## Memorandum

February 27, 2014
2014 FEB 27 Pif 4: 54

то Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee:
Jennifer S. Gates (Vice Chair), Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Philip T. Kingston
Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee Meeting
Monday, March 3, 2014, 1:00 p.m.
Dallas City Hall - 6ES, 1500 Marilla St., Dallas, TX 75201
The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Consideration of minutes from the February 18, 2014 Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee meeting
2. Dallas Central Appraisal District 2014-2015 Proposed Budget
3. Property Appraisal Procedures
4. Office of the City Auditor Fiscal Year 2014

Second Quarter Update
5. Proposed Strategic Plan FY 2015- FY 2017

Ken Nolan, Chief Appraiser
Dallas Central Appraisal District
Bonnie Meeder, Assistant Director Sustainable Development and Construction

Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor

La Toya Jackson, Assistant Director Strategic Customer Services

## FYI:

6. Upcoming Agenda Item: Event Set-up and Janitorial Services Contract for the Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Dallas
7. Upcoming Agenda Item: Purchase of Renewable Energy Credits for 2014-2016
8. Upcoming Agenda Item: Citywide Data Storage System Acquisition and Maintenance Contract
9. Quarterly Investment Report as of December 31, 2013
10. January 2014 Financial Forecast Report


Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee
c: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager

Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager Theresa O'Donnell, Interimn Assistant City Manager Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer Frank Librio, Public Information Officer Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager

A quorum of the Dallas City Council may attend this Council Committee meeting.
A closed executive session may be held if the discussion of any of the above agenda items concerns one of the following:

1. Contemplated or pending litigation or matters where legal advice is requested of the City Attorney. Section 551.071 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.
2. The purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property, if the deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the City in negotiations with a third person. Section 551.072 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.
3. A contract for a prospective gift or donation to the City, if the deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the City in negotiations with a third person. Section 551.073 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.
4. Personnel matters involving the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline or dismissal of a public officer or employee or to hear a complaint against an officer or employee. Section 551.074 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.
5. The deployment, or specific occasions for implementation of security personnel or devices. Section 551.076 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.

## Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee

Meeting Record- DRAFT

Meeting Date: $\quad 2.18 .2014 \quad$ Convened: $\quad$ 1:04 p.m. $\quad$ Adjourned: $\quad$ 2:16 p.m.

## Committee Members Present:

| Jerry R. Allen, Chair | Jennifer S. Gates, Vice-Chair | Philip T. Kingston |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tennell Atkins | Sheffie Kadane |  |

## Council Members Present:

Adam Medrano
Scott Griggs

## Staff Present:

| Jeanne Chipperfield | Michael Frosch | Robyn Gerard | Donna Lowe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jack Ireland | Corrine Steeger | Craig Kinton |  |
| Zeronda Smith | Lance Sehorn | Francisco Rodriguez |  |
| Edward Scott | Theresa O'Donnell | John Rogers |  |
| AGENDA: |  |  |  |

## 1. Approval of the February 3, 2014 Minutes

 Presenter(s):Information Only: $\qquad$
Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s):
A motion was made to approve the February 3, 2014 minutes. Motion passed unanimously.
Motion made by: Sheffie Kadane Motion seconded by: Tennell Atkins
2. The Municipal Equality Index and Dallas: Understanding the MEI Scorecard and Working Toward 100 Presenter(s): Cathryn Oakley, Legislative Counsel, Human Rights Campaign Information Only: $\underline{X}$
Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s):
N/A
3. Exploring Opportunities to Broaden Inclusivity and Social Equity Presenter(s): Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager Information Only:
Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s):
A motion was made, as a broad statement of support, to move forward a resolution to City Council on Wednesday, February 26, 2014 for discussion. Motion passed on a divided vote, with Committee Member Kadane voting in opposition.

# Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee <br> Meeting Record- DRAFT 

4. Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Supplemental Bond Ordinance

Presenter(s): Christopher Poinsatte, Executive VP/CFO, DFW International Airport Information Only:
Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s):
A motion was made to recommend approval by the City Council on Wednesday, February 26, 2014.
Motion made by: Philip T. Kingston Motion seconded by: Sheffie Kadane

## FYI

5. Upcoming Agenda Item: Franchise Fees Compliance and Recovery Services Contract Presenter(s): N/A
Information Only: $\underline{X}$
Action Taken/ Committee Recommendation(s):
N/A
6. Upcoming Agenda Item: Service Contract for Medical Examinations, Drug and Alcohol Testing Services Presenter(s): N/A
Information Only: $\underline{X}$
Action Taken/ Committee Recommendation(s):
N/A

Jerry R. Allen, Chair
Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee

## Memorandum

то Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee: Jerry R. Allen (Chair), Jennifer S. Gates (Vice Chair), Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Philip T. Kingston
subлест Dallas Central Appraisal District 2014-2015 Proposed Budget
The Budget, Finance, and Audit Committee's March 3, 2014 agenda includes a briefing on the Dallas Central Appraisal District (DCAD) 2014-2015 Proposed Budget. DCAD's summary materials are attached for your information. Mr. Ken Nolan, Executive Director and Chief Appraiser for DCAD, will present the budget and answer questions.

The DCAD Board of Directors plans to adopt the district's budget on May 7, 2014. From that point, taxing units have 30 days to pass a resolution if they choose not to approve the budget. It does not take effect and the DCAD Board must adopt a new budget within 30 days.

Please contact me if you need additional information.

Attachment
c: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager

Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager Frank Librio, Public Information Officer Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager


DALLAS CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT
$2014-2015$
PROPOSED BUDGET

## BUDGET OVERVIEW


2014-2015

PROPOSED BUDGET
@

# Dallas Central Appraisal District 2014/2015 Proposed Budget Budget Comparison Executive Summary 

|  | 2013/2014 <br> Approved | 2014/2015 <br> Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET EXPENDITURES: |  |  |
| Salaries \& Wages | \$12,315,478 | \$12,980,393 |
| Auto Expense | 764,890 | 765,140 |
| Supplies \& Materials | 544,590 | 616,421 |
| Operational Services | 68,500 | 75,000 |
| Maintenance of Structure | 375,934 | 352,928 |
| Maintenance of Equipment | 221,045 | 260,145 |
| Contractual Services | 559,617 | 499,539 |
| Sundry Expenses | 289,358 | 295,704 |
| Insurance \& Benefits | 5,030,951 | 5,544,681 |
| Professional Services | 1,505,024 | 1,500,781 |
| Capital Expenditures | 197,590 | 119,100 |
| Technology Development | 0 | 0 |
| Contingency | 0 | 0 |
| Total Expenditures | \$21,872,977 | \$23,009,832 |
| OPERATING FUND SOURCES: |  |  |
| Entity Allocations (Local Support) | \$21,632,977 | \$22,339,832 |
| Transfer Funds from 2012/2013 | 0 | 430,000 |
| Rendition Fees | 230,000 | 230,000 |
| Investment Proceeds | 0 | 0 |
| Other Income | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Total Revenues | \$21,872,977 | \$23,009,832 |

2014/2015

## PROPOSED BUDGET OVERVIEW

The 2014/2015 Proposed Budget is highlighted in the attached document as follows:

1. The 2014/2015 Proposed Budget of $\$ 23,009,832$ is an increase of $5.20 \%$ from the 2013/2014 Approved Budget of $\$ 21,872,977$. However, the net effective increase to the taxing entities is $3.23 \%$ due to the utilization of reserve surplus funds from the 2012/2013 Budget for merit salary increases. That budget amount is $\$ 22,579,832$. This is the first significant budget increase in several years.
2. The 2014/2015 Proposed Budget calls for a total of 228 full-time positions which is down one from the total in the 2013/2014 Budget. Twenty-two (22) positions have been eliminated over the last previous 6 years. The budget notes personnel by departments/divisions as follows:

- Office of Chief Appraiser Department. The department has seven (7) positions and includes the divisions of Chief Appraiser's Office, the Community Relations Officer, Human Resources, and Quality Control.
- Administrative Services Department. The divisions included in this department are Administration, Finance/Purchasing, Customer Service, Appeals and Support, Building Services and the Appraisal Review Board (ARB). There are thirty two (32) employees in this department and ninety (90) ARB members.
- Legal Services Department. There are a total of three (3) employees in this department.
- Information Technology (IT) Department. The divisions included are Information Technology and the Geographic Information System (GIS) . There is a total of fifteen (15) employees in this department.
- Appraisal Services Department. This department includes the divisions of Central Appraisal, Residential, Commercial, Business Personal Property, and Property Records/Exemptions totaling one hundred seventy one (171) employees. One position was eliminated in this budget for 2014/2015.

3. For the past budget years, 2010/2011, 2011/2012 and 2012/2013, the DCAD Budgets did not contain any merit increase or structure adjustments for District employees with full time salaries and wages. The 2013/2014 Proposed Budget provided a $3.00 \%$ merit increase and structure adjustment for employees which was funded with reserve surplus funds approved by the Board of Directors. For the 2014/2015 Proposed Budget a $3 \%$ merit increase is proposed. This figure is tied directly to the average salary/merit increases and adjustments given by the taxing entities in 2013/2014, which was $2.92 \%$. DCAD bases any merit increases on what the taxing entities have been afforded to their employees. Funds are added to address the Paid Time Off program. Overall all salaries and wages increased again in this proposed budget.
4. Overtime funds are included for appraisal support staff assisting with legally required after hours informal and formal hearings with property owners during the ARB process. Funds are also included for Building Services, Appeals and Support, Customer Service, and the Appraisal Departments during the ARB process.
5. Contract Labor includes funds for temporary services for the Business Personal Property verification and leased equipment projects, temporary clerical help during the ARB process, and for off-duty police officers used during the ARB process.
6. Other increases and decreases in the Proposed Budget are noted as follows:

Auto Expenses for the monthly auto allowance for all appraisal staff remains at $\$ 600$ per month and for management/administrative staff at $\$ 200$ per month.

Supplies and Materials increased slightly versus last year's budget primarily in the categories of Postage and Freight, Computer Supplies, and Software costs. Postage costs were increased for the mailing of appraisal and ARB notices and due to a postal increase. Cost increases were also noted in Office Equipment Expense and Building Supplies.

Operational Services is the District's telephone communication system. Slight increase was noted from the previous year.

Maintenance of Structure shows a slight decrease overall. Electricity costs decreased based on projected lower rates procured by the P3 Power Pool. However, Electrical Maintenance, which is performed every other year, increased as this budget represents the year this service is performed.

Maintenance of Equipment increased in the line items of PC Maintenance and Software Maintenance. Software maintenance costs increased which maintains the new iPad computers as the field devices for the appraisal staff. The PC Maintenance includes maintenance funds on thirty four scanners, and three in house printers for small print jobs. The maintenance on the iPad devices has been added.

Contractual Services decreased slightly due to the Lease of Equipment category which covered the lease payments of the Pen Pad devices and for the orthography updates in GIS.

Sundry Expenses noted a slight increase in the categories of Training costs, Dues and Subscriptions, and Recruitment costs. Legal Notice and Advertising also had a slight decrease in projected expenses.

Insurance and Benefits increased in the categories of Group Medical Insurance and Retirement. Group Medical costs are anticipated at a rate of $14 \%$ and the Retirement expense was increased to $19 \%$ to keep the employee program current. A slight increase was noted for Group Benefits which captures only the premium payments for all ancillary insurance programs and in the Medicare Tax category. Also a slight increase was noted in the General Insurance category.

Professional Services decreased slightly overall from last year due to reduced costs in ARB Compensation service fees. Total number of hearing days and members service were reduced. Lawsuit activity showed a slight decrease from the previous fiscal year but the costs remain constant. A slight increase in the Consultants category was noted for possible lawsuit activity and for new the SOAH hearings, (State Office of Administrative Hearings) which was passed by the Legislature this last session.

Capital Expenditures notes an overall decrease. Funds are budgeted for Equipment in Customer Service and IT including one (1) ST imaging ST Viewcam, one (1) Dell R820 and R720, one (1) Dell MD3220 Disk Array, one (1) Dell MD1220 Disk Array, and one (1) DBA and GIS workstation for IT.

Technology Development and Capital Improvement, includes no requested or budgeted funds for this fiscal year. Capital Improvement projects are funded from surplus funds approved by the Board of Directors upon the approval of the Capital Improvement Plan annually.

# Dallas Central Appraisal District 10 Year Budget Analysis 

2005/2006 2006 2007/2007 2007/2008 200 200

| Budget Amount | \$19,872,073 | \$20,373,440 | \$20,960,025 | \$21,380,063 | \$21,799,127 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Increase/Decrease | \$527,997 | \$501,367 | \$586,585 | \$420,038 | \$419,064 |
| \% Budget |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase/Decrease | 2.73\% | 2.52\% | 2.88\% | 2.00\% | 1.96\% |
| Merit Increases | 3.00\% | 3.75\% | 4.00\% | 3.50\% | 3.00\% |
| Entity Salary Survey | 3.55\% | 3.74\% | 4.23\% | 3.58\% | 3.19\% |
| \# of Personnel | 255 | 255 | 255 | 250 | 245 |



# Dallas Central Appraisal District 10 Year Budget Analysis 

| 2010/2011 | 2011/2012 | 2012/2013 | 2013/2014 | 2014/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$21,733,893 | \$21,516,555 | \$21,516,555 | \$21,872,977 | \$23,009,832 |
| -\$65,234 | -\$217,338 | \$0 | \$356,422 | \$1,136,855 |
| -0.30\% | -1.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.66\% | 5.20\% |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |
| 1.50\% | 1.09\% | 1.08\% | 3.13\% | 2.92\% |
| 240 | 232 | 229 | 229 | 228 |

# Dallas Central Appraisal District Proposed Areas Of Change 

|  | 2013/2014 <br> Approved | 2014/2015 <br> Proposed | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Increase or } \\ \text { Decrease } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Percent <br> Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET EXPENDITURES: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries \& Wages | \$12,315,478 | \$12,980,393 | \$664,915 | 5.40\% |
| Auto Expense | \$764,890 | \$765,140 | \$250 | 0.03\% |
| Supplies \& Materials | \$544,590 | \$616,421 | \$71,831 | 13.19\% |
| Operational Services | \$68,500 | \$75,000 | \$6,500 | 9.49\% |
| Maintenance of Structure | \$375,934 | \$352,928 | $(\$ 23,006)$ | -6.12\% |
| Maintenance of Equipment | \$221,045 | \$260,145 | \$39,100 | 17.69\% |
| Contractual Services | \$559,617 | \$499,539 | (\$60,078) | -10.74\% |
| Sundry Expenses | \$289,358 | \$295,704 | \$6,346 | 2.19\% |
| Insurance \& Benefits | \$5,030,951 | \$5,544,681 | \$513,730 | 10.21\% |
| Professional Services | \$1,505,024 | \$1,500,781 | $(\$ 4,243)$ | -0.28\% |
| Capital Expenditures | \$197,590 | \$119,100 | $(\$ 78,490)$ | -39.72\% |
| Technology Development | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Contingency | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| Total Expenditures | \$21,872,977 | \$23,009,832 | \$1,136,855 | 5.20\% |

## 2014/2015

## Budget by Category



## Dallas Central Appraisal District

## Budget Comparison



## 2014/2015

## Budget By Department



## Department

| Office of Chief Appraiser | $\$ 1,209,012$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Administrative Services | $3,745,608$ | $16.3 \%$ |
| Legal Services | $1,327,816$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $2,498,819$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Appraisal Services | $\underline{14,228,577}$ | $\underline{61.8 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 0 0 9 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## 2014/2015

## Personnel Breakdown



Number of

## Department

Personnel Percent

| Office of Chief Appraiser | 7 | $3.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Administrative Services | 32 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Legal Services | 3 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Information Technology | 15 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Appraisal Services | $\underline{171}$ | $\underline{75.0 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## 2014/2015 <br> Operating Funds Sources



## Source

Amount
Percent
Local Support
Transfer Funds from 2012/2013
Investment Proceeds
Rendition Fees
Other Income

Total

| $\$ 22,339,832$ | $97.09 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 430,000 | $1.87 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 230,000 | $1.00 \%$ |
| 10,000 | $0.04 \%$ |

\$23,009,832
100.00\%

## Revenue Summary Budget Allocation Comparison

Local Support

| Municipalities | \$5,595,043 | 25.86\% | \$5,749,805 | 25.74\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Districts | \$8,826,942 | 40.80\% | \$9,143,416 | 40.93\% |
| County/County Wide | \$6,924,253 | 32.01\% | \$7,136,996 | 31.95\% |
| Special Districts |  |  |  |  |
| Non-County Wide | \$286,739 | 1.33\% | \$309,615 | 1.39\% |
| Special Districts |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$21,632,977 | 100\% | \$22,339,832 | 100\% |

## 2014/2015

## PROPOSED BUDGET ALLOCATIONS

|  | 2013/2014 <br> Approved <br> Allocation | 2014/2015 <br> Proposed Allocation | Increase or <br> (Decrease) | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CountylCounty-wide Special Districts: |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas County | 2,815,668 | 2,853,175 | 37,507 | 1.33\% |
| D.C.H.D. | 3,142,493 | 3,243,041 | 100,548 | 3.20\% |
| D.C.C.C.D. | 966,092 | 1,040,780 | 74,688 | 7.73\% |
| Subtotal | 6,924,253 | 7,136,996 | 212,743 | 3.07\% |
| Non-County-Wide |  |  |  |  |
| Special Districts: |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas URD | 214,059 | 225,162 | 11,103 | 5.19\% |
| Valwood Imp. Authority | 23,022 | 21,946 | $(1,076)$ | -4.67\% |
| Irving FCD 1 | 6,545 | 6,550 | 5 | 0.08\% |
| Irving FCD 3 | 9,613 | 10,257 | 644 | 6.70\% |
| Dallas FCD1 | 23,854 | 36,296 | 12,442 | 52.16\% |
| Denton County LID \#1 | 1,835 | 1,659 | (176) | -9.59\% |
| Denton County RUD \#1 | 75 | 0 | (75) | -100.00\% |
| Lancaster MUD \#1 | 1,411 | 1,414 | 3 | 0.21\% |
| Grand Prairie Metro URD | 526 | 545 | 19 | 3.61\% |
| Northwest FCD | 5,799 | 5,786 | (13) | -0.22\% |
| Subtotal | 286,739 | 309,615 | 22,876 | 7.98\% |

## PROPOSED BUDGET ALLOCATIONS

|  | 2013/2014 <br> Approved Allocation | 2014/2015 <br> Proposed Allocation | Increase or (Decrease) | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities: |  |  |  |  |
| Addison | 89,236 | 96,374 | 7,138 | 8.00\% |
| Balch Springs | 25,527 | 24,194 | $(1,333)$ | -5.22\% |
| Carrolton | 140,030 | 145,834 | 5,804 | 4.14\% |
| Cedar Hill | 89,279 | 88,466 | (813) | -0.91\% |
| Cockrell Hill | 3,483 | 3,440 | (43) | -1.23\% |
| Combine | 151 | 156 | 5 | 3.31\% |
| Coppell | 159,154 | 158,188 | (966) | -0.61\% |
| Dallas | 3,083,651 | 3,190,128 | 106,477 | 3.45\% |
| DeSoto | 103,427 | 105,556 | 2,129 | 2.06\% |
| Duncanville | 58,777 | 60,139 | 1,362 | 2.32\% |
| Farmers Branch | 95,812 | 105,288 | 9,476 | 9.89\% |
| Ferris | 346 | 402 | 56 | 16.18\% |
| Garland | 344,938 | 345,642 | 704 | 0.20\% |
| Glenn Heights | 10,643 | 10,544 | (99) | -0.93\% |
| Grand Prairie | 149,527 | 151,174 | 1,647 | 1.10\% |
| Grapevine | 2,271 | 2,279 | 8 | 0.35\% |
| Highland Park | 47,874 | 48,813 | 939 | 1.96\% |
| Hutchins | 8,675 | 9,115 | 440 | 5.07\% |
| Irving | 505,563 | 521,881 | 16,318 | 3.23\% |
| Lancaster | 62,087 | 61,591 | (496) | -0.80\% |
| Lewisville | 1,406 | 1,452 | 46 | 3.27\% |
| Mesquite | 175,184 | 173,648 | $(1,536)$ | -0.88\% |
| Ovilla | 736 | 737 | 1 | 0.14\% |
| Richardson | 199,218 | 204,342 | 5,124 | 2.57\% |
| Rowlett | 97,132 | 95,279 | $(1,853)$ | -1.91\% |
| Sachse | 30,178 | 30,539 | 361 | 1.20\% |
| Seagoville | 15,424 | 15,750 | 326 | 2.11\% |
| Sunnyale | 15,121 | 15,839 | 718 | 4.75\% |
| University Park | 76,083 | 78,078 | 1,995 | 2.62\% |
| Wilmer | 3,764 | 4,580 | 816 | 21.68\% |
| Wylie | 346 | 357 | 11 | 3.18\% |
| Total | 5,595,043 | 5,749,805 | 154,762 | 2.77\% |

## PROPOSED BUDGET ALLOCATIONS

|  | 2013/2014 <br> Approved <br> Allocation | 2014/2015 <br> Proposed <br> Allocation | Increase or <br> (Decrease) | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| School Districts: |  |  |  |  |
| Carrollton/F.B. | 607,080 | 639,140 | 32,060 | $5.28 \%$ |
| Cedar Hill | 146,453 | 154,569 | 8,116 | $5.54 \%$ |
| Coppell | 421,971 | 451,337 | 29,366 | $6.96 \%$ |
| Dallas | $3,949,536$ | $4,116,222$ | 166,686 | $4.22 \%$ |
| Dallas County Schools | 62,813 | 65,708 | 2,895 | $4.61 \%$ |
| DeSoto | 118,939 | 124,068 | 5,129 | $4.31 \%$ |
| Duncanville | 184,949 | 187,101 | 2,152 | $1.16 \%$ |
| Ferris | 903 | 9,993 |  | 90 |

## APPRAISAL DISTRICT COMPARISONS

|  | 2014 <br> Budget Amount | Real Property | Personal <br> Property | Total <br> Parcels | Cost Per Parcel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2014 | $\underline{2013}$ | 2012 |
| Dallas CAD | \$23,009,832 | 718,294 | 99,030 | 817,324 | \$28.15 | \$26.76 | \$26.45 |
| Harris CAD | \$68,676,380 | 1,466,266 | 179,873 | 1,646,139 | \$41.72 | \$41.48 | \$42.75 |
| Tarrant CAD | \$21,059,373 | 629,311 | 46,396 | 675,707 | \$31.17 | \$30.23 | \$29.71 |
| Bexar CAD | \$15,016,438 | 579,649 | 44,052 | 623,701 | \$24.08 | \$23.12 | \$21.70 |
| Travis CAD | \$14,246,848 | 360,717 | 41,257 | 401,974 | \$35.44 | \$33.53 | \$32.84 |
| El Paso CAD | \$13,103,813 | 376,614 | 21,333 | 397,947 | \$32.93 | \$31.20 | \$31.56 |



# APPRAISAL DISTRICT EMPLOYEE COMPARISONS 

| Appraisal | 2014 Budget | Total | Number of | Parcels | Number of | Parcels per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  |  |  | Employees | per | Nurcels <br> Employee |

Dallas Central
Appraisal Distric
\$23,009,832
817,324
228
3,585
95
8,603 Appraisal District

Harris Central
Appraisal District

Tarrant Appraisal
\$21,059,373
675,707
197
3,430
85
7,949
District

Bexar Appraisal
District
\$15,016,438
623,701
150
4,158
60
10,395

Travis Central
Appraisal District

El Paso Central
\$13,103,813
397,947
140
2,842
42
9,475

## 2013-2014 BUDGET/LEVY COMPARISON

|  | 2013 | 2014 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dallas CAD | Tax Levy | Budget Amount | Cost As \% Of Levy |
| Harris CAD * | $\$ 4,626,607,251$ | $\$ 23,009,832$ | 0.50 |
| Tarrant CAD | $\$ 7,790,850,852$ | $\$ 68,676,380$ | 0.88 |
| Bexar CAD | $\$ 3,205,220,128$ | $\$ 21,059,373$ | 0.66 |
| Travis CAD | $\$ 2,550,385,199$ | $\$ 15,016,438$ | 0.59 |
| El Paso CAD | $\$ 2,783,705,249$ | $\$ 14,246,848$ | 0.51 |
| * 2012 Tax Levy | $\$ 947,125,331$ | $\$ 13,103,813$ | 1.38 |

## ACCURACY OF APPRAISALS

|  | Median Level <br> of Appraisals | Coefficient of <br> Dispersion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dallas Central Appraisal District | 0.99 | 7.78 |
| Harris Central Appraisal District * | 0.99 | 11.23 |
| Tarrant Appraisal District* | 1.00 | 7.36 |
| Bexar Appraisal District | 0.99 | 12.87 |
| Travis Central Appraisal District | 1.00 | 6.72 |
| El Paso Central Appraisal District | 1.00 | 10.11 |
| *2011 |  |  |

@

## 2013/2014 ENTITY SALARY SURVEY

| Entity | Contact Person | Title | Increase | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Addison | Passion Hayes | Director of Human Resources | 6.00\% |  |
| City of Balch Springs | Debra Bradshaw | Finance Director | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Carrollton | Tara Chapman | Adminstrative Support Assistant | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Cedar Hill | Jennifer Sweeney | H. R. Generalist | 4.00\% |  |
| City of Cockrell Hill | Bret Haney | Asst. City Administrator | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Combine | Ivy Kidd | City Secretary |  | One out of six employees received \$1500 |
| City of Coppell | Dustin Yater | H.R. Analyst | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Dallas | Annabelle Eanes | Sr. H.R. Analyst | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Desoto | Kathleen Shields | H.R. Director | 1.00\% |  |
| City of Duncanville | Jennifer Otey | Personnel Manager | 2.50\% | Lump Sum with min. of \$1200 and max. \$1450 |
| City of Farmers Branch | Charles Cox | Director of Finance | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Ferris | Melissa Garcia | Director of Finance | 4.00\% | Percentages ranged from 2.67 to 17.6 |
| City of Garland | Daniel Bensinger | H.R. Analyst | 3.00\% | $1 \%$ base \& $2 \%$ lump sum |
| City of Glenn Heights | Connie Hearne | Management Analyst | 0.00\% |  |
| City of Grand Prairie | Pat Alexander | Sr. Financial Analyst | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Grapevine | Gary Livingston | Budget Manager | 3.11\% |  |
| Town of Highland Park | Bill Pollock | Director of Finance | 3.47\% |  |
| City of Hutchins | Patti Holloway | Director of Finance | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Irving | Chelsea Cole | Employee Relations \& Comp. Specialist | 5.50\% |  |
| City of Lancaster | Amanda Pritchett | H.R. Assistant | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Lewisville | Nancy Davis | Risk Manager | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Mesquite | Lindsey Rumburg | H.R. Generalist | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Ovilla | Sharon Jungman | City Accountant | 3.00\% |  |
| City of Richardson | Robert Clymire | Assistant Budget Officer | 4.00\% | 5\% for 48\% emp. and 3\% for 52\% |
| City of Rowlett | Terri Doby | Budget Officer | 1.50\% | COLA |
| City of Sachse | Laura Morrow | H.R. Manager | 4.00\% | Proposed 2\% COLA \& 2\% Merit |
| City of Seagoville | Cindy Brown | Director of Human Resources | 3.00\% |  |
| Town of Sunnyvale | Leslie Malone | Town Secretary | 5.00\% |  |
| City of University Park | Luanne Hanford | Director of Human Resources | 2.00\% |  |
| City of Wilmer | Shelia Martin | Interim City Secretary | 2.00\% |  |
| City of Wylie** | Lynn Fagerstrom | H.R. Manager |  | call back after Dec. 1 |
| Average |  |  | 3.11\% |  |


| Entity | Contact Person | Title | Increase | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/FB ISD | Dr. Bobby Burns | Superintendent | 2.50\% |  |
| Cedar Hill ISD | Rosa Johnson | Staffing Specialist | 3.00\% |  |
| Coppell ISD | Vicky Cason | Admin. Asst. | 3.00\% |  |
| Dallas ISD | Kristian Coopwood | Compensation Analyst | 2.00\% |  |
| DeSoto ISD | Sue Land | Position Control Coordinator | 1.00\% |  |
| Duncanville ISD | Ronald Kuehler | CFO | 3.50\% |  |
| Ferris | Ron Smith | Business Manager | 2.50\% |  |
| Garland ISD | Christie Godfrey | Secretary to Exec. Director of H.R. | 3.00\% |  |
| Grand Prairie ISD | Nancy Bridges | Sr. Executive Director of H.R. | 2.00\% |  |
| Grapevine/Colleyville | DaiAnn Mooney | CFO | 2.00\% |  |
| Highland Park ISD | Rita Bryan | Personnel/Certification Specialist | 2.00\% |  |
| Irving ISD | Mia Stroy | Compensation \& Benefits Manager | 2.61\% |  |
| Lancaster ISD | Ted Warren | Executive Director of Finance | 2.50\% |  |
| Mesquite ISD | Richard Koonce | Asst. Superintendent | 4.00\% |  |
| Richardson ISD | Barbara Hargrove | Director of Salary Administration | 5.00\% |  |
| Sunnyvale ISD | Margaret Davis | Business Manager | 3.50\% |  |
| Average |  |  | 2.76\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas County | James Sparkman | H.R. Analyst IV | 2.00\% | 2\% across board \& 2\% applied to salary schedule |
| DCCCD | John Robertson | Associate Vice Chancellor of Business | 1.00\% |  |
| Average |  |  | 1.50\% |  |
| Total Average Approved Increase for All Entities |  |  | 2.92\% |  |



| Addison | CA | \$3,139,592,071 | \$3,490,355,617 | \$350,763,546 | 11.17\% | 0.580000 | 0.571800 | (0.008200) | (1.41\%) | \$18,209,634 | \$19,957,853 | \$1,748,219 | 9.60\% | \$89,236 | \$96,374 | 7,138 | 8.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balch Springs | CB | 648,527,967 | 650,571,666 | 2,043,699 | 0.32\% | 0.803000 | 0.770000 | (0.033000) | (4.11\%) | 5,207,680 | 5,009,402 | $(198,278)$ | (3.81\%) | 25,527 | 24,19 | $(1,333)$ | (5.22\%) |
| Carrolton - Dallas Co. | CC | 4,624,943,981 | 4,888,397,168 | 263,453,187 | 5.70\% | 0.617875 | 0.617875 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 28,576,373 | 30,204,184 | 1,627,811 | 5.70\% | 140,030 | 145,834 | 5,804 | 4.14\% |
| Cedar Hill - Dallas Co. | CH | 2,619,103,538 | 2,621,973,307 | 2,869,769 | 0.11\% | 0.695690 | 0.698760 | 0.003070 | 0.44\% | 18,220,841 | 18,321,301 | 100,459 | 0.55\% | 89,279 | 88,466 | (813) | (0.91\%) |
| Cockrell Hill | CL | 86,249,254 | 87,909,776 | 1,660,522 | 1.93\% | 0.823145 | 0.811905 | (0.011240) | (1.37\%) | 709,956 | 713,744 | 3,787 | 0.53\% | 3,483 | 3,440 | (43) | (1.23\%) |
| Combine | OM | 11,626,311 | 11,589,034 | $(37,277)$ | (0.32\%) | 0.250000 | 0.270000 | 0.020000 | 8.00\% | 29,066 | 31,290 | 2,225 | 7.65\% | 151 | 156 | 5 | 3.31\% |
| Coppell - Dallas Co | co | 4,844,244,864 | 5,138,837,097 | 294,592,233 | 6.08\% | 0.670460 | 0.637500 | (0.032960) | (4.92\%) | 32,478,724 | 32,760,086 | 281,362 | 0.87\% | 159,154 | 158,188 | (966) | (0.61\%) |
| Dallas | DA | 78,959,838,261 | 82,896,070,607 | 3,936,232,346 | 4.99\% | 0.797000 | 0.797000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 629,309,911 | 660,681,683 | 31,371,772 | 4.99\% | 3,083,651 | 3,190,128 | 106,477 | 3.45\% |
| DeSoto | CS | 2,786,797,542 | 2,886,025,550 | 99,228,008 | 3.56\% | 0.757400 | 0.757400 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 21,107,205 | 21,858,758 | 751,553 | 3.56\% | 103,427 | 105,556 | 2,129 | 2.06\% |
| Duncanville | CV | 1,626,293,054 | 1,642,075,787 | 15,782,733 | 0.97\% | 0.737692 | 0.758447 | 0.020755 | 2.81\% | 11,997,034 | 12,454,275 | 457,241 | 3.81\% | 58,777 | 60,139 | 1,362 | 2.32\% |
| Farmers Branch | CF | 3,692,382,761 | 3,942,109,808 | 249,727,047 | 6.76\% | 0.529500 | 0.553100 | 0.023600 | 4.46\% | 19,551,167 | 21,803,809 | 2,252,643 | 11.52\% | 95,812 | 105,288 | 9,476 | 9.89\% |
| Ferris | FE | 10,128,860 | 12,418,461 | 2,289,601 | 22.60\% | 0.687134 | 0.687134 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 69,599 | 85,331 | 15,733 | 22.60\% | 346 | 402 | 56 | 16.18\% |
| Garland - Dallas Co | CG | 9,990,630,274 | 10,159,649,141 | 169,018,867 | 1.69\% | 0.704600 | 0.704600 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 70,393,981 | 71,584,888 | 1,190,907 | 1.69\% | 344,938 | 345,642 | 704 | 0.20\% |
| Glenn Heights - Dallas Co | CE | 273,240,255 | 274,663,105 | 1,422,850 | 0.52\% | 0.795000 | 0.795000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 2,172,260 | 2,183,572 | 11,312 | 0.52\% | 10,643 | 10,544 | (99) | (0.93\%) |
| Grand Prairie - Dallas Co | CP | 4,554,693,319 | 4,672,879,002 | 118,185,683 | 2.59\% | 0.669998 | 0.669998 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 30,516,354 | 31,308,196 | 791,842 | 2.59\% | 149,527 | 151,174 | 1,647 | 1.10\% |
| Grapevine | GV | 134,625,698 | 137,323,415 | 2,697,717 | 2.00\% | 0.345695 | 0.342500 | (0.003195) | (0.92\%) | 465,394 | 470,333 | 4,938 | 1.06\% | 2,271 | 2,279 | 8 | 0.35\% |
| Highland Park | TH | 4,441,054,562 | 4,594,079,983 | 153,025,421 | 3.45\% | 0.220000 | 0.220000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 9,770,320 | 10,106,976 | 336,656 | 3.45\% | 47,874 | 48,813 | 939 | 1.96\% |
| Hutchins | Cu | 268,044,212 | 273,264,544 | 5,220,332 | 1.95\% | 0.660907 | 0.690907 | 0.030000 | 4.54\% | 1,771,523 | 1,888,004 | 116,481 | 6.58\% | 8,675 | 9,115 | 440 | 5.07\% |
| Irving | Cl | 17,235,766,413 | 18,055,855,517 | 820,089,104 | 4.76\% | 0.598600 | 0.598600 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 103,173,298 | 108,082,351 | 4,909,053 | 4.76\% | 505,563 | 521,881 | 16,318 | 3.23\% |
| Lancaster | CN | 1,460,608,442 | 1,470,183,673 | 9,575,231 | 0.66\% | 0.867500 | 0.867500 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 12,670,778 | 12,753,843 | 83,065 | 0.66\% | 62,087 | 61,591 | (496) | (0.80\%) |
| Lewisville | LE | 65,516,151 | 68,063,254 | 2,547,103 | 3.89\% | 0.440210 | 0.440210 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 288,409 | 299,621 | 11,213 | 3.89\% | 1,406 | 1,452 | 46 | 3.27\% |
| Mesquite - Dallas Co | CM | 5,585,976,392 | 5,619,343,068 | 33,366,676 | 0.60\% | 0.640000 | 0.640000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 35,750,249 | 35,963,796 | 213,547 | 0.60\% | 175,184 | 173,648 | $(1,536)$ | (0.88\%) |
| Ovilla | OV | 22,749,379 | 22,515,567 | $(233,812)$ | (1.03\%) | 0.667500 | 0.671900 | 0.004400 | 0.66\% | 151,852 | 151,282 | (570) | (0.38\%) | 736 | 737 | 1 | 0.14\% |
| Richardson | CR | 6,400,603,215 | 6,662,810,168 | 262,206,953 | 4.10\% | 0.635160 | 0.635160 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 40,654,071 | 42,319,505 | 1,665,434 | 4.10\% | 199,218 | 204,342 | 5,124 | 2.57\% |
| Rowlett - Dallas Co | CW | 2,653,131,611 | 2,640,850,402 | $(12,281,209)$ | (0.46\%) | 0.747173 | 0.747173 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 19,823,483 | 19,731,721 | $(91,762)$ | (0.46\%) | 97,132 | 95,279 | $(1,853)$ | (1.91\%) |
| Sachse | CK | 798,830,517 | 820,519,950 | 21,689,433 | 2.72\% | 0.770819 | 0.770819 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 6,157,537 | 6,324,724 | 167,186 | 2.72\% | 30,178 | 30,539 | 361 | 1.20\% |
| Seagoville - Dallas Co | CJ | 455,436,461 | 456,887,839 | 1,451,378 | 0.32\% | 0.690853 | 0.713794 | 0.022941 | 3.32\% | 3,146,396 | 3,261,238 | 114,842 | 3.65\% | 15,424 | 15,750 | 326 | 2.11\% |
| Sunnyvale | TS | 756,254,491 | 804,115,737 | 47,861,246 | 6.33\% | 0.407962 | 0.407962 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 3,085,231 | 3,280,487 | 195,256 | 6.33\% | 15,121 | 15,839 | 718 | 4.75\% |
| University Park | CQ | 5,576,230,778 | 5,894,852,538 | 318,621,760 | 5.71\% | 0.278450 | 0.274320 | (0.004130) | (1.48\%) | 15,527,015 | 16,170,759 | 643,745 | 4.15\% | 76,083 | 78,078 | 1,995 | 2.62\% |
| Wilmer | Ст | 208,946,098 | 244,053,999 | 35,107,901 | 16.80\% | 0.366818 | 0.389438 | 0.022620 | 6.17\% | 766,452 | 950,439 | 183,987 | 24.01\% | 3,764 | 4,580 | 816 | 21.68\% |
| Wylie | WY | 8,172,787 | 8,521,284 | 348,497 | 4.26\% | 0.888900 | 0.883900 | (0.005000) | (0.56\%) | 72,648 | 75,320 | 2,672 | 3.68\% | 346 | 357 | 11 | 3.18\% |

## COUNTYWIDE ENTITIES

| Dallas County | DC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dallas Co Community College | DO |
| Parkland Hospital | PH |


|  | $157,908,256,062$ | $165,164,823,816$ | $7,256,567,754$ | $4.60 \%$ | 0.243100 | 0.243100 | 0.000000 | $0.00 \%$ | $383,874,970$ | $401,515,687$ | $17,640,716$ | $4.60 \%$ | $2,815,668$ | $2,853,175$ | 37,507 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $165,159,472,596$ | $172,852,243,507$ | $7,692,770,911$ | $4.66 \%$ | 0.119375 | 0.124700 | 0.005325 | $4.46 \%$ | $197,159,120$ | $215,546,748$ | $18,387,627$ | $9.33 \%$ | 966,092 | $1,040,780$ | 74,688 | $7.73 \%$ |
| $158,093,223,620$ | $165,355,034,790$ | $7,261,811,170$ | $4.59 \%$ | 0.271000 | 0.276000 | 0.005000 | $1.85 \%$ | $428,432,636$ | $456,379,896$ | $27,947,260$ | $6.52 \%$ | $3,142,493$ | $3,243,041$ | 100,548 | $3.20 \%$ |


| Carrolton/Farmers Branch ISD | AS | 11,397,326,225 | 12,138,397,348 | 741,071,123 | 6.50\% | 1.330600 | 1.323500 | (0.007100) | (0.53\%) | 151,652,823 | 160,651,689 | 8,998,866 | 5.93\% | 607,080 | 639,140 | 32,060 | 5.28\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cedar Hill ISD | ES | 2,540,617,628 | 2,547,666,194 | 7,048,566 | 0.28\% | 1.440000 | 1.525000 | 0.085000 | 5.90\% | 36,584,894 | 38,851,909 | 2,267,016 | 6.20\% | 146,453 | 154,569 | 8,116 | 5.54\% |
| Coppell ISD | OS | 7,429,613,113 | 7,920,021,426 | 490,408,313 | 6.60\% | 1.418800 | 1.432400 | 0.013600 | 0.96\% | 105,411,351 | 113,446,387 | 8,035,036 | 7.62\% | 421,971 | 451,337 | 29,366 | 6.96\% |
| Dallas ISD | DS | 76,461,684,502 | 80,699,597,540 | 4,237,913,038 | 5.54\% | 1.290347 | 1.282085 | (0.008262) | (0.64\%) | 986,621,052 | 1,034,637,435 | 48,016,383 | 4.87\% | 3,949,536 | 4,116,219 | 166,683 | 4.22\% |
| DeSoto ISD | SS | 2,063,314,339 | 2,092,966,735 | 29,652,396 | 1.44\% | 1.440000 | 1.490000 | 0.050000 | 3.47\% | 29,711,726 | 31,185,204 | 1,473,478 | 4.96\% | 118,939 | 124,068 | 5,129 | 4.31\% |
| Duncanville ISD | US | 3,230,870,008 | 3,335,394,098 | 104,524,090 | 3.24\% | 1.430000 | 1.410000 | (0.020000) | (1.40\%) | 46,201,441 | 47,029,057 | 827,616 | 1.79\% | 184,949 | 187,101 | 2,152 | 1.16\% |
| Ferris ISD | FS | 17,215,353 | 19,061,981 | 1,846,628 | 10.73\% | 1.310000 | 1.310000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 225,521 | 249,712 | 24,191 | 10.73\% | 903 | 993 | 90 | 9.97\% |
| Garland ISD | GS | 13,213,222,270 | 13,389,896,468 | 176,674,198 | 1.34\% | 1.253300 | 1.253300 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 165,601,315 | 167,815,572 | 2,214,258 | 1.34\% | 662,918 | 667,640 | 4,722 | 0.71\% |
| Grand Prairie ISD | PS | 4,659,327,959 | 4,871,313,438 | 211,985,479 | 4.55\% | 1.465000 | 1.465000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 68,259,155 | 71,364,742 | 3,105,587 | 4.55\% | 273,248 | 283,919 | 10,671 | 3.91\% |
| Grapevine-Colleyville ISD | vs | 219,994,374 | 185,237,898 | (34,756,476) | (15.80\%) | 1.320100 | 1.320100 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 2,904,146 | 2,445,325 | $(458,820)$ | (15.80\%) | 11,626 | 9,729 | $(1,897)$ | (16.32\%) |
| Highland Park ISD | HS | 11,164,646,462 | 11,725,071,940 | 560,425,478 | 5.02\% | 1.134200 | 1.126700 | (0.007500) | (0.66\%) | 126,629,420 | 132,106,386 | 5,476,965 | 4.33\% | 506,909 | 525,574 | 18,665 | 3.68\% |
| Irving ISD | IS | 9,107,044,610 | 9,426,128,696 | 319,084,086 | 3.50\% | 1.465000 | 1.465000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 133,418,204 | 138,092,785 | 4,674,582 | 3.50\% | 534,086 | 549,391 | 15,305 | 2.87\% |
| Lancaster ISD | LS | 1,467,995,765 | 1,477,801,521 | 9,805,756 | 0.67\% | 1.418000 | 1.418000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 20,816,180 | 20,955,226 | 139,046 | 0.67\% | 83,329 | 83,369 | 40 | 0.05\% |
| Mesquite ISD | MS | 6,006,250,091 | 6,050,008,560 | 43,758,469 | 0.73\% | 1.420000 | 1.410000 | (0.010000) | (0.70\%) | 85,288,751 | 85,305,121 | 16,369 | 0.02\% | 341,419 | 339,379 | $(2,040)$ | (0.60\%) |
| Richardson ISD | RS | 16,302,401,300 | 16,816,036,626 | 513,635,326 | 3.15\% | 1.340050 | 1.340050 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 218,460,329 | 225,343,299 | 6,882,970 | 3.15\% | 874,517 | 896,510 | 21,993 | 2.51\% |
| Sunnyvale ISD | YS | 819,332,967 | 869,360,937 | 50,027,970 | 6.11\% | 1.410000 | 1.410000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 11,552,595 | 12,257,989 | 705,394 | 6.11\% | 46,246 | 48,767 | 2,521 | 5.45\% |
| Dallas County Schools |  | 157,908,256,062 | 165,164,823,816 | 7,256,567,754 | 4.60\% | 0.009937 | 0.010000 | 0.000063 | 0.63\% | 15,691,343 | 16,516,482 | 825,139 | 5.26\% | 62,813 | 65,708 | 2,895 | 4.61\% |


| ENTITY and ENTITY CODE |  | 2012 Grand Total Taxable Value 9/2012 | 2013 Grand Total Taxable Value 9/2013 | Taxable Value Change Change | Taxable Value Percent Change | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \text { Tax } \\ & \text { Rates } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \text { Tax } \\ \text { Rates } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Tax Rate Change | Tax Rate Percent Change | 2012 Levy | 2013 Levy | Levy Change | Levy Percent Change | 2013/2014 <br> Approved Budget Allocation | 2014/2015 <br> Proposed Budget Allocation | Budget Allocation Change | Budget Allocation Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPECIAL DISTRICTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas County FCD \#1 | DD | 159,610,993 | 273,346,590 | 113,735,597 | 71.26\% | 3.050000 | 2.750000 | (0.300000) | (9.84\%) | 4,868,135 | 7,517,031 | 2,648,896 | 54.41\% | 23,854 | 36,296 | 12,442 | 52.16\% |
| Dallas County URD | DM | 2,374,180,413 | 2,603,645,344 | 229,464,931 | 9.67\% | 1.840000 | 1.791000 | (0.049000) | (2.66\%) | 43,684,920 | 46,631,288 | 2,946,369 | 6.74\% | 214,059 | 225,162 | 11,103 | 5.19\% |
| Denton Co. LID \#1 | NL | 180,885,565 | 185,744,358 | 4,858,793 | 2.69\% | 0.207000 | 0.185000 | (0.022000) | (10.63\%) | 374,433 | 343,627 | $(30,806)$ | (8.23\%) | 1,835 | 1,659 | (176) | (9.59\%) |
| Denton Co. RUD \#1 | NR | 152,908,947 | 156,805,121 | 3,896,174 | 2.55\% | 0.010000 | 0.000000 | (0.010000) | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 15,291 | 0 | $(15,291)$ | (100.00\%) | 75 | 0 | (75) | (100.00\%) |
| Grand Prairie Metro URD | GU | 17,907,674 | 18,815,591 | 907,917 | 5.07\% | 0.600000 | 0.600000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 107,446 | 112,894 | 5,448 | 5.07\% | 526 | 545 | 19 | 3.61\% |
| Irving FCD, Section I | IF | 287,063,256 | 271,297,891 | $(15,765,365)$ | (5.49\%) | 0.465300 | 0.500000 | 0.034700 | 7.46\% | 1,335,705 | 1,356,489 | 20,784 | 1.56\% | 6,545 | 6,550 | 5 | 0.08\% |
| Irving FCD, Section III | ID | 1,411,311,957 | 1,494,958,655 | 83,646,698 | 5.93\% | 0.139000 | 0.142100 | 0.003100 | 2.23\% | 1,961,724 | 2,124,336 | 162,613 | 8.29\% | 9,613 | 10,257 | 644 | 6.70\% |
| Lancaster MUD \#1 | LM | 27,158,258 | 27,629,454 | 471,196 | 1.74\% | 1.060000 | 1.060000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 287,878 | 292,872 | 4,995 | 1.74\% | 1,411 | 1,414 | 3 | 0.21\% |
| Northwest Dallas Co FCD | NF | 394,482,142 | 399,399,238 | 4,917,096 | 1.25\% | 0.300000 | 0.300000 | 0.000000 | 0.00\% | 1,183,446 | 1,198,198 | 14,751 | 1.25\% | 5,799 | 5,786 | (13) | (0.22\%) |
| Valwood Improvement Auth. | FF | 1,527,913,950 | 1,567,273,984 | 39,360,034 | 2.58\% | 0.307500 | 0.290000 | (0.017500) | (5.69\%) | 4,698,335 | 4,545,095 | $(153,241)$ | (3.26\%) | 23,022 | 21,946 | $(1,076)$ | (4.67\%) |

DALLAS CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT 2014 PRELIMINARY ESTIMATED REAPPRAISAL PLAN - As of February 201 ،

| ENTITY | RESIDENTIAL |  |  | COMMERCIAL |  |  | BPP |  |  | TOTAL OF ALL DIVISIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | total NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED |
| CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addison | 1,387 | 2,349 | 59.05\% | 688 | 689 | 99.85\% | 2,970 | 2,970 | 100.00\% | 5,045 | 6,008 | 83.97\% |
| Balch Springs | 2,700 | 6,764 | 39.92\% | 626 | 952 | 65.76\% | 736 | 736 | 100.00\% | 4,062 | 8,452 | 48.06\% |
| Carrollton | 7,532 | 12,259 | 61.44\% | 1,398 | 1,781 | 78.50\% | 3,511 | 3,511 | 100.00\% | 12,441 | 17,551 | 70.88\% |
| Cedar Hill | 9,279 | 16,207 | 57.25\% | 677 | 1,205 | 56.18\% | 1,225 | 1,225 | 100.00\% | 11,181 | 18,637 | 59.99\% |
| Cockrell Hill | 930 | 930 | 100.00\% | 0 | 103 | 0.00\% | 166 | 166 | 100.00\% | 1,096 | 1,199 | 91.41\% |
| Combine | 203 | 326 | 62.27\% | 75 | 75 | 100.00\% | 15 | 15 | 100.00\% | 293 | 416 | 70.43\% |
| Coppell | 7,491 | 11,752 | 63.74\% | 669 | 971 | 68.90\% | 1,489 | 1,489 | 100.00\% | 9,649 | 14,212 | 67.89\% |
| Dallas | 148,274 | 291,459 | 50.87\% | 19,112 | 39,083 | 48.90\% | 47,593 | 47,593 | 100.00\% | 214,979 | 378,135 | 56.85\% |
| Desoto | 8,705 | 16,631 | 52.34\% | 589 | 1,284 | 45.87\% | 1,282 | 1,282 | 100.00\% | 10,576 | 19,197 | 55.09\% |
| Duncanville | 7,609 | 11,962 | 63.61\% | 804 | 1,114 | 72.17\% | 1,391 | 1,391 | 100.00\% | 9,804 | 14,467 | 67.77\% |
| Farmers Branch | 5,684 | 8,081 | 70.34\% | 592 | 1,235 | 47.94\% | 3,033 | 3,033 | 100.00\% | 9,309 | 12,349 | 75.38\% |
| Ferris | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 15 | 15 | 100.00\% | 6 | 6 | 100.00\% | 21 | 21 | 100.00\% |
| Garland | 30,254 | 64,402 | 46.98\% | 2,651 | 4,688 | 56.55\% | 5,664 | 5,664 | 100.00\% | 38,569 | 74,754 | 51.59\% |
| Glenn Heights | 1,759 | 3,160 | 55.66\% | 0 | 198 | 0.00\% | 80 | 80 | 100.00\% | 1,839 | 3,438 | 53.49\% |
| Grand Prairie | 13,932 | 35,825 | 38.89\% | 942 | 4,272 | 22.05\% | 2,780 | 2,780 | 100.00\% | 17,654 | 42,877 | 41.17\% |
| Grapevine | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 28 | 28 | 100.00\% | 81 | 81 | 100.00\% | 109 | 109 | 100.00\% |
| Highland Park | 2,639 | 3,400 | 77.62\% | 72 | 80 | 90.00\% | 343 | 343 | 100.00\% | 3,054 | 3,823 | 79.88\% |
| Hutchins | 28 | 1,332 | 2.10\% | 68 | 392 | 17.35\% | 276 | 276 | 100.00\% | 372 | 2,000 | 18.60\% |
| Irving | 21,903 | 43,498 | 50.35\% | 2,230 | 5,541 | 40.25\% | 8,022 | 8,022 | 100.00\% | 32,155 | 57,061 | 56.35\% |
| Lancaster | 5,522 | 12,729 | 43.38\% | 563 | 1,568 | 35.91\% | 808 | 808 | 100.00\% | 6,893 | 15,105 | 45.63\% |
| Lewisville | 85 | 299 | 28.43\% | 10 | 10 | 100.00\% | 15 | 15 | 100.00\% | 110 | 324 | 33.95\% |
| Mesquite | 17,422 | 38,182 | 45.63\% | 756 | 2,618 | 28.88\% | 3,119 | 3,119 | 100.00\% | 21,297 | 43,919 | 48.49\% |
| Ovilla | 79 | 162 | 48.77\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% | 84 | 190 | 44.21\% |
| Richardson | 12,535 | 21,496 | 58.31\% | 1,074 | 1,617 | 66.42\% | 4,474 | 4,474 | 100.00\% | 18,083 | 27,587 | 65.55\% |
| Rowlett | 6,652 | 17,367 | 38.30\% | 477 | 1,025 | 46.54\% | 940 | 940 | 100.00\% | 8,069 | 19,332 | 41.74\% |
| Sachse | 2,464 | 5,277 | 46.69\% | 47 | 315 | 14.92\% | 265 | 265 | 100.00\% | 2,776 | 5,857 | 47.40\% |
| Seagoville | 2,365 | 4,901 | 48.26\% | 789 | 790 | 99.87\% | 440 | 440 | 100.00\% | 3,594 | 6,131 | 58.62\% |
| Sunnyvale | 1,459 | 2,209 | 66.05\% | 239 | 623 | 38.36\% | 426 | 426 | 100.00\% | 2,124 | 3,258 | 65.19\% |
| University Park | 6,386 | 6,927 | 92.19\% | 216 | 318 | 67.92\% | 774 | 774 | 100.00\% | 7,376 | 8,019 | 91.98\% |
| Wilmer | 752 | 1,495 | 50.30\% | 233 | 234 | 99.57\% | 141 | 141 | 100.00\% | 1,126 | 1,870 | 60.21\% |
| Wylie | 181 | 197 | 91.88\% | 1 | 16 | 6.25\% | 12 | 12 | 100.00\% | 194 | 225 | 86.22\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cities | 326,211 | 641,578 | 50.85\% | 35,641 | 72,863 | 48.92\% | 92,082 | 92,082 | 100.00\% | 453,934 | 806,523 | 56.28\% |
| SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrollton/Farmers Branch | 15,680 | 23,255 | 67.43\% | 2,174 | 3,493 | 62.24\% | 5,834 | 5,834 | 100.00\% | 23,688 | 32,582 | 72.70\% |
| Cedar Hill | 9,799 | 16,872 | 58.08\% | 679 | 1,276 | 53.21\% | 1,201 | 1,201 | 100.00\% | 11,679 | 19,349 | 60.36\% |
| Coppell | 9,831 | 14,252 | 68.98\% | 867 | 1,358 | 63.84\% | 2,250 | 2,250 | 100.00\% | 12,948 | 17,860 | 72.50\% |
| Dallas | 131,446 | 268,742 | 48.91\% | 20,426 | 39,811 | 51.31\% | 47,370 | 47,370 | 100.00\% | 199,242 | 355,923 | 55.98\% |
| Desoto | 8,872 | 18,577 | 47.76\% | 362 | 1,122 | 32.26\% | 932 | 932 | 100.00\% | 10,166 | 20,631 | 49.28\% |
| Duncanville | 13,439 | 20,527 | 65.47\% | 980 | 2,208 | 44.38\% | 1,913 | 1,913 | 100.00\% | 16,332 | 24,648 | 66.26\% |
| Ferris | 114 | 114 | 100.00\% | 96 | 97 | 98.97\% | 15 | 15 | 100.00\% | 225 | 226 | 99.56\% |
| Garland | 37,452 | 82,717 | 45.28\% | 3,072 | 6,124 | 50.16\% | 6,474 | 6,474 | 100.00\% | 46,998 | 95,315 | 49.31\% |
| Grand Prairie | 13,199 | 34,431 | 38.33\% | 852 | 4,196 | 20.31\% | 2,831 | 2,831 | 100.00\% | 16,882 | 41,458 | 40.72\% |
| Grapevine-Colleyville | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 18 | 11.11\% | 144 | 144 | 100.00\% | 146 | 162 | 90.12\% |
| Highland Park | 8,961 | 10,740 | 83.44\% | 339 | 482 | 70.33\% | 1,884 | 1,884 | 100.00\% | 11,184 | 13,106 | 85.33\% |
| Irving | 15,350 | 36,109 | 42.51\% | 2,450 | 4,972 | 49.28\% | 5,704 | 5,704 | 100.00\% | 23,504 | 46,785 | 50.24\% |
| Lancaster | 6,032 | 13,079 | 46.12\% | 709 | 1,740 | 40.75\% | 802 | 802 | 100.00\% | 7,543 | 15,621 | 48.29\% |
| Mesquite | 19,852 | 46,788 | 42.43\% | 1,091 | 3,258 | 33.49\% | 3,456 | 3,456 | 100.00\% | 24,399 | 53,502 | 45.60\% |
| Richardson | 35,650 | 56,878 | 62.68\% | 2,296 | 3,394 | 67.65\% | 9,426 | 9,426 | 100.00\% | 47,372 | 69,698 | 67.97\% |
| Sunnyvale | 1,459 | 2,209 | 66.05\% | 251 | 636 | 39.47\% | 426 | 426 | 100.00\% | 2,136 | 3,271 | 65.30\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Schools | 327,136 | 645,290 | 50.70\% | 36,646 | 74,185 | 49.40\% | 90,662 | 90,662 | 100.00\% | 454,444 | 810,137 | 56.09\% |

DALLAS CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT 2013 ESTIMATED REAPPRAISAL PLAN

| ENTITY | RESIDENTIAL |  |  | COMMERCIAL |  |  | BPP |  |  | TOTAL OF ALL DIVISIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | tOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED |
| CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addison | 1,040 | 2,351 | 44.24\% | 364 | 687 | 52.98\% | 3,040 | 3,040 | 100.00\% | 4,444 | 6,078 | 73.12\% |
| Balch Springs | 2,274 | 6,764 | 33.62\% | 0 | 946 | 0.00\% | 736 | 736 | 100.00\% | 3,010 | 8,446 | 35.64\% |
| Carrollton | 4,850 | 12,259 | 39.56\% | 943 | 1,771 | 53.25\% | 3,495 | 3,495 | 100.00\% | 9,288 | 17,525 | 53.00\% |
| Cedar Hill | 5,500 | 16,172 | 34.01\% | 363 | 1,202 | 30.20\% | 1,173 | 1,173 | 100.00\% | 7,036 | 18,547 | 37.94\% |
| Cockrell Hill | 0 | 930 | 0.00\% | 107 | 108 | 99.07\% | 161 | 161 | 100.00\% | 268 | 1,199 | 22.35\% |
| Combine | 5 | 326 | 1.53\% | 75 | 75 | 100.00\% | 17 | 17 | 100.00\% | 97 | 418 | 23.21\% |
| Coppell | 5,410 | 11,727 | 46.13\% | 443 | 984 | 45.02\% | 1,472 | 1,472 | 100.00\% | 7,325 | 14,183 | 51.65\% |
| Dallas | 102,083 | 291,391 | 35.03\% | 17,368 | 39,103 | 44.42\% | 46,848 | 46,848 | 100.00\% | 166,299 | 377,342 | 44.07\% |
| Desoto | 6,087 | 16,632 | 36.60\% | 697 | 1,286 | 54.20\% | 1,270 | 1,270 | 100.00\% | 8,054 | 19,188 | 41.97\% |
| Duncanville | 4,411 | 11,964 | 36.87\% | 352 | 1,115 | 31.57\% | 1,325 | 1,325 | 100.00\% | 6,088 | 14,404 | 42.27\% |
| Farmers Branch | 3,392 | 8,082 | 41.97\% | 872 | 1,245 | 70.04\% | 3,110 | 3,110 | 100.00\% | 7,374 | 12,437 | 59.29\% |
| Ferris | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 13 | 13 | 100.00\% | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% | 18 | 18 | 100.00\% |
| Garland | 24,556 | 64,243 | 38.22\% | 2,710 | 4,682 | 57.88\% | 5,603 | 5,603 | 100.00\% | 32,869 | 74,528 | 44.10\% |
| Glenn Heights | 1,507 | 3,181 | 47.38\% | 0 | 199 | 0.00\% | 74 | 74 | 100.00\% | 1,581 | 3,454 | 45.77\% |
| Grand Prairie | 13,526 | 35,781 | 37.80\% | 1,824 | 4,254 | 42.88\% | 2,741 | 2,741 | 100.00\% | 18,091 | 42,776 | 42.29\% |
| Grapevine | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 29 | 29 | 100.00\% | 76 | 76 | 100.00\% | 105 | 105 | 100.00\% |
| Highland Park | 1,793 | 3,396 | 52.80\% | 34 | 83 | 40.96\% | 366 | 366 | 100.00\% | 2,193 | 3,845 | 57.04\% |
| Hutchins | 119 | 1,298 | 9.17\% | 384 | 390 | 98.46\% | 299 | 299 | 100.00\% | 802 | 1,987 | 40.36\% |
| Irving | 13,763 | 42,991 | 32.01\% | 2,311 | 5,521 | 41.86\% | 7,949 | 7,949 | 100.00\% | 24,023 | 56,461 | 42.55\% |
| Lancaster | 4,886 | 12,736 | 38.36\% | 616 | 1,562 | 39.44\% | 821 | 821 | 100.00\% | 6,323 | 15,119 | 41.82\% |
| Lewisville | 0 | 293 | 0.00\% | 10 | 10 | 100.00\% | 14 | 14 | 100.00\% | 24 | 317 | 7.57\% |
| Mesquite | 11,880 | 38,184 | 31.11\% | 991 | 2,608 | 38.00\% | 3,096 | 3,096 | 100.00\% | 15,967 | 43,888 | 36.38\% |
| Ovilla | 0 | 162 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 5 | 5 | 100.00\% | 5 | 190 | 2.63\% |
| Richardson | 13,443 | 21,464 | 62.63\% | 870 | 1,626 | 53.51\% | 4,426 | 4,426 | 100.00\% | 18,739 | 27,516 | 68.10\% |
| Rowlett | 6,347 | 17,257 | 36.78\% | 447 | 1,027 | 43.52\% | 911 | 911 | 100.00\% | 7,705 | 19,195 | 40.14\% |
| Sachse | 1,971 | 5,220 | 37.76\% | 48 | 321 | 14.95\% | 255 | 255 | 100.00\% | 2,274 | 5,796 | 39.23\% |
| Seagoville | 2,177 | 4,891 | 44.51\% | 66 | 784 | 8.42\% | 441 | 441 | 100.00\% | 2,684 | 6,116 | 43.88\% |
| Sunnyvale | 505 | 2,205 | 22.90\% | 193 | 613 | 31.48\% | 403 | 403 | 100.00\% | 1,101 | 3,221 | 34.18\% |
| University Park | 4,957 | 6,904 | 71.80\% | 251 | 321 | 78.19\% | 761 | 761 | 100.00\% | 5,969 | 7,986 | 74.74\% |
| Wilmer | 219 | 1,463 | 14.97\% | 11 | 229 | 4.80\% | 127 | 127 | 100.00\% | 357 | 1,819 | 19.63\% |
| Wylie | 0 | 193 | 0.00\% | 16 | 16 | 100.00\% | 13 | 13 | 100.00\% | 29 | 222 | 13.06\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cities | 236,701 | 640,460 | 36.96\% | 32,408 | 72,833 | 44.50\% | 91,033 | 91,033 | 100.00\% | 360,142 | 804,326 | 44.78\% |
| SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrollton/Farmers Branch | 10,235 | 23,018 | 44.47\% | 2,237 | 3,496 | 63.99\% | 5,827 | 5,827 | 100.00\% | 18,299 | 32,341 | 56.58\% |
| Cedar Hill | 5,978 | 16,837 | 35.51\% | 373 | 1,273 | 29.30\% | 1,156 | 1,156 | 100.00\% | 7,507 | 19,266 | 38.97\% |
| Coppell | 6,060 | 14,082 | 43.03\% | 719 | 1,363 | 52.75\% | 2,197 | 2,197 | 100.00\% | 8,976 | 17,642 | 50.88\% |
| Dallas | 88,733 | 268,620 | 33.03\% | 17,212 | 39,807 | 43.24\% | 46,960 | 46,960 | 100.00\% | 152,905 | 355,387 | 43.02\% |
| Desoto | 7,288 | 18,598 | 39.19\% | 547 | 1,124 | 48.67\% | 897 | 897 | 100.00\% | 8,732 | 20,619 | 42.35\% |
| Duncanville | 6,494 | 20,531 | 31.63\% | 1,015 | 2,205 | 46.03\% | 1,835 | 1,835 | 100.00\% | 9,344 | 24,571 | 38.03\% |
| Ferris | 0 | 113 | 0.00\% | 97 | 97 | 100.00\% | 15 | 15 | 100.00\% | 112 | 225 | 49.78\% |
| Garland | 30,660 | 82,386 | 37.22\% | 3,206 | 6,132 | 52.28\% | 6,340 | 6,340 | 100.00\% | 40,206 | 94,858 | 42.39\% |
| Grand Prairie | 13,123 | 34,390 | 38.16\% | 1,821 | 4,183 | 43.53\% | 2,778 | 2,778 | 100.00\% | 17,722 | 41,351 | 42.86\% |
| Grapevine-Colleyville | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 18 | 18 | 100.00\% | 137 | 137 | 100.00\% | 155 | 155 | 100.00\% |
| Highland Park | 7,064 | 10,713 | 65.94\% | 379 | 492 | 77.03\% | 1,872 | 1,872 | 100.00\% | 9,315 | 13,077 | 71.23\% |
| Irving | 10,388 | 35,981 | 28.87\% | 1,293 | 4,961 | 26.06\% | 5,705 | 5,705 | 100.00\% | 17,386 | 46,647 | 37.27\% |
| Lancaster | 5,605 | 13,084 | 42.84\% | 664 | 1,734 | 38.29\% | 830 | 830 | 100.00\% | 7,099 | 15,648 | 45.37\% |
| Mesquite | 13,663 | 46,777 | 29.21\% | 989 | 3,242 | 30.51\% | 3,426 | 3,426 | 100.00\% | 18,078 | 53,445 | 33.83\% |
| Richardson | 31,623 | 56,828 | 55.65\% | 1,767 | 3,401 | 51.96\% | 9,257 | 9,257 | 100.00\% | 42,647 | 69,486 | 61.37\% |
| Sunnyvale | 505 | 2,205 | 22.90\% | 194 | 626 | 30.99\% | 403 | 403 | 100.00\% | 1,102 | 3,234 | 34.08\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Schools | 237,419 | 644,163 | 36.86\% | 32,531 | 74,154 | 43.87\% | 89,635 | 89,635 | 100.00\% | 359,585 | 807,952 | 44.51\% |

DALLAS CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT 2012 ESTIMATED REAPPRAISAL PLAN

| ENTITY | RESIDENTIAL |  |  | COMMERCIAL |  |  | BPP |  |  | TOTAL OF ALL DIVISIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED | ACCOUNTS REAPPRAISED | TOTAL NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS | PERCENT REAPPRAISED |
| CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addison | 1,036 | 2,345 | 44.18\% | 359 | 687 | 52.26\% | 3,050 | 3,050 | 100.00\% | 4,445 | 6,082 | 73.08\% |
| Balch Springs | 2,119 | 6,773 | 31.29\% | 320 | 940 | 34.04\% | 733 | 733 | 100.00\% | 3,172 | 8,446 | 37.56\% |
| Carrollton | 6,197 | 12,258 | 50.55\% | 939 | 1,766 | 53.17\% | 3,512 | 3,512 | 100.00\% | 10,648 | 17,536 | 60.72\% |
| Cedar Hill | 7,148 | 16,169 | 44.21\% | 358 | 1,195 | 29.96\% | 1,169 | 1,169 | 100.00\% | 8,675 | 18,533 | 46.81\% |
| Cockrell Hill | 159 | 932 | 17.06\% | 105 | 105 | 100.00\% | 169 | 169 | 100.00\% | 433 | 1,206 | 35.90\% |
| Combine | 206 | 331 | 62.24\% | 68 | 68 | 100.00\% | 14 | 14 | 100.00\% | 288 | 413 | 69.73\% |
| Coppell | 2,501 | 11,550 | 21.65\% | 481 | 836 | 57.54\% | 1,393 | 1,393 | 100.00\% | 4,375 | 13,779 | 31.75\% |
| Dallas | 103,587 | 291,489 | 35.54\% | 19,890 | 39,121 | 50.84\% | 46,651 | 46,651 | 100.00\% | 170,128 | 377,261 | 45.10\% |
| Desoto | 8,925 | 16,632 | 53.66\% | 302 | 1,281 | 23.58\% | 1,238 | 1,238 | 100.00\% | 10,465 | 19,151 | 54.64\% |
| Duncanville | 4,212 | 11,965 | 35.20\% | 263 | 1,114 | 23.61\% | 1,296 | 1,296 | 100.00\% | 5,771 | 14,375 | 40.15\% |
| Farmers Branch | 1,639 | 8,086 | 20.27\% | 577 | 1,238 | 46.61\% | 3,070 | 3,070 | 100.00\% | 5,286 | 12,394 | 42.65\% |
| Ferris | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 13 | 13 | 100.00\% | 4 | 4 | 100.00\% | 17 | 17 | 100.00\% |
| Garland | 25,362 | 64,392 | 39.39\% | 1,618 | 4,660 | 34.72\% | 5,645 | 5,645 | 100.00\% | 32,625 | 74,697 | 43.68\% |
| Glenn Heights | 1,398 | 3,239 | 43.16\% | 198 | 198 | 100.00\% | 82 | 82 | 100.00\% | 1,678 | 3,519 | 47.68\% |
| Grand Prairie | 16,358 | 35,782 | 45.72\% | 1,294 | 3,494 | 37.03\% | 2,703 | 2,703 | 100.00\% | 20,355 | 41,979 | 48.49\% |
| Grapevine | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 29 | 29 | 100.00\% | 76 | 76 | 100.00\% | 105 | 105 | 100.00\% |
| Highland Park | 991 | 3,398 | 29.16\% | 38 | 83 | 45.78\% | 370 | 370 | 100.00\% | 1,399 | 3,851 | 36.33\% |
| Hutchins | 332 | 1,299 | 25.56\% | 64 | 389 | 16.45\% | 302 | 302 | 100.00\% | 698 | 1,990 | 35.08\% |
| Irving | 15,082 | 42,783 | 35.25\% | 2,654 | 5,461 | 48.60\% | 7,700 | 7,700 | 100.00\% | 25,436 | 55,944 | 45.47\% |
| Lancaster | 6,921 | 12,609 | 54.89\% | 706 | 1,474 | 47.90\% | 792 | 792 | 100.00\% | 8,419 | 14,875 | 56.60\% |
| Lewisville | 101 | 293 | 34.47\% | 10 | 10 | 100.00\% | 9 | 9 | 100.00\% | 120 | 312 | 38.46\% |
| Mesquite | 13,854 | 38,184 | 36.28\% | 1,195 | 2,604 | 45.89\% | 3,116 | 3,116 | 100.00\% | 18,165 | 43,904 | 41.37\% |
| Ovilla | 35 | 162 | 21.60\% | 23 | 23 | 100.00\% | 7 | 7 | 100.00\% | 65 | 192 | 33.85\% |
| Richardson | 2,022 | 21,253 | 9.51\% | 764 | 1,606 | 47.57\% | 4,417 | 4,417 | 100.00\% | 7,203 | 27,276 | 26.41\% |
| Rowlett | 5,770 | 17,021 | 33.90\% | 854 | 1,018 | 83.89\% | 925 | 925 | 100.00\% | 7,549 | 18,964 | 39.81\% |
| Sachse | 1,695 | 5,029 | 33.70\% | 313 | 313 | 100.00\% | 246 | 246 | 100.00\% | 2,254 | 5,588 | 40.34\% |
| Seagoville | 1,316 | 4,909 | 26.81\% | 65 | 754 | 8.62\% | 453 | 453 | 100.00\% | 1,834 | 6,116 | 29.99\% |
| Sunnyvale | 898 | 2,164 | 41.50\% | 206 | 614 | 33.55\% | 400 | 400 | 100.00\% | 1,504 | 3,178 | 47.33\% |
| University Park | 1,898 | 6,908 | 27.48\% | 223 | 343 | 65.01\% | 756 | 756 | 100.00\% | 2,877 | 8,007 | 35.93\% |
| Wilmer | 263 | 1,433 | 18.35\% | 13 | 260 | 5.00\% | 134 | 134 | 100.00\% | 410 | 1,827 | 22.44\% |
| Wylie | 177 | 191 | 92.67\% | 15 | 15 | 100.00\% | 11 | 11 | 100.00\% | 203 | 217 | 93.55\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cities | 232,202 | 639,579 | 36.31\% | 33,957 | 71,712 | 47.35\% | 90,443 | 90,443 | 100.00\% | 356,602 | 801,734 | 44.48\% |
| SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrollton/Farmers Branch | 9,216 | 22,867 | 40.30\% | 1,293 | 3,486 | 37.09\% | 5,729 | 5,729 | 100.00\% | 16,238 | 32,082 | 50.61\% |
| Cedar Hill | 7,901 | 16,833 | 46.94\% | 374 | 1,265 | 29.57\% | 1,157 | 1,157 | 100.00\% | 9,432 | 19,255 | 48.98\% |
| Coppell | 3,199 | 13,905 | 23.01\% | 658 | 1,201 | 54.79\% | 2,080 | 2,080 | 100.00\% | 5,937 | 17,186 | 34.55\% |
| Dallas | 97,999 | 268,764 | 36.46\% | 19,698 | 39,796 | 49.50\% | 46,622 | 46,622 | 100.00\% | 164,319 | 355,182 | 46.26\% |
| Desoto | 9,515 | 18,650 | 51.02\% | 518 | 1,118 | 46.33\% | 906 | 906 | 100.00\% | 10,939 | 20,674 | 52.91\% |
| Duncanville | 9,219 | 20,535 | 44.89\% | 591 | 2,202 | 26.84\% | 1,790 | 1,790 | 100.00\% | 11,600 | 24,527 | 47.29\% |
| Ferris | 0 | 115 | 0.00\% | 95 | 95 | 100.00\% | 12 | 12 | 100.00\% | 107 | 222 | 48.20\% |
| Garland | 30,024 | 82,088 | 36.58\% | 2,938 | 6,091 | 48.24\% | 6,412 | 6,412 | 100.00\% | 39,374 | 94,591 | 41.63\% |
| Grand Prairie | 16,036 | 34,398 | 46.62\% | 1,321 | 3,423 | 38.59\% | 2,744 | 2,744 | 100.00\% | 20,101 | 40,565 | 49.55\% |
| Grapevine-Colleyville | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 10 | 10 | 100.00\% | 129 | 129 | 100.00\% | 139 | 139 | 100.00\% |
| Highland Park | 2,977 | 10,717 | 27.78\% | 353 | 514 | 68.68\% | 1,914 | 1,914 | 100.00\% | 5,244 | 13,145 | 39.89\% |
| Irving | 11,652 | 35,888 | 32.47\% | 2,312 | 4,905 | 47.14\% | 5,576 | 5,576 | 100.00\% | 19,540 | 46,369 | 42.14\% |
| Lancaster | 6,993 | 13,083 | 53.45\% | 689 | 1,726 | 39.92\% | 790 | 790 | 100.00\% | 8,472 | 15,599 | 54.31\% |
| Mesquite | 16,039 | 46,720 | 34.33\% | 1,494 | 3,236 | 46.17\% | 3,444 | 3,444 | 100.00\% | 20,977 | 53,400 | 39.28\% |
| Richardson | 10,850 | 56,611 | 19.17\% | 1,492 | 3,382 | 44.12\% | 9,311 | 9,311 | 100.00\% | 21,653 | 69,304 | 31.24\% |
| Sunnyvale | 898 | 2,164 | 41.50\% | 206 | 627 | 32.85\% | 400 | 400 | 100.00\% | 1,504 | 3,191 | 47.13\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Schools | 232,518 | 643,338 | 36.14\% | 34,042 | 73,077 | 46.58\% | 89,016 | 89,016 | 100.00\% | 355,576 | 805,431 | 44.15\% |

## Memorandum

date February 28, 2014
subuect Property Appraisal Procedures
On Monday, March 3, you will receive a briefing on "Property Appraisal Procedures," a copy of which is attached. Please contact me should you have any questions.


Theresa O'Donnell Interim Assistant City Manager

C: The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager

Warren M. S. Ernst, City Attorney
Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
Judge Daniel Solis, Administrative Judge
Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager
Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager
Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager
Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer
Frank Librio, Public Information Officer
Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager

## PROPERTY APPRAISAL PROCEDURES

Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee March 3, 2014

## Purpose

$\square$ Provide overview of:

- City's procedures and requirements for real property appraisals
- Difference between City's appraisal process and Appraisal Districts' appraisal process for determining property tax assessment value


## Real Estate Division Overview

$\square 20$ licensed professionals who annually process various transactions:

- Acquire approximately 250 parcels of land for public purpose
- Sell approximately five surplus properties deemed unneeded and unwanted by Council
- Process approximately 35 abandonment requests to assist in redevelopment
- Also lease and license properties, sell tax foreclosed properties


## When Are Appraisals Needed?

$\square$ City obtains appraisals to determine fair market value for three main purposes:

Acquire properties for public purpose

- Sell surplus public properties
- Abandon rights-of-way or easements
$\square$ Depending on above purpose, requirements differ for dollar threshold for when an appraisal is required or how many must be obtained


## Acquisitions



## When Are Appraisals Needed?

## ACQUISITIONS

$\square$ For all properties acquired with Bond funds:

- Independent appraisal must be obtained (State law)
$\square$ For property valued between $\$ 10,000$ and \$500,000:
- One independent appraisal obtained (policy)


## When Are Appraisals Needed?

## ACQUISITIONS cont.

$\square$ For property valued over \$500,000:

- Two independent appraisals must be obtained (City Code)
- Council may waive requirement
$\square$ For property valued under \$10,000 and not acquired with Bond funds:
- Central Appraisal District (CAD) valuation may be used for small properties and easements


## Sales



## When Are Appraisals Needed?

## SALES

$\square$ For property value of $\$ 100,000$ or more:

- One independent appraisal must be obtained (City Code)
$\square$ For high dollar and/or high profile properties:
- Staff may opt to obtain two appraisals
$\square$ For property valued less than \$100,000:
- Staff reviews CAD to determine value


## When Are Appraisals Needed?

## SALES cont.

$\square$ For properties sold by advertised bid and auction:

- Obtain appraisal or use CAD to determine minimum bid value
- Highest qualified bid received at auction is indication of fair market value (State law)


## Abandonments



## When Are Appraisals Needed?

## ABANDONMENTS

$\square$ For property valued $\$ 20,000$ or more, per City Code:
■ One independent appraisal must be obtained

- Must be appraised as if it were an assembled portion of the applicant's abutting property
$\square$ For property valued less than $\$ 20,000$ :
- Staff determines market value using CAD


## INDEPENDENT APPRAISALS

## Appraisal Contracts

$\square$ For all appraisals, staff issues a Request for Proposal
$\square$ Appraiser is selected based on:
Understanding of appraisal requirements
Price

- Ability to perform within specified time frame
$\square$ Contract entered into giving instructions to appraiser


## Appraisal Instructions

$\square$ Evaluate individual properties

- Determine appraisal methodology
- For sale comparisons, identify comparable properties
- Apply discounts as appropriate
$\square$ Appraise "Highest and Best Use"
- legal permissibility,
- physical possibility,
- financially feasible, and
- maximum profitability


## Individual Property



## Appraisal Standards

$\square$ Independent appraisers are licensed by The Appraisal Institute and certified by the State of Texas

- Strict standards and procedures as documented in USPAP (Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice) must be followed for each type of appraisal conducted
$\square$ Appraisals represent Opinions of Value


## Staff Appraisal Reviews

$\square$ As professional consumers of appraisal reports, staff reviews all submittals to ensure compliance with requests and that standards are met
$\square$ All reviews performed by one specialist

- Follows-up with appraisers for clarification on methodology, comparable sales and assumptions as necessary
- Knowledgeable and experienced with all types of appraisals


## Appraisal Support

$\square$ In the event that a property acquisition requires eminent domain, appraiser is asked to testify at Commissioner's Hearing
$\square$ CAD value typically and theoretically not admissible as evidence at Commissioner's Hearing or Jury Trial

## CENTRAL APPRAISAL DISTRICT APPRAISALS

# Central Appraisal District (CAD) Appraisal Procedures 

$\square$ Determines assessment value
$\square$ Registered and trained appraisers use computer-assisted mass appraisal (CAMA) programs to compare the subject property information with:

- Data for similar properties, and
- Recent market data


## Mass Appraisal



## CAD Appraisal Procedures cont.

$\square$ Property Tax Code requires that all taxable property be appraised at its "market value" as of January $1^{\text {st }}$
■ Property value may not change year-to-year
$\square$ Under the tax code, "market value" means the price at which a property would transfer for cash or its equivalent under prevailing market conditions if:

## CAD Appraisal Procedures cont.

- Exposed for sale in the open market with a reasonable time for the seller to find a purchaser;
- Both the seller and the buyer know of all the uses and purposes to which the property is adapted and for which it is capable of being used and of the enforceable restrictions on its use; and
- Both the seller and buyer seek to maximize their gains and neither is in a position to take advantage of the exigencies of the other.


## CAD Appraisal Procedures cont.

$\square$ Required by State law to update real property values at least once every three years
$\square$ Property owner has right to appeal tax value on an "equal and uniform" basis

## Next Steps

$\square$ Update list of appraisers through a new Request for Qualifications process

- Licensed and experienced in providing appraisals for government agencies
- Experienced in providing testimony at Commissioner's Hearings and Jury Trials
$\square$ Reconvene Real Estate Task Force to review procedures and provide input


## QUESTIONS?

## Memorandum

DATE: February 27, 2014
T0: Honorable Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee Jerry R. Allen (Chair); Jennifer Staubach Gates (Vice Chair);
Tennell Atkins, Mayor Pro Tem; Sheffie Kadane; Philip T. Kingston
subject: Presentation to the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee:
Office of the City Auditor Fiscal Year 2014 - Second Quarter Update

I will provide a briefing to the members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee on Monday, March 3, 2014 regarding:

- Office of the City Auditor Fiscal Year 2014 - Second Quarter Update

Sincerely,


Craig D. Kinton
City Auditor

# Budget, Finance and Audit Committee 

## Office of the City Auditor Fiscal Year 2014 Second Quarter Update

City of Dallas
Office of the City Auditor March 3, 2014

## Audit and Attestation Services Reports Issued

 First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014- Audit City of Dallas' Compliance with the Texas Prompt Payment Act
- Audit of MuniServices, LLC Contract Related to the Verification of Sales/Use Tax Receipts and Associated Fees
- Special Audit of the Accounts of Five Former City Council Members
- Audit of the City of Dallas' Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting Unit


## Audit and Attestation Services Reports Issued

 First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014- Independent Auditor's Reports on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
- Single Bid - SAS Software License and Support
- Single Bid - City Hall Security Vestibule
- Sole Source - West Complete Library
- Sole Source - Lawson Human Resources and Information System Software Maintenance and Support
- Fiscal Year 2013 \# 2 Drug Destruction


## Summary Reports Issued

- Services Summary Report for Fiscal Year 2013
- Audit, Attestation and Investigations Services Update: FY 2014 First Quarter
- FY 2013 Investigative Services Activity Report


## Audit and Attestation Services Reports Issued

- Audit City of Dallas' Self-Insured Medical Program
- Audit of Arts and Cultural Program Funding
- Independent Auditor's Reports on Applying AgreedUpon Procedures
- Fiscal Year 2013 \# 3 Weapons Destruction (January 15, 2014)
- Audit, Attestation and Investigative Services Update: FY 2014 Second Quarter


## Audit and Attestation Services Anticipated Report Releases

Second Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Special Audit of the Accounts of Former City Attorney, Thomas P. Perkins, Jr.
- Contract Compliance - Office Depot
- Prior Audit Recommendations Follow-Up
- Software Licensing Agreements


## Audit and Attestation Services Projects In-Progress

## Second Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Sales/Use Tax Compliance Review Through MuniServices (Ongoing)
- Convention and Event Services - Controls over Cash Receipts and Collections
- Payroll Audit
- Purchasing / Contracting Processes
- South Dallas Fair Park Trust Fund
- Special Audit of Former City Manager, Mary K. Suhm
- Wastewater Collection and Treatment
- Water Customer Billings
- Franchise Fees


## Audit and Attestation Services Anticipated Project Starts

## Second Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Contract Monitoring

■ Parking Management Contract Oversight

- Tracking Firearms and Equipment
- Building Permits
- Maintenance of Infrastructure

■ Agreed-Upon Procedures for Single Bid and Sole Source Engagements (as requested)

## Services Summary Report Highlights <br> Fiscal Year 2013

- Performance Audit Reports (12)
- Attestation Engagements (21)
- Fraud, Waste and Abuse Hotline Complaint Closures (130)
- Peer Review - Clean Opinion
- Leadership Roles in Professional Organizations


## Investigative Services Activity Report Highlights Fiscal Year 2013

- New Complaints Received (117)
- Complaints Closed (130)
- Complaint Resolution Actions
- Investigated by Office (65) 50\%
- Referred to City Department (32) 25\%
- No Action Warranted (33) 25\%
- Complaints Investigated Resolution
- Unsubstantiated or Cleared (38) 58\%
- Substantiated (27) 42\%


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights <br> First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Audit on City of Dallas' Compliance with the Texas Prompt Payment Act (November 1, 2013)
- The City does not have a formal method to monitor compliance with the Texas Government Code, Chapter 2251 Payment for Goods and Services (Prompt Payment Act).
- The City cannot easily determine: (1) when vendor payments are not processed in accordance with the Prompt Payment Act; (2) if interest amounts are owed to vendors; and, (3) if valid exceptions to the Prompt Payment Act exist.
- Specifically, the City does not:
- Have a consistent method to identify and track the actual invoice receipt date
- Require the actual invoice receipt date to be recorded in the AMS Advantage 3 general ledger accounting system (AMS) prior to invoice processing
- Identify invoices in dispute in AMS
- Provide guidance for monitoring compliance with the Prompt Payment Act, including how to use available financial reports, in the annual training conducted by the City Controller's Office


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Audit of MuniServices, LLC Contract Related to the Verification of Sales/Use Tax Receipts and Associated Fees (November 15, 2013)
- Verified $\$ 902,218$ in sales tax receipts collections that MuniServices, LLC (Consultant) identified as owed to the City of Dallas (City) from businesses operating in the City
- Verified the accuracy of $\$ 225,555$ in Consultant invoices received for the period October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013 for payment of the Consultant's percentage of the amount collected under the contingent fee contract arrangement with the City


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Special Audit of the Accounts of Five Former City Council Members (December 6, 2013)
- Accounts were in order for five former City Council Members: Angela Hunt, Delia Jasso, Linda Koop, Ann Margolin, and Pauline Medrano
- Certain procedural errors and a processing control issue were noted; Management Letter issued that included these issues


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

## First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Audit of the City of Dallas' Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting Unit (December 6, 2013)
- Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting Unit (Unit) has designed internal controls to help ensure the Unit consistently complies with certain Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations related to the certification and operation of airports.
- Opportunities to improve internal controls were noted in the following areas:
- Documentation of FAA required training
- Apparatus self-inspections
- Apparatus preventive maintenance


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Independent Auditor Reports on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
- Sole Source - West Complete Library, \$76,224.05 (October 17, 2013)
- The procurement met the exemptions from competitive bidding as specified in the State of Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 252.022, General Exemptions (a) (7) - a procurement of items that are available from only one source.

No exceptions were noted.

- Single Bid - SAS Software License and Support, \$107,860 (October 22, 2013)
- The procurement followed Administrative Directive (AD) 4-5: Contracting Policy provisions for processing single bid items and made reasonable efforts to increase bid participation.

No exceptions were noted.

## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

- Independent Auditor Reports on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
- Fiscal Year 2013 \# 2 Drug Destruction (November 11, 2013)
- 2,748 drug invoices and associated 4,102 drug articles totaling 3,090 pounds were destroyed according to Agreed-Upon Procedures to assist the Dallas Police Department.

Exceptions Noted:

- Eight invoices had Property Tag dates that did not agree to the Property Tag dates documented in Evidence Manager.
- One invoice had a Property Tag Number that did not agree to the Property Tag number in Evidence Manager.
- Ten invoices had Service Number discrepancies between the Property Tag and what was documented in Evidence Manager.
- Eight invoices were removed from the destruction for lack of authorization.
- Thirty eight invoices had 44 discrepancies related to the description and/or quantities on the Property Tag attached to the drug articles that did not agree to the information documented in Evidence Manager.

All discrepancies were corrected in Evidence Manager and verified by City Auditor personnel prior to the date of destruction.

## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

First Quarter, Fiscal Year 2014

- Independent Auditor Reports on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
$\square$ Single Bid - City Hall Security Vestibule, $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ (November 11, 2013)
- The procurement followed Administrative Directive (AD) 4-5: Contracting Policy provisions for processing single bid items and made reasonable efforts to increase bid participation.

No exceptions were noted.
$\square$ Sole Source - Lawson Human Resources and Information System Software Maintenance and Support, \$1,727,820.35 (November 20, 2013)

- The procurement met the exemptions from competitive bidding as specified in the State of Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 252.022, General Exemptions (a) (7) - a procurement of items that are available from only one source.

No exceptions were noted.

## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

## Second Quarter through February 28, Fiscal Year 2014

## ■ Audit of City of Dallas' Self-Insured Medical Program (February 14, 2014)

- The City of Dallas' (City) Department of Human Resources (HR) has opportunities to improve administration and internal controls over the Self-Insured Medical Program (Program). Specifically:
- Independent audits are not completed timely to verify Third Party Administrators (TPA) processed and paid medical and pharmacy claims in accordance with Program guidelines.
- The HR does not have written procedures to ensure administrative fee payments are processed accurately and consistently.
- Checks received in the benefits area are not routinely deposited by the next business day as required by Administrative Directive 4-13, Cash and Debt Management Policies and Procedures.
- The HR does not always receive and review the TPAs' performance guarantee reports timely.
- The City has not performed ongoing compliance monitoring activities and cannot ensure Protected Health Information (PHI) is properly protected as required by law.


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

Second Quarter through February 28, Fiscal Year 2014

- Audit of Arts and Cultural Program Funding (February 21, 2014)
- The Office of Cultural Affairs (OCA) has designed a process to demonstrate applications for funding are evaluated objectively and available funds are distributed fairly for the two main Cultural Contracts Programs: the Cultural Organization Program and the Cultural Projects Program.
- This process includes: (1) application submission; (2) eligibility determination; (3) funding recommendations; (4) contracting; and, (4) expenditure reimbursement.
- Monitoring controls, segregation of duties, and documentation for the Cultural Programs funding processes, however, can be improved to maximize efficiency and effectiveness.


## Audit and Attestation Services Highlights

## Second Quarter through February 28, Fiscal Year 2014

- Independent Auditor Reports on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Fiscal Year 2013 \# 3 Weapons Destruction (January 15, 2014)

- 1,024 weapons were destroyed according to Agreed-Upon Procedures to assist the Dallas Police Department.


## Exceptions Noted:

- Seven weapons (invoice numbers 308348, 307997, 308003, 307384, 327908, 328049, and 307207) had incorrect serial numbers recorded in Evidence Manager. The serial numbers were re-run through the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) with no match.
- Two weapons (invoice numbers 268670 and 326414) had incorrect blue tag seal numbers.
- One weapon (invoice number 327738) had an incorrect description.
- One weapon (invoice 279713) had an incorrect service number.

All discrepancies were corrected in Evidence Manager and verified by City Auditor personnel prior to the destruction.

## Office of the City Auditor Recommendations to the Charter Review Commission

- Sec. 1 Selection of City Auditor
- Expand title and section to improve consistency with other similar sections
- State purpose of the office to serve as independent, objective appraisal activity, and state reporting relationship to Council
- Enhance independence, remove two-yea term, clearly state at-will employment and require two-thirds city council vote for removal
- Council to set compensation
- Sec. 2 Assistants and Employees
- Expand title and section to improve consistency with other similar sections


## Office of the City Auditor Recommendations to the Charter Review Commission

- Sec. 3 Duties of the City Auditor
- Modernize language
- Eliminate list of duties
- Require city auditor to organize and administer office without interference or influence that could aversely affect independence or objectivity
- Require annual risk based audit plan
- Move requirement for special audits to this section and limit definition of officer


## Office of the City Auditor Recommendations to the Charter Review Commission

- Sec. 4 Special Audit
- Rename as Access to Records and Property
- Clarify auditor's right of access to records, property, operations
- Add requirement for "right to audit" clause in all contracts and specify right of access to contractors employees, records and property for audit purposes


## QUESTIONS?



City of Dallas
Office of the City Auditor March 3, 2014

## Memorandum

то Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee: Jerry R. Allen (Chair), Jennifer S. Gates (Vice Chair), Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Philip T. Kingston
subject Proposed Strategic Plan FY 2015 - FY 2017

On Monday March 3, 2014, the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee will be briefed on the Proposed Strategic Plan FY 2015 - FY 2017. Briefing materials are attached for your review.

Please let me know if you have any questions.


Jill A. Jordan, P.E.
Assistant City Manager
Attachment
c: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council A C. Gonzalez, City Manager Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney
Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager

Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer Forest E, Turner, Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager Frank Librio, Public Information Officer Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager

# Proposed Strategic Plan FY2015 - FY2017 

Budget, Finance \& Audit
March 3, 2014


## Strategic Planning Overview



## Purpose of Briefing

Obtain Council input on the draft E-Gov components of the draft Strategic Plan. Allow council committee members to:

- Review draft and comment on draft strategy map
- Review and approve proposed strategic objectives
- Review and amend alternative work plan items


## Revised KFA Name

Efficient, Effective, and Economical Government (E3)
E-Gov

E-Gov

## Proposed Goal

Provide excellent government services to meet the needs of the City

# Proposed Strategy Map 



## Proposed Strategic Objectives

5.1 By September 2017, improve citizen perception of the direction that the City is taking from $54 \%$ to $57 \%$ (Community Survey, combined data)
5.2 By September 2017, increase overall satisfaction with City's internal and external customer service from 34\% to $40 \%$ (Community Survey, combined data)
5.3 By September 2017, increase social media followers by 10\%

## Proposed Work Plan

## Strategic Objectives

5.1 By September 2017, improve citizen perception of the direction that the City is taking from $54 \%$ to $57 \%$ (Community Survey, combined data)

Work Plan Items

- Continue technological enhancements
- Increase opportunities to engage the community and receive outside input from citizens and businesses
- Expand efficiency and innovation initiatives throughout the organization
- Continue to promote a culture of ethics
- Efficiently procure goods/services and increase both competition and M/WBE participation in the City's procurement process


## Proposed Work Plan

## Strategic Objectives

5.1 By September 2017, improve citizen perception of the direction that the City is taking from $54 \%$ to $57 \%$ (Community Survey, combined data)

Work Plan Items

- Establish annual budget that aligns with strategic priorities
- Evaluate risks and ensure appropriate mitigation plans are in place


## Proposed Work Plan

## Strategic Objectives

5.2 By September 2017, increase overall satisfaction with City's internal and external customer service from $34 \%$ to $40 \%$ (Community Survey, combined data)

## Work Plan Items

- Implement Customer Service Strategic Plan including departmental standards and surveys
- Explore opportunities to improve employee total compensation program
- Improve and continue use of performance measures to improve customer service, efficiency and transparency
- Attract and retain talented and highly skilled employees
- Provide timely and relevant financial reports and transactions
- Maintain equipment availability ( $90 \%$ uptime)


## Proposed Work Plan

## Strategic Objectives

5.3 By September 2017, increase social media followers by $10 \%$

## Work Plan Items

- Increase availability of information through City web sites
- Promote transparency in City operations
- Disseminate a continuous flow of information through media
- Enhance citizen engagement opportunities


## Next Steps

- Brief other council committees to gather input
- Incorporate Council recommendations
- Present plan to full council in April
- Align budget to multi-year strategic plan


## Memorandum

Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee: Jerry R. Allen (Chair), Jennifer S. Gates (Vice Chair), Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Philip T. Kingston
subject Upcoming Agenda Item: Bids for Event Set-up and Janitorial Services at Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Dallas

The March 26, 2014 Council Agenda includes an item for your consideration to authorize a five-year service contract to provide event set up and janitorial services at the Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Dallas to ACA Industries, Inc. dba American Maintenance, in the amount of \$21,253,314.

This contract being considered provides a flat rate for weekly janitorial services for the Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Dallas and includes hourly rates for event setup services as events are scheduled throughout the contract term. The set-up services will only be utilized when events are scheduled. The set-up services estimated amount represents approximately $81 \%$ or $\$ 17,179,449$ of the overall recommended amount. The remaining $19 \%$ or $\$ 4,073,865$ will be utilized to perform daily janitorial maintenance including supplies for the 2.2 million square feet of space that hosts in excess of one million visitors each year.

After receiving proposals, the City convened an evaluation team consisting of seven members to review each proposal and provide an overall recommendation based on the published criterion. The successful proposer was selected based on experience, pricing, staffing and business inclusion and development plan. The most advantageous proposer based on the provided evaluation criterion was American Maintenance.

American Maintenance currently provides similar janitorial and set-up services for the Orange County Convention Center (OCCC) and has provided services for that facility for over 10 years. The OCCC is the second largest convention center in the United States and has over 7 million square feet of convention space. American Maintenance management indicated the company would be relocating its Addison, Texas office to the City of Dallas within 60 days of contract award. With the exception of their senior leadership, all other positions will be locally hired.

American Maintenance will be utilizing Americas Corporate Building Maintenance, Inc., a certified MNWBE firm, to perform janitorial and set-up services in the amount of $\$ 5,058,289$ over the five year period.

Please let me know if you need additional information.


[^0]c: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager

Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney
Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager

Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer Frank Librio, Public Information Officer Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager

## Memorandum

February 28, 2014
CITY OF DALLAS
то Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee: Jerry R. Allen (Chair), Jennifer S. Gates (Vice Chair), Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Philip T. Kingston
suввест Upcoming Agenda Item: Purchase of Renewable Energy Credits 2014-2016

Staff is finalizing the details of a March 26, 2014 Council Agenda item for your consideration authorizing the purchase of renewable energy credits (RECs).

The City has purchased RECs since 2008 as a key component of the City's sustainability efforts. Through 2013, RECs were included in the City's electricity supply agreement. In December 2012, staff negotiated and Council approved an amendment and extension of the electricity supply agreement covering January 2013 - May 2016. The extension provided lower electricity rates for 2013 than the original agreement. A December 2013 briefing to the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee projected 2013 electricity savings of $\$ 887,483$ and actual savings realized were $\$ 1,391,556.17$.

We did not include RECs for 2014 through 2016 in the extended electricity supply agreement in order to pursue more favorable pricing thought to be available through a separate procurement. REC pricing in the previous contract was $\$ 1.50$ per REC. The best pricing available during negotiation of the extended electricity supply agreement was $\$ 2.75$ per REC. The City solicited proposals and received nine responses. Negotiations with the most advantageous proposer are expected to be completed in the next week and result in a proposed cost of less than $\$ 1.50$ per REC. The proposed purchase would allow the City to remain the $4^{\text {th }}$ largest local government consumer of green power as recognized by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and may offset $50 \%$ of the City's electricity consumption and associated greenhouse gas emissions.

As part of the solicitation process and in an effort to increase competition, Business Development and Procurement Services used its procurement system to send out email bid notifications to vendors registered under the respective commodities. Every effort was made to ensure maximum vendor outreach.


Forest E. Turner
Assistant City Manager
c: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager

Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer Frank Librio, Public Information Officer Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager

## Memorandum

February 28, 2014

Upcoming Agenda Item: Citywide Data Storage System Acquisition and Maintenance Contract

The March 26, 2014 Council Agenda will include an item to authorize (1) an acquisition contract for the purchase and implementation of a citywide data storage system in the amount of $\$ 1,017,559$; (2) a five-year service contract for maintenance and support for a citywide data system in the amount of $\$ 427,949$; and (3) a five-year master agreement for the purchase of additional hardware and software in the amount of $\$ 969,834$, total not to exceed $\$ 2,415,342$, financed with Municipal Lease Agreement Funds $(\$ 963,809)$ and Current Funds $(\$ 481,699)$, subject to annual appropriations.

In an effort to continue to improve business productivity and customer service, The City deploys data intensive enterprise applications like customer relationship management (CRM), enterprise resource planning (ERP), electronic document management, citizen engagement - open data and social media electronic messaging, etc. This has resulted in an explosion of information which is increasing the City's storage requirements for data, voice and video at the rate of 30 percent each year, elevating the importance of a sound data storage strategy.

This contract will allow for the procurement of a replacement citywide data storage system including maintenance and support. The key feature of this system, Storage Area Networking (SAN), is the ability to tie all data storage requirements together through a centralized storage network, assign storage intelligently to computers and applications as needed. Without a SAN, the alternative is purchasing disk drives and installing them in hundreds of server computers where the disk space which is not being used sits idle until that particular space is needed. The new system will increase reliability, processing capabilities, and allow greater amounts of storage in a fraction of the space previously needed. The current storage system is ten years old and has exceeded the manufacturer's life span and cannot be expanded further to support new applications and storage needs.

The solution proposed will not only ensure the sustainability of the data storage requirements for our critical systems like Computer-Aided Dispatch (CAD) for Police and Fire-Rescue, Municipal Courts, 311, Financial and HR Information Systems, but also provide a strategy for an alternative facility for disaster recovery at an off-site location to ensure City information and systems remain secure and accessible in the event of an emergency.

Please contact me or Bill Finch at 670-1890 if you need more information.


C: A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager
Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney
Rosa Rios, City Secretary
Craig Kinton, City Auditor
Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager
Forest Turner, Assistant City Manager
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager
Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager
Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer
Frank Librio, Public Information Officer
William Finch, Director/CIO, Communications and Information Services
Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager

## Memorandum

February 28, 2014

то Members of the Budget, Finance \& Audit Committee: Jerry R. Allen (Chair), Jennifer S. Gates (Vice Chair), Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Philip T. Kingston
subject Quarterly Investment Report as of December 31, 2013
The City of Dallas Investment Policy, in accordance with the Texas Public Funds Investment Act, requires that the City Council and City Manager receive quarterly investment reports. The purpose of this report is to provide a means for Council members, Council committee members and staff to regularly review and monitor the City's investment position and to demonstrate compliance with the City's Investment Policy and the Public Funds Investment Act. Summary reports on each of the City's portfolios are included as well as summary information on the portfolio as a whole.

For the quarter ended December 31, 2013 the City's individual portfolios and the combined portfolio are in compliance with the relevant provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act and the investment strategies adopted in Sec. 17.0 of the City's Investment Policy.

The agenda for the Monday, March 3, 2014 Budget Finance and Audit committee meeting includes the December 31, 2013 quarterly investment report. The executed quarterly investment report is attached.

## relume chippapild <br> Chief Financial Officer

Attachment
c: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager

Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager Frank Libria, Public Information Officer Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager


## CITY OF DALLAS

## QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REPORT

December 31, 2013

Quarterly National Economic and Market Update

## Quarter Ended December 31, 2013

- Growth in economic activity picked up in recent quarters.
- Labor market indicators were mixed but on balance showed further improvement.
- With appropriate policy accommodation, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace.
- The existing policy of the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) should maintain downward pressure on long-term interest rates, support mortgage markets, and help to make broader financial conditions more accommodative.

Source: FOMC Statement January 29, 2014

| National Economic Data | $12 / 31 / 2012$ | $12 / 31 / 2013$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fed Funds Rate | $0.00 \%-0.25 \%$ | $0.00 \%-0.25 \%$ |
| 2 Years Treasury Note | $0.249 \%$ | $0.382 \%$ |
| 10 Years Treasury Note | $1.758 \%$ | $3.029 \%$ |
| Monthly Unemployment Rate | $7.90 \%$ | $6.70 \%$ |
| Weekly Initial Jobless Claims | 372,000 | 345,000 |
| Monthly Change in Nonfarm Payrolls | 214,000 | 75,000 |
| Monthly New Housing Starts | 983,000 | 999,000 |

Source: Bloomberg

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.800\% |  |  |  | * |
| 1.600\% |  |  |  | - |
| 1.400\% |  |  |  | , |
| 1.200\% |  | Yield Cu |  |  |
| 1.000\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0.800\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0.600\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0.400\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0.200\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0.000\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Month | 1 Year | 2 Years | 5 years |
| --12/31/2013 | 0.068\% | 0.114\% | 0.382\% | 1.743\% |
| - -9/30/2013 | 0.008\% | 0.089\% | 0.319\% | 1.382\% |
| ... ${ }^{-} \cdot 12 / 31 / 2012$ | 0.043\% | 0.140\% | 0.249\% | 0.724\% |

Source: Bloomberg

City of Dallas
Portfolio Holdings
Combined Investment Summary
As of 12/31/2013

| Portfolio Description | Face Amount | Book Value | Market Value | Accrued Interest | Market Value + Accrued Interest | *Unrealized <br> Gain/(Loss) | Weighted <br> Average <br> Days To <br> Maturity | Yield To Maturity | \% of Portfolio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 The City's Investment Pool | 1,172,051,604 | 1,175,257,459 | 1,175,943,431 | 2,657,477 | 1,178,600,908 | 685,972 | 297 | 0.32\% | 90.94\% |
| 02 Convention Center Reserve | 18,900,000 | 18,901,982 | 18,934,440 | 18,248 | 18,952,688 | 32,458 | 455 | 0.39\% | 1.46\% |
| 03 Water Reserve | 90,000,000 | 90,052,436 | 90,101,500 | 53,779 | 90,155,279 | 49,064 | 442 | 0.36\% | 6.97\% |
| 04 Art Endowment | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,234,676 | 3,259 | 2,237,935 | (324) | 576 | 0.35\% | 0.17\% |
| 05 Ida Green Library Fund | 1,000,000 | 998,556 | 998,835 | 0 | 998,835 | 279 | 325 | 0.16\% | 0.08\% |
| 10 DWU Commercial Paper Program | 4,354,929 | 4,354,929 | 4,354,929 | 0 | 4,354,929 | - | 1 | 0.04\% | 0.34\% |
| 14 Trinity Parkway Escrow | 546,359 | 546,359 | 546,359 | 0 | 546,359 | - | 1 | 0.01\% | 0.04\% |
| 16 Oncor Electric Escrow | 54,594 | 54,594 | 54,594 | 0 | 54,594 | - | 1 | 0.01\% | 0.00\% |
| 17 GO Commercial Paper Program | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0.02\% | 0.00\% |
| **Total | 1,289,142,487 | 1,292,401,316 | 1,293,168,764 | 2,732,763 | 1,295,901,528 | 767,449 | 309 | 0.32\% | 100.00\% |

 security is sold prior to maturity. Since it is the City's practice to hold investments until they mature, the temporary gains and losses are unlikely to be realized.
**Numbers may not sum due to rounding

City of Dallas
Trade Activity by Portfolio
As of: 09/30/13-12/31/13

| Portfolio Description | Beginning Face Amount | Beginning Yield To <br> Maturity | Purchased/Deposited | Matured/Called/ <br> Redeemed | Ending Face Amount | Ending Yield To Maturity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's Investment Pool* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corp. | 50,000,000 | 0.72\% | 20,000,000 | - | 70,000,000 | 0.56\% |
| Federal Farm Credit Bank | 267,768,000 | 0.44\% | 10,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 252,768,000 | 0.38\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 171,000,000 | 0.40\% | 65,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 226,000,000 | 0.34\% |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. | 296,853,000 | 0.38\% | - | 30,000,000 | 266,853,000 | 0.38\% |
| Federal National Mortgage Assoc. | 109,240,000 | 0.29\% | 60,100,000 | - | 169,340,000 | 0.27\% |
| Total | 894,861,000 | 0.41\% | 155,100,000 | 65,000,000 | 984,961,000 | 0.36\% |
| *Trade activity excludes local government investment pools and money market mutual funds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convention Center Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal National Mortgage Assoc. | 18,900,000 | 0.39\% | - | - | 18,900,000 | 0.39\% |
| Total | 18,900,000 | 0.39\% | - | - | 18,900,000 | 0.39\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Farm Credit Bank | - | 0.00\% | 5,000,000 | - | 5,000,000 | 0.30\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 40,000,000 | 0.00\% | - | - | 40,000,000 | 0.28\% |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. | 30,000,000 | 0.00\% | 15,000,000 | - | 45,000,000 | 0.44\% |
| Federal National Mortgage Assoc. | 10,000,000 | 0.00\% | - | 10,000,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| Total | 80,000,000 | 0.44\% | 20,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 90,000,000 | 0.36\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art Endowment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Farm Credit Bank | 2,235,000 | 0.35\% | - | - | 2,235,000 | 0.35\% |
| Total | 2,235,000 | 0.35\% | - | - | 2,235,000 | 0.35\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ida Green Library Endowment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 1,000,000 | 0.50\% | - | 1,000,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| Federal National Mortgage Assoc. | - | 0.00\% | 1,000,000 | - | 1,000,000 | 0.16\% |
| Total | 1,000,000 | 0.50\% | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0.16\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DWU Commercial Paper |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market - Tax Exempt | 4,496,941 | 0.02\% | 2,397,988 | 2,540,000 | 4,354,929 | 0.04\% |
| Total | 4,496,941 | 0.02\% | 2,397,988 | 2,540,000 | 4,354,929 | 0.04\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GO Commercial Paper |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market - Tax Exempt | 7,737,404 | 0.02\% |  | 7,737,403 | 1 | 0.02\% |
| Total | 7,737,404 | 0.02\% | - | 7,737,403 | 1 | 0.02\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trinity Parkway Escrow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market | 602,683 | 0.01\% | 143,968 | 200,292 | 546,359 | 0.01\% |
| Total | 602,683 | 0.01\% | 143,968 | 200,292 | 546,359 | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oncor Electric Escrow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market | 54,592 | 0.01\% | 2 | - | 54,594 | 0.01\% |
| Total | 54,592 | 0.01\% | 2 | - | 54,594 | 0.01\% |

As of: 09/30/13-12/31/13

| Portfolio Description | Beginning Face Amount | Ending Face Amount | Beginning Book Value | Ending Book Value | Beginning Market Value | Ending Market Value | Deposits/ (Redemptions) | Change in Market Value | Accrued Interest | Ending Yield To Maturity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| City's Investment Pool ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Govt. Investment Pool | 207,106,533 | 132,106,533 | 207,106,533 | 132,106,533 | 207,106,533 | 132,106,533 | (75,000,000) | - | - | 0.09\% |
| Money Market | 95,984,071 | 54,984,071 | 95,984,071 | 54,984,071 | 95,984,071 | 54,984,071 | (41,000,000) | - | - | 0.04\% |
| US Agency | 894,861,000 | 984,961,000 | 898,709,243 | 988,166,855 | 899,825,980 | 988,852,827 | 90,100,000 | $(1,580,555)$ | 2,657,477 | 0.36\% |
| *Total | 1,197,951,604 | 1,172,051,604 | 1,201,799,847 | 1,175,257,459 | 1,202,916,584 | 1,175,943,431 | $(25,900,000)$ | $(1,580,555)$ | 2,657,477 | 0.32\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convention Center Reserve ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Agency | 18,900,000 | 18,900,000 | 18,902,397 | 18,901,982 | 18,936,768 | 18,934,440 | - | $(2,328)$ | 18,248 | 0.39\% |
| Total | 18,900,000 | 18,900,000 | 18,902,397 | 18,901,982 | 18,936,768 | 18,934,440 | - | $(2,328)$ | 18,248 | 0.39\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Reserve ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Agency | 80,000,000 | 90,000,000 | 80,063,500 | 90,052,436 | 80,130,240 | 90,101,500 | 10,000,000 | $(28,740)$ | 53,779 | 0.36\% |
| Total | 80,000,000 | 90,000,000 | 80,063,500 | 90,052,436 | 80,130,240 | 90,101,500 | 10,000,000 | $(28,740)$ | 53,779 | 0.36\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art Endowment ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Agency | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,234,368 | 2,234,676 | - | 308 | 3,259 | 0.35\% |
| Total | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,234,368 | 2,234,676 | - | 308 | 3,259 | 0.35\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ida Green Library Endowment ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Agency | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 999,803 | 998,556 | 1,000,459 | 998,835 | - | (28) | - | 0.16\% |
| Total | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 999,803 | 998,556 | 1,000,459 | 998,835 | - | (28) | - | 0.16\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DWU Commercial Paper ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market - Tax Exempt | 4,496,941 | 4,354,929 | 4,496,941 | 4,354,929 | 4,496,941 | 4,354,929 | $(142,012)$ | - | - | 0.04\% |
| Total | 4,496,941 | 4,354,929 | 4,496,941 | 4,354,929 | 4,496,941 | 4,354,929 | $(142,012)$ | - | - | 0.04\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GO Commercial Paper ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market - Tax Exempt | 7,737,404 | 1 | 7,737,404 | 1 | 7,737,404 | 1 | $(7,737,403)$ | - | - | 0.02\% |
| Total | 7,737,404 | 1 | 7,737,404 | 1 | 7,737,404 | 1 | $(7,737,403)$ | - | - | 0.02\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trinity Parkway Escrow ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market | 602,683 | 546,359 | 602,683 | 546,359 | 602,683 | 546,359 | $(56,324)$ |  | - | 0.01\% |
| Total | 602,683 | 546,359 | 602,683 | 546,359 | 602,683 | 546,359 | $(56,324)$ | - | - | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oncor Electric Escrow ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money Market | 54,592 | 54,594 | 54,592 | 54,594 | 54,592 | 54,594 | 2 |  | - | 0.01\% |
| Total | 54,592 | 54,594 | 54,592 | 54,594 | 54,592 | 54,594 | 2 | - | - | 0.01\% |

Notes 1-7: See Page 6 for Strategy Statement by Portfolio.
*Numbers may not sum due to rounding

## City of Dallas

Strategy Statement and Compliance by Portfolio
As of: 09/30/13-12/31/13
 Investment Policy.

STRATEGY STATEMENT BY PORTFOLIO

## 1) City's Investment Pool

The City's Investment Pool is an aggregation of the majority of City funds that includes tax receipts, enterprise fund revenues, fine and fee revenues, as well as some, but not all, bond proceeds, grants, gifts and endowments. This portfolio is maintained to meet anticipated daily cash needs for City of Dallas operations, capital projects and debt service. In order to ensure the ability of the City to meet obligations and to minimize potential liquidation losses, the dollar-weighted average stated maturity of the Investment Pool shall not exceed 1.5 years.

## 2) Convention Center Bond Reserve and Water Bond Reserve

Non-pooled reserve funds for outstanding revenue bonds (Convention Center and Water) are set at levels required by their respective bond ordinances. These funds will be used to pay principal and/or interest at final maturity or if called prior to final maturity.

## 3) Art Endowment

The Art Endowment Fund was created by the City from a $\$ 1,285,026$ repayment to the General Fund from the Convention Center. Pursuant to Resolution No. 84-311 dated September 26, 1984, this endowment fund was created to provide additional monies for the arts, not to replace the current level of support. Funds received as gifts to the City with instructions that the income generated by the investment of said funds be used for specified purposes are invested as separate non-pooled portfolios in order to maximize return.

## 4) Ida Green Library Endowment

The Ida M. Green Endowment Fund was created with the proceeds from the sale of stock from the estate of Ms. Green pursuant to Resolution No. 87-0836. Its purpose is to provide funds for the operating and capital expenses of the library's Texas Center for the Book and Children's Center. Funds received as gifts to the City with instructions that the income generated by the investment of said funds be used for specified purposes are invested as separate non-pooled portfolios in order to maximize return.

## 5) DWU Commercial Paper Program and GO Commercial Paper Program

The City issues tax-exempt commercial paper notes as an interim financing tool for construction and capital projects. Proceeds from the issuance of commercial paper debt must be liquid in order to fund periodic payments to contractors and must be invested in tax-exempt securities in order to avoid costly and complex arbitrage rebate computations. In order to meet these requirements, commercial paper proceeds will be invested in tax-exempt money market mutual funds.

## 6) Trinity Parkway Escrow

The Trinity Parkway Escrow portfolio was created with the deposit of \$5,000,000 on November 16, 1999 in an escrow account in accordance with an agreement dated as of January 1, 1999 between the City and the North Texas Tollway Authority ("NTTA") pertaining to development of the Trinity Parkway. A subsequent deposit of $\$ 4,500,000$ was made in June 2009. These funds will be used to reimburse NTTA for specified payment related to project feasibility. Permitted investments for this account are defined in the Escrow Agreement as those that are consistent with the Public Funds Investment Act.

## 7) Oncor Electric Escrow

The Oncor Electric Escrow portfolio was created with the deposit of \$4,500,000 in December 2007 in an escrow account in accordance with an agreement dated as of July 13, 2007 between the City and the Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC pertaining to the development of the West Levee to Norwood Transmission Line. These funds will be used to reimburse Oncor for specified payments related to project feasibility. Permitted investments for this account are defined in the Escrow Agreement as those that are consistent with the Public Funds Investment Act.

City of Dallas
City's Investment Pool Portfolio Allocation
Investment Summary

## As of 12/31/2013



| Description | Face Amount | Book Value | Market Value | *Unrealized <br> Gain/(Loss) | Weighted Average Days To Maturity | Yield To <br> Maturity | $\%$ of Portfolio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corp. | 70,000,000 | 69,977,976 | 70,195,285 | 217,309 | 512 | 0.56\% | 5.95\% |
| Federal Farm Credit Bank | 252,768,000 | 252,982,137 | 253,126,884 | 144,747 | 346 | 0.38\% | 21.53\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 226,000,000 | 226,295,268 | 226,412,088 | 116,820 | 347 | 0.34\% | 19.25\% |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. | 266,853,000 | 268,441,020 | 268,647,359 | 206,339 | 345 | 0.38\% | 22.84\% |
| Federal National Mortgage Assoc. | 169,340,000 | 170,470,453 | 170,471,210 | 757 | 321 | 0.27\% | 14.50\% |
| Local Government Investment Pool | 132,106,533 | 132,106,533 | 132,106,533 | - | 1 | 0.09\% | 11.24\% |
| Money Market | 54,984,071 | 54,984,071 | 54,984,071 | - | 1 | 0.04\% | 4.68\% |
| **Total | 1,172,051,604 | 1,175,257,459 | 1,175,943,431 | 685,972 | 297 | 0.32\% | 100.00\% |


mature, the temporary gains and losses are unlikely to be realized.
** Numbers may not sum due to rounding
As per Section 17.1 of the City's Investment Policy, the benchmark for the Investment Pool is the 12-month moving average yield on treasury 1-year constant maturities as reported by Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15.

City of Dallas
City's Investment Pool Allocation by Maturity Range

## As of 12/31/2013



| Description | Face Amount/Shares | Book Value | Market Value | Yield To Maturity | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Weighted Average } \\ \text { Days To Maturity }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Portfolio of |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

* Numbers may not sum due to rounding

City of Dallas
Date To Date
Broker/Dealer Activity

## As of: FY 13-14 to Date

| FY 13-14 to Date |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Awarded | \% |
| Primary Dealers |  |  |
| Bank of America | - | 0.00\% |
| Jefferies \& Co. | 5,000,000 | 3.20\% |
| JPMorgan | 46,000,000 | 29.47\% |
| Secondary Dealers |  |  |
| Coastal Securities | 15,000,000 | 9.61\% |
| Comerica Securities | - | 0.00\% |
| Crews and Associates | - | 0.00\% |
| First Southwest | 25,100,000 | 16.08\% |
| Raymond James and Associates | - | 0.00\% |
| Oppenheimer \& Co. | - | 0.00\% |
| Vining Sparks | 5,000,000 | 3.20\% |
| Wells Fargo | - | 0.00\% |
| Secondary Dealers - MWBE |  |  |
| Duncan Williams - MWBE | 10,000,000 | 6.41\% |
| Loop Capital - MWBE | 25,000,000 | 16.02\% |
| Rice Financial - MWBE | 25,000,000 | 16.02\% |
| Williams Capital - MWBE | - | 0.00\% |
| Total | 156,100,000 | 100.00\% |

## Notes:

Section 9 of the City's investment Policy requires the investment committee to annually review and adopt a list of qualified broker/dealers. These firms represent the broker dealer firms that are currently approved by the Investment Committee as of February 2013.

It is the City's Practice to solicit three or more competitive bids/offers each trade except for agency securities purchased at issue.

| Q1 FY 13-14 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Description | Awarded | $\%$ |
| Coastal Securities | $15,000,000$ | $9.61 \%$ |
| Duncan Williams | $10,000,000$ | $6.41 \%$ |
| First Southwest | $25,100,000$ | $16.08 \%$ |
| Jefferies \& Co. | $5,000,000$ | $3.20 \%$ |
| JPMorgan | $46,000,000$ | $29.47 \%$ |
| Loop Capital | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $16.02 \%$ |
| Rice Financial | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $16.02 \%$ |
| Vining Sparks | $5,000,000$ | $3.20 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 6 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

Broker/Dealer Activity FY12-13 to Date


■ Primary Dealers 51,000,000
■ Secondary Dealers 45,100,000
■ Secondary Dealers (MWBE) 60,000,000

## CITY OF DALLAS

## QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REPORT

## December 31, 2013

For the quarter ended December 31, 2013 the portfolios are in compliance with the relevant provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act and the investment strategies adopted in Sec. 17.0 of the City's Investment Policy.

Chief Financial Officer:


City Controller:


Treasury Manager:


## Memorandum

date February 28, 2014
to The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
subject Financial Forecast Report

The FY 2013-14 Financial Forecast Report based on information through January 2014 is attached and provided for your information. This report reflects an amended General Fund budget based on Council's approved use of contingency reserve funds by CR\# 131995 on November 12, 2013.

For FY 2013-14, General Fund revenues are projected to be $\$ 3,498,000$ above budget and expenditures are projected to be $\$ 2,298,000$ above budget. This results in forecast revenues being in excess of forecast expenditures by $\$ 1,200,000$.

We will continue to closely monitor revenues and expenditures and keep you informed.

A.C. Gonzalez

City Manager
Attachment
c: $\quad$ Ryan S. Evans, Interim First Assistant City Manager
Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager
Forest Turner, Assistant City Manager
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Charles M. Cato, Interim Assistant City Manager
Theresa O' Donnell, Interim Assistant City Manager
Jeanne Chippertield, Chief Financial Officer
Jack Ireland, Director, Office of Financial Services

GENERAL FUND
COMPARISON OF FY 2013-14 REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014
(000s)

| ITEM | BUDGET | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST | BUDGET VS FORECAST VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | \$1,120,748 | \$557,664 | \$1,124,246 | \$3,498 |
| Expenditures | \$1,120,748 | \$371,337 | \$1,123,046 | \$2,298 |
| Net Excess of Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Over Expenditures/Transfers | \$0 | \$186,327 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 |

## FINANCIAL FORECAST REPORT <br> FY 2013-14 <br> AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014

## GENERAL FUND

## Revenues

- Total General Fund revenues are estimated to be $\$ 3,498,000$ above budget.
o Parking Fines is projected to be $\$ 477,000$ below budget due to lower ticket issuance as a result of fewer cars parking downtown because of construction and increased use of public transportation.
o Library revenue is projected to be $\$ 33,000$ below budget due to an increase in the usage of ematerials. Fines and late fees are not collected on e-materials as they are electronically recalled on the due date.
o Vital Statistics revenue is projected to be $\$ 145,000$ below budget due to a decrease in sales of birth certificates.


## Expenditures

- Total General Fund expenditures are estimated to be $\$ 2,298,000$ above budget.
o Sustainable Development and Construction is projected to be $\$ 263,000$ below budget primarily due to delays in hiring.

0 The liability reserve transfer is projected to be $\$ 2,565,000$ above budget due to an increase in claim activity.

## PROPRIETARY FUNDS

0 Sustainable Development and Construction expenses are projected to be $\$ 1,979,000$ below budget primarily due to delays in hiring.
o WRR Municipal Radio revenues are projected to be $\$ 186,000$ under budget primarily due to the sale of commercials being less than planned. Expenditures are projected to be $\$ 210,000$ under budget due to vacancies.

GENERAL FUND
FORECAST OF FY 2013-14 REVENUES
AS OF JANUARY 31, 2013

| BUDGET VS |
| :--- |
| FORECAST |
| VARIANCE |

TAXES
Ad Valorem Tax
Sales Tax
TOTAL TAXES

FRANCHISE REVENUES
Oncor Electric
AT\&T
Atmos Energy
Time Warner Cable
Other
TOTAL FRANCHISE REVENUES
LICENSES AND PERMITS
INTEREST EARNED
INTERGOVERNMENTAL

## FINES AND FORFEITURES

Municipal Court
Vehicle Towing \& Storage
Parking Fines
Red Light Camera Fines
Public Library
TOTAL FINES
CHARGES FOR SERVICE
Sanitation Service
Parks
Private Disposal Fees
Emergency Ambulance
Security Alarm
Street Lighting
Vital Statistics
Other
TOTAL CHARGES
INTERFUND REVENUE
MISCELLANEOUS
TOTAL REVENUES

| BUDGET | REVENUES YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST | BUDGET VS FORECAST VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET | YEAR TO DATE | FORECAST |  |


| \$483,898 | \$399,781 | \$483,898 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$249,565 | \$65,396 | \$252,053 | \$2,488 |
| \$733,463 | \$465,177 | \$735,950 | \$2,488 |
| \$50,110 | \$27,775 | \$50,382 | \$272 |
| \$13,422 | \$0 | \$13,444 | \$22 |
| \$11,228 | \$0 | \$11,311 | \$83 |
| \$6,376 | \$0 | \$6,263 | (\$113) |
| \$20,773 | \$58 | \$20,909 | \$136 |
| \$101,908 | \$27,833 | \$102,309 | \$400 |
| \$9,090 | \$3,666 | \$9,204 | \$114 |
| \$632 | \$144 | \$632 | \$0 |
| \$6,203 | \$0 | \$6,203 | \$0 |


| \$13,779 | \$4,675 | \$13,955 | \$175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$6,957 | \$2,280 | \$6,957 | \$0 |
| \$5,070 | \$410 | \$4,593 | (\$477) |
| \$6,867 | \$0 | \$7,037 | \$170 |
| \$553 | \$148 | \$520 | (\$33) |
| \$33,227 | \$7,513 | \$33,063 | (\$164) |
| \$62,010 | \$21,094 | \$62,010 | \$0 |
| \$9,716 | \$2,292 | \$9,713 | (\$3) |
| \$17,694 | \$4,727 | \$17,616 | (\$79) |
| \$42,982 | \$4,475 | \$42,982 | \$0 |
| \$4,500 | \$1,467 | \$4,500 | \$0 |
| \$1,000 | \$57 | \$955 | (\$45) |
| \$1,581 | \$425 | \$1,436 | (\$145) |
| \$18,303 | \$6,988 | \$19,185 | \$882 |
| \$157,786 | \$41,526 | \$158,396 | \$610 |
| \$67,330 | \$8,488 | \$67,330 | \$0 |
| \$11,109 | \$3,317 | \$11,159 | \$50 |
| \$1,120,748 | \$557,664 | \$1,124,246 | \$3,498 |

## (000s)

## GENERAL FUND <br> FORECAST OF FY 2013-14 EXPENDITURES AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014 <br> (000s)

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET | EXPENDITURES <br> YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST | BUDGET VS FORECAST VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Services | \$25,609 | \$10,704 | \$25,739 | \$129 |
| Business Dev/Procurement Svcs | \$2,654 | \$755 | \$2,654 | (\$0) |
| City Attorney's Office | \$13,920 | \$4,741 | \$14,191 | \$271 |
| City Auditor's Office | \$2,391 | \$702 | \$2,410 | \$18 |
| City Controller's Office | \$4,471 | \$1,419 | \$4,471 | \$0 |
| City Manager's Office | \$1,701 | \$835 | \$1,727 | \$25 |
| City Secretary's Office | \$1,783 | \$535 | \$1,829 | \$46 |
| Civil Service | \$2,126 | \$532 | \$2,128 | \$2 |
| Code Compliance | \$33,720 | \$10,046 | \$33,720 | \$0 |
| Court Services | \$11,400 | \$3,324 | \$11,317 | (\$83) |
| Elections | \$1,096 | \$27 | \$1,096 | \$0 |
| Fire | \$219,029 | \$73,767 | \$219,029 | \$0 |
| Housing | \$10,883 | \$6,400 | \$10,873 | (\$9) |
| Human Resources | \$4,080 | \$1,714 | \$4,080 | \$0 |
| Independent Audit | \$919 | \$0 | \$919 | \$0 |
| Jail Contract - Lew Sterrett | \$8,714 | \$8,714 | \$8,714 | \$0 |
| Judiciary | \$3,528 | \$1,012 | \$3,429 | (\$98) |
| Library | \$22,370 | \$7,544 | \$22,370 | \$0 |
| Management Services | \$5,968 | \$2,225 | \$5,866 | (\$102) |
| Mayor and Council | \$3,911 | \$1,159 | \$3,885 | (\$26) |
| Non-Departmental | \$41,935 | \$8,086 | \$41,935 | \$0 |
| Office of Cultural Affairs | \$16,955 | \$6,502 | \$16,947 | (\$8) |
| Office of Economic Development | \$1,122 | \$1,050 | \$1,122 | \$0 |
| Office of Financial Services | \$2,886 | \$647 | \$2,886 | \$0 |
| Park and Recreation | \$78,614 | \$28,025 | \$78,589 | (\$26) |
| Police | \$426,401 | \$144,091 | \$426,396 | (\$6) |
| Public Works | \$7,121 | \$3,408 | \$7,081 | (\$39) |
| Sanitation Services | \$74,399 | \$19,594 | \$75,011 | \$612 |
| Street Lighting | \$19,201 | \$4,865 | \$18,528 | (\$673) |
| Street Services | \$61,742 | \$17,895 | \$61,730 | (\$12) |
| Sustainable Dev/Construction | \$1,788 | \$854 | \$1,525 | (\$263) |
| Trinity Watershed Management | \$661 | \$166 | \$637 | (\$24) |
| RESERVES AND TRANSFERS |  |  |  |  |
| Contingency Reserve | \$400 | \$0 | \$400 | \$0 |
| Liability/Claim Fund | \$5,088 | \$0 | \$7,653 | \$2,565 |
| Salary and Benefit Reserve | \$2,159 | \$0 | \$2,159 | \$0 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | \$1,120,748 | \$371,337 | \$1,123,046 | \$2,298 |

PROPRIETARY FUNDS
FORECAST OF FY 2013-14 REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014
(000s)

## DEPARTMENT

Aviation
Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfer

## Convention Center

Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfer

Sustainable Dev/Construction
Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfer

Municipal Radio Fund
Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfer

Water Utilities
Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfer

Communication \& Information Svcs.
Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfe

| \$58,765 | \$18,032 | \$58,807 | \$42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$61,459 | \$22,040 | \$61,204 | (\$256) |
| $(\$ 2,695)$ | $(\$ 4,008)$ | $(\$ 2,397)$ | \$298 |

PROPRIETARY FUNDS
FORECAST OF FY 2013-14 REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014
(000s)

| DEPARTMENT | BUDGET | REVENUES AND <br> EXPENDITURES <br> YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST | BUDGET VS FORECAST VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Services |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$54,212 | \$8,397 | \$54,332 | \$120 |
| Expenses | \$54,212 | \$8,497 | \$54,209 | (\$2) |
| Net Excess of Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Over Expenses/Transfer | \$0 | (\$100) | \$122 | \$122 |
| Express Business |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$4,117 | \$960 | \$4,119 | \$2 |
| Expenses | \$3,812 | \$975 | \$3,812 | \$0 |
| Net Excess of Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Over Expenses/Transfer | \$305 | (\$16) | \$307 | \$2 |

OTHER FUNDS
FORECAST OF FY 2013-14 REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014
(000s)

DEPARTMENT
Employee Benefits

Risk Management
9-1-1 System Operations Revenues

Net Excess of Revenues Over Expenses/Transfer

Storm Water Drainage
Revenues
Expenses
Net Excess of Revenues
Over Expenses/Transfer

| BUDGET | REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST | BUDGET VS FORECAST VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,339 | \$162 | \$1,339 | \$0 |
| \$2,441 | \$588 | \$2,381 | (\$60) |


| \$14,046 | \$3,825 | \$13,482 | (\$565) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$19,758 | \$3,651 | \$19,666 | (\$92) |
| (\$5,712) | \$174 | $(\$ 6,184)$ | (\$472) |


| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,111 \\ & \$ 55.011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,144 \\ \$ 5,705 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,329 \\ & \$ 54,761 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 217 \\ (\$ 250) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\$ 4,900)$ | \$11,439 | $(\$ 4,433)$ | \$467 |

# DEBT SERVICE FUND <br> FORECAST OF FY 2012-13 REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES 

AS OF JANUARY 31, 2014
(000s)

| DEBT SERVICE | BUDGET | EXPENDITURES <br> AND REVENUES <br> YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST | BUDGET VS FORECAST VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | \$5,027 | \$0 | \$5,027 | \$0 |
| Revenues | \$233,212 | \$169,174 | \$233,212 | \$0 |
| Expenses | \$234,511 | \$0 | \$234,511 | \$0 |
| Ending Balance | \$3,729 | \$169,174 | \$3,729 | \$0 |

CONTINGENCY RESERVE STATUS
Beginning Balance October 1, 2013 ..... \$5,300,000
Budgeted Transfer In\$400,000
FY 2013-14 Available Funds ..... \$5,700,000Equipment \& Building Services - Emergency repairs at the J.(\$2,348,103)Erik Jonsson Central Library as a result of a sewer pipe burst(November 12, 2013, CR\# 13-1995)

## LIABILITYICLAIMS FUND

## Beginning Balance October 1, 2013

\$2,193,194
Budgeted Revenue
\$6,668,716
FY 2013-14 Available Funds
\$8,861,910
Paid October 2013
$(\$ 624,425)$
Paid November 2013
$(\$ 426,920)$
Paid December 2013
Paid January 2014

|  | ACTUAL <br> FY 2012-13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BUDGET } \\ & \text { FY 2013-14 } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL <br> FY 2013-14 | YTD VARIANCE FY 13-14 ACT. VS. FY 12-13 ACT. DOLLARS PERCENT |  | YTD VARIAN ACTUAL VS DOLLARS | FY 13-14 BUDGET PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OCT | \$18,909,571 | \$19,469,547 | \$20,061,677 | \$1,152,106 | 6.1\% | \$592,130 | 3.0\% |
| NOV | 16,954,555 | 17,498,613 | 18,852,710 | 1,898,155 | 11.2\% | 1,354,097 | 7.7\% |
| DEC | 25,113,531 | 25,940,249 | 26,481,621 | 1,368,090 | 5.4\% | 541,372 | 2.1\% |
| JAN | 18,640,007 | 19,175,626 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEB | 16,860,157 | 17,384,976 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAR | 22,819,012 | 23,570,356 |  |  |  |  |  |
| APR | 18,991,012 | 19,570,345 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAY | 19,720,602 | 20,330,987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUN | 23,000,521 | 23,756,265 |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUL | 19,328,989 | 19,924,006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AUG | 18,805,897 | 19,406,369 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEP | 22,802,286 | 23,537,667 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$241,946,140 | \$249,565,006 | \$65,396,008 | \$4,418,351 | 7.2\% | \$2,487,599 | 4.0\% |



GENERAL FUND
HISTORICAL REVENUE COMPARISON
AS OF JANUARY
(000s)

|  | FY 2011-12 |  | FY 2012-13 |  | FY 2013-14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END ACTUAL* | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST** | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST*** |
| TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad Valorem Tax | \$349,474 | \$439,212 | \$380,270 | \$450,615 | \$399,781 | \$483,898 |
| Sales Tax | \$73,303 | \$229,577 | \$60,978 | \$241,592 | \$65,396 | \$252,053 |
| TOTAL TAXES | \$422,777 | \$668,789 | \$441,247 | \$692,207 | \$465,177 | \$735,950 |
| FRANCHISE REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oncor Electric | \$28,715 | \$52,466 | \$27,368 | \$51,139 | \$27,775 | \$50,382 |
| AT\&T | \$0 | \$16,392 | \$0 | \$15,037 | \$0 | \$13,444 |
| Atmos Energy | \$3,068 | \$10,444 | \$2,569 | \$10,984 | \$0 | \$11,311 |
| Time Warner Cable | \$1,032 | \$6,440 | \$0 | \$6,391 | \$0 | \$6,263 |
| Other | \$322 | \$18,345 | \$216 | \$19,463 | \$58 | \$20,909 |
| TOTAL FRANCHISE REVENUES | \$33,137 | \$104,087 | \$30,153 | \$103,015 | \$27,833 | \$102,309 |
| LICENSES AND PERMITS | \$3,850 | \$9,771 | \$3,940 | \$9,984 | \$3,666 | \$9,204 |
| INTEREST EARNED | \$139 | \$1,127 | \$136 | \$738 | \$144 | \$632 |
| INTERGOVERNMENTAL | \$155 | \$6,427 | \$0 | \$6,464 | \$0 | \$6,203 |
| FINES AND FORFEITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal Court | \$4,031 | \$15,241 | \$3,965 | \$15,052 | \$4,675 | \$13,955 |
| Vehicle Towing \& Storage | \$2,430 | \$6,938 | \$2,214 | \$6,831 | \$2,280 | \$6,957 |
| Parking Fines | \$1,553 | \$5,047 | \$521 | \$4,770 | \$410 | \$4,593 |
| Red Light Camera Fines | \$0 | \$7,322 | \$0 | \$6,985 | \$0 | \$7,037 |
| Public Library | \$172 | \$533 | \$170 | \$518 | \$148 | \$520 |
| TOTAL FINES | \$8,186 | \$35,081 | \$6,870 | \$34,155 | \$7,513 | \$33,063 |
| CHARGES FOR SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sanitation Service | \$20,065 | \$60,538 | \$20,861 | \$61,344 | \$21,094 | \$62,010 |
| Parks | \$2,329 | \$8,766 | \$2,752 | \$9,860 | \$2,292 | \$9,713 |
| Private Disposal Fees | \$6,148 | \$19,663 | \$5,985 | \$18,844 | \$4,727 | \$17,616 |
| Emergency Ambulance | \$5,453 | \$16,684 | \$3,688 | \$20,759 | \$4,475 | \$42,982 |
| Security Alarm | \$1,370 | \$4,593 | \$1,533 | \$4,450 | \$1,467 | \$4,500 |
| Street Lighting | \$160 | \$965 | \$130 | \$1,447 | \$57 | \$955 |
| Vital Statistics | \$448 | \$1,563 | \$452 | \$1,581 | \$425 | \$1,436 |
| Other | \$7,534 | \$17,376 | \$8,016 | \$18,668 | \$6,988 | \$19,185 |
| TOTAL CHARGES | \$43,507 | \$130,148 | \$43,416 | \$136,952 | \$41,526 | \$158,396 |
| INTERFUND REVENUE | \$12,258 | \$45,572 | \$5,923 | \$46,710 | \$8,488 | \$67,330 |
| MISCELLANEOUS | \$3,486 | \$12,769 | \$4,259 | \$11,664 | \$3,317 | \$11,159 |
| TOTAL REVENUES | \$527,494 | \$1,013,770 | \$535,945 | \$1,041,889 | \$557,664 | \$1,124,246 |

[^1]GENERAL FUND
HISTORICAL EXPENDITURE COMPARISON
AS OF JANUARY
(000s)

|  | FY 2011-12 |  | FY 2012-13 |  | FY 2013-14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPARTMENT | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END ACTUAL* | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST** | YEAR TO DATE | YEAR-END FORECAST*** |
| Building Services | \$8,417 | \$18,083 | \$8,024 | \$22,599 | \$10,704 | \$25,739 |
| Business Dev/Procurement Svcs | \$708 | \$2,086 | \$754 | \$2,403 | \$755 | \$2,654 |
| City Attorney's Office | \$3,310 | \$10,741 | \$3,774 | \$12,888 | \$4,741 | \$14,191 |
| City Auditor's Office | \$644 | \$2,030 | \$637 | \$2,085 | \$702 | \$2,410 |
| City Controller's Office | \$1,064 | \$3,485 | \$1,273 | \$3,998 | \$1,419 | \$4,471 |
| City Manager's Office | \$654 | \$1,628 | \$639 | \$1,448 | \$835 | \$1,727 |
| City Secretary's Office | \$321 | \$1,500 | \$533 | \$1,718 | \$535 | \$1,829 |
| Civil Service | \$379 | \$1,374 | \$516 | \$1,821 | \$532 | \$2,128 |
| Code Compliance | \$7,874 | \$27,795 | \$9,063 | \$30,663 | \$10,046 | \$33,720 |
| Court Services | \$2,638 | \$10,666 | \$3,159 | \$10,918 | \$3,324 | \$11,317 |
| Elections | \$22 | \$1,133 | \$117 | \$1,119 | \$27 | \$1,096 |
| Fire | \$72,065 | \$206,691 | \$73,062 | \$205,922 | \$73,767 | \$219,029 |
| Housing | \$4,695 | \$8,076 | \$5,432 | \$9,502 | \$6,400 | \$10,873 |
| Human Resources | \$1,301 | \$3,151 | \$1,394 | \$3,710 | \$1,714 | \$4,080 |
| Independent Audit | \$0 | \$937 | \$0 | \$903 | \$0 | \$919 |
| Jail Contract - Lew Sterrett | \$7,852 | \$7,852 | \$2,743 | \$8,229 | \$8,714 | \$8,714 |
| Judiciary | \$1,035 | \$3,006 | \$1,042 | \$3,153 | \$1,012 | \$3,429 |
| Library | \$6,086 | \$18,111 | \$6,543 | \$20,147 | \$7,544 | \$22,370 |
| Management Services | \$1,588 | \$3,339 | \$1,940 | \$4,448 | \$2,225 | \$5,866 |
| Mayor and Council | \$983 | \$3,527 | \$1,130 | \$3,748 | \$1,159 | \$3,885 |
| Non-Departmental | \$7,092 | \$28,592 | \$7,900 | \$32,479 | \$8,086 | \$41,935 |
| Office of Cultural Affairs | \$5,488 | \$13,083 | \$8,189 | \$15,853 | \$6,502 | \$16,947 |
| Office of Economic Development | \$601 | \$647 | \$463 | \$760 | \$1,050 | \$1,122 |
| Office of Financial Services | \$353 | \$1,521 | \$497 | \$2,129 | \$647 | \$2,886 |
| Park and Recreation | \$23,454 | \$66,281 | \$26,146 | \$73,367 | \$28,025 | \$78,589 |
| Police | \$126,878 | \$398,795 | \$127,933 | \$401,923 | \$144,091 | \$426,396 |
| Public Works | \$3,179 | \$4,775 | \$3,810 | \$5,036 | \$3,408 | \$7,081 |
| Sanitation Services | \$23,094 | \$73,537 | \$18,866 | \$73,586 | \$19,594 | \$75,011 |
| Street Lighting | \$3,933 | \$16,979 | \$4,850 | \$18,082 | \$4,865 | \$18,528 |
| Street Services | \$15,785 | \$53,629 | \$18,227 | \$57,260 | \$17,895 | \$61,730 |
| Sustainable Dev/Construction | \$713 | \$1,004 | \$734 | \$1,199 | \$854 | \$1,525 |
| Trinity Watershed Management | \$230 | \$265 | \$231 | \$244 | \$166 | \$637 |
| RESERVES AND TRANSFERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingency Reserve | \$0 | \$1,663 | \$0 | \$200 | \$0 | \$400 |
| Liability/Claim Fund | \$0 | \$5,288 | \$0 | \$3,630 | \$0 | \$7,653 |
| Salary and Benefit Reserve | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$773 | \$0 | \$2,159 |
| EXPENDITURES | \$332,436 | \$1,001,271 | \$339,620 | \$1,037,945 | \$371,337 | \$1,123,046 |

[^2]
[^0]:    Forest E. Turner
    Assistant City Manager

[^1]:    * Based on Actual FY 2011-12 year end revenues
    ** Estimates based on revenues through August 2013
    *** Estimates based on revenues through January 2014

[^2]:    * Based on Actual FY 2011-12 year end expenditures
    **Estimates based on expenditures through August 2013
    *** Estimates based on expenditures through January 2014

