Memorandum



DATE July 27, 2018

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

SUBJECT Homeless Solutions Proposed Strategy - Track II: Temporary Homeless Centers

At the request of City Council and the Citizens Homelessness Commission (CHC), the Office of Homeless Solutions has provided for consideration, a preliminary list of City-owned facilities that meet minimum requirements for overnight sheltering as proposed in the Homeless Solutions Strategy-Track 2 (see attachment A). The locations identified are not, at this time, recommended by staff for the operation of temporary sheltering, but could be considered for further evaluation to determine feasibility upon City Council approval of the Strategy.

The list of sites was determined based on minimum threshold conditions to determine eligibility. In order for any City-owned facility to be eligible for consideration to host a THC, it must meet one or more of the following:

- 1. Compliance with building, fire, and other City codes
- 2. Compliance with Texas Accessibility Standards
- 3. Designated sleeping area from 8pm-8am
- 4. Not located within 2 miles of an existing shelter serving 100+ overnight clients

Sites were also evaluated based on location, security, and other amenities to determine most feasible locations. Scoring criteria originated from the Site Selection Supportive Housing Criteria recommended by the CHC and approved the Human and Social Needs Council Committee; additional THC-applicable components were added. Scoring criteria are listed below:

Scoring Criteria for Sites meeting minimum threshold	Points
THC Location	
Not located in TAAG DPD hot spot area	5
Located in census tract with less than 20% poverty rate	5
Public transportation located within 1/2 mile of site	4
Public library, community center, or recreational center located within 2 miles of site	2
THC Security and Amenities	
Monitored Security Alarm with cameras	3
On-site programming (recreational, pro-social, etc)	2
Existing storage space for equipment, supplies, and client belongings	2
Capacity to add storage space for equipment, supplies, and client belongings	2
On-site kitchen	1
On-site dining area and equipment for serving meals	1
On-site shower facilities	1
Secured outdoor gathering area for clients	1
WiFi access	1
Total	30

July 27, 2018

SUBJECT

Evaluation and Feasibility of Publicly/City-owned Facilities as Temporary Cooling Shelters

Sites were then grouped into four categories:

- 1. Ineligible: Does not meet minimum threshold eligibility requirements (Score of 0)
- 2. Unfavorable location (Score 1-10)
- 3. Good location (Score 11-20)
- 4. Ideal location (Score 21-30)

Based on this criterion, a total of 46 City-owned facilities were identified. Of the 46 identified, 20 were determined to be "ideal", 18 "good" and 8 "ineligible". Asterisks indicate the facility is currently designated as an emergency shelter site for the City's Office of Emergency Management. There are a total of 9 with the OEM designation.

As aforementioned, these facilities are **not recommended** by OHS staff for temporary sheltering at this time. This list is preliminary in nature and subject to City Council consideration and approval. Once City Council has approved the Proposed Strategy and provided further direction, staff will conduct a more in-depth analysis of each location and work with its partners, to include the Citizens Homelessness Commission, Park and Recreation Department Staff, and Board, and other stakeholders, to develop recommendations for Temporary Homeless Centers sites.

OHS will brief City Council on its comprehensive four-track Homeless Solutions Strategy Plan on Wednesday August 1, 2018. Public engagement and outreach meetings will continue through August 9, 2018. Round two of public outreach meetings will occur in neighborhoods of proposed temporary homeless centers upon City Council approval.

Please contact Nadia Chandler Hardy, Chief of Community Services, or Monica Hardman, Managing Director, with any additional questions or concerns.

Nadia Chandler Hardy
Chief of Community Services

Attachment

T.C. Broadnax, City Manager
 Larry Casto, City Attorney
 Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
 Bilierae Johnson, City Secretary
 Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
 Kimberly Bizor Tolbert, Chief of Staff to the City Manager
 Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager

Jon Fortune, Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager M. Elizabeth Reich, Chief Financial Officer Nadia Chandler Hardy, Chief of Community Services Raquel Favela, Chief of Economic Development & Neighborhood Services Theresa O'Donnell, Chief of Resilience Directors and Assistant Directors

					MINIMUM LOCATION AMENITIES																
								/		/ LOCAT	/	/ _E		/_	/	.g	/		/	/"/	
				//	Designated (Distance to	146/11		Cubic tangent to the less than	Libray, fee, or	Monioped States	\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial} \\ \rac{\partial}{\partial} \\ \racc{\partial}{\partial} \\ \racc{\partial}{\partial} \\ \racc{\partial}{\partial	/ &	100110 Storage			/ /	/ ,	/ /		
				/ / [Ties of			1,00%					
				\$ 100 mm 1			Noting (2 Overnon)					Recreational, ale	Existing Pro			Dinic Kilchen	0 a/e	One facility	Sull 200 11/10 11/	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	
		1 2 "	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		\$ 5			<u> </u>	10 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		(XX)	12 3	6		18	0	ZIZ Z	/	- " o"
	Facility Name	Council District	Α	В	С	D		F	G		I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	Total Score	Prelim Site Rating**
1	Anita Martinez Rec Center	6					5	ldeal I	Location 4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	22	Ideal
2	Arcadia Rec Center	3					5	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	21	Ideal
3	Beckley / Saner Rec Center	4					5	0	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	21	Ideal
4	Campbell Green Rec Center	12					5	5	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	24	Ideal
5	Churchill Rec Center	11					5	5	0	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	22	Ideal
6	Cummings Rec Center	4					0	5	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	22	Ideal
7	Exline Rec Center	7					5	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	22	Ideal
8	Fretz Park Rec Center	11					5	5	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	27	Ideal
9	Harry Stone Rec Center *	9					5	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	22	Ideal
10	John C. Phelps Rec Center	4					5	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	22	Ideal
11	Kidd Springs Rec Center *	1					5	5	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	27	Ideal
12	Kiest Park Rec Center *	4					5	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	22	Ideal
13	Lake Highlands North Rec Center *	10					5	5	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	24	Ideal
14	Park In The Woods Rec Center	3					5	5	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	27	Ideal
15	Pike Park Rec Center	2					5	5	4	2	2	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	25	Ideal
16	Ridgewood/Belcher Rec Center	9					5	5	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	23	Ideal
17	Samuel Grand Rec Center *	2					5	5	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	24	Ideal
18	Timberglen Rec Center	12					5	5	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	27	Ideal
19	Walnut Hill Rec Center *	13					5	5	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	24	Ideal
20	West Dallas MultiPurpose Center	6					5	0	4 Locatio	2	3	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	21	Ideal
1	Fireside Rec Center	8					5	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	16	Good
2	Fruitdale Rec Center	4					5	0	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	18	Good
3	Janie C. Turner Rec Center	8					5	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	18	Good
4	Jaycee / Zaragoza Rec Center	6					5	0	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	20	Good
5	Juanita J. Craft Rec Center	7					0	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	17	Good
6	K. B. Polk Rec Center	2					0	5	4	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	18	Good
7	Kleburg - Rylie Rec Center	5					5	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	17	Good
8	Larry Johnson Rec Center	7					5	0	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	20	Good
9	Marcus Rec Center	13					0	5	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	20	Good
10	Martin Weiss Rec Center	1					5	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	15	Good
11	Mildred L. Dunn Rec Center	7					0	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	17	Good
12	Nash / Davis Rec Center	6					5	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	18	Good
13	Pleasant Oaks Rec Center	5					5	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	16	Good
14	Singing Hills Rec Center	8					5	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	14	Good
15	Thurgood Marshall Rec Center *	3					5	0	4	0	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	19	Good
16	Tommie M. Allen Rec Center *	8					0	0	4	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1		17	Good
17	Umphress Rec Center	5					5	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	17	Good
18	Willie B. Johnson Rec Center	10					5	0	0	2	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	18	Good

Unfavorable Location

N/A

						MINI	мим			LOCAT			AMENITIES								
				\$ 80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Designation of the second of t	Distance It Seeping Se	Mori ("D') Solo ("D')	000 30 1000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	Public Fanson	Collego, in c. San in in	Monitored &	Recreations (recuit), elem	Exist.	404110 Storage Space	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Dis. Kich	100 ales 100	Omer facility	2000 / 34H / 30H / 31H		
	Facility Name	Council District	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	ı	J	К	L	М		0	Р	Q	Total Score	Prelim Site Rating**
							Inc	eligibl	e Locat	ion											
1	Arlington Park Rec Center	2				IE															Ineligible
2	Bachman Rec Center	6				IE															Ineligible
3	Eloise Lundy Rec Center	4				IE															Ineligible
4	Exall Rec Center	14				IE															Ineligible
5	Grauwyler Park Rec Center *	2				IE															Ineligible
6	Martin Luther King Jr. Rec Center	7				IE															Ineligible
7	Martlin Luther King Jr. Multi Purpose Center	7				IE															Ineligible
8	Reverchon Park Rec Center	2				IE															Ineligible

^{*} Office of Emergency Management (OEM) designated locations

- Ineligible (IE): Does not meet minimum threshold eligibility requirements (No score): 8 sites
- Unfavorable location (Score 1-10): None
 Good location (Score 11-20): 18 sites
- Ideal location (Score 21-30): 20 sites

^{**}Site rating is based on preliminary scoring of components D-Q. This analysis assumes that the remaining minimum threshold requirements (A-C) are met. Minimum components A-C will be evaluated and confirmed by Buildings and Parks staff