# Memorandum



DATE April 18, 2019

TO Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

## **SUBJECT Dallas County District Attorney Conversation**

Dallas County District Attorney John Creuzot will brief the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on Monday, April 22, 2019. Chair McGough extended an invitation to DA Creuzot earlier in the year, but due to scheduling conflicts this meeting was pushed to the Monday meeting.

On April 11, 2019, DA Creuzot sent out the attached memorandum outlining his 2019 proposed reforms to the County's criminal justice system. Councilmember McGough is inviting all councilmembers to attend this conversation.

This meeting will be held in the Council Chambers at 11:00am on Monday.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Jon Fortune

Assistant City Manager

c: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager
Chris Caso, City Attorney (Interim)
Bilierae Johnson, City Secretary
Preston Robinson, Administrative Judge
Kimberly Bizor Tolbert, Chief of Staff to the City Manager
Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager

Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Nadia Chandler Hardy, Assistant City Manager and Chief Resilience Officer
Michael Mendoza, Chief of Economic Development and Neighborhood Services
M. Elizabeth Reich, Chief Financial Officer
Laila Alequresh, Chief Innovation Officer
Directors and Assistant Directors



April 11, 2019

To the People of Dallas County,

When I ran to become your District Attorney, I promised you that I would bring changes to our criminal justice system. The changes that I promised will be a step forward in ending mass incarceration in Dallas County, and will make our community safer by ensuring that our limited resources are spent where they can do the most good. My office has made tremendous strides in these last 90 days, and I am proud to announce the following reforms:

# First-Offense Marijuana

Although African Americans and people of other races use marijuana at similar rates, in Dallas County African Americans are three times more likely to be prosecuted for misdemeanor marijuana possession than are people of other races. After arrest, African Americans are assessed money bond at a higher rate for marijuana possession, and are assessed higher bond amounts than other races. African Americans are more likely to be convicted of marijuana possession once charged and are more likely to serve a jail sentence.<sup>1</sup>

The District Attorney must take action to end that disparity. To that end, I have declined prosecution on misdemeanor possession of marijuana cases for first-time offenders whose offenses do not occur in a drug-free zone, involve the use or exhibition of a deadly weapon, or involve evidence of delivery. After the first offense, people will be offered a program that, if successfully completed, will keep their record clear. I am also in the process of dismissing all pending misdemeanor marijuana cases filed before I took office, according to the new policy stated above. To date, I have dismissed over a thousand misdemeanor marijuana cases.

#### **THC Possession**

Prosecution will be declined on State Jail Felony and 3rd Degree Felony Possession of Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) cases for first time THC offenders whose offenses do not occur in a drug-free zone, involve the use or exhibition of a deadly weapon, or involve evidence of delivery.

#### **Trace Amount Drug Possession**

No person will be prosecuted in Dallas County for possessing less than .01 grams of drug "trace" evidence.

#### **Drug Possession Cases without Laboratory Reports**

Historically, when an individual is arrested for State Jail and Third Degree Felony drug cases they are taken to jail. The accused may sit in jail for an extended period of time awaiting the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dallas County Misdemeanor Filing Data, 2015-2018



testing of the alleged contraband. My office is returning these cases until the laboratory report is completed. If, in fact, the substance is a controlled substance, we will be requesting that the District Clerk issue a summons instead of a warrant.

## **Criminal Trespass**

Right now, our county jail is the largest mental health provider in the county. However, the jail is not a suitable place for the mentally ill and homeless -- those most often charged with misdemeanor criminal trespass -- whose only crime is not having a place to go. Around 90 percent of homeless individuals charged with trespass will receive an average jail sentence of 33 days. These prosecutions are an ineffective and inhumane approach to dealing with homelessness or mental illness, and yet since 2015, Dallas County has spent nearly \$11 million just to incarcerate those charged with trespass, not including the costs and resources required to arrest and prosecute their cases. To that end, I have instructed my intake prosecutors to dismiss all misdemeanor criminal trespass cases that do not involve a residence or physical intrusion into property. All pending criminal trespass cases meeting these guidelines will be dismissed, and where appropriate will be referred for outpatient mental health services. I urge Dallas County and its municipalities to use the savings to provide affordable housing and mental health services to this vulnerable population.

## **Theft of Necessary Items**

Study after study shows that when we arrest, jail, and convict people for non-violent crimes committed out of necessity, we only prevent that person from gaining the stability necessary to lead a law-abiding life. Criminalizing poverty is counter-productive for our community's health and safety. For that reason, this office will not prosecute theft of personal items less than \$750 unless the evidence shows that the alleged theft was for economic gain.

#### **Driving While License Suspended (DWLI)**

Prosecuting a person for driving while their license is suspended is often just prosecuting a person for being too poor to pay off their fines and fees. I have developed a diversion program that will result in charges being dismissed for defendants who clear their drivers' licenses.

#### **Misdemeanor Pre-Trial Diversion Expunctions**

For those people who have successfully completed our pre-trial diversion program, a conditional dismissal or memo agreement, we will now be proactively expunging the arrest instead of the previous policy of waiting two years for the statute of limitations to run.

#### **Probation**

While probation was originally intended to be an alternative to incarceration, it is often a lengthy and burdensome process that can actually increase recidivism. When people are kept on probation much longer than they should be, the system is overtaxed with supervision, and true rehabilitation becomes almost impossible. Our community is not made safer by long probation periods: research shows that probation terms should be only as long as needed to



achieve its goal. Accordingly, I have instructed all prosecutors to presumptively ask for the following recommendations in cases where appropriate:

Misdemeanor: Six months presumptive term State jail felonies: 180 days presumptive term

Second and Third-Degree Felonies: Two years presumptive term

First Degree Felonies: Five years presumptive term

I have also instructed prosecutors not to ask for jail, state jail, or prison time for "technical" violations such as failing to pay fines or fees and other acts that do not threaten public safety.

## Bail

This county's money bail system must be reformed. Our current system is uncoupled from physical safety and fairness, as people sit in jail not because they pose an identifiable danger to the community, but because they cannot pay their fee to go home. When low-income people are held in jail simply because they cannot afford a few hundred dollars, they lose their jobs, housing, stability, and cannot take care of their children: this makes our communities less safe.

My own moral compass does not allow me to sit and wait for others to decide to act when I also have the power to do so. I am proposing an approach that makes public safety, not wealth, the determining factor in bail decisions. The policy articulated below ensures that those who pose no safety risk can return to their communities, while those who do are either kept in jail or released with conditions. Keeping safety, not wealth, at the centerpiece of policy is sensible and fair. Our system of justice cannot depend on whether individuals can afford to buy their freedom.

Accordingly, my office will adopt the following guidelines when recommending bail amounts:

- **Misdemeanors:** There shall be a presumption of release without pre-trial conditions subject to the below exceptions.
- **State Jail Felonies:** There shall be a presumption of release without pre-trial conditions for all people charged with a state jail felony who have no criminal convictions within the last five years.
- Exceptions to misdemeanor and state jail felony presumption: If a prosecutor believes by clear and convincing evidence that the accused will fail to appear and/or is



a danger to the community or victim, then a risk assessment shall be requested to determine what, if any, conditions of release are appropriate to ensure return to court and community safety. The risk assessment shall be used to determine specific conditions that will aid return and protect community safety, not as a determinant of release.

Valid reasons for believing someone is a flight risk or danger:

- 1. Direct threats to victim during or after arrest, or;
- 2. Prior convictions for violence committed against same complainant or victim; or
- 3. More than two failures to appear within the last two years and proof that the failures to appear were an attempt to evade prosecution. Evidence of evasion may include incidents such as evading the police upon arrest on the warrant or using an alias upon police encounter that was used in an intent to evade prosecution.<sup>2</sup>
- All other cases: The prosecutor's recommendation is based on an assessment of risk.
   While a tool will be used, it is not dispositive, rather, it will be used as a guide for
   developing appropriate conditions of release. The prosecutor's presumption should be
   that, unless individuals pose a serious safety risk or flight risk, release remains
   appropriate, but with the least restrictive conditions imposed to ensure community
   safety and return to court.

Monetary conditions should never be requested by a prosecutor unless there has first been an ability to pay determination, and then the amount requested should be based on what a person can afford. High bonds should not be used as a form of preventive detention. Instead, people should only be preventively detained if they meet the statutory guidelines for preventive detention, and there is an articulated reason that the person poses a specific danger to the community.

#### **Magistration**

As soon as construction permits, I will be assigning prosecutors to magistration so that they can screen cases and decline to prosecute those cases that fall within the above policy recommendations. My hope is that soon there will also be defense lawyers in magistration, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>'Alias' means more than a misspelling of a name, the use of a maternal or paternal last name, or the use of a nickname. These factors are based on both pretrial studies for FTA and interests of the Stakeholders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See <u>here</u> (conditions relating to victim safety) and <u>here</u> (denial of bail for violation of bond where victim is a child).



recommendations. My hope is that soon there will also be defense lawyers in magistration, and that at that point in time prosecutors can take an active role in making the above bail policy into a reality.

Sincerely,

John Creuzot

Pallas County Criminal District Attorney