample Receipt Cl	hecklist			
1. Samples on ice?	Blue		·	
2. Shipping container in good condition?	Yes	Wate	No	
3. Custody seals intact on shipping container (cooler) and bottles?		No	None	
4. Chain of Custody present?	Yes	No	(N/A	
5. Sample instructions complete on chain of custody?	(Yes	No		
6. Any missing / extra samples?		No	-	
7. Chain of custody signed when relinquished / received?	Yes	(Ng		
8. Chain of custody agrees with sample label(s)?	(Yes)	No		
9. Container labels legible and intact?	Yes)	No	+	
10. Sample matrix / properties agree with chain of custody?		No	-	
11. Samples in proper container / bottle?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No		
2. Samples properly preserved?	(Ges	No		
13. Sample container intact?	Yes	No	N/A	
14. Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?	(res)	No		
15. All samples received within sufficient hold time?	Yes	No		
16. Subcontract of sample(s)?	(Feg	No		
17. VOC sample have zero head space?	Yes	No	(N/A)	
18 Cooler (No.	Yes	No	(N/)A	
Ibs 7 °C III	Cooler 4 No.		Cooler 5 No.	
IDS	°C lbs	°C	Ibs	°C
Nonconformance Docum	nentation			
Contact: Contacted by:	D	ate/Time		
D		accorning		
Regarding:				
Corrective Action Taken:				

☐ Client understands and would like to proceed with analysis ☐ Cooling process had begun shortly after sampling event

PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS (Include Facility Name/Location # Different)

NOTE: Enter your authorization number in the

00/

underlined space in the upper right hand corner SAMPLE TYPE (69-70) Grab ₽Ą of this page. Example: STW/ TXR05<u>J102/</u> CO 8 Only If required, mail to: TCEQ (MC 213)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087 DATE ANALYSIS FREQUENC 1/Year 1Near 1/Year 1/Year 1/Year (64-68) 1/Year 1/Year 1/Year 1/Year 1/Year 62 6 YEAR 82-63 62-63 0 0 NUMBER TELEPHONE UNITS /gu mg/ mg/ Mg/ 214-670-6654 AREA MAXIMUM Daily Max (54-61)Daily Max Daily Max Daily Max Daily Max QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION 0.2 5.0 0.060 0.014 9000 BR BR thacas. OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE OF PRINCIPAL NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)
DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR) DISCHARGE NUMBER 39-31 DΑΥ AVERAGE EXECUTIVE ****** ***** ****** ****** (46-53)****** ***** (17-19)(28-28) QW MONITORING PERIOD YEAR 2010 (26-27) MINIMOM 4 Card Only) ****** ****** ****** ****** (3845)THE REAL PROBLEM OR BURETARION IN ACCORDANCE WITH DID TO ASSURE THAT CLAUFER PERSONNEL PROPERLY CATHER E INFORMATION BURBATTED, BARED ON MY INCHINGY OF THE WIND MANAGE THE STREAM OR THOSE RESOUR DIDECTLY WIND MY PERSONS THE STREAM OR THOSE RESOUR DIDECTLY OF THE WIND MANAGE THAT THE MANAGE THAT THE ACCURANTE AND MANAGE THAT THERE ARE SIGNEFARM TEMULTES FOR WIND MANAGE THAT THERE ARE SIGNEFARM TEMULTES FOR WIND MANAGE THAT THE PROPERTY OF THE ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE ADDITIONAL WIND MANAGEMENT OF THE ADDITIONAL WIND MANAGEMENT OF THE ADDITIONAL WIND WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PAGE THE WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PAGE THE PAGE THE WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PAGE THE WASHINGTON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PAGE THE PAGE THE WASHINGTON THE POSSIBLE WASHINGTON THE PAGE THE PAGE THE WASHINGTON THE POSSIBLE WASHINGTON THE (24-25) DAY UNITS PERMIT NUMBER ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ****** ****** ***** ***** (22-23)**№** TXR05V413 QUANTITY OR LOADING MAXIMUM YEAR 2010 ****** ***** ***** ***** AVERAGE (3 Card Only) (46-53) ****** ***** ***** **** NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER ADDRESS 8008 Cedar Springs Rd. LB 16 Dallas Executive Airport SAMPLE SAMPLE MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT SAMPLE MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT SAMPLE TYPED OR PRINTED SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE 5303 Challenger Dr. Dallas, TX 75237 Dallas, TX 75235 Steven S. Peacock, PhD. **Environmental Manager** NAME City of Dallas PARAMETER (32-37) OCATION Chromium Cadmium FACILITY Arsenic Barium Copper

COMMENTS AND EXPLANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)

BRL = Below Recordable Limits

EPA Form 3320-1 (3-99)

(REPLACES EPA FORM T-40 WHICH MAY NOT BE USED)

OF 3

STW / TXR05 V413

00/

underlined space in the upper right hand corner NOTE: Enter your authorization number in the of this page. Exemple: STW/ TXR05<u>J102/</u> CO Austin, TX 78711-3087 TCEQ (MC 213) P.O. Box 13087 DISCHARGE NUMBER Only If required, mail to: NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)
DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR) DAY 31 (17-19)WO MONITORING PERIOD YEAR 2010 (24-25) DĄ PERMIT NUMBER (22-23)Ş TXR05V413 5 YEAR 2010 (20-21) PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS (Include Facility Name/Location If Different) 8008 Cedar Springs Rd. LB 16 **Dallas Executive Airport** 5303 Challenger Dr. Dallas, TX 75235 Dallas, TX 75237 NAME City of Dallas FACILITY LOCATION ADDRESS

SAMPLE TYPE (69-70) Grab ANALYSIS (64-68) 1/Year DATE 9 82-63 EX EX :0 0 0 0 0 UNITS mg/ mg/ Z Z /gu ELEPHONE (54-61) Daily Max MAXIMUM Daily Max Daily Max Daily Max Daily Max QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION 0.04 3.0 0.014 0.113 BR BR BR (30-31)AVERAGE (46-53) ***** ****** ***** ***** **** ****** ****** ****** (28-29)MINIMUM 4 Card Only) ***** ****** ***** ***** ****** ****** (38 - 45)UNITS ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ****** ****** ***** ****** ***** QUANTITY OR LOADING MAXIMUM ****** ***** ****** ****** AVERAGE (3 Card Only) (46-53) ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ******* NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENT SAMPLE MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENT REQUIREMENT SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE Steven S. Peacock, PhD. PARAMETER (32-37)Manganese Selenium Mercury Lead Nickel

COMMENTS AND EXPLANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)

TYPED OR PRINTED

Environmental Manager

EPA Form 3320-1 (3-99)

(REPLACES EPA FORM T-40 WHICH MAY NOT BE USED)

PAGE 2 OF 3

DAY

S

YEAR

NUMBER

AREA

OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED

EXECUTIVE R OR AUTHO AGENT

8

8

2010

214-670-6654

Cenen S. Bacock

STW / TXR05 V413 NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES)
DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DMR) (2-16)**TXR05V413** RDOUS METALS - INLAND WATERS PERMITTEE NAME/ALL RESS (Include Facility Name Location If Different) ADDRESS 8008 Cedar Springs Rd. LB 16 Dallas, TX 75235 NAME City of Dallas

NOTE: Enter your authorization number in the (17-19)

underlined space in the upper right hand corner of this page. Example: STW/ TXR05_1102/ CO

DISCHARGE NUMBER Only If required, mail to: MONITORING PERIOD

DAY

₽

YEAR

₽¥

8

YEAR

Dallas Executive Airport

FACILITY LOCATION

5303 Challenger Dr.

PERMIT NUMBER

Austin, TX 78711-3087 TCEQ (MC 213) P.O. Box 13087

SAMPLE TYPE (69-70) Grab Grab Grab DAY Grab 8 DATE WO ANALYSIS (64-68) REQUENCY I/Year 8 I/Year 1/Year 1/Year 2010 YEAR 82-63) NUMBER 0 TELEPHONE UNITS 214-670-6654 mg/l mg/l (54-61) QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION MAXIMUM AREA Daily Max Daily Max 0.147 BRL Stewen S. George OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE OF PRINCIPAL AVERAGE (46-53)****** EXECUTIVE ****** ****** (28-29)2010 4 Card Only) MINIMUM ***** ***** ****** ****** 3845) (24-25) MTLETB. I AM AWAKE TEAT THENE ARE SIGNI SI PALAB RIYORMA DON, INCLUDING THE POSS NT FOR KNOWING VIGUATIONS. ***** ***** ****** UNITS ***** 5 QUANTITY OR LOADING (20-21)54-61) MAXIMUM ***** ****** ***** ****** 2010 AVERAGE (3 Card Only) ****** ****** ***** ****** (46-53)NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER SAMPLE REQUIREMENT MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENT Dallas, TX 75237 SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE TYPED OR PRINTED Steven S. Peacock, PhD. Environmental Manager PARAMETER (32-37)Silver Zinc

COMMENTS AND EXPLANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)

AGENT

BRL = Below Recordable Limits EPA Form 3320-1 (3-99)

(REPLACES EPA FORM T-40 WHICH MAY NOT BE USED)

8 9 8

PAGE