# TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

Intersection of:

Northwest Highway at Edgemere Road In Dallas, Texas

Prepared for: **City of Dallas** 

On behalf of: Preston Place Condominium Association

Prepared by:

Steve C Sto

Steve E. Stoner, P.E., PTOE





7557 Rambler Road, Suite 1400 Dallas, Texas 75231-2388 (972) 235-3031 <u>www.pkce.com</u> TX.REG: ENGINEERING FIRM F-469 TX. REG. SURVEYING FIRM LS-100080-00

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The services of **Pacheco Koch** (PK) were retained by **Preston Place Condominium Association** to conduct a cursory Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis (TSWA) for the intersection of Northwest Highway at Edgemere Road in Dallas, Texas. The analysis was based upon existing conditions, as collected on Wednesday, March 27, 2019, with the addition of projected increases in site-generated traffic resulting from potential redevelopment within PD 15. This engineering study was performed in accordance with the traffic signal warrant criteria described in the latest edition of the Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Based upon Pacheco Koch's technical analysis of the traffic-volume-related traffic signal warrant criteria, it was determined that, at buildout conditions of the potential redevelopment of PD 15, the subject intersection <u>will meet</u> the minimum warrants for the installation of a traffic signal. Specifically, the following warrant(s) are anticipated to be satisfied:

- Warrant 1 Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume, Condition B
- Warrant 2 Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- ✤ Warrant 3 Peak Hour
- Warrant 6 Coordinated Signal System

[NOTE: Pending more detailed analysis of historical crash data, the criteria for Warrant 7 – Crash Experience may also be satisfied.]

The findings of this analysis are subject to the review by and approval of the City of Dallas staff.

END





### **TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS**

Intersection of Northwest Highway at Edgemere Road

Dallas, Texas

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. i
LOCATION MAP	.ii
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND Description of Intersection Existing Traffic Volumes Site-Generated Traffic Volumes Projected Traffic Volumes	1 1 2 2 2
TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS	2 2 3 .3 .3 .4 .4



May 20, 2019

LIST OF TABLES: Table 1. Intersection Characteristics Table 2. Background Intersection Information Table 3. Summary of Results

LIST OF EXHIBITS: Exhibit 1. Location Map

LIST OF APPENDICES:

Appendix A. Current Traffic Volumes

Appendix B. Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

Appendix C. Excerpts from Texas Manual On Uniform Traffic Control Devices

Appendix D. Detailed Warrant Analysis Results

## **INTRODUCTION**

The services of **Pacheco Koch** (PK) were retained by **Preston Place Condominium Association** to conduct a Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis (TSWA) for the intersection of Northwest Highway at Edgemere Road in Dallas, Texas. This analysis was based upon existing traffic volumes, as collected on Wednesday, March 27, 2019, with the addition of projected increases in site-generated traffic resulting from potential redevelopment within PD 15. A location map of the subject intersection (**Exhibit 1**) is provided for reference following the **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**.

For a traffic signal to be warranted, an engineering study of the intersection is required to determine if at least one of nine, predefined, traffic signal warrants are (or will be) satisfied. The warrant criteria are summarized in the 2014 Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (TMUTCD). To obtain approval for installation of a traffic signal, staff of the agency responsible for traffic operations of the intersection must agree that one or more traffic signal warrants is satisfied and determine that installation of a traffic signal is appropriate and can be operated safely and efficiently.

This TSWA was prepared by traffic engineers at Pacheco Koch (the "Engineer") in accordance with industry and local standards. Pacheco Koch is a licensed engineering firm based in Dallas, Texas, that provides professional engineering and related services.

# BACKGROUND

### **Description of Intersection**

The intersection of Northwest Highway and Edgemere Road currently operates as...

• a minor-street STOP-controlled condition.

The major street, Northwest Highway, has a six-lane, median-divided cross-section with a 35-MPH posted speed limit. [NOTE: The City of Dallas staff has indicated that an increase to a 45-MPH speed limit has been approved and will be implemented in the future.] The minor street, Edgemere Road, has a four-lane, median-divided cross-section with a 30-MPH posted speed limit.

Nearby traffic signals are located at the intersection of Northwest Highway at Pickwick Lane approximately 1,600-feet west of the subject intersection and at the intersection of Northwest Highway and Thackery Street approximately 1,000-feet east of the subject intersection.

### **Existing Traffic Volumes**

Data used in this analysis include peak period turning movement counts collected on Wednesday, March 27, 2019, at the subject intersection. (Pneumatic 'road tube' counts were used for off-peak period volumes). Detailed volume data are summarized in **Appendix A**. [NOTE: Existing background traffic was NOT redistributed.]

### Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

For this analysis, projected traffic volumes generated by the potential PD 15 redevelopment, as described in the associated traffic study prepared by Pacheco Koch, were added to the background traffic volumes. The site generated volumes were calculated by the typical four-step of trip generation; trip distribution; traffic assignment; and mode split. **Appendix B** provides summaries of these analyses. [NOTE: A portion of the existing PD 15 traffic was redistributed to account for improved access to a traffic signal.]

### **Projected Traffic Volumes**

The traffic volumes used in the traffic signal warrant analysis represent the sum of existing traffic volumes plus projected PD 15 redevelopment traffic volumes.

# TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

### **Traffic Signal Warrants**

The TMUTCD defines a series of nine traffic signal warrants to be used in the investigation of a traffic signal installation. These warrants are listed as follows:

- Warrant 1 Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2 Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3 Peak Hour
- Warrant 4 Pedestrian Volume
- Warrant 5 School Crossing
- Warrant 6 Coordinated Signal System
- Warrant 7 Crash Experience
- Warrant 8 Roadway Network
- Warrant 9 Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

A description of the warrants as obtained from §4C-01 of the TMUTCD are provided in **Appendix C**. It is important to note that the intersection Level of Service (LOS) is not a warrant for signal installation. The TMUTCD states:

The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal. A traffic control signal should not be installed unless one or more of the factors described in this [manual] are met. A traffic control signal should not be installed unless an engineering study indicates that installing a traffic control signal will improve the overall safety and/or operation of the intersection.



### Study Scope

The scope of this Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis was to evaluate whether the projected traffic volumes will be sufficient to warrant installation of a traffic signal. Therefore, Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volumes, Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volumes, and Warrant 3: Peak Hour were analyzed. (NOTE: Some agencies reserve application of Warrant 3 for special cases only. However, analysis results for Warrant 3 are provided for consideration.)

In addition, commentary is provided on other warrants.

### Analysis Results

By applying all the warrant criteria and data, the applicable traffic signal warrants were analyzed. A detailed summary of this traffic signal warrant analysis data are provided in **Appendix D**; and a written summary of each warrant is provided in the following sections.

#### Warrant 1 – Eight-Hour Vehicular Volumes

Condition A — This application is used where a large volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason for installing a traffic signal. The total major street approach volumes must be greater than 600 vehicles each hour for a minimum of eight hours. The highest minor street approach volumes must be greater than 200 vehicles each hour for a minimum of eight hours.

Finding: No (0) hours of both the major and minor street vehicular traffic volumes meet the warrant criteria; Condition A is not satisfied.

Condition B — This application is used where a large volume of major street traffic impedes the movement of the minor street traffic. The total major street approach volumes must be greater than 900 vehicles each hour for a minimum of eight hours. The highest minor street approach volumes must be greater than 100 vehicles each hour for a minimum of eight hours.

 Finding: Nine (9) hours of both the major and minor street vehicular traffic volumes meet the warrant criteria; Condition B is satisfied.

Condition A and Condition B Combination (80% Factor) — This alternative warrant is only applicable if Condition A and Condition B are not individually satisfied.

Finding: (not applicable, since Condition B is satisfied)

#### Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volumes

This warrant is for use in applications where the intersecting traffic is the main reason for installing a traffic signal. The total major street approach volumes, when plotted with the corresponding minor street volumes (from either approach), must fall above the applicable curve on Figure 4C-1 for at least four hours.

 Finding: Seven (7) hours of both the major and minor street vehicular traffic volumes meet the warrant criteria; Warrant 2 is satisfied.

#### Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

This warrant is for use in applications where, for a minimum of one hour (i.e., any four, consecutive, 15-minute increments) of an average day, the minor street suffers excessive delay when entering or crossing the major street. The warrant is satisfied if the criteria in either of two categories are met:

- A. If all three of the following conditions exist for the same 1 hour:
  - 1. The total stopped time delay experienced by the traffic on the minor-street approach (one direction only) controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds: 4 vehicle-hours for a one-lane approach, or 5 vehicles-hours for a two-lane approach; and
  - 2. The volume on the same minor-street approach equals or exceeds 100 vehicles per hour for one moving lane of traffic or 150 vehicles per hour for two moving lanes; and
  - 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 650 vehicles per hour for intersections with three approach or 800 vehicles per hour for intersections with four or more approaches.
- Finding: (not analyzed)
- B. The total major street approach volumes, when plotted with the corresponding minor street volumes, must fall above the applicable curve on Figure 4C-3 for at least one hour.
- Finding: One (1) hour of both the major and minor street vehicular traffic volumes meet the peak hour warrant criteria; Warrant 3 is satisfied.

#### Other Warrants

The six remaining traffic signal warrants are not explicitly based upon traffic volumes. Some of the warrants do not apply to this location (Warrant 5—School Crossing, Warrant 8—Roadway Network, and Warrant 9—Intersection Near a Grade Crossing). Warrant 4—Pedestrian Volume is only applicable to high-volume pedestrian crossings and was therefore not considered.

Warrant 6—Coordinated Signal System is specifically intended for a major corridor, such as Northwest Highway, that has a series of traffic signals that are operationally coordinated. The warrant is only applicable where traffic signals have spacing of at least 1,000 feet. While the analysis used to evaluate this warrant is very technical, Pacheco Koch's assessment using the corridor data from the City's *Synchro* model indicates that progression would not be significantly impacted during morning and afternoon peak periods; therefore, conditions do exist to consider Warrant 6 satisfied.

# **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

NOTE: Recommendations presented in this report reflect the opinion of Pacheco Koch based solely upon technical analysis and professional judgment but are not intended to infer mandates or funding responsibility. Installation of a traffic signal, or any other improvements in the public right-of-way are subject to approval of the responsible agency(-ies). Legal precedents and other agency standards apply with regard to funding responsibilities.

The findings of this Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis, which include projected sitegenerated traffic volumes at site buildout conditions, are summarized in the following table:

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT	STATUS
Warrant 1. Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	ls met
Warrant 2. Four-Hour Vehicular Volume	ls met
Warrant 3. Peak-Hour	ls met
Warrant 6. Coordinated Signal System	ls met

Table 3.	Summar	v of Results
10010 0.	oon na i	

Based upon Pacheco Koch's technical analysis of the traffic-volume-related traffic signal warrant criteria defined in the Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, it is projected that installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Northwest Highway and Edgemere Road will be warranted at full buildout of the potential PD 15 redevelopment. The findings of this analysis are subject to the review by and approval of the City of Dallas staff.

END OF MEMO



APPENDIX



	Date:	Date: 5/20/2019						Pacheco Koch						
Ir	Intersection: Edgemere Road at Northwest Highway													
Conditions: Projected Volumes						Community Population < 10,000?								
	Project #:				3602-17.341				Speed Limit > 40 mph?					
										ront				
	Major G	Chroat 2						warrant 8-Hour						
		omoro Po	reet 3 C Minor Street 2 C					8-HOUR						
			TOTAL		ce	MAY	() Conc	dition A	(100%) Condition P	(ou Condition A	Condition P	4 Hour	Dook Hour	
6:00 AM	710	1 071	101AL 2E01		JD 01	1VIAA 01	Not		Not Mot		Mot	4-nour	Not Mot	
0:00 AM	/10	1,8/1	2581	0	107	107	NOL	Met	NOL WIEL	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
7:00 AM	1,487	3,184	4071	0	107	107	Not	Mot	Not Mot	Not Met	Mot	Not Met	Not Met	
0.00 AM	1,001	3,045	4045	0	120	97	Not	Met	Not Wet	Not Met	Mat	Not Wet	Not Met	
9:00 AM	1,420	2,104	3524	0	139	139	Not	Mot	Mot	Not Met	Mot	Mot	Not Met	
10:00 AM	1,409	1,246	2655	0	122	122	Not	Net	Net	Not Met	Net	Met	Not Met	
11:00 AM	1,726	1,112	2838	0	121	121	Not	Met	Met	Not Met	iviet	Met	Not Met	
12:00 PM	1,345	1,023	2368	0	151	151	Not	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met	
1:00 PM	1,010	8/4	1885	0	119	119	Not	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	
2:00 PM	1,177	1,152	2329	0	129	129	Not	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	
3:00 PM	1,484	1,278	2762	0	143	143	Not	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	
4:00 PM	2,529	2,066	4596	0	112	112	Not	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
5:00 PM	2,506	2,027	4533	0	97	97	Not	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
6:00 PM	1,862	900	2762	0	96	96	Not	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
7:00 PM	1,267	577	1844	0	83	83	Not	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
8:00 PM	923	519	1442	0	52	52	Not	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	
9:00 PM	779	467	1246	0	85	85	Not	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not Met	
-														
								Required	d Volume		# Hours	# Hours		
							N	Major	Minor		Satisfied	Required	Warrant	
1. Eight-Ho	ur Warrant													
	(100%)	Condt	ion A Met?					600	200		0	8	Mot	
	(10078)	Condt	ion B Met?					900	100		9	8	Wiet	
	(80%)	Condtion A Met?		Condtion A Met?			480 160							
	(0070)	& Condt	ion B Met?					720	80		15	8	NOTIVIEL	
2. Four-Hour Warrant														
			Met?								7	4	Met	
3. Peak-Ho	ur Warrant													
Met?										1	1	Met		

#### TRIP GENERATION SUMMARY

PD 15 (based upon ITE *Trip Generation* handbook, 10th Edition)

Existing				Daily	<u>AM</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>PM</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	
	High-Rise		460 DU	2024	142	34	108	165	101	64	
	Low-Rise		74 DU	519	36	8	28	45	28	17	
				2543	177	42	135	210	129	81	_
Scenario 1	- Entire acreage gets redeveloped		12.4 acres	Daily	<u>AM</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>PM</u>	<u>In</u>	Out	
		_	Total								
A) @	90 DU/acre		1116 DU	5247	371	89	282	443	270	173	
				206%	209%	211%	208%	211%	209%	213%	inc./existing
B) @	125 DU/acre		1550 DU	7205	510	122	387	612	373	239	
				283%	287%	290%	286%	291%	289%	295%	inc./existing
<u>Scenairo 2</u>	- Only existing low-rise gets redevelo	ped	6 acres Total	Daily	AM	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	<u>PM</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Out</u>	
<mark>A) @</mark>	90 DU/acre	New	540	2339	164	39	125	192	117	75	
		Existing	460	2024	142	34	108	165	101	64	
			1000 DU	4363	306	73	233	357	218	139	_
	DIFFERENCE (OVER EXISTING)			1820	128	31	97	147	89	58	
				172%	172%	173%	172%	170%	169%	172%	inc./existing
B) @	125 DU/acre	New	750	3167	223	53	170	264	161	103	
		Existing	460	2024	142	34	108	165	101	64	_
			1210 DU	5191	365	87	278	429	262	167	
	DIFFERENCE (OVER EXISTING)			2648	187	45	142	219	133	86	
				204%	205%	206%	205%	204%	203%	206%	inc /existina



EXHIBIT 2. TRIP DISTRIBUTION FOR PD 15 USES (EDGEMERE TRAFFIC SIGNAL)

