ANNUAL REPORT

DALLAS LOVE FIELD AIRPORT

December 19, 2011

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 14, 2006, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) signed and published the final version of the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Storm Water Multi-Sector General Permit TXR050000. This permit oversees storm water discharges from 30 types of industrial activities, including those involving air transportation. Air transportation facilities that are classified as SIC Code 45 and which have vehicle maintenance shops, equipment cleaning operations, and airport or aircraft deicing/anti-icing operations are regulated under this permitting program. Areas located within a regulated air transportation facility that are directly involved in vehicle maintenance (e.g., vehicle rehabilitation, mechanical repairs, painting, fueling, lubrication, etc.), equipment cleaning activities, and airport or aircraft deicing operations are specified as industrial activities and require permit coverage. The specific requirements for these activities are found under Sector S of the TPDES Multi Sector General Permit.

The Aviation Department and tenants filed NOIs for the TPDES MS General Permit within 90 days of the implementation date. On July 1, 2003, the Aviation Department and its tenants transferred to the current Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), dated June 2003. This SWPPP replaced the "Dallas Love Field Airport Storm Water Pollution Plan, City of Dallas, Texas" dated September 1996 for the Aviation Department and their tenants. The 2003 SWPPP meets the TPDES MS General Permit. This version of the SWPPP is also available for review online. (Some tenants may also have individual SWPPPs that are more specific to their industrial activity and are more stringent than this document.)

This permit requires that qualified personnel conduct a "Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation" at least once a year.

Objectives of this comprehensive evaluation are as follows:

- 1. Confirm the accuracy of the description of potential pollutant sources contained in the SWPPP.
- 2. Determine the effectiveness of the SWPPP.
- 3. Modify the SWPPP as necessary.
- 4. Assess compliance with the terms and conditions of the facility's storm water permit.

The Dallas Love Field (DAL) site compliance evaluations were conducted by Dallas Department of Aviation (DOA) Environmental Specialists and Pollution Prevention Team (PPT) members. Dallas Storm Water also accompanied the pollution prevention team on these inspections.

This annual report is required by the permit. It defines the scope and summarizes the Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation performed for the 2011 permit year. It is to be retained as part of the SWPPP for at least three years from date of evaluation.

The current SWPPP for DAL was made effective June, 2003. It identified airport operators that have elected to become co-permittees with the DOA to obtain General Permit coverage for storm water discharges associated with industrial activities from their area of operation. It also included an inventory of exposed materials, descriptions of potential pollution sources as well as pollution prevention measures and controls. All airport operators that became co-permittees by the SWPPP effective date and whose employees or subtenants perform industrial activities were included in this Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation. Results of this Evaluation are presented as Attachment 1. For the purpose of implementing the SWPPP, the permit year is from January 1 to December 31, and the deicing season from October 1 to March 1, or from the first deicing event if prior to October.

TCEQ Airport Inspection

The TCEQ did not inspect Love Field during this permit term.

Dallas Storm Water Industrial Inspection

Dallas Storm Water conducted an industrial storm water inspection at Dallas Love Field, that encompassed the Aviation Department and all permitted tenants.

II. SCOPE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

The Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation was conducted in each operator's lease or contracted work area(s) as well as applicable DOA work areas and associated storm water structural control facilities. The evaluation process consisted of several parts, including:

- 1. Verification of owner/operator information
- 2. Confirmation of the accuracy of potential pollutant sources as reported in the SWPPP
- 3. Review of operator's recordkeeping practices, and
- 4. Assessment of compliance with terms and conditions of the permit as reflected by operator compliance with the measures and controls contained in the SWPPP.

Initially, operator/leaseholder information was obtained and recorded. This included the name and telephone number of the operator or operator's representative present during the evaluation, leaseholder or subtenant status, and, if a subtenant, the name of the leaseholder's representative present during the evaluation.

The second component of the evaluation confirmed information regarding potential pollutant sources as currently recorded in the SWPPP. The airport operator's industrial activity summary contained in Appendix I of the SWPPP was reviewed and the operator/leasehold site map was revised, if necessary, to reflect any changes in the occurrence of industrial activities.

The third component related to the operator's recordkeeping practices. Important records, such as the operator's TCEQ permit number, certifications, deicing records (if applicable), completed self-inspection forms, training records, etc, were to be kept in the SWPPP or referenced elsewhere. A discussion was held with the operator/leaseholder emphasizing the importance of retaining these records in an accessible manner.

The fourth component of the evaluation assessed compliance with permit conditions and is related to the inspection process described in Section VI of the DAL SWPPP. As described in the SWPPP, a two-part inspection process has been implemented in response to the general permit requirements. The first part is an annual self-inspection conducted by the operator. Inspection checklists that pertain to specific industrial activities are to be completed by the operator during the self-inspection process. The Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation is the second part of the process, and it follows the completion of the operator's self-inspection.

The inspection checklists are as follows:

- SWPPP Periodic Inspection
- Fueling Activities
- Tenant Quarterly Visual Monitoring
- DOA Quarterly Visual Monitoring
- DOA Storm Water Structures, Pollution Controls and Sediment Controls
- Dry Weather Evaluations
- Deicing Weekly Checklist

During the fourth component of the Site Compliance Evaluation, the evaluation team reviewed copies of completed checklists, and a walk-through inspection of the operator's industrial area(s) was performed where adherence to the Best Management Practices (BMPs) was noted. If necessary, a

follow-up inspection was scheduled to review actions taken by the operator to resolve SWPPP compliance issues.

The evaluation inspections were also conducted for industrial activities performed in DOA work areas, airport storm water structural controls and all reasonably accessible areas immediately downstream of each storm water outfall that is authorized under this general permit.

III. RESULTS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

The evaluation process was conducted from October 5, 2010 to November 28, 2011. It included all operators that were permitted in January 2011, and whose employees or subtenants were performing industrial activities at DAL. Attachment 1 of this report contains a summary of the compliance efforts of airport operators to implement measures and controls contained in the SWPPP. The information presented in this report is based on information obtained from the DAL Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation process. The compliance report in Attachment 1 lists the operator under evaluation, the date(s) of the evaluation, PPT personnel conducting the evaluation, major observations relating to implementation of the SWPPP, and identification of any incidents of noncompliance. It is to be kept for a minimum of three years from the date of evaluation. The major observations that were noted during the evaluation process are described below.

Aircraft, Vehicle, and Equipment Maintenance Areas

Only one issue was discovered regarding waste oil containment. Hertz had an uncovered and unlabeled waste oil barrel within a bermed area where the berm was not fully functional. With all tenants maintenance activities were located under cover, spill kits were placed in appropriate locations, containment structures or containment pallets were used for all drums and containers periodically accessed, and for all drums and containers where liquid waste products are stored waiting for transport and off-site disposal. Waste oil was stored indoors whenever practicable. If stored outdoors, waste oil was kept in a covered area on spill containment pallets or had other secondary containment features.

Chemical/Material Storage Areas

There were three minor cases of non-compliance noted for this item during the facility inspections at Dallas Love Field in which chemicals were stored outdoors without fully functioning BMP controls. In general most tenants had a problem keeping caps plugged at all times on dumpsters and dumpsters closed when not in use.

Spill Control Equipment

All members of the SWPPP have spill control equipment that is easily accessible and spill reporting plans are sufficient. However not all kits were clearly labeled. Other common mistakes seen from tenants include a failure to clean up all small oil spills from leaking equipment immediately and to use drip pans continuously.

Aircraft, Vehicle and Equipment Wash Area

There was one case of non-compliance recorded during the site evaluation of Dallas Love Field. The grit trap at Enterprise Holdings was not adequately draining. This problem was reported in the 2010 inspection. Enterprise has been told to give their grit trap maintenance on a more regular basis.

Fueling Activity

There were no cases of non-compliance recorded during the site evaluation of Dallas Love Field. All fueling BMPs were followed.

Training Program

All tenants who had not yet fully completed their 2011 training at time of inspection did have plans to do so. All other tenants have the necessary training documentation recording the date of training and who attended the training.

Aircraft Deicing Activity

Operators who conduct aircraft and/or runway deicing/anti-icing activities are required to periodically re-evaluate present operating procedures. In this way, alternative practices can be considered for reduction of the overall amount of deicing/anti-icing chemicals used and/or lessening of the environmental impact of the pollutant source.

Often, deicing of aircraft is performed outside of the operator's leasehold. A deicing committee was created to facilitate the development of dry-weather deicing procedures and deicing agent disposal procedures, etc., to be performed at DAL. These procedures are discussed in greater detail in Section V. The environmental office of the Aviation Department retains the records that have been submitted.

Recordkeeping and Documentation

There were several deficiencies noted in recordkeeping and documentation. The following tenants had at least one deficiency in their records: Avis, Colgan/Continental, Gulfstream, Jet Aviation and Pinnacle. The major factor behind these deficiencies was that in all cases except for Jet Aviation, restructuring and lapses/interim management had left new managers without proper explanation of requirements. During inspection the DOA retrained each manager fully on what is expected of their facility. In addition there were trends in which checklists weren't fully completed. This would include not documenting corrective actions. Emphasis on their importance was discussed and retraining will be done on the checklists at the annual meeting.

Inspection of DOA Storm Water Structural Controls and Outfalls at DAL

There are several features constructed as part of the airport drainage system that enhance the quality of storm water. The Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation included inspection of these structural controls. The existing control measures at Love Field consist of Outfall Closure Devices, Stormceptors, and grass-lined ditches and swales that serve to decrease the velocity of storm water runoff.

Funding for the maintenance of these controls has been authorized in the 2011-2012 fiscal budget. We are currently in the process of accepting a service agreement to lubricate the outfall gates and maintain the computer component of the control. The Stormceptors are being sampled and analyzed for disposal identification purposes. In addition, the erosion and sediment build up occurring on Outfall #10 has been removed and erosion control installed.

Grass-lined ditches and swales are acceptable.

Outfall areas 2-12 and 16 were visually inspected on 12/28/11. A non-storm water discharge was found in Outfall 16 and a sample was collected. Sample results can be found in Attachment 1. All other areas immediately downstream of each storm water outfall that is authorized under this general permit were not reasonably accessible.

SIGNIFICANT REVISIONS TO THE SWPPP

As a result of the Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation performed for the 2011 permit year and because the permit was renewed, a completely new SWP3 is being published to adhere to the new permit, strengthen its pollution prevention objectives and to make it more user friendly to the airport operators. These changes are still in progress, but the most significant change will be that the SWPPP is shorter, more general, and easier to use. This should lessen the occurrence of recordkeeping issues. The inspection checklists will be modified to more thoroughly include trends of non-compliance found from this evaluation. Also, the website is becoming a more thorough venue for record keeping in order to make annual inspections by the MS4 run smoother. While these changes are being finalized, the current SWPPP will stay in effect.

IV. MAJOR OBSERVATIONS RELATING TO SWPPP IMPLEMENTATION

Overall, implementation of the SWPPP at DAL by industrial operators appears to be successful. The same can be said for the operator self-inspection process and Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation, except as noted.

The overall number of discrepancies decreased from previous years. There was no indication that any soil or water contamination occurred as a result of the discrepancies, though the annual sampling was mistakenly only tested for 8 metals, not 12, and another sampling opportunity did not occur.

All tenants found to be in compliance during their comprehensive site compliance evaluation have or will submit a certification of compliance for their facility to the Department of Aviation. All tenants found to be in non-compliance during their comprehensive site compliance evaluation have no longer than 12 weeks to correct any issues and submit a certification of compliance to the Department of Aviation.

CERTIFICATION

CERTI	FICATION
Permit/Registration No. TXR 05V383	
I, <u>William Brewer</u> Typed or printed name	Environmental Manager Title
properly gather and evaluate the information supersons who manage the system, or those person information, the information submitted is, to the and complete. I am aware there are significant including the possibility of fine and imprisonm. I further certify that I am authorized under 30 This document and can provide documentation.	ystem designed to assure that qualified personnel abmitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or ons directly responsible for gathering the ne best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, penalties for submitting false information, nent for knowing violations. Texas Administrative Code §305.44 to sign

2011 Wet Weather Monitoring

Wet weather monitoring was conducted on May 11, 2011 at Outfalls 2, 4, 5, 10, 13, 16, 18 and Infall1. Sampling was conducted within 1 hour of rainfall commencement. Visual monitoring was also conducted. The results of the laboratory analysis for metals indicate no evidence of pollutants in these samples. Sampling for Copper, Manganese, Nickel, and Zinc was not performed due to an error in the sample request. Another qualifying rain event did not occur during normal business hours during 2011. For more information on wet weather monitoring see the table below or the attached laboratory analysis from Xenco Laboratories.

Dallas Love Field Annual Storm Water Results – 2011

Pollutant	Recordable Level	Daily Maximum Concentration (mg/L)	IF-1	OF-2	OF-4	OF-5	Pollutant Exceeded		
Arsenic	0.010	0.3	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO		
Barium	0.010	4.0	0.0157	0.0109	0.0123	0.0237	NO		
Cadmium	0.005	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO		
Chromium	0.005	5.0	BRL	BRL	BRL	0.005	NO		
Copper	0.010	2.0	Did not sample						
Lead	0.012	1.5	BRL	BRL	BRL	0.0169	NO		
Manganese	0.010	3.0		I	Did not sa	mple			
Mercury	0.0001	0.01	BRL	0.000159	BRL	BRL	NO		
Nickel	0.010	3.0		Did not sample					
Selenium	0.010	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO		
Silver	0.004	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO		
Zinc	0.010	6.0		I	Did not sa	mple			

BRL = Below Recordable Level

	Recordable	Daily Maximum					
Pollutant	Level	Concentration	OF-10	OF-13	OF-16	OF-18	Pollutant
		(mg/L)					Exceeded
Arsenic	0.010	0.3	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO
Barium	0.010	4.0	0.0334	0.0339	0.0243	0.0332	NO
Cadmium	0.005	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO
Chromium	0.005	5.0	BRL	0.0079	BRL	0.0074	NO
Copper	0.010	2.0			Did not sa	mple	
Lead	0.012	1.5	BRL	0.0136	BRL	0.0141	NO
Manganese	0.010	3.0			Did not sa	mple	
Mercury	0.0001	0.01	BRL	BRL	0.000219	0.000215	NO
Nickel	0.010	3.0			Did not sa	mple	
Selenium	0.010	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO
Silver	0.004	0.2	BRL	BRL	BRL	BRL	NO
Zinc	0.010	6.0			Did not sa	mple	

Analytical Report 416284

for City of Dallas-Aviation

Project Manager: Stephen (Sam) Peacock

Annual Metals Testing 2011

DAL

20-MAY-11



Celebrating 20 Years of commitment to excellence in Environmental Testing Services



9701 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75220 Ph:(214) 902-0300 Fax:(214) 351-9139

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122):

Texas (T104704215-10-6-TX), Arizona (AZ0738), Arkansas (08-039-0), Connecticut (PH-0102), Florida (E871002) Illinois (002082), Indiana (C-TX-02), Iowa (392), Kansas (E-10380), Kentucky (45), Louisiana (03054) New Hampshire (297408), New Jersey (TX007), New York (11763), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610) Rhode Island (LAO00312), USDA (S-44102)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046):

Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Utah (AALI1), West Virginia (362), Kentucky (85) Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

Xenco-Miami (EPA Lab code: FL01152): Florida (E86678), Maryland (330)

Xenco-Tampa Mobile (EPA Lab code: FL01212): Florida (E84900)

Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-TX)

Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-TX)

Xenco-Corpus Christi (EPA Lab code: TX02613): Texas (T104704370)

Xenco-Boca Raton (EPA Lab Code: FL01273):

Florida(E86240), South Carolina(96031001), Louisiana(04154), Georgia(917) North Carolina(444), Texas(T104704468-TX), Illinois(002295), Florida(E86349)

Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901):

Arizona(AZ0757), Texas(104704435-10-2), Nevada(NAC-445A), DoD(65816) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco Tucson (EPA Lab code: AZ000989): Arizona (AZ0758)





20-MAY-11

Project Manager: Stephen (Sam) Peacock

City of Dallas-Aviation 8008 Ceder Springs Rd. LB16 Dallas, TX 75235

Reference: XENCO Report No: 416284

Annual Metals Testing 2011

Project Address: TX

Stephen (Sam) Peacock:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number 416284. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. Estimation of data uncertainty for this report is found in the quality control section of this report unless otherwise noted. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 416284 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Carlos Castro

Managing Director, Texas

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Ministrative Community of the Laboratory of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Atlanta - Corpus Christi - Latin America

CASE NARRATIVE



Client Name: City of Dallas-Aviation Project Name: Annual Metals Testing 2011



Project ID: DAL Report Date: 20-MAY-11 Work Order Number: 416284 Date Received: 05/11/2011

Sample receipt non conformances and Comments:

None

Sample receipt Non Conformances and Comments per Sample:

None

Analytical Non Conformances and Comments:

Batch: LBA-855920 Metals per ICP by EPA 200.7

Batch: LBA-856286 Mercury by EPA 245.1

E245.1

Batch 856286, Mercury, Total recovered below QC limits in the laboratory control sample. Samples affected are: 416284-001, -004, -002, -003, -005, -008, -006.

E245.1

Batch 856286, Mercury, Total RPD was outside laboratory control limits. Samples affected are: 416284-001, -004, -002, -003, -007, -005, -008, -006

Page 3 of 9 Final 1.000



Certificate of Analysis Summary 416284

City of Dallas-Aviation, Dallas, TX

Project Name: Annual Metals Testing 2011



Project Id: DAL

Contact: Stephen (Sam) Peacock

Project Location: TX

Date Received in Lab: Wed May-11-11 06:00 pm

Report Date: 20-MAY-11

Project Manager: Monica Tobar

								I I Oject Mia	iiuger.	widilica 100ai	·		
	Lab Id:	416284-0	001	416284-0	002	416284-0	003	416284-0	004	416284-0	005	416284-0	006
Analysis Paguested	Field Id:	DAL II	FI	DAL OF	2	DAL OF	4	DAL OF 5		DAL OF 13		DAL OF	18
Analysis Requested	Depth:												
	Matrix:	WATE	R	WATE	R	WATE	R	WATE	R	WATE	R	WATEI	R
	Sampled:	May-11-11	15:20	May-11-11	15:25	May-11-11	15:30	May-11-11	15:37	May-11-11	15:47	May-11-11	16:05
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Extracted:	May-17-11	08:10	May-17-11	08:10	May-17-11	08:10	May-17-11	08:10	May-17-11	08:10	May-17-11	08:10
	Analyzed:	May-17-11	10:44	May-17-11	10:51	May-17-11	10:53	May-17-11	10:54	May-17-11	10:56	May-17-11	11:01
	Units/RL:	ug/L	RL	ug/L	RL	ug/L	RL	ug/L	RL	ug/L	RL	ug/L	RL
Mercury, Total		BRL	0.100	0.159	0.100	BRL	0.100	BRL	0.100	BRL	0.100	0.215	0.100
Metals per ICP by EPA 200.7	Extracted:	May-13-11	05:30	May-13-11	05:30	May-13-11	05:30	May-13-11	05:30	May-13-11	05:30	May-13-11	05:30
	Analyzed:	May-13-11	10:48	May-13-11	10:50	May-13-11	10:51	May-13-11	10:59	May-13-11	11:02	May-13-11	11:04
	Units/RL:	mg/L	RL	mg/L	RL	mg/L	RL	mg/L	RL	mg/L	RL	mg/L	RL
Arsenic		BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100
Barium		0.0157	0.0100	0.0109	0.0100	0.0123	0.0100	0.0237	0.0100	0.0339	0.0100	0.0332	0.0100
Cadmium		BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050
Lead		BRL	0.0120	BRL	0.0120	BRL	0.0120	0.0169	0.0120	0.0136	0.0120	0.0141	0.0120
Selenium		BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100	BRL	0.0100
Silver		BRL	0.0040	BRL	0.0040	BRL	0.0040	BRL	0.0040	BRL	0.0040	BRL	0.0040
Chromium		BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050	BRL	0.0050	0.00500	0.0050	0.00790	0.0050	0.00740	0.0050

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Carlos Castro

Managing Director, Texas



Project Location: TX

Certificate of Analysis Summary 416284

City of Dallas-Aviation, Dallas, TX



Project Id: DAL

Project Name: Annual Metals Testing 2011

Contact: Stephen (Sam) Peacock

Date Received in Lab: Wed May-11-11 06:00 pm

Report Date: 20-MAY-11

Project Manager: Monica Tobar

				110]0	ct Manager. Mon	iica 100ai	
	Lab Id:	416284-007	416284-008				
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	DAL OF 16	DAL OF 10				
Anaiysis Kequesieu	Depth:						
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER				
	Sampled:	May-11-11 16:21	May-11-11 16:32				
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Extracted:	May-17-11 08:10	May-17-11 08:10				
	Analyzed:	May-17-11 11:04	May-17-11 11:05				
	Units/RL:	ug/L RL	ug/L RL				
Mercury, Total		0.219 0.100	BRL 0.100				
Metals per ICP by EPA 200.7	Extracted:	May-13-11 05:30	May-13-11 05:30				
	Analyzed:	May-13-11 11:05	May-13-11 11:07				
	Units/RL:	mg/L RL	mg/L RL				
Arsenic		BRL 0.0100	BRL 0.0100				
Barium		0.0243 0.0100	0.0334 0.0100				
Cadmium		BRL 0.0050	BRL 0.0050				
Lead		BRL 0.0120	BRL 0.0120				
Selenium		BRL 0.0100	BRL 0.0100				
Silver		BRL 0.0040	BRL 0.0040				
Chromium		BRL 0.0050	BRL 0.0050				
			•	•	•		•

Final 1.000



Flagging Criteria

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the MQL and above the SQL.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- BRL Below Reporting Limit.
- **RL** Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection Limit
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit
- LOD Limit of Detection
- LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- **DL** Method Detection Limit
- * Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC Accreditation.

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Corpus Christi - Midland/Odessa - Tampa - Miami - Latin America

	Phone	Fax
4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, Tx 77477	(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220	(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238	(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619	(813) 620-2000	(813) 620-2033
5757 NW 158th St, Miami Lakes, FL 33014	(305) 823-8500	(305) 823-8555
12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765	(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
842 Cantwell Lane, Corpus Christi, TX 78408	(361) 884-0371	(361) 884-9116

Page 6 of 9 Final 1.000



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Annual Metals Testing 2011

Work Order #: 416284

Project ID: DAL

Analyst: DAT

Date Prepared: 05/17/2011

Date Analyzed: 05/17/2011

Lab Batch ID: 856286

Sample: 602881-1-BKS

Matrix: Water

Units:	ug/L
--------	------

Batch #: 1 BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

Cinto.											
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes		[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]				
Mercury, Total	< 0.100	5.00	0.979	20	5.00	4.90	98	133	85-115	20	LF

Date Prepared: 05/13/2011 **Date Analyzed:** 05/13/2011 Analyst: DAT

Matrix: Water **Lab Batch ID:** 855920 **Batch #:** 1 **Sample:** 602659-1-BKS

Units: mg/L

BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

Units. mg 2											
Metals per ICP by EPA 200.7 Analytes	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Arsenic	< 0.0100	1.00	1.06	106	1.00	1.08	108	2	75-125	20	
Barium	< 0.0100	1.00	0.994	99	1.00	0.983	98	1	75-125	20	
Cadmium	< 0.00500	1.00	1.08	108	1.00	1.10	110	2	75-125	20	
Lead	< 0.0120	1.00	1.10	110	1.00	1.12	112	2	75-125	20	
Selenium	< 0.0100	1.00	1.07	107	1.00	1.09	109	2	75-125	20	
Silver	< 0.00400	1.00	1.03	103	1.00	1.05	105	2	75-125	20	
Chromium	< 0.0500	1.00	1.05	105	1.00	1.07	107	2	75-125	20	

Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)|Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100*(C)/[B]Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = 100*(F)/[E] All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



Project Name: Annual Metals Testing 2011

Work Order #: 416284 Project ID: DAL

Lab Batch ID: 856286 **QC- Sample ID:** 416284-001 S **Batch #:** 1 **Matrix:** Water

Date Analyzed: 05/17/2011 Date Prepared: 05/17/2011 Analyst: DAT

Reporting Units: ug/L	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY										
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample		Duplicate Spiked Sample		RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Mercury, Total	< 0.100	5.00	4.88	98	5.00	5.27	105	8	75-125	20	

Lab Batch ID: 855920 **QC- Sample ID:** 416196-001 S **Batch #:** 1 **Matrix:** Water

Date Analyzed: 05/13/2011 Date Prepared: 05/13/2011 Analyst: DAT

Reporting Units: mg/L	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY										
Metals per ICP by EPA 200.7	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample	•	Duplicate Spiked Sample	•	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Arsenic	< 0.0100	1.00	1.06	106	1.00	1.06	106	0	75-125	20	
Barium	0.0152	1.00	1.00	98	1.00	1.01	99	1	75-125	20	
Cadmium	< 0.00500	1.00	1.09	109	1.00	1.07	107	2	75-125	20	
Chromium	< 0.0500	1.00	1.07	107	1.00	1.05	105	2	75-125	20	
Lead	< 0.0120	1.00	1.09	109	1.00	1.09	109	0	75-125	20	
Selenium	< 0.0100	1.00	1.06	106	1.00	1.06	106	0	75-125	20	
Silver	< 0.00400	1.00	1.04	104	1.00	1.03	103	1	75-125	20	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)| Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E



XENCO Laboratories



Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

Client: City of Dallas-Aviation Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC

Date/ Time Received: 05/11/2011 06:00:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used :

Work Order #: 416284

	Sample Receipt Chec	klist	Comments
#1 *Temperature of cooler(s)?		2.4	
#2 *Shipping container in good condition	on?	Yes	
#3 *Samples received on ice?		Yes	
#4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping of	ontainer/ cooler?	Yes	present cooler not on containers
#5 Custody Seals intact on sample bot	tles/ container?	Yes	present cooler not on containers
#6 *Custody Seals Signed and dated for	or Containers/coolers	Yes	present cooler not on containers
#7 *Chain of Custody present?		Yes	
#8 Sample instructions complete on C	nain of Custody?	Yes	
#9 Any missing/extra samples?		No	
#10 Chain of Custody signed when rel	nquished/ received?	Yes	
#11 Chain of Custody agrees with sam	ple label(s)?	Yes	
#12 Container label(s) legible and inta-	ct?	Yes	
#13 Sample matrix/ properties agree w	rith Chain of Custody?	Yes	
#14 Samples in proper container/ bottl	e?	Yes	
#15 Samples properly preserved?		Yes	
#16 Sample container(s) intact?		Yes	
#17 Sufficient sample amount for indic	ated test(s)?	Yes	
#18 All samples received within hold ti	me?	Yes	
#19 Subcontract of sample(s)?		No	
#20 VOC samples have zero headspa	ce (less than 1/4 inch bubble)?	N/A	
#21 <2 for all samples preserved with	HNO3,HCL, H2SO4?	Yes	
#22 >10 for all samples preserved with	NaAsO2+NaOH, ZnAc+NaOh	l? N/A	
* Must be completed for after-hours d	elivery of samples prior to pl	acing in the refrige	rator
Analyst: PH	Device/Lot#		
NonConformance:			
Metals (Ag, As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Se) to	be run in Dallas		
Corrective Action Taken:	bo fair in Baileo.		
Corrective Action Taken.			
	Nonconformance Docu	ımentation	
Contact:	Contacted by :		DateTime :
Contact:			DateTime :
Checklist completed by:	Horge Ut Mouth Angelica Martinez	Date: <u>05/12/</u>	/2011
Checklist reviewed by:		 Date: 05/12/	/2011

Analytical Report 434623

for City of Dallas - PW&T Storm Water

Project Manager: Liza Garrett

DAL

13-JAN-12

Collected By: Client



Celebrating 20 Years of commitment to excellence in Environmental Testing Services



9701 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75220 Ph:(214) 902-0300 Fax:(214) 351-9139

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122):

Texas (T104704215-10-6-TX), Arizona (AZ0765), Arkansas (08-039-0), Connecticut (PH-0102), Florida (E871002) Illinois (002082), Indiana (C-TX-02), Iowa (392), Kansas (E-10380), Kentucky (45), Louisiana (03054) New Hampshire (297408), New Jersey (TX007), New York (11763), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610) Rhode Island (LAO00312), USDA (S-44102)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046):

Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Utah (AALI1), West Virginia (362), Kentucky (85) Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

Xenco-Miami (EPA Lab code: FL01152): Florida (E86678), Maryland (330)
Xenco-Tampa Mobile (EPA Lab code: FL01212): Florida (E84900)
Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-TX)
Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-TX)
Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757)
Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757)
Xenco Tucson (EPA Lab code: AZ000989): Arizona (AZ0758)



Table of Contents

Report Cover	1
Cover Letter	3
Case Narrative	4
Summary (C of A) Landscape	5
Explanation of Qualifiers (Flags)	6
Blank Spike Recoveries	7
Blank Spike - Blank Spike Duplicate Recoveries	8
Matrix Spike - Matrix Spike Duplicate Recoveries	9
coc	10

Page 2 of 11





13-JAN-12

Project Manager: Liza Garrett City of Dallas - PW&T Storm Water 320 E. Jefferson Room Dallas, TX 75203

Reference: XENCO Report No: 434623

DAL

Project Address: DAL

Liza Garrett:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number 434623. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. Estimation of data uncertainty for this report is found in the quality control section of this report unless otherwise noted. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 434623 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Carlos Castro

Managing Director, Texas

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Atlanta - Corpus Christi - Latin America



CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: City of Dallas - PW&T Storm Water

Project Name: DAL



Project ID: --- Report Date: 13-JAN-12 Work Order Number: 434623 Date Received: 01/06/2012

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

Xenco Houston

Xenco Houston

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

Analytical non nonformances and comments:

Batch: LBA-878818 Metals by EPA 200.8

E200.8

Batch 878818, Cadmium, Silver recovered below QC limits in the Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate. Zinc recovered below QC limits in the Matrix Spike Duplicate. Samples affected are: 434623-001.

The Laboratory Control Sample for Silver, Zinc, Cadmium is within laboratory Control Limits



Project Id: ---

Project Location: DAL

Certificate of Analysis Summary 434623

City of Dallas - PW&T Storm Water, Dallas, TX



Project Name: DAL

Contact: Liza Garrett Date Received in Lab: Fri Jan-06-12 04:15 pm

Report Date: 13-JAN-12

Project Manager: Monica Tobar

				Froject Manager:	Monieu Toour	
	Lab Id:	434623-001				
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	OF -16				
Anaiysis Kequesieu	Depth:					
	Matrix:	WATER				
	Sampled:	Dec-28-11 14:00				
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Extracted:	Jan-11-12 05:30				
	Analyzed:	Jan-11-12 09:42				
	Units/RL:	mg/L RL				
Mercury, Total		BRL 0.000100				
Metals by EPA 200.8	Extracted:	Jan-10-12 12:00				
SUB: TX104704215	Analyzed:	Jan-11-12 18:19				
	Units/RL:	mg/L RL				
Arsenic		BRL 0.00200				
Barium		0.0244 0.00200				
Cadmium		BRL 0.000600				
Chromium		BRL 0.00300				
Copper		BRL 0.00200				
Lead		BRL 0.00200				
Manganese		0.0152 0.00300				
Nickel		BRL 0.00500				
Selenium		BRL 0.00200				
Silver		BRL 0.00100				
Zinc		0.0395 0.00300				

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Carlos Castro Managing Director, Texas



Flagging Criteria

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantiation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- H The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.

BRL Below Reporting Limit.

RL Reporting Limit

MDL Method Detection Limit SDL Sample Detection Limit LOD Limit of Detection

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation

DL Method Detection Limit

NC Non-Calculable

+ Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC Accreditation. ^ NELAC or State program does not offer Accreditation at this time.

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Midland/Odessa - Tampa/Lakeland - Miami - Phoenix - Latin America

	Phone	Fax
4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477	(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220	(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238	(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619	(813) 620-2000	(813) 620-2033
5757 NW 158th St, Miami Lakes, FL 33014	(305) 823-8500	(305) 823-8555
12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765	(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
6017 Financial Drive, Norcross, GA 30071	(770) 449-8800	(770) 449-5477
3725 E. Atlanta Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85040	(602) 437-0330	

Page 6 of 11 Final 1.000

^{*} Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.



Blank Spike Recovery



Project Name: DAL

 Lab Batch #:
 878818
 Sample:
 616363-1-BKS
 Matrix:
 Water

 Date Analyzed:
 01/10/2012
 Date Prepared:
 01/10/2012
 Analyst:
 MKO

Reporting Units: mg/L Batch #: 1 BLANK/BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY STUDY

Reporting Units: mg/L	Batch #:	BLANK/BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY STUDY								
Metals by EPA 200.8 Analytes	Blank Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags				
Arsenic	< 0.00200	0.200	0.194	97	85-115					
Barium	< 0.00200	0.200	0.192	96	85-115					
Cadmium	<0.000600	0.200	0.188	94	85-115					
Chromium	< 0.00300	0.200	0.193	97	85-115					
Copper	< 0.00200	0.200	0.189	95	85-115					
Lead	< 0.00200	0.200	0.189	95	85-115					
Manganese	< 0.00300	0.200	0.192	96	85-115					
Nickel	< 0.00500	0.200	0.189	95	85-115					
Selenium	< 0.00200	0.200	0.183	92	85-115					
Silver	< 0.00100	0.100	0.0940	94	85-115					
Zinc	< 0.00300	0.200	0.184	92	85-115					



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: DAL

Work Order #: 434623

Project ID: ---

Analyst: DAT

Date Prepared: 01/11/2012

Date Analyzed: 01/11/2012

Lab Batch ID: 878803

Sample: 616384-1-BKS **Batch #:** 1

Matrix: Water

Units: ug/L	SPIKE / I	E / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY									
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes		[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]				
Mercury, Total	< 0.100	5.00	4.77	95	5.00	5.31	106	11	85-115	20	



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



Project Name: DAL

Work Order #: 434623 Project ID: ---

Lab Batch ID: 878803 **QC- Sample ID:** 434070-001 S Matrix: Water Batch #:

Date Prepared: 01/11/2012 DAT Analyst: **Date Analyzed:** 01/11/2012

Reporting Units: ug/L	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY											
Mercury by EPA 245.1	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Sample		Duplicate Spiked Sample		RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag	
Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD		
Mercury, Total	< 0.100	5.00	5.23	105	5.00	6.13	123	16	75-125	20		

Lab Batch ID: 878818 **QC- Sample ID:** 434479-002 S Batch #: 1 Matrix: Waste Water

Analyst: MKO **Date Prepared:** 01/10/2012 **Date Analyzed:** 01/10/2012

Reporting Units: mg/L MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY **Parent** Spiked Sample Spiked **Duplicate** Control Control Spiked Metals by EPA 200.8 Sample Spike Result Spiked Sample **RPD** Limits Limits Sample Spike Dup. Flag Result Added [C] %R Added Result [F] %R % %R %RPD **Analytes** [A] [B] [D] [E][G] 0.00230 97 Arsenic 0.200 0.194 96 0.200 0.196 85-115 20 0.374 3 85-115 Barium 0.156 0.200 0.362 103 0.200 109 20 0.164 82 0.200 0.163 85-115 20 X Cadmium < 0.000600 0.200 82 0.197 99 85-115 < 0.00300 0.200 0.200 0.195 98 20 Chromium < 0.00200 0.200 0.183 92 0.200 0.180 90 2 85-115 20 Copper 94 Lead < 0.00200 0.200 0.188 0.200 0.189 95 1 85-115 20 0.0150 0.200 0.207 96 0.200 0.207 0 85-115 20 Manganese 96 < 0.00500 0.200 0.184 92 0.200 0.183 92 85-115 20 Nickel 1 < 0.00200 0.200 0.187 94 0.200 0.198 99 6 85-115 20 Selenium Silver < 0.00100 0.100 0.0813 81 0.100 0.0805 81 1 85-115 20 X Zinc 0.0613 0.200 0.232 85 0.200 0.230 84 85-115 20 X

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)| Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

From:

Всу. by:

Date

:upp\

Preservatives: Various (V), HCl pH<2 (H), H2SO4 pH<2 (S). HNO3 pH<2 (N), Asbc Ácid&Na6H (A), ZnAc&NaOH (Z), (Cool, <4C) (C), None (NA), See Label (L), Other (O)

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 32oz (32), 40ml VOA (40), 1L (1), 500ml (5), Tedlar Bag (B), Various (V), Other

Cont. Size: 4oz (4), 8oz (8), 8oz (8 www.xenco.com Committed to Excellence in Service and Quality Matrix: Air (A), Product (P), Solid (S), Water (W), Liquid (L)

Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of these samples constitutes a valid purchase order from client company to Xenco Laboratones and its affiliates.

subcontractors and assigns under Xenco's standard terms and conditions of concer-

2



Work Order #: 434623

XENCO Laboratories





Client: City of Dallas - PW&T Storm Water

Date/ Time Received: 01/06/2012 04:15:00 PM

Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient

Temperature Measuring device used :

	Sample Receipt Che	Sample Receipt Checklist					
#1 *Temperature of cooler(s)?		23.8					
#2 *Shipping container in good condition	on?	Yes					
#3 *Samples received on ice?		No					
#4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping c	ontainer/ cooler?	No					
#5 Custody Seals intact on sample bot	tles/ container?	No					
#6 *Custody Seals Signed and dated for	or Containers/coolers	No					
#7 *Chain of Custody present?		Yes					
#8 Sample instructions complete on Cl	nain of Custody?	Yes					
#9 Any missing/extra samples?		No					
#10 Chain of Custody signed when reli	nquished/ received?	Yes					
#11 Chain of Custody agrees with sam	ple label(s)?	Yes					
#12 Container label(s) legible and intac	ct?	Yes					
#13 Sample matrix/ properties agree w	ith Chain of Custody?	Yes					
#14 Samples in proper container/ bottle	e?	Yes					
#15 Samples properly preserved?		Yes					
#16 Sample container(s) intact?		Yes					
#17 Sufficient sample amount for indic	ated test(s)?	Yes					
#18 All samples received within hold til	me?	Yes					
#19 Subcontract of sample(s)?		Yes	Xenco Houston				
#20 VOC samples have zero headspace	ce (less than 1/4 inch bubble))? N/A					
#21 <2 for all samples preserved with I	HNO3,HCL, H2SO4?	Yes					
#22 >10 for all samples preserved with	NaAsO2+NaOH, ZnAc+NaC	DH? N/A					
* Must be completed for after-hours de	elivery of samples prior to p	olacing in the refrige	rator				
Analyst: PH	Device/Lot#						
/ maryst.	DCVIOC/ LOT#						
NonConformance:							
Corrective Action Taken:							
	Nonconformance Doo	cumentation					
Contact:	Contacted by :		DateTime :				
Checklist completed by:	Elia Jorgaly Elisa Gonzalez	Date: <u>01/06</u> /	/2012				
	Elisa Gunzalez						
Checklist reviewed by:		 Date: 01/06/	/2012				

HAZARDOUS METALS - INLAND WATERS

STW / TXR05 V383

IAME City of Dall				CHARGE MOI	NITORING REPOR	SYSTEM (NPDES) RT (DMR)	NOTE: Enter your authorization number in the underlined space in the upper right hand co						
ADDRESS 8008 C	edar Springs Rd		TXR05V3	?-16) 83		17-19)	TIB) Of this page Example: STM/ Types.						
	TX 75235			NUMBER		N/A GE NUMBER							
ACILITY Dallas	Love Field Airpor	4				Only If require	ed, mail to						
OCATION Dallas	Love Fleid All por	ι	YEAR	MO DAY	ORING PERIOD	_		P.O. B	0007				
				01 01		YEAR MO DAY 2011 12 31			Austin,	TX 78711-3	0007		
PARAMETER			(20-21) (22	2-23) (24-25)		28-29) (30-31)							
(32-37)	/ IG Cald Univi CHANT		UANTITY OR LOADING (54-61)	3		JALITY OR CON (46-53)			NO.	FREQUENCY			
Arsenic		AVERAGE	MAXIMUM	UNITS	MINIMUM	AVERAGE	MAXIMUM	UNITS	EX	ANALYSIS	SAMPLE TYPE		
- CISCIIIC	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	BRL	- Chillo	(62-63) 0	(64-68) 1/yr	(69-70) grab		
	SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******	******	*****	*****	*****	0.3			1/Year	Grab		
Barium	SAMPLE ****** MEASUREMENT SAMPLE REQUIREMENT		*****	*****	****	.0339		0	1/yr				
			*****	*****		*****	4.0	mg/l		Terral News II	grab		
Cadmium	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	******	*****	*****	*****	Daily Max			1/Year	Grab		
	SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******	******	*****	*****	******	0.2	mg/l	0	1/yr	grab		
Chromium	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	.0079			1/Year	Grab		
	SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******	******	*****	*****	*****	5.0	mali	0	1/yr	grab		
Copper	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	******	*****	*****	*****	*****	Daily Max	mg/l		1/Year	Grab		
	SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******	******	*****	*****	******	2.0	mg/l		0/yr			
NAME/TITLE PRIN	NCIPAL EXECUTIVE	OFFICER I			Here is a second		Daily Max		aterals,	1/Year	Grab		
	nvironmental Mar	1000	TIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT T E PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION (THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL. OR SUPERVISION IN ACCO	ATTACHMENTS	\sim \wedge	TEL	EPHONE		DATE			
	ON OR PERSONS WHO MANAGE THE PONSIBLE FOR GATHERING THE INFOR	VENULTY OF LAW THAT THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL ATTACHMENTS UNDER MY DIRECTION OR SUPERVISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ED TO ASSURE THAT QUALIFIED PERSONNEL PROPERLY GATHER HE INFORMATION SUBMITTED BASEO ON MY INQUIRY OF THE MY ORNANGE THE SYSTEM. OR THOSE PERSONS DIRECTLY OR SYMPOMENION, THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED OF MY KNOWLEDGE, AND BELIEF, TRUE, ACCURATE, AND MANAGE THAT THE ATTO THE ACCURATE, AND MANAGE THAT THE ACCURATE AND MANAGE TH					20	12 02	08				
TYP	PED OR PRINTED	PLETE I AM AWARE THAT THER MITTING FALSE INFORMATION, INCLU- ISONMENT FOR KNOWING VIOLATION	TE ARE SIGNIFICANT PE	OF FINE AND OFFICE	ZED AREA CODE	NUMBE	R YE	AR MO	DAY				

XPLANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)

RCRA 8 Metals were mistakenly sampled. Representative rain event did not occur during business hrs the remainder of the yr. Unable to re-sample. EPA Form 3320-1 (3-99)

(REPLACES EPA FORM T-40 WHICH MAY NOT BE USED)

PAGE

HAZARDOUS METALS - INLAND WATERS

ERMITTEE N	RMITTEE NAME/ADDRESS (Include Facility Name/Location if Different)					ARGE ELIMINATION S FORING REPORT	NOTE: Enter your authorization number in the							
AME City	of Dallas	3						underlined space in the upper right hand co of this page. Example: STW/ TXR05J102/ CO						
111111111111111111111111111111111111		dar Springs Rd X 75235		TXR05V38 PERMIT	3 NUMBER	DISCHAR	7-19) N/A GE NUMBER			to: TCEQ (MC 213) P.O. Box 13087				
ACILITY OCATION	Dallas i	Love Field Airport		YEAR M 2011 0 (20-21) (22-	O DAY 1 01	2011 (26-27) (2	MO DAY 12 31 8-29) (30-31)			Austin, TX 78711-3087				
PARA M E (32-3			3 Card Only) Ql (46-53)	JANTITY OR LOADING (54-61)		(4 Card Only) QU (38-45)	ALITY OR CONC (46-53)	CENTRATION (54-61)		NO. EX	FREQUENCY OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE TYPE		
			AVERAGE	MAXIMUM	MAXIMUM UNITS		AVERAGE	MAXIMUM	UNITS	(62-63)	(64-68)	(69-70)		
Lead		SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	*****	*****	****	*****	.0169		0	1/yr	grab		
		SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	1.5 Daily Max			1/Year	Grab		
Manganes	e	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****				0/yr			
		SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	*****	******	*****	******	CAC TO A	3.0 Daily Max	mg/l		1/Year	Grab		
Mercury		SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	*****	*****	******	*****	.000219		0	1/yr	grab		
		SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	*****	•••••	*****	******		0.01 Daily Max	mg/l		1/Year	Grab		
Nickel		SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****				0/yr			
		SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******		*****	******	*****	3.0 Daily Max	mg/l		1/Year	Grab		
Selenium		SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	******	*****	*****	*****	BRL		0	1/yr	grab		
		SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******	******	******	******	******	0.2 Daily Max	mg/l		1/Year	Grab		
NAME/	TITLE PR	RINCIPAL EXECUTIV	/E OFFICER	CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF	LAW THAT THIS DOCUM	MENT AND ALL	0	TELE	PHONE		DATE			
William Brewer, Environmental Manager ATTACHME PERSONN MANAGE I GATHERIN OF MY KN ANAGE TA ATTACHME MANAGE			ATTACHMENTS WERE PREPARED LACCORDANCE WITH A SYSTEM IPPROPERLY GATHEL SUBMITTED BASED ON MY INQUI MANAGE THE SYSTEM, OR THOSE GATHERING THE INFORMATION, THE OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFIC	R AND EVALUATE THE RY OF THE PERSON OR E PERSONS DIRECTLY RES EINFORMATION SUBMITTED TRUE, ACCURATE, AND O CANT PENALTIES FOR SUB CANT PENALTIES FOR SUB	INFORMATION PERSONS WHO SPONSIBLE FOR DIS, TO THE BEST OMPLETE I AM OMPLETE I AM OMPLETE I AM	TURE OF PRINC EXECUTIVE	AREAT	-6654	201		DAY			
	TYPED OR PRINTED KNOWING V			INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE POS KNOWING VIOLATIONS	SSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPE	RISONMENT FOR OFFICI	ER OR AUTHOR AGENT	CODE						

COMMENTS AND EXPLANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference all attachments here)
RCRA 8 Metals were mistakenly sampled. Representative rain event did not occur during business hrs the remainder of the yr. Unable to re-sample.

EPA Form 3320-1 (3-99)

(REPLACES EPA FORM T-40 WHICH MAY NOT BE USED)

PAGE

OF _

HAZAR PERMITTEE NAME/ADDRES	DOUS METAI	LS - INLA	ND WA						ST	W / TX	R05 \	V383		/ CO			
NAME City of Dallas	OO (Include Pacility Name/L	ocation if Different)	NATIONAL PO DISCH	LLUTANT DISCH HARGE MON	IARGE E	LIMINATION NG REPOR	SYSTEM (NPDES RT (DMR)	NOTE: I	NOTE: Enter your authorization number in the							
ADDRESS 8008 Cedar Dallas TX 75	Springs Rd 5235			TXR05V383	2-16) NUMBER		DISCHA	(17-19) N/A RGE NUMBER	or this p	underlined space in the upper right hand corner of this page. Example: STW/ TXR05J102/CO							
FACILITY LOCATION Da		DISCHARGE NUMBER Only If required, mail to: TCEQ (MC 213)									,						
(32-37)	><	(3 Card Only) (46-53) AVERAGE		TY OR LOADIN (54-61) MAXIMUM	IG UNITS	(38		JALITY OR CON (46-53)	ICENTRATION (54-6	1)	NO.		UENCY)F LYSIS	SAMPLE TYPE			
Silver SAMPI MEASURE		*****	*****		*****		*****	*****	MAXIMUM BRI	UNITS	(62-63)		-68)	(69-70) grab			
7.	SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******		****** ****		******		******	0.2 Daily Max	mg/l	•		'ear	Grab			
Zinc	SAMPLE MEASUREMENT	*****	,	*****	*****	**	****	*****	Dany Max	outy wax		0/yr					
	SAMPLE REQUIREMENT	******		*****	*****	******		******	6.0	mg/l		1/Year		Grab			
NAME/TITLE PRINCIP			I CERTIFY UNDE	R PENALTY OF LAW	THAT THIS DOCUME		E NEWS COLUMN	So has a constitution to	Daily Max	ELEPHON	IF.		41				
Accordance Personnel Manager Accordance Personnel Basedonn or Those Personnel Basedon or Those Personnel Basedon or Those Person or Those Per				THE A SYSTEM DESIGNED ON THE PERSON OF THE PERSON OF STREET, YESPONSIBLE AND COMMENTAL TO THE AND COMMENT OF THE PARTY OF	EMY DIRECTION OR SE INED TO ASSURE THA LUA FE FHE DIFORMATIO EPERSONS WHO MANAGE LEFOR GATHERING THE F BEST OF MY KNOWLEDG	PERVISION I OF QUALIFIE N SUBMITTER THE SYSTEM OF ORMATION E AND BELLIER	SIGNAT	URE OF PRINCIP	214-6	70-6654		2012	02	08			
TYPED OR PRINTED OF FINE AND				SOMMENT FOR KNOWN	MATION INCLUDING TH NG VIOLATIONS	F. POSSIBILIT		EXECUTIVE R OR AUTHORIZ	ZED AREA CODE	NUME	BER	YEAR	MO	DAY			
COMMENTS AND EXPLAN	NATION OF ANY V	TOLATIONS	(Reference	all attachmen	its here)		L	AGENT									
RCRA 8 Metals were m	istakenly samp	led. Repres	sentative	rain event	did not oc	cur dı	iring bus	iness hrs the	e remainde	r of the	vr Ha	abla 4					
EPA Form 3320-1 (3-99)			(REPLAC	CES EPA FOR	CM T-40 WHI	СН МА	Y NOT BE	USED)			PAGE	able to	OF				