

# 

2009 JAN 29 PM 3: 11 CITY SECRETARY DALLAS; TEXAS 11:00 A.M.

1:00 P.M.

## Landmark Commission Monday, February 2, 2009 AGENDA

BRIEFING

Dallas City Hall, 1500 Marilla Street, Room 5ES

LUNCH

**PUBLIC HEARING** 

Dallas City Hall, 1500 Marilla Street, Council Chambers, 6th Floor

\* The Landmark Commission may be briefed on any item on the agenda if it becomes necessary.

Theresa O'Donnell, Director Michael Pumphrey, Manager Jim Anderson, Senior Planner Tracey Cox, Planner Mark Doty, Senior Planner Marcus Watson, Senior Planner

#### **BRIEFING:**

1. Routine Maintenance, Certificates of Appropriateness, Certificates for Demolition and Removal, Certificates of Eligibility, Initiations and Designations, Discussion and Other Business Items.

2. Landmark Commission Purpose Statement.

| 1. 1924 MAIN ST<br>Harwood Historic District<br>CA089-166(MD)<br>Mark Doty | Request:              | <ol> <li>Main Street Gardens - Revision to Kiosk Building elevation.</li> <li>Main Street Gardens - New fenced courtyard for electrical<br/>panels.</li> <li>CITY OF DALLAS PARKS &amp; REC</li> </ol> |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Mark Doly  | Applicant:            |  | LAS PARKS & REC  |  |
|  | Representative:       | N/A  |  |  |
|  | Date Filed:           | January 5, 20  | 09   |  |
|  | <u>Staff Recommer</u> | ndation:   | 1) Main Street Gardens - Revision to Kiosk<br>Building elevation Approve - Approve drawings<br>submitted with the finding of fact the proposed<br>work is consistent with the criteria for new<br>construction in Tract B in the preservation criteria<br>Section 9, and it meets the standards in City Code<br>Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).       |  |
|  |                       |  | 2) Main Street Gardens - New fenced courtyard for<br>electrical panels Approve - Approve drawings<br>submitted with the finding of fact the proposed<br>work is consistent with the criteria for new<br>construction in Tract B in the preservation criteria<br>Section 9, and it meets the standards in City Code<br>Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i). |  |
|  | Task Force Rec        | ommendation:   |  |  |

×

| 2. 2514 HARRY HINES<br>BLVD<br>St. Ann's School | <u>Request:</u>       | addition. Decre | chool - Revision of previously approved rear<br>ease projection from 8'-0" to 4'-0".<br>chool - New signage.   |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| CA089-156(MD)<br>Mark Doty                      | Applicant:            | IC Developme    | nt IX, Ltd.  |
| Mark Doly                                       | Representative:       | N/A             |  |
|   | Date Filed:           | January 2, 200  | )9   |
|   | <u>Staff Recommen</u> | <u>dation:</u>  | 1) St. Ann's School - Revision of previously<br>approved rear addition. Decrease from 8'-0" to<br>4'-0" Approve - Approve drawings submitted with<br>the finding of fact that although the proposed work<br>does not comply with Section 9.3 that prohibits<br>horizontal additions to the building, it meets the<br>criteria for landmark commission approval of work<br>that does not strictly comply with the preservation<br>criteria under City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(B), because the proposed work is<br>consistent with the spirit and intent of the<br>preservation criteria and it will not adversely affect<br>the historic character of the property or the integrity<br>of the historic overlay district and with the<br>additional finding of fact that the proposed work is<br>consistent with the criteria for fenestration and<br>openings in the preservation criteria Section 5.7,<br>and it meets the standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).<br>Secretary of the Interior Standard 9. |
|   |                       |                 | 2) St. Ann's School - New signage Approve -<br>Approve drawings as submitted with the finding of<br>fact the proposed work is consistent with the<br>criteria for signage in the preservation criteria<br>Section 10.2 and 10.3, and it meets the standards<br>in City Code Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).   |
|   | Task Force Reco       | mmendation:     | <ol> <li>St. Ann's School - Revision of previously<br/>approved rear addition. Decrease from 8'-0" to<br/>4'-0" Approve - Approve as submitted.</li> <li>St. Ann's School - New signage Approve -<br/>Approve as submitted.</li> </ol>   |

.

| 3. 4700 SYCAMORE ST<br>Peak's Suburban Addition | <u>Request:</u>                              |                 | xisting non-contributing accessory structure using<br>ninent threat to public health and safety'.   |
|---|--|-----------------|---|
| Neighborhood                                    | Applicant:<br>Representative:<br>Date Filed: | BRIAN KIMB      | RELL  |
| CD089-015(MD)                                   |  | N/A             |   |
| Mark Doty                                       |  | January 5, 20   | ΩΩ  |
|   |  | • •             |   |
|   | <u>Staff Recommer</u>                        | <u>ndation:</u> | <ol> <li>Demolish existing non-contributing accessory<br/>structure using standard 'Imminent threat to public<br/>hea - Approve - The proposed demolition meets all<br/>of the standards in City Code Section</li> <li>51A-4.501(h)(4)(C). The non-contributing structure<br/>constitutes a documented major and imminent<br/>threat to public health and safety; the demolition or<br/>removal is required to alleviate the threat to public<br/>health and safety; and there is no reasonable way,<br/>other than demolition or removal, to eliminate the<br/>threat in a timely manner.</li> </ol>   |
|   | Task Force Reco                              | ommendation:    | 1) Demolish existing non-contributing accessory structure using standard 'Imminent threat to public   |
|   |  |                 | hea - Approve - Approve as submitted.   |
|   |  |                 |   |
| 4. 4700 SYCAMORE ST                             | Request:                                     |                 | sory structure.   |
| Peak's Suburban Addition<br>Neighborhood        |  | , .             | ence at driveway.   |
| CA089-172(MD)                                   | Applicant:                                   | BRIAN KIMBI     | RELL  |
| Mark Doty                                       | Representative:                              | N/A             |   |
| -   | Date Filed:                                  | January 5, 20   | 09  |
|   | Staff Recommer                               | <u>ndation:</u> | 1) New accessory structure Approve - Approve drawings submitted with the finding of fact the proposed work is consistent with the criteria for accessory buildings in the preservation criteria Section 6, and it meets the standards in City Code Section $51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i)$ .  |
|   | <u>Task Force Rec</u>                        | ommendation:    | <ul> <li>2) New gate/fence at driveway Approve with<br/>Conditions - Approve drawings submitted with the<br/>conditions that the fence placement meets all<br/>applicable Building Codes, Development<br/>Standards and receives Board of Adjustment<br/>approval with the finding of fact the proposed work<br/>is consistent with the criteria for site and site<br/>elements in the preservation criteria Section 2.12<br/>and 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15 and it meets the<br/>standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>1) New accessory structure Approve with<br/>Conditions - Details of garage, including siding,<br/>trim, shingles, colors and rafter tail details, to<br/>match existing house.</li> <li>2) New gate/fence at driveway Approve with<br/>Conditions - Solid wood fence is consistent with<br/>2.12 of the ordinance and is approved. Exact<br/>routing of fence to be determined with Building</li> </ul> |
|   |  |                 | Inspection.   |

| 5. 4700 Sycamore<br>Peak's Suburban<br>CE089-010(TC) | <u>Request:</u>            | A Certificate of Eligibility (CE) for a tax exemption on 100 percen<br>of land and improvements for a period of ten years and approval<br>of \$21.665 in expenditures spent on rehabilitation within the three<br>years prior to the CE approval. |   |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|
|  | Applicant:                 | Brad Aiken  |   |
|  | Representative:            | N/A   | ×   |
|  | Date Filed:                | December 31,  | 2008  |
|  |                            |   |   |
|  | <u>Staff Recommer</u>      |   | Approval of the Certificate of Eligibility and<br>approval of \$21,665 in expenditures spent on<br>rehabilitation prior to the issuance of the Certificate<br>of Eligibility.   |
|  | Task Force Reco            | ommendation:  | N/A   |
| 6. 6317 BRYAN PKWY<br>Swiss Avenue Historic          | <u>Request:</u>            |   | hantung Maple trees in parkway, located as ovember 2008.  |
| District<br>CA089-154(MW)                            | Applicant:                 | Doug Edward   | 3   |
| Marcus Watson  | <b>Representative:</b>     | N/A   |   |
|  | Date Filed:                | January 5, 20   | 09  |
|  | <u>Staff Recommer</u>      | ndation:  | 1) Plant two Shantung Maple trees in parkway,<br>located as approved in November 2008 Approve<br>- The proposed work meets the standards in City<br>Code Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i) and will not<br>have an adverse effect on the structure or the<br>district.  |
|  | Task Force Reco            | ommendation:  | <ol> <li>Plant two Shantung Maple trees in parkway,<br/>located as approved in November 2008 Approve</li> <li>Comment only. No quorum.</li> </ol>   |
| 7. 303 S CLINTON AVE                                 | Request:                   | 1) New windo  | ws in garage apartment.   |
| Winnetka Heights Historic<br>District                | Applicant:                 | Eric Edison   |   |
| CA089-145(JA)  | Representative:            | N/A   |   |
| Jim Anderson   | Date Filed:                | December 8, 2   | 2008  |
|  | Staff Recommer             |   | 1) New windows in garage apartment Approve<br>with Conditions - New windows on garage to be<br>one-over-one wood windows by Jeldwin. Applicant<br>agrees with this window type. The proposed work<br>is consistent with the criteria for windows in the<br>preservation criteria Section $9(a)(17)(F)$ and it<br>meets the standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i). |
|  | Task Force Recommendation: |   | 1) New windows in garage apartment Approve<br>with Conditions - Proposed replacement of wood<br>windows must be one-over-one wood windows by<br>Jeldwin to match house.   |

| 8. 326 S ROSEMONT AVE<br>Winnetka Heights Historic<br>District<br>CA089-163(JA)<br>Jim Anderson     | Request:<br>Applicant:<br>Representative:<br>Date Filed:<br>Staff Recomment | December 18,<br>adation: | 2008<br>1) Exterior paint - Approve - Approval as<br>submitted. Paint color, as requested, to match<br>body color at 105 S. Winnetka which is Providence<br>Olive HC-98. The proposed work is consistent with<br>the criteria for paint colors in the preservation<br>criteria Section 9(a)(8)(C) and it meets the<br>standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i). |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|
| 9. 130 N WINDOMERE<br>AVE<br>Winnetka Heights Historic<br>District<br>CA089-171(JA)<br>Jim Anderson | Request:<br>Applicant:<br>Representative:<br>Date Filed:<br>Staff Recommen  | January 8, 200           | or.<br>oor.<br>n door.<br>MAN  |
|   | <u>Task Force Reco</u>  | ommendation:             | is consistent with the criteria for doors in the<br>preservation criteria Section $9(a)(17)(F)(iii)$ and it<br>meets the standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).<br>3) New kitchen door Approve - The proposed<br>work is appropriate to the structure and meets the<br>standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).                              |

| - |   |                       |                                 |   |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 3 | 10. 2712 Swiss Avenue<br>St. Joseph's Cathlolic | Request:              | Initiation of the Church and Ac | e designation process of St. Joseph's Catholic<br>cademy  |
|   | Church and Academy<br>Initiation of designation | Applicant:            | Ronnie Claire                   | Edwards   |
|   | process   | Representative:       | N/A                             | 8   |
|   |   | Date Filed:           |                                 |   |
|   |   | Staff Recommen        | dation:                         | Approval of initiation of the designation process<br>under Designation Criteria 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10.   |
|   |   | Task Force Reco       | mmendation:                     | Designation Committee Recommendation:<br>Comment only. Recommend initiation based on<br>discussion of criteria. It appears to meet 7 of 10<br>criteria.   |
|   | 11. 727 HUNTLEY ST                              | Request:              | 1) Removal of                   | trees in the front yard.  |
|   | Junius Heights<br>CA089-155(TC)                 | Applicant:            | Jean Sanders                    |   |
|   | Tracey Cox Repu                                 | Representative:       | N/A                             |   |
|   |   | Date Filed:           | January 5, 200                  | 09  |
|   |   | <u>Staff Recommen</u> | <u>dation:</u>                  | 1) Removal of trees in the front yard Deny<br>without Prejudice - The proposed work does not<br>meet the standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i) because it is inconsistent<br>with the preservation criteria section 3.5.b which<br>states, "landscaping must be appropriate, enhance<br>the structure and surroundings, and not obscure<br>significant views of protected facades" and section<br>3.5.c that says "existing mature trees in the front<br>yard are protected, except that unhealthy or<br>damaged trees may be removed". The city arborist<br>has inspected the trees and found that they are in<br>good health, and recommended they be trimmed<br>rather than removed. |
|   |   | Task Force Reco       | mmendation:                     | 1) Removal of trees in the front yard Deny - Per section 3.5 and the city arborist's recommendation, i.e. trim and not remove the trees.  |

.

| 1. 1462 1ST AVE<br>Fair Park<br>CA089-158(MD)<br>Mark Doty | <u>Request:</u><br>Applicant: | condensers (c<br>2) Aquarium -<br>floor coating to<br>3) Aquarium -<br>4) Aquarium -<br>side of building | Add heat exchangers (in support area) and related<br>on roof) to control water temperature.<br>Add walkways over tanks (in support area) and add<br>o back of house floors.<br>Interior lobby and gallery exhibit enhancements.<br>Add exterior display, marine animal tank on east<br>g with roof structure.<br>LAS PARKS & REC  |
|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
|  | Representative:               | N/A  |   |
|  | Date Filed:                   | December 30,   | 2008  |
|  | Staff Recommen                |  | <ol> <li>Aquarium - Add heat exchangers (in support<br/>area) and related condensers (on roof) to control<br/>water t - Approve - Approve drawings submitted<br/>with the finding of fact the proposed work meets<br/>the standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>Aquarium - Add walkways over tanks (in support<br/>area) and add floor coating to back of house floors.<br/>- Approve - Approve drawings and specifications<br/>submitted with the finding of fact the proposed<br/>work meets the standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>Aquarium - Interior lobby and gallery exhibit<br/>enhancements Approve with Conditions -<br/>Approve drawings submitted with the condition that<br/>any historic interior finish items (structural<br/>pigmented glass, hand rails) that are removed<br/>should be labeled and stored on site for future use<br/>or re-installation and that the Texas Historical<br/>Commission approves the plans with the finding of<br/>fact the proposed work is consistent with the<br/>criteria for the Aquarium in the preservation criteria<br/>Section 8.4(d)(2)(H), and it meets the standards in<br/>City Code Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>Aquarium - Add exterior display, marine animal<br/>tank on east side of building with roof structure<br/>Approve - Approve drawings as submitted with the<br/>finding of fact the proposed work is consistent with<br/>the criteria for Additions in the preservation criteria<br/>Section 8.4 (d)(2)(A, B, C, D and E,) and it meets<br/>the standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>Secretary of the Interiors Standard #9.</li> </ol> |
|  | <u>Task Force Rec</u>         |  | <ol> <li>Aquarium - Add heat exchangers (in support<br/>area) and related condensers (on roof) to control<br/>water t - Approve</li> <li>Aquarium - Add walkways over tanks (in support<br/>area) and add floor coating to back of house floors.</li> <li>Approve</li> <li>Aquarium - Interior lobby and gallery exhibit<br/>enhancements Approve with Conditions -</li> </ol>  |
|  |                               |  | Removal and storage of existing rails: acceptable if<br>rails are tagged and stored in building and an<br>interpretive panel showing 1936 configuration with<br>photos is installed.<br>Removal of structural glass panels in 1960's<br>addition for new door and new tank: acceptance if<br>glass is tagged and stored in building.<br>Relocation of existing memorial plaque: acceptable<br>New openings in existing plaster walls and entry<br>(new museum store): acceptance if structural<br>glass is not affected.<br>4) Aquarium - Add exterior display, marine animal   |

2. 400 S AKARD ST Federal Reserve Bank CA089-162(MD) Mark Doty

1) Federal Reserve Bank - Construct a new vault, 22' X 64' X 18' Request: on site. **GOOD FULTON & FARREL** Applicant: Representative: N/A **Date Filed:** December 30, 2008 **Staff Recommendation:** 1) Federal Reserve Bank - Construct a new vault, 22' X 64' X 18' on site. - Approve with Conditions -Approve Option A as submitted with the condition the new vault is painted to match the historic building and the existing vault with the finding of fact the proposed work lies outside the prohibited structure area, is reversible and it meets the standards in City Code Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i) and will not have an adverse effect on the historic overlay district. Task Force Recommendation: 1) Federal Reserve Bank - Construct a new vault, 22' X 64' X 18' on site. - Approve - Recommend approval of Option A.

.

| 3. 2600 MUNGER AVE<br>St. Paul United Methodist<br>Church<br>CA089-159(MD)<br>Mark Doty | <u>Request:</u><br><u>Applicant:</u> | 2) St. Paul's U<br>'Lancaster Wh<br>3) St. Paul's U<br>4) St. Paul's U<br>fence.<br>5) St. Paul's U<br>GOOD FULTO | JMC - Foundation repair and stabilization.<br>JMC - Window trim color. Benjamin Moore HC-174<br>nitewash'<br>JMC - Final approval of new elevator.<br>JMC - Construct new HVAC equipment area and<br>JMC - FInal approval of new entry porch and stair.<br>ON & FARREL   |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
|   | Representative:                      |   |  |
|   | Date Filed:                          | January 2, 20   |  |
|   | Staff Recommer                       | <u>ndation:</u>   | 1) St. Paul's UMC - Foundation repair and stabilization Approve - The proposed work meets the standards in City Code Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).  |
|   |                                      |   | 2) St. Paul's UMC - Window trim color. Benjamin<br>Moore HC-174 'Lancaster Whitewash' - Approve -<br>The proposed work and paint color is consistent<br>with the criteria for color in the preservation criteria<br>Section 5 (A), and it meets the standards in City<br>Code Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).   |
|   | Task Force Reco                      | ommendation:  | <ul> <li>3) St. Paul's UMC - Final approval of new elevator.<br/>- Deny without Prejudice - The proposed work<br/>does not meet the standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i) on the basis that the<br/>proposed work will have an adverse effect on the<br/>historic overlay district and is in direct conflict with<br/>Secretary of the Interior Standards #9 that notes<br/>new construction 'shall be differentiated from the<br/>old.'<br/>Staff recommends simplifying or removing the<br/>buttressing on both facades.</li> <li>4) St. Paul's UMC - Construct new HVAC<br/>equipment area and fence Approve - Approve<br/>drawings submitted with the finding of fact the<br/>proposed work meets the standards in City Code<br/>Section 51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>5) St. Paul's UMC - Final approval of new entry<br/>porch and stair Approve - Approve drawings<br/>submitted with the finding of fact the proposed<br/>work meets the standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>1) St. Paul's UMC - Foundation repair and<br/>stabilization Approve</li> <li>2) St. Paul's UMC - Final approval of new elevator.<br/>- Approve</li> <li>4) St. Paul's UMC - Construct new HVAC<br/>equipment area and fence Approve</li> <li>3) St. Paul's UMC - Final approval of new elevator.<br/>- Approve</li> <li>4) St. Paul's UMC - Construct new HVAC<br/>equipment area and fence Approve</li> <li>3) St. Paul's UMC - Final approval of new elevator.<br/>- Approve</li> <li>4) St. Paul's UMC - Construct new HVAC<br/>equipment area and fence Approve</li> <li>5) St. Paul's UMC - Final approval of new entry<br/>porch and stair Approve</li> </ul> |
|   |                                      |   |  |

| 4. 214 N WILLOMET AVE<br>Winnetka Heights Historic | <u>Request:</u> |              | oor. Work completed by past owner.<br>um windows. Work completed by past owner   |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--|
| District<br>CA089-168(JA)                          | Applicant:      | David Oliver |  |
| Jim Anderson                                       | Representative: | N/A          |  |
|  | Date Filed:     | December 30, | 2008   |
|  | Staff Recommen  |              | <ol> <li>New front door. Work completed by past owner.</li> <li>Deny without Prejudice - Denial of door as<br/>installed by past owner. The proposed work is not<br/>consistent with the criteria for doors in the<br/>preservation criteria Section 9(a)(17)(F)(iii) and it<br/>does not meet the standards in City Code Section<br/>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).</li> <li>New aluminum windows. Work completed by<br/>past owner - Deny without Prejudice - Windows as<br/>installed by the past owner are not appropriate to</li> </ol> |

the structure. The proposed work is not consistent with the criteria for windows in the preservation criteria Section 9(a)(17)(F)(iii) and it does not meet

- Approve with Conditions - Replacement of front door to follow at a later task force meeting; to come back with details and picture of proposed

2) New aluminum windows. Work completed by past owner - Approve with Conditions - Propose

one-over-one windows. Time frame on replacing

replacing aluminum windows with wood

windows not to exceed 5 years.

the standards in City Code Section

51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i). <u>Task Force Recommendation:</u> 1) New front door. Work completed by past owner.

door.

| 5. 319 N WINNETKA AVE<br>Winnetka Heights Historic<br>District<br>CA089-165(JA)<br>Jim Anderson | <u>Request:</u><br><u>Applicant:</u><br><u>Representative:</u> |                    | railing.<br>en door.<br>on  |
|---|--|--------------------|---|
|   | Date Filed:  | December 17,       | 2008  |
|   | Staff Recommen   |                    | 1) New front door Deny without Prejudice - Front<br>door is not appropriate to the structure. Resubmit<br>for a new wood door that is appropriate to the<br>house. The proposed work is not consistent with<br>the criteria for doors in the preservation criteria<br>Section $9(a)(17)(F)$ (iii) and it does not meet the<br>standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).<br>2) New porch railing Approve - Approval as<br>submitted with 2x2 balusters and a 2x6 cap. The<br>proposed work is consistant with the criteria for<br>front entrances and porches in the preservation<br>criteria Section $9(a)(11)(A)$ and it meets the<br>standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i).<br>3) Install screen door Approve - Screen door is<br>appropriate to this structure. The proposed work is<br>consistent with the criteria for doors in the<br>preservation criteria Section $9(a)(17)(C)(i)$ and (ii)<br>and it meets the standards in City Code Section<br>51A-4.501(g)(6)(C)(i). |
|   | Task Force Reco  | <u>mmendation:</u> | <ol> <li>New front door Deny without Prejudice - Front<br/>door will not work. Need to have "one light".</li> <li>New porch railing Approve - Approval of<br/>railing as proposed as 2X2 spindles with a 2x6 cap.</li> <li>Install screen door Approve - Screen door as<br/>proposed O.K.</li> </ol>  |

## **OTHER BUSINESS:**

1. Approval of the January 5, 2009 Minutes.

2. Landmark Commission Purpose Statement.

3. Appointment/Re-appointment of the Historic Preservation Plan Committee Members. List available in the Department of Development Services, Historic Preservation.

4. The Landmark Commission Designation Committee is scheduled to meet on the following dates:

Thursday, February 5, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.

Thursday, February 12, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N. Thursday, February 19, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N. Thursday, February 26, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.

Thursday, March 5, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.

Thursday, March 12, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.

Thursday, March 19, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.

Thursday, March 26, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.

Note: The official Designation Committee Agenda will be posted in the City Secretary's Office and City Website at www.ci.dallas.tx.us/cso/boardcal.shtml. Please review the official agenda for location and time changes.

#### **OTHER BUSINESS:**

5. The Landmark Commission Preservation Plan Committee is scheduled to meet on the following dates: Tuesday, February 3, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, February 10, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, February 17, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, February 24, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 3, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 10, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 10, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 13, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Tuesday, March 31, 2009, 5:45 p.m., Dallas City Hall, Room 5/D/N.
Note: The official Committee Agenda will be posted in the City Secretary's Office and City Website at: www.ci.dallas.tx.us/cso/boardcal.shtml. Please review the official agenda for location and time changes.

6. Questions and comments about any Routine Maintenance request approved by city staff and provided to the Landmark Commission for review. List or properties receiving routine maintenance approvals are available at the Department of Development Services, 1500 Marilla Street, 5/C/N, Dallas, Texas, from Luann Taylor.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

The Commission may hold a closed executive session concerning one or more of the following topics:

- a. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the commission's attorney to his client under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act; [Texas Government Code §551.071]
- b. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person; [Texas Government Code §551.072]
- c. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person; [Texas Government Code §551.073]
- d. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee, or to the hear a compliant or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation requests a public hearing; or [Texas Government Code §551.074]
- e. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices. [Texas Government Code §551.076]
- f. Discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Texas Government Code §551.086]