|  | ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT, PANEL A TUESDAY, MAY 16, 2017 AGENDA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRIEFING | ROOM 5ES 1500 MARILLA STREET DALLAS CITY HALL | 11:00 A.M. |
| PUBLIC HEARING | COUNCIL CHAMBERS 1500 MARILLA STREET DALLAS CITY HALL | 1:00 P.M. |
|  | Donna Moorman, Chief Planner Steve Long, Board Administrator Jennifer Munoz, Senior Planner |  |
|  | MISCELLANEOUS ITEM |  |
|  | Approval of the April 18, 2017 Panel A Public Hearing Minutes | M1 |
|  | UNCONTESTED CASES |  |
| BDA167-051(JM) | 5814 Watson Avenue <br> REQUEST: Application of Russell Ferraro, represented by Michael R. Coker for special exceptions to the fence standards | 1 |
| BDA167-053(SL) | 5215 Lobello Drive <br> REQUEST: Application of Robert Baldwin of Baldwin and Associates for special exceptions to the fence standards | 2 |
| BDA167-054(JM) | 9323 Sunnybrook Lane <br> REQUEST: Application of Nathan Russo for a special exception to the fence standards | 3 |
| BDA167-063(SL) | 3815 Oak Lawn Avenue <br> REQUEST: Application of Robert Baldwin of Baldwin and Associates for a special exception to the fence standards | 4 |

## REGULAR CASE



5421 Richard Avenue
REQUEST: Application of Michael Oppedisano for a variance to the lot coverage regulations

## EXECUTIVE SESSION NOTICE

The Commission/Board may hold a closed executive session regarding any item on this agenda when:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the Commission/Board under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a compliant or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices.. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.086]
(Rev. 6-24-02)

## FILE NUMBER: BDA167-051(JM)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Russell Ferraro, represented by Michael R. Coker. for special exceptions to the fence standards at 5814 Watson Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 2 \& part of Lot 3, Block F/5614, and is zoned R-1ac(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than 5 feet from the front lot line. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain an 8 foot 2 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 4 foot 2 inch special exception to the fence standards, and to construct and/or maintain a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 feet from the front lot line, which will require a special exception to the fence standards.

## LOCATION: 5814 Watson Avenue

## APPLICANT: Russell Ferraro

Represented by Michael R. Coker

## REQUEST:

The following requests for special exceptions to the fence standards have been made on a site that is developed with a single family home:

1) A special exception related to fence height of $4^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ is made to complete and maintain a fence higher than $4^{\prime}$ in height in the front yard setback (an $8^{\prime}$ high masonry fence with 8' 2 " high stone columns and a 3' 10 " high open metal fence with 4' 2" high stone columns); and
2) A special exception related to fence materials is made to complete and maintain a fence with panels with surface areas that are less than 50 percent open (the aforementioned two fence types) located as close as on the front lot line (or less than 5 ' from this front lot line).

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence standards since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)
North: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
South: R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
East: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
West: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA 078-125, Property at 5807 Watson Avenue (northwest of the subject site)

On September 15, 2008, the Board of Adjustment Panel C granted a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 4' 6" and imposed the following condition: compliance with the submitted site plan and partial elevation is required, and a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 23 ' 6 " and imposed the following conditions: Compliance with the submitted site plan (indicating a 16 foot, 9 inch setback) is required; and the storage building must remain behind the existing shrubs as stated in the letter from Warren Packer dated August 26, 2008.
The case report state that the following appeals were made in this application on a site currently developed with a single family home: special exceptions to the fence height regulations of 4' 6 " were requested in conjunction with constructing and maintaining a 7 ' high open iron fence with 8' 6" high columns, an 8' 6" high Watson Avenue open iron gate, and an 8' high Douglas Avenue open iron gate (both gates with 8' 6" high stucco columns) in the site's 40' front yard setbacks along Watson Avenue and Douglas Avenue; and a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 23' 6" was requested in conjunction with constructing and maintaining an approximately 150 square foot storage building in the site's 40' Douglas Avenue front yard setback.
2. BDA090-046, Property at 5806 Watson Avenue (west of the subject site)

On April 21, 2010, the Board of Adjustment Panel B granted a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 8 ' and imposed the following condition: submitted revised site plan and revised partial site plan/elevation document is required.
The case report stated that the applicant was seeking a special exception to the fence height regulations of 9 feet* in conjunction with constructing and maintaining a primarily 8 ' high masonry wall in the site's 40' Watson Avenue front yard setback. This special exception proposal also included an entryway wall feature that included two 5' - 8' high solid metal vehicular gates with a 4'- 9' high solid masonry wall between these two gates - gates flanked by two 10' high columns and two 12' high columns.

* Even though the application and the Building Official's Report mentions a 9' special exception, nothing on the submitted revised site plan and elevation appears to be higher than 12' which would require an 8' special exception.


## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS:

- The requests for special exceptions to the fence standards focus on completing and maintaining: 1) a fence higher than $4^{\prime}$ in height in the front yard setback (an 8' high masonry fence with 8' 2" high stone columns and a 3' 10" high open metal fence with 4' 2" high stone columns); and, 2) a fence with panels with surface areas that are less than 50 percent open (the aforementioned two fence types) located as close as on the front lot line (or less than 5' from this front lot line).
- The subject site is zoned R-1ac(A).
- Note the following with regard to the request for special exceptions to the fence standards pertaining to the height of the proposed fence in the front yard setback:
o The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.
o The applicant has submitted a site plan and elevation of the proposal in the front yard setback with notations indicating that the proposal reaches a maximum height of 8' 2 ".
0 The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:
- The proposal over 4' in height is represented as being approximately 34' 4.5 " in length to the east and about 4' to the west perpendicular to the
street, and approximately 11 stone columns parallel to the street on the north side of the site in the front yard setback
- Note the following with regard to the request for special exception to the fence standards pertaining to the location and materials of the proposed fence:
- The Dallas Development Code states that in single family districts, a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than five feet from the front lot line.
- With regard to the special exception to the fence standards pertaining to the location and materials of the proposed fence, the applicant has submitted a site plan and elevation of the fence with fence panels with surface areas that are less than 50 percent open (an 8' high masonry fence with 8 ' 2 " high stone columns and a 3' 10 " high open metal fence with 4' 2 " high stone columns) located as close as on the front lot line (or less than $5^{\prime}$ from this front lot line).
- Two single family lots developed with single family structures front the proposed fence, both with fences in the front yard. The home to the northwest has history with the Board of Adjustment, Panel C from 2008 to allow a fence higher than 4' in the front yard (BDA078-125). The property to the northeast has a front yard fence located in the front yard, in accordance with required height and materials regulations.
- The Board Senior Planner conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area (properties along Watson Drive from Douglas Avenue on the west to Preston Road) and noted eight other fences over 4' in height and in front yard setback.

1. A 7' high open iron fence with 8' 6 " high columns, an 8 ' 6 " high Watson Avenue open iron gate, and an $8^{\prime}$ high Douglas Avenue open iron gate (both gates with 8' 6" high stucco columns located northwest that appears to be a result of fence height special exception request granted in 2008: BDA078-125. (See the "Zoning/BDA History" section of this case report for additional details).
2. A primarily 8' high masonry wall with an entryway wall feature that included two 5' - 8' high solid metal vehicular gates with a 4'- 9' high solid masonry wall between these two gates - gates flanked by two 10' high columns and two 12' high columns located west that appears to be a result of fence height special exception request granted in 2008: BDA090046. (See the "Zoning/BDA History" section of this case report for additional details).
3. A chain-link fence greater than 4' located east with no BDA history.
4. A solid wood fence greater than 4' located on the south side of Watson Drive, east of the subject site with no BDA history.
5. A wrought iron fence greater than 4' located on the south side of Watson Drive, east of the subject site with no BDA history.
6. A 6' 4 " high open iron picket fence with an arched open wrought iron picket gate that reaches 9' located southeast that appears to be a result of fence height special exception request granted in 2014: BDA145-124. (outside of the general history area).
7. A wrought iron fence with masonry columns greater than 4' located on the north side of Watson Drive, east of the subject site with no BDA history.
8. A brick fence with wrought iron gates greater than 4' located on the north side of Watson Drive, east of the subject site with no BDA history.

- As of May 5, 2017, no letters have been submitted in support of or in opposition to the request.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exceptions to the fence standards related to height over 4' in the front yard setback and materials/height/location of the proposed fence will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting these special exceptions with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevation would require the proposal exceeding 4' in height in the front yard setback and with fence panels with surface areas less than 50 percent open located less than 5' from the front lot line to be constructed and maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents.


## Timeline:

February 21, 2017: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

April 11, 2017: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A. This assignment was made in order to comply with Section 9 (k) of the Board of Adjustment Working Rule of Procedure that states, "If a subsequent case is filed concerning the same request, that case must be returned to the panel hearing the previously filed case".

April 19, 2017: The Board Administrator emailed the following information to the applicant's representative:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the April $26^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the May $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

May 2, 2017: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for May public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Director of Sustainable Development and Construction, the Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building

Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.



APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

|  |  | Case No.: BDA 162051 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Relative to Subject Property: |  | Date: 2/21/2017 |  |
| Location address: 5814 Watson Avenue |  | Zoning District: R-1ac(A) |  |
| Lot No.: 2 \& Part Block No.: F/5614 | Acreage: 0.4916 | Census Tract: | 0206.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { of } 3 \\ \text { Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) } \quad 129 \end{gathered}$ | _3) | 4) | 5) |

To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Ferraro Family Living Trust
Applicant: Russell Ferraro
Telephone: 214-577-6100
Mailing Address: 5814 Watson Avenue
Zip Code: 75225
E-mail Address: russellferraro@gmail.com
Represented by: Michael R. Coker Telephone: 214-821-6105

Mailing Address: 3111 Canton Street, Ste. 140 Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address: mrcoker@cokercompany.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\qquad$ , or Special Exception X , of $\qquad$ Special exception of $4^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ to the fence height requirements in the front yard to allow for a masonry fence and masonry column and a special exception for fence panels with less than $50 \%$ open surface area located within five feet of front lot line.
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
The proposed masonry fence and masonry column and steel panel fence are commiserate with other fencing and columns in the neighborhood and will not adversely affect neighboring property.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared Russell Ferraro
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized represertative of the subject property.

Respectfully submitted:


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 01



Building Official's Report

| I hereby certify that <br> represented by | Russell Ferraro <br> Michael R Coker |
| ---: | :--- |
| did submit a request | for a special exception, to the fence height regulations, and for a special <br> exception to the fence regulations |
| at | 5814 Watson Avenue |

BDA167-051. Application of Russell Ferraro represented by Michael R Coker for a specia exception to the fence height regulations and a special exception to the fence regulations 5814 Watson Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 2 \& part of Lot 3, Block F/5614, and is zoned $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 fee and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not $t$ located less than 5 feet from the front lot line. The applicant proposes to construct an 8 for 2 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 4 foot 2 inch special exceptis to the fence regulation, and to construct a fence in a required front yard with a fence pane having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 feet from the front lot lit which will require a special exception to the fence regulation.

Sincerely,




# Notification List of Property Owners BDA167-051 

## 9 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 5814 | WATSON AVE |
| 2 | 5831 | WATSON AVE |
| 3 | 5815 | WATSON AVE |
| 4 | 5839 | DESCO DR |
| 5 | 5831 | DESCO DR |
| 6 | 5807 | WATSON AVE |
| 7 | 5809 | DESCO AVE |
| 8 | 5805 | DESCO DR |
| 9 | 5806 | WATSON AVE |

Owner<br>FERRARO FAMILY LIVING TRUST<br>WAGGONER DAVID T \& ALICIA<br>MCKOOL MIKE JR<br>LEVY JOHN I \& CAROL R<br>AKHAVIZADCH MOHAMAD \&<br>HATTON THOMAS H \& CAROL E<br>NEEDLES FAMILY TR<br>MEHENDALE NEELESH H \& KIMBERLY F<br>TAVISTOCK GROUP LLC

FILE NUMBER: BDA167-053(SL)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Robert Baldwin of Baldwin and Associates for special exceptions to the fence standards at 5215 Lobello Drive. This property is more fully described as Lot 14B, Block A/5518, and is zoned R-1ac(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than 5 feet from the front lot line. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a 6 foot 6 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 foot 6 inch special exception to the fence standards, and to construct and maintain a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 feet from the front lot line, which will require a special exception to the fence standards.

LOCATION: 5215 Lobello Drive
APPLICANT: Robert Baldwin of Baldwin and Associates

## REQUESTS:

The following requests for special exceptions to the fence standards have been made on a site that is developed with a single family home:

1) A special exception related to fence height of $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ is made to complete and maintain a fence higher than $4^{\prime}$ in height in the front yard setback (a 6' high open wrought iron fence with 6 ' high stone columns with a 6 ' 6 " high open wrought iron entry gate flanked by two, approximately 12' long curved 5' -6 ' high stone wing walls parallel to the street and perpendicular to the street on the east side in the front yard setback, and a 6' 6 " high solid masonry wall perpendicular to the street on the west side in the front yard setback); and
2) A special exception related to fence materials is made to complete and maintain a fence with panels with surface areas that are less than 50 percent open - the aforementioned two, approximately 12' long curved 5' - 6' high stone wing walls located as close as on the front lot line parallel to the street, and the 6' 6 " high solid masonry wall perpendicular to the street on the west side in the front yard setback beginning on the front lot line (or less than 5' from this front lot line).

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence standards since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)
North: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
South: R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
East: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
West: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA156-022, Property at 5215 Lobello Drive (the subject site)

On March 22, 2016, the Board of Adjustment Panel A denied a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 4 ' 3 " without prejudice.
The case report stated that the request was made to construct and maintain the following fence proposal in the front yard setback on a site being developed with a single family home: a 6' high open wrought iron fence with 6' 6" high stone columns; and an 8' 3" high open wrought iron arched vehicular entry gate with 7' 3 " high columns flanked by 5 ' 6 " 6' 6" high, approximately 9' long curved solid stone wing walls.
2. BDA989-289, Property at 5100 Lobello Drive (the property west of the subject site)

On November 15, 1999, the Board of Adjustment Panel C granted a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 2.5' and imposed the following condition: compliance with the revised site plan/elevation showing a 6.5 foot open wrought iron fence as depicted on page 1-23 of today's briefing handout on this case, dated November 10, 1999 is required.
The case report stated that the applicant's representative submitted a letter and a revised site plan/elevation indicating a maximum 6.5' high open iron fence setback from the property line a varying distances between 9' - 12' (see Attachment D); and that the fence would have an "undulating" appearance so that a number of large existing trees on the site will remain on the street side of the fence.

## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS:

- The requests for special exceptions to the fence standards related to fence height and fence materials focus on completing and maintaining the following on a site developed with a single family home:

1. a $6^{\prime}$ high open wrought iron fence with 6 ' high stone columns with a 6 ' 6 " high open wrought iron entry gate flanked by two, approximately 12' long curved 5' 6' high stone wing walls parallel to the street and perpendicular to the street on the east side in the front yard setback, and a 6' 6" high solid masonry wall perpendicular to the street on the west side in the front yard setback.
2. two, approximately $12^{\prime}$ long curved $5^{\prime}-6$ ' high stone wing walls located as close as on the front lot line parallel to the street, a 6' 6" high solid masonry wall perpendicular to the street on the west side in the front yard setback beginning on the front lot line (or less than 5' from this front lot line).

- The subject site is zoned R-1ac(A).
- Note the following with regard to the request for special exceptions to the fence standards pertaining to the height of the proposed fence in the front yard setback:
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.
- The applicant has submitted a revised site plan and revised elevation of the proposal in the front yard setback with notations indicating that the proposal reaches a maximum height of $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$.
- The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted revised site plan:
- The proposal over 4' in height is represented as being approximately 230' in length parallel to the street, and approximately 40' in length perpendicular to the street on the east and west sides of the site in the front yard setback.
- The fence and gate proposal is represented as being located approximately 0 - 8' from the front property line or approximately 12' -24 ' from the pavement line.
- Note the following with regard to the request for special exception to the fence standards pertaining to the location and materials of the proposed fence:
- The Dallas Development Code states that in single family districts, a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than five feet from the front lot line.
- The applicant has submitted a revised site plan and revised elevation of the fence with fence panels with surface areas that are less than 50 percent open (two, approximately 12' long curved 5' - 6' high stone wing walls) located as close as on the front lot line, and a 6' 6 " high solid masonry wall perpendicular to the street on the west side in the front yard setback beginning on the front lot line (or less than 5' from this front lot line).
- Two single family lots developed with single family structures front the proposed fence, neither with fences in the front yard.
- The Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area (properties along Lobello Drive from Inwood Road on the west to approximately 300 feet to the east of the site) and noted one other fence over 4' in height and in front yard setback. This fence is approximately 6.5' high open metal fence located immediately west that appears to be a result of fence height special exception request granted in 1999: BDA989-289. (See the "Zoning/BDA History" section of this case report for additional details).
- As of May 5, 2017, no letters had been submitted in support of or in opposition to the request.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exceptions to the fence standards related to height over 4' in the front yard setback and materials/height of the proposed fence relative to the front lot line will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting these special exceptions with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted revised site plan and revised elevation would require the proposal exceeding 4 ' in height in the front yard setback and with fence panels with surface areas less than 50 percent open located less than 5 ' from the front lot line to be constructed and maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents.


## Timeline:

February 21, 2017: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

April 11, 2017: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A. This assignment was made in order to comply with Section 9 (k) of the Board of Adjustment Working Rule of Procedure that states, "If a subsequent case is filed concerning the same request, that case must be returned to the panel hearing the previously filed case".

April 11, 2017: The Board Administrator emailed the following information to the applicant's representative:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the April $26^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the May $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

April 27, 2017: The applicant submitted additional documentation on this application to the Board Administrator beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).

May 2, 2017: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for May public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Director of Sustainable Development and Construction, the Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.



From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

Rob Baldwin [rob@baldwinplanning.com](mailto:rob@baldwinplanning.com)
Wednesday, April 26, 2017 4:49 PM
Long, Steve; Duerksen, Todd
Jennifer Hiromoto
FW: Lobello
A1.1V.pdf; A1.2V.pdf

Good afternoon,
Please see the revised plans attached. I have printed off hard copies and we will bring them to Todd first thing in the morning.

Rob

From: Vernon Berry [mailto:vernb@sharif-munir.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 4:19 PM
To: Rob Baldwin [rob@baldwinplanning.com](mailto:rob@baldwinplanning.com)
Cc: Jennifer Hiromoto [iennifer@baldwinplanning.com](mailto:iennifer@baldwinplanning.com)
Subject: RE: Lobello
Please find attached a revised site plan and wall elevations. I have noted where the $6^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ wall exists on the site plan and added an elevation of said wall.

From: Rob Baldwin [mailto:rob@baldwinplanning.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:46 AM
To: Vernon Berry [vernb@sharif-munir.com](mailto:vernb@sharif-munir.com)
Cc: Jennifer Hiromoto [iennifer@baldwinplanning.com](mailto:iennifer@baldwinplanning.com)
Subject: Lobello
Vern,
I went out to the site and the masonry wall is $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ tall between the building setback line and front yard. Can you amend your site plan to show that and include an elevation of the wall. It is solid masonry.

Thank you.
Rob
Rob Baldwin
Baldwin Associates, LLC
(214) 729-7949
rob@baldwinplanning.com
（3）
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## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Data Relative to Subject Property:
Case No.: BDA $\qquad$ $167-053$

Date: February 21, 2017
Location address: 5215 Lobello Drive
Zoning District: R-1AC(A)

Lot No.: 14B Block No.: A/5518 $\qquad$ Acreage: 2.12 acres

Census Tract: 76.05
Street Frontage (in Feet): $\qquad$ 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5)

To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Jianhua Wang and Xu Wu
Applicant: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates Telephone: 214-824-7949

Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Represented by: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates Telephone: 214-824-7949

Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX $\qquad$ Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\qquad$ , or Special Exception $X$, of fence height of 2'6" for a fence in a required front yard and fence panels less than sfrom property line with less then $50 \%$ open surface area

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
The proposed fence will replace dense, tall shrubs that currently obscure the property from the street, which will be more similar to surrounding properties. The appearance of the fence will be softened by shrubs and landscaping. The fence is proposed to be 6'6" and constructed of wrought iron with stone columns.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21 day of
(Affiant/Applicant's signature)
(Rev. 08-01-11)



## Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that

did submit a request
for a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for a special exception to the fence regulations
at 5215 Lobello Drive

BDA167-053. Application of Robert Baldwin for a special exception to the fence height regulations and a special exception to the fence panel regulations at 5215 Lobello Drive. This property is more fully described as Lot 14B, Block A/5518, and is zoned R-1ac(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a fence panel witr surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than 5 feet from thi front lot line. The applicant proposes to construct an 6 foot 6 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 foot 6 inch special exception to the fence regulation, and construct a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 feet from the front lot line, which will require a speci exception to the fence regulation.

Sincerely,




Printed: 12/23/2015

## Legend

| City Limits | rallroad |
| :---: | :---: |
| School | Certified Parcels |
| Flood plain | Base Zoning |
| 5100 Year Flood Zone | PD193 Oak Lawn |
| 3 mill's Creek | Dallas Environmental Corridors |
| - Peak's Branch | SPSD Overlay |
| X Protected by Levee |  |
| $\mathrm{OH}_{3}$ Parks | Deed Restrictions |
| BDA | O) 8 |



This data is to be used for graphical representation only. The accuracy is not to be taken/used as data produced by a Registered Professional Land Surveyor (RPLS) for the State of Texas. 'This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.' (Texas Government Code § 2051. 102)
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# Notification List of Property Owners BDA167-053 

10 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 5214 | ROYAL LN |
| 2 | 5230 | ROYAL LN |
| 3 | 5215 | LOBELLO DR |
| 4 | 5233 | LOBELLO DR |
| 5 | 10710 | INWOOD RD |
| 6 | 5150 | ROYAL LN |
| 7 | 5300 | ROYAL LN |
| 8 | 5138 | LOBELLO DR |
| 9 | 5214 | LOBELLO DR |
| 10 | 5230 | LOBELLO DR |

Owner
THOMPSON BRUCE T \&
MENTER MARTIN ALAN \&
WANG JIANHUA \&
JONES JERRY \& ELLEN
JAIN VINAY DR \&
AMMON IRENE
VARIA HITEN \& SHERNAZ H
GOLDABER KENNETH G \&
TAYLOR STEPHEN COX
COGGINS PAUL E \& REGINA MONTOYA

FILE NUMBER: BDA167-054(JM)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Nathan Russo for a special exception to the fence standards at 9323 Sunnybrook Lane. This property is more fully described as Lot 5, Block 13/5586, and is zoned R-1ac(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a 6 foot 8 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 foot 8 inch special exception to the fence standards.

LOCATION: 9323 Sunnybrook Lane
APPLICANT: Nathan Russo

## REQUEST:

The following request has been made on a site that is developed with a single family use:

1. A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 2 foot 8 inches is made to construct and maintain a 6 foot high open wrought iron fence with two 22 foot 4 inch wide gates up to 6 feet 8 inches in height in the 40 ' Sunnybrook Lane front yard setback.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (special exception):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence height regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
North: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)
South: R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
East: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)
West: $\quad$ R-1ac(A) (Single family district 1 acre)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family structure. The areas to the north, south, and west are developed with single family uses; and the area to the east is undeveloped.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA156-096, Property at 4815 Brookview Drive (east of the subject site)

On September 21, 2016, the Board of Adjustment Panel B denied the following without prejudice:

1. A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of up to 25 ' is made to construct and maintain a single family structure and spa structure, part of which would be located as close as 15 ' from the one of the site's two front property lines (Sunnybrook Lane) or as much as 25 ' into this 40 ' front yard setback.
2. A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 6 ' is made to construct and maintain a 10' high solid "CMU garden wall with stucco finish" fence in the 40' Sunnybrook Lane front yard setback.

On January 20, 2015, the Board of Adjustment Panel A granted a request for a special exception to fence height regulations of 4' and imposed the submitted revised site plan and revised elevation as a condition to the request.
The case report stated that the request was made to construct and maintain an 8' high limestone veneer masonry fence towards the northwest of the property, a 6'-2" high painted steel fence between 2 evergreen hedges towards the west and south sides of the property, one 6'-2" high painted steel service gate towards the south of the property, and one 8' high painted steel vehicular gate towards the northwest of the property, parallel and perpendicular to Sunny Brook Lane, in the 40' required front yard on a site developed with a single family home/use.
3. BDA88-054, Property at 9346 Sunnybrook Lane (the property northeast of the subject site)

On May 10, 1998, the Board of Adjustment granted a request for a special exception to fence height regulations of 6 ' and imposed the following condition: "subject to a revised elevation and landscape plan and site plan to be approved."
The case report stated that the request was made to construct and maintain a wrought iron picket fence with 8' high gates and columns with light fixtures on top.

## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS (special exception):

- This request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 2' 8 " focuses on constructing and maintaining a 6 foot high open wrought iron fence with two 22 foot 4 inch wide gates up to 6 feet 8 inches in height in the 40' Sunnybrook Lane front yard setback.
- The subject site is zoned $R-1 a c(A)$ which requires a 40 ' front yard setback.
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.
- A scaled site plan and fence elevation has been submitted indicating a fence proposal that will reach 10' in height in the 40' Sunnybrook Lane front yard setback.
- The applicant has submitted a site plan and elevation of the proposal in the front yard setback with notations indicating that the proposal reaches a maximum height of 6' 8".
- The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:
o The proposal over 4' in height is represented as being approximately 192' in length parallel to the street on the south side of the site in the front yard setback.
o The total length includes two 22' 4" wide gates.
- The proposal is located across from two undeveloped lots, one of which has a visible fence over 4' in height in the front yard setback, the second of which proposed a fence higher than 4 ' in the front yard setback in 2016, but was denied by BDA Panel B (BDA88-054 and BDA156-096, respectively).
- The Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area along Sunnybrook Lane (from Brookview Drive north to Deloache Avenue) and noted four other fences that appeared to be above 4' in height:

1. An approximately 6 ' high open wrought iron picket fence was noted to the south of the site with no recorded BDA history.
2. A brick fence higher than 4' was noted to the west of the site with no recorded BDA history.
3. An approximately 6 ' high open wrought iron picket fence was noted northeast that appeared to be a result of a fence height special exception granted by the Board in 1998: BDA88-054 (see the "Zoning/BDA History" section of this case report for further details).
4. An open wrought iron fence with brick columns higher than 4' and a chainlink fence with brick columns higher than 4' were noted northeast of the site with no recorded BDA history.

- As of May 5, 2017, no letters have been submitted in support of or in opposition to the request.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence height regulations of 5 ' will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting this special exception request of 2' 8" with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevation would require the proposal exceeding 4' in height in the Sunnybrook Lane front yard setback to be constructed and maintained in the location and of the heights and material as shown on these documents.


## Timeline:

February 22, 2017: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

April 11, 2017: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A. This assignment was made in order to comply with Section $9(k)$ of the Board of Adjustment Working Rule of Procedure that states, "If a subsequent case is filed concerning the same request, that case must be returned to the panel hearing the previously filed case".

April 19, 2017: The Board Administrator emailed the following information to the applicant's representative:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the April $26^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the May $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

May 2, 2017: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for May public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Director of Sustainable Development and Construction, the Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction

Department Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.



APPLICATION／APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

$$
\text { Case No.: BDA } 167.054
$$

Data Relative to Subject Property：
Date： $\qquad$ 02－22－17

Location address： $\qquad$ 9323 Sunagbrook Lane Zoning District：$R-100$（A）
Lot No．： $\qquad$ 5 Block No．： $13 / 5586$ Acreage： $\qquad$ 3.2 Census Tract： 206.00

Street Frontage（in Feet）：1） $\qquad$ 194.2 2） $\qquad$ 3） $\qquad$ 4） $\qquad$ 5） $\qquad$
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment ：
Owner of Property（per Warranty Deed）： $\qquad$ GarciA
$\qquad$ PO BOX 515903 qatar，IX Zip Code： $\qquad$ $5 / 5250$
Applicant： $\qquad$ Telephone： $\qquad$ $254-2148145$

Mailing Address：
$\qquad$ Hathan（1）detain PR．com
E－mail Address： Nathan Russo
$\qquad$ Telephone： $254 /-2148$ グノワ
Mailing Address： $\qquad$ Po Box $5 / 5 \mathrm{r} 103$ Dallas，ix Zip Code：75250
E－mail Address： $\qquad$ nathan\＆durkinRfe．corl
$\qquad$
Represented by
$\qquad$ Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance＿，or Special Exception＿，of morita a 2
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance＿，or Special Exception＿，of morita a 2
Fence across front gard．fence will be of ca root，see through．
Application is made to the Board of Adgtastment，in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code，to grant the described appeal for the following reason：

Open rod Fence；neal fence will not hinater sisability frow The street．

Note to Applicant：If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment，a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board，unless the Board specifically grants a longer period．

Affidavit
Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ Nathan Russo
（Affiant／Applicant＇s name printed）
who on（his／her）oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his／her best knowledge and that he／she is the owner／or principal／or authorized representative of the subject property．

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $23^{10}$ day of

（Affiant／Applicant＇s signature）

иешлечэ


## Building Official's Report

I hereby certify that • Nathan Russo
did submit a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations
at 9323 Sunnybrook Lane

BDA167-054. Application of Nathan Russo for a special exception to the fence height regulations at 9323 Sunnybrook Lane. This property is more fully described as Lot 5, Blocl $13 / 5586$, and is zoned $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 fer The applicant proposes to construct a 6 foot 8 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 foot 8 inch special exception to the fence regulation.

Sincerely,

http://gis.cod'sdc_duvdata/
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# Notification List of Property Owners BDA167-054 

## 11 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 4701 | MIRON DR |
| 2 | 4717 | MIRON DR |
| 3 | 9323 | SUNNY BROOK LN |
| 4 | 4747 | MIRON DR |
| 5 | 4727 | MIRON DR |
| 6 | 4815 | BROOKVIEW DR |
| 7 | 9301 | SUNNY BROOK LN |
| 8 | 9335 | SUNNY BROOK LN |
| 9 | 9345 | SUNNY BROOK LN |
| 10 | 9355 | SUNNY BROOK LN |
| 11 | 9246 | SUNNY BROOK LN |

Owner
MIRON PROPERTY LLC
EASON KENNETH \&
STOOL GERALD H \&
SINCLAIR ROBERT E \&
SINCLAIR ROBERT E \& MARY LOUISE
SUTHERLAND DAVID \& ANN LIV TRUST
POTTS DONALD C \& SARA SUE
LYNCH HARRY H
KANE MARK E \& SHARON L
GILBERT DAVID W \&
MCCARTHY STEPHEN JR \&

FILE NUMBER: BDA167-063(SL)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Robert Baldwin of Baldwin and Associates for a special exception to the fence standards at 3815 Oak Lawn Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 1A, Block 6/1565, and is zoned PD 193 (MF-2), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain an 11 foot 2 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 7 foot 2 inch special exception to the fence standards.

## LOCATION: 3815 Oak Lawn Avenue

APPLICANT: Robert Baldwin of Baldwin and Associates

## REQUEST:

A request for a special exception to the fence standards related to height of 72 " is made to construct and maintain a "fence" (an 11' 6" long, 11' 2" high pedestrian gate/archway and 5' high metal posts with finials to an approximately 3' 8" high open metal fence) higher than 4' in height in the site's front yard setback on a site developed with a church/private school (Holy Trinity Catholic Church and Holy Trinity Catholic School).

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence standards since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ PD 193 (MF-2) (Planned Development, Multifamily)
North: PD 193 (MF-2) (Planned Development, Multifamily)
South: PD 8 (Planned Development)
East: PD 193 (MF-2) (Planned Development, Multifamily)
West: PD 193 (MF-2) (Planned Development, Multifamily)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a church/private school (Holy Trinity Catholic Church and Holy Trinity Catholic School). The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed with mix of residential and nonresidential uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS:

- The request for a special exception to the fence standards related to height of 7' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ focuses on constructing and maintaining an 11' 6" long, 11' 2" high pedestrian gate/archway and 5' high metal posts/finials to an approximately 3' 8" high open metal fence in the site's front yard setback on a site developed with a church/private school (Holy Trinity Catholic Church and Holy Trinity Catholic School).
- The subject site is zoned PD 193 (MF-2 Subdistrict) which requires a 15' front yard setback.
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.
- A revised site plan/elevation has been submitted of the proposal with notations indicating that the proposal reaches a maximum height of 11' 2 ".
- The submitted revised site plan represents the following:
- The "archway" proposal is represented as being approximately 11' 6" in length parallel to the street.
- The "archway" proposal is represented as being located approximately on the front property line or approximately 10' from the pavement line.
- The submitted revised site plan and elevation represents that the other component of the proposal (5' high metal posts with finials to an approximately 3' 8' high open metal fence) is approximately 180' in length parallel to the street, on the property line, and approximately 10 ' from the pavement line.
- No single family lot fronts the proposal.
- The Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area and noted no fences that appeared to be above 4' in height and located in a front yard setback.
- As of May 5, 2017, no letters had been submitted in support of or in opposition to the request.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence standards of 7 ' 2 " will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting this special exception of 7' 2" with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted revised site plan and revised elevation would require the proposal exceeding 4' in height in the front yard setback to be constructed and
maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents.


## Timeline:

March 13, 2017: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

April 11, 2017: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

April 11, 2017: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant the following information:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the April $26^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and May $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

April 26, 2017: The applicant submitted additional documentation on this application to the Board Administrator beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).

May 2, 2017: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for May public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Director of Sustainable Development and Construction, the Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.



## Long, Steve

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

Jennifer Hiromoto [jennifer@baldwinplanning.com](mailto:jennifer@baldwinplanning.com)
Wednesday, April 26, 2017 2:04 PM
Duerksen, Todd; Long, Steve
Rob Baldwin
BDA167-063 3815 Oak Lawn
04252017_HolyTrinityCatholicChurchFENCE-24 x 36_FENCEELEVATIONS.pdf; 04252017
_HolyTrinityCatholicChurchFENCE-24 x 36_SITEPLAN.pdf

Good afternoon,
We are bringing you guys copies of the attached plans to include the decorative columns for the fence. Vicki will drop Todd's copy off at the 105 front desk.

Thanks,
Jennifer

Jennifer Hiromoto
Baldwin Associates
3904 Elm Street Suite B
Dallas, TX 75226
Office: 214-824-7949
Cell: 469-275-2414




City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Case No.: BDA $\qquad$
Data Relative to Subject Property:
Date: March 13, 2017
Location address: 3815 Oak Lawn Ave
Zoning District: $\qquad$
Lot No.: 1A
Block No.: 6/1565 $\qquad$ Acreage: 4.72 acres Census Tract: 6.06

Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) $\qquad$ 2) SOD Ft. 3) ClOFt 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$

## To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :

Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): $\qquad$
Applicant: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates Telephone: 214-824-7949

Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Represented by: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates $\qquad$ Telephone: 214-824-7949

Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX Zip Code: 75226
E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\qquad$ , or Special Exception X , of $\qquad$ once height

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason: An open wrought iron pedestrian gate of about four feet in height with a decorative archway of $11^{\prime \prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ in height is proposed on the property line. The proposed archway complements the church and does not adversely affect surrounding properties.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Respectfully submitted:


Notary Public in and for Dallas County, Texas

# Chairman <br>  

Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that Robert Baldwin

did submit a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations
at 3815 Oak Lawn Avenue

BDA167-063. Application of Robert Baldwin for a special exception to the fence height regulations at 3815 Oak Lawn Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 1A, Block 6/1565, and is zoned PD-193 (MF-2), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet. The applicant proposes to construct an 11 foot 2 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 7 foot 2 inch special exception to the fence regulation.

Sincerely,



## Legend

|  | $\sim$ raltroad |
| :---: | :---: |
| 風 School | $\square$ cerriee Parcels |
| Foodolaln | Base zonng |
| $\underbrace{100}$ Year flood zone | $\square^{\text {pol } 193 \text { Oak lawn }}$ |
| E. mills creek | Dallas Environmental Corrtaors |
| Peak's Branch | sps |
| T Procectee by Levee |  |
| 0 Parks | Oeed Restrctions |


| Dry Overray | $\square$ co subalistrcts |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square_{0}$ | - po subdistricts |
|  |  |
|  | PDS Subdistriets |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CP} \\ & \mathrm{sp} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ nso subdistricts |
| $\square$ mD overiay | nso_overlay |
| Histortc Subdistricts | Escarpment Overlay |
| Historc OVerlay |  |
| $9^{\text {H1 }}$ Height Map Overray | Shaychert: Overay |

This data is to be used for graphical representation only. The accuracy is not to be taken/used as data produced by a Registered Professional Land Surveyor (RPLS) for the State of Texas. 'This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.' (Texas Government Code § 2051.102)



# Notification List of Property Owners BDA167-063 

## 114 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3845 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 2 | 3815 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 3 | 3802 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 4 | 3725 | BLACKBURN ST |
| 5 | 3800 | IRVING AVE |
| 6 | 3905 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 7 | 3911 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 8 | 4211 | IRVING AVE |
| 9 | 3907 | GILBERT AVE |
| 10 | 3900 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 11 | 3738 | OAK LAWN AVE |
| 12 | 3819 | GILBERT AVE |
| 13 | 3819 | GILBERT AVE |
| 14 | 3819 | GILBERT AVE |
| 15 | 3819 | GILBERT AVE |
| 16 | 3925 | GILBERT AVE |
| 17 | 3925 | GILBERT AVE |
| 18 | 3925 | GILBERT AVE |
| 19 | 3925 | GILBERT AVE |
| 20 | 3925 | GILBERT AVE |
| 21 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 22 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 23 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 24 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 25 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 26 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
|  |  |  |

Owner
SALEHOUN SHAHAB \& ZOHREH MALEK S
HOLY TRINITY PARISH
PROSPERITY BANK
ROMAN CATH DIOCESE DALLAS
TC PROPCO I LP
OAKLAWN P \& J LLC
ESMAILI M REZA \&
SOUTHWESTERN BELL
TJ PRIME PPTIES LLC
MESSINA HOOPER FAMILY ENTERPRISES LTD
LA GRANGE ACQUISITION
BENSON MELISSA L
BORSCHOW MICHAEL A
CLINCHY MARISSA A
PALLA MARK J
COMER KYMBERLY A
GALAFASSI ANTONIO JOSE \&
BAKER SCOTT K \& DORIS LUFT
SHAW L EDWARD
MARKS BRENDA L \& G PAUL HOWES
INTERVEST LEGACY LTD
RICHARDSON AARON D
NGUYEN QUAN \&
ORNSTEIN SHARON REVOCABLE TRUST THE
STRODER RYAN A
MILLER ANTHONY

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 28 | 3911 | GILBERT AVE |
| 29 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 30 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 31 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 32 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 33 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 34 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 35 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 36 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 37 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 38 | 3827 | GILBERT AVE |
| 39 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 40 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 41 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 42 | 3827 | GILBERT AVE |
| 43 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 44 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 45 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 46 | 3827 | GILBERT AVE |
| 47 | 3825 | GILBERT AVE |
| 48 | 3905 | GILBERT AVE |
| 49 | 3905 | GILBERT AVE |
| 50 | 3905 | GILBERT AVE |
| 51 | 3905 | GILBERT AVE |
| 52 | 3905 | GILBERT AVE |
| 53 | 3905 | GILBERT AVE |
| 54 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 55 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 56 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 57 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
|  |  |  |

## Owner

EILAND PETER T \& ASHLEY CROW
LOUD MARK R
DRIVER MARY A
HUNTER TANA L
ACOX TIMOTHY W
PYEATT MILDRED
BINFORD JOSEPH INGRAM \& ANN COLLINS
RBT AMERICAN REALTY LLC
GREWING CURTIS L EST OF
WALLS SEANS
CASTILLO DOMINGO Y
RIVERA JENNIFER
HUMPHRIES JOHN F JR
RATLIFF HARVEY L
SHERMAN LESLY
MERRIMAN GEORGE R
SRO HOLDING GROUP LLC
MCCARTHY KATHLEEN M
CLARK JAMES \&
CLARK THOMAS A
VANDEVER KENNETH RYAN \& ALISON
THOMAS KEN
DAVIS KASSI
ROBERTS K GARY
BJORNNES ALEXANDRA L
STEWART KEITH LEE JR
LEDDY CHARLES D
HAYNES DAVID D
RAMJEET JACOB V
POWERS LISA A \& ROBERT H
TUCKER MICHAEL \& GAYLE

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 59 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 60 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 61 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 62 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 63 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 64 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 65 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 66 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 67 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 68 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 69 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 70 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 71 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 72 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 73 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 74 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 75 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 76 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 77 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 78 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 79 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 80 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 81 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 82 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 83 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 84 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 85 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 86 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 87 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 88 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 6 |  |  |

## Owner

IVY CHARLES DAVID
DREES VIKTORIJA H
MARTIN PHILIP ANTONY
WALSH MITCHELL
LIU XIU \& JINGJING
MAO CAROLYN
BILLINGSLEA BILLY BRENT \&
GEORGE LINCY E
SEELEY EVAN C
BINFORD OSWALD \&
BROWN MICHAEL \& BRANDY DIETZ
PUSTMUELLER JENNIFER P
THAM WILSON C
BARTEL DANNY R
SHERIDAN CHARLES E
GRENOT FRANCE
HALL ZACHARY A
MEUSCHKE SARAH
WSD ENTERPRISES LTD
MARTIN PHILIP ANTHONY
DUBAL RAJAL
ANDRADE ARTHUR
SANCHEZ LISA HARRELL
PETRUCCI ANGELA
WINTERS GLENN \& PEBBLE
CLEMENTS CAROL A
BUREAU PAUL L \& MARY H
TING SIMON
VETTERICK STUART P
JASSO ROLANDO M \& ADRIANA S
PURI MONA

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 3922 | GILBERT AVE |
| 90 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 91 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 92 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 93 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 94 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 95 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 96 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 97 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 98 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 99 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 100 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 101 | 3801 | GILBERT AVE |
| 102 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 103 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 104 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 105 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 106 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 107 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 108 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 109 | 3817 | GILBERT AVE |
| 110 | 3919 | GILBERT AVE |
| 111 | 3919 | GILBERT AVE |
| 112 | 3919 | GILBERT AVE |
| 113 | 3919 | GILBERT AVE |
| 114 | 3919 | GILBERT AVE |

## Owner

BRAHMAMDAM PAVAN
COCANOUGHER CATHERINE PFEIFFER JULIE

MCDANIEL CRAIG C
SURI TANVIR SINGH
DIXON PHILLIP T
LEMMON J RICHARD
ECHOLS BRUCE ALAN
DUNCKLEY RUSSELL A \& HANSEN DAVID

HODGES ROBERT R
SMITH MELVIN A
HARLIN WILLIAM TED
PATINO DANIEL ISAMU
DESORMEAUX CELESTE
WAGNER WALKER
PULIDO RUPERT
MCGILL SONJA
SCHULZ RONALD MARK
JADALI KEVIN
COLLIE CLAYTON CHARLES
MIRICK FRED T
ASHLEY CALEB
STAKER CHELSEA
MEEK BENJAMIN S
MCMILLAN MICHAEL

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Michael Oppedisano for a variance to the lot coverage regulations at 5421 Richard Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 25, Block 20/1940, and is zoned CD 15, which requires that all structures may not exceed $40 \%$ maximum lot coverage. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a structure which will exceed the maximum lot coverage and which will require a 360 square foot variance (+/-4.6\%) to the lot coverage regulations.

## LOCATION: 5421 Richard Avenue

APPLICANT: Michael Oppedisano

## REQUEST:

A request for a variance to the lot coverage regulations of 360 square feet or approximately 4.6 percent is made to construct and maintain an addition to an existing single family home which would exceed the required 40 percent maximum lot coverage on the subject site.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

The Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, offstreet parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done;
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Denial

Rationale:

- Staff concluded that the applicant had not substantiated how the variance to the lot coverage regulations was necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same CD 15 zoning district.
- Staff concluded that the variance should be denied because there was no property hardship to the site that warranted a variance to the lot coverage regulations. The applicant had not demonstrated to staff how the features of the site (which is rectangular in shape and at 7,800 square feet is of similar size as others in the zoning district) have precluded it from being developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same CD 15 zoning classification - the site is currently developed with a single family home with approximately 4,000 square feet of living area that complies with the Dallas Development Code.


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ CD 15 (Conservation District)
North: $\quad$ CD 15 (Conservation District)
South: CD 15 (Conservation District)
East: CD 15 (Conservation District)
West: $\quad$ CD 15 (Conservation District)

## Land Use:

The subject site is currently developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The request for a variance to the lot coverage regulations of 360 square feet or approximately 4.6 percent focuses on constructing and maintaining an addition to an existing single family home exceeding the required 40 percent maximum lot coverage on the subject site.
- CD 15 provides the following:
- Lot coverage. Maximum lot coverage is 40 percent for new and existing houses. Maximum lot coverage is 45 percent for original houses.
- Original house means a main building that existed on a lot as of December 31, 1939.
- According to DCAD records, the "main improvement" for property addressed at 5421 Richard Avenue is a structure built in 2007 with 3,915 square feet of total/living area with the following "additional improvements": a 400 square foot attached garage and a pool.
- The application states that a variance is made for building an addition to overcome a restrictive slope which will exceed the 40 percent maximum lot coverage in a conservation district by 353.34 square feet of 4.53 percent.
- The submitted site plan denotes the building footprint of the existing structure and proposed addition. The plan notes an area "existing garage area to be converted into AC area ( 393 sq ft )" and an area "garage addition area $363 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft"}$.
- The submitted site plan makes the following notations:
- Existing house slab: 3,005 square feet
- New addition slab: 468 square feet
- Total final house slab: 3,473 square feet
- Total lot area: 7,800 square feet
- Existing lot coverage: 38.53 percent
- New lot coverage: 44.53 percent
- Maximum lot coverage: 40 percent
- Surplus lot coverage: 4.53 percent
- A document has been submitted by an engineer stating that "We propose that a garage adjacent to and behind the existing garage be constructed at a +11 " difference elevation; thus resulting in positive drainage towards the alley per Drawing C2. It is important that proper curbing and swales be included in the final construction documents that ensure that lot to lot drainage is avoided. Attached are drawings C1 an C2 which include the present elevations and proposed elevations respectively."
- Documents have been submitted that show existing and proposed elevations. The existing elevations document represents a -2.0 " drop/pitch on the north side of the existing garage; the proposed elevations document represents a +8.0 " raise on the north side of the proposed garage.
- The applicant has submitted a document that states among other things that how the restrictive slope on the site results in flooding to the existing garage and that there is a negative pitch from the alley down the driveway and to the garage; that regarding the negative pitch to improve drainage is not feasible; and that granting this variance will allow for the addition of a new garage that is elevated which will then allow for proper pitch away from the house and prevent further flooding and damage to the existing structure.
- The applicant has provided a table of 8 other properties adjacent in CD 15 where the average "total living square feet" is 4,145; and the proposed "total living square feet" on the site is 4,275 .
- From what could be seen of the subject site from a field visit conducted by the Board Administrator in April of 2017, the site is flat, rectangular in shape, and 7,800 square feet in area. The site is zoned CD 15 but prior to its creation in 2006, the property had been zoned R-7.5(A) where lots are typically 7,500 square feet in area.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- That granting the variance to the lot coverage regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same CD 15 zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same CD 15 zoning classification.
- If the Board were to grant the lot coverage variance request, and impose the submitted site plan as a condition, the building footprint of the structure on the site would be limited to what is shown on this document.


## Timeline:

February 17, 2017: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

April 11, 2017: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

April 11, 2017: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant the following information:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the April $26^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and May $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."
April 24, 2017: The Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist forwarded a revised Building Official's Report to the Board Administrator (see Attachment A).

April 28, 2017: The applicant submitted additional documentation on this application to the Board Administrator beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment B).

May 2, 2017: $\quad$ The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for May public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Director of Sustainable Development and Construction, the Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.
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## Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that Michael Oppedisano

did submit a request for a variance to the maximum lot coverage regulations
at 5421 Richard Avenue

BDA167-055. Application of Michael Oppedisano for a variance to the maximum lot coverage regulations at 5421 Richard Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 25 , Block 20/1940, and is zoned CD-15, which requires that all structures may not exceed $40 \%$ maximum lot coverage. The applicant proposes to construct a single family residentia structure which will exceed the maximum lot coverage and which will require a 360 square foot variance $(+/-4.6 \%)$ to the lot coverage area regulation.

Sincerely,


APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE TO THE LOT COVERAGE REGULATION 5421 RICHARD AVENUE
MICHAEL OPPEDISANO
BDA167-055
LOT 25, BLOCK 20/1940 CD-15

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF ADJUSTORS:
IN ADDITION TO THE STANDARD APPLICATION I WOULD LIKE TO INCLUDE A FEW ITEMS TO ILLUSTRATE THE EXISTING ISSUE AND PROSPOSED SOLUTION FOR THIS PROBLEM, I.E. A RESTRICTIVE SLOPE THAT RESULTS IN FLOODING INTO THE EXISTING GARAGE.

ASSESMENT OF ITEM 1: ENGINEERS RENDERING OF THE EXISTING GARAGE, THE EXISTING DRIVEWAY AND THE ALLEY-
DEMONSTRATING A NEGATIVE PITCH FROM THE ALLEY DOWN THE DRIVE WAY AND TO THE GARAGE.

ITEM 2: 5421 RICHARD AVE DRIVEWAY: PHOTOGRAPHS ILLUSTRATE THAT THE NEGATIVE PTICH CAUSES POOLING OF RAINWATER WHICH AT TIMES OF HEAVY RAIN POOLS INTO THE GARAGE, REGARDLESS OF THE EXISTING DRAINAGE.
THESE PHOTOS ALSO ILLUSTRATE THAT, IN ADDITION TO THE NEGATIVE PITCH, THE DRIVEWAY IS RECESSED IN RELATION TO THE HEIGHT OF THE PROPERTY ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE DRIVEWAY WHICH FURTHER EXACERBATES THIS ISSUE. AS THE PROPERTY HEIGHT CORRESPONDS TO THE PROPERTY HEIGHT OF THE NEIGHBORS ADJACENT PORPERTY, THAT MATURE TREES OCCUPY THIS LAND AND THAT VARIOUS POOL EQUIPMENT IS LOCATED ON THAT SIDE OF THE PROPERTY, REGRADING THIS TO A LEVEL BELOW THE DRIVEWAY IN AN ATTEMPT TO IMPROVE DRAINAGE IS NOT FEASIBLE.

ITEM 3: 5323 GOODWIN HOME: THE HOUSE ON 5323 GOODWIN WAS BUILT BY THE SAME BUILDER WHO BUILT MY HOME, THE HOUSE IS LOCATED IS CD-15 AND IS THE EXACT FLOOR PLAN AS MY HOME ON 5421 RICHARD AVE.

THE PHOTOS ILLUSTRATE THAT THE DIFFERENCE IN LOT SLOPE WITH ALL OTHER FACTORS REMAINING EQUAL, ALLOWED FOR THE CREATION OF A DRIVEWAY WITH A NEGATIVE PITCH TOWARDS THE ALLEY. AS A RESULT, THESE HOMEOWNERS HAVE NEVER HAD AN ISSUE WITH WATER DRAINING OR FLOODING OF THEIR GARAGE.

GIVEN THE EXISTANCE OF THE RESTRICTIVE SLOPE, WE PRESENT THE BOARD WITH A REQUEST TO GRANT A VARIANCE TO CONSTRUCT AND MAINTAIN A STRUCTURE THAT WILL EXCEED THE MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE BY 360 SQUARE FEET TO THE LOT COVERAGE REGULATIONS. THE VARIANCE WILL ALLOW FOR THE ADDITION OF A NEW GARAGE THAT IS ELEVATED AS INDICATED IN ITEM 1 WHICH WILL THEN ALLOW FOR PROPER PITCH AWAY FROM THE HOUSE AND PREVENT FURTHER FLOODING AND DAMAGE OF THIS EXISTING STRUCTURE IN A MANNER THAT IS COMMENSURATE WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF OTHER LOTS IN CD-15.

ITEM 4: CONSERVATION DISTRICT 15- IS A LISTING OF NINE HOMES IN CD-15. THE PURPOSE OF THIS INFORMATION IS TO SIMPLY ILLUSTRATE THAT THE GOAL OF THE VARIANCE IS NOT TO OVERDEVELOP THE LOT WITH THE ADDITION OF EXCESSIVE SQUARE FOOTAGE, RATHER TO STAY BELOW THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF SIMILAR HOMES IN CD-15 AND SIMPLY ADDRESS A N ONGOING PROBLEM.

I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION IN THIS MATTER AND LOOK FORWARD TO MEETING WITH YOU IN MAY,

REGARDS,
MICHAEL OPPEDISANO


FOR: MICHAEL OPPEDISANO
DATE: APRIL 24, 2017
5421 RICHARD AVENUE
DALLAS, TEXAS 75206
REF: ALLEY DRAINAGE 5421 RICHARD AVENUE DALLAS, TEXAS 75206

## REGRADE LETTER (RL8)

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

I HAVE ASSESSED THE DRAINAGE ISSUE BEHIND THE PROPERTY AT REFERENCED ADDRESS AND HEREBY PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING SOLUTION:

WE PROPOSE THAT A GARAGE ADJACENT TO AND BEHIND THE EXISTING GARAGE BE CONSTRUCTED AT A +11" DIFFERENCE ELEVATION; THUS RESULTING IN POSITIVE DRAINAGE TOWARDS ALLEY PER OUR DRAWING CZ. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT PROPER CURBING AND SWALES BE INCLUDED IN FINAL CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS TO ENSURE THAT LOT TO LOT DRAINAGE IS AVOIDED.

ATTACHED ARE DRAWINGS CI AND CZ WHICH INCLUDE THE PRESENT ELEVATIONS AND PROPOSED ELEVATIONS RESPECTIVELY.

LET US KNOW HOW WE CAN ASSIST IN ANY FUTURE DESIGNS.

REGARDS,


LUIS ARMANDO ESCAMILLA, P.E. REDLINE ENGINEERING
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| ADDGESS | MAIN LIVING SOET | ADDITIONAL LIVING SQ | TOTAL LIVING SOFT | Columin | Column 6 | Columnz | Coliums | Columin | Columnio | Columin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S C I D AV |  | IM.M. Mid | 1 m |  | 1/W | ta | 13 | 16 | TV. | 12. | - M |
| 5421 gCHARD AVE | $\square 3,915$ | PROPOSED 360 | PROPOSED FINAL 4, 275 |  |  |  | 주% | , | , | ,mar.entas |  |
| 5130 SOODWIN AVE |  |  |  | W, 3 |  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{1 \times \mathrm{L}}$ |  |  | F+W | [. + + + |
| 5412 Goodwin Ave | 4,438 |  | $\square \quad 4,438$ |  |  |  | Nax.... | . | 1. | , +3.3. | 12x.eraver |
| 5509 Millier AVE |  | wixuy | $3 \times 1+433801$ | $1 \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{C}+$ | Hax |  |  | - | 17. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | $1 \times$ | + +1 |
| 5425 VICKERY PLACE | 4,465 |  | 4,465 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | H. | - + . | 12mex | 1. | 4. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ + |
| 5463 VICKERY PLACE | IT. |  | ITY + , 4,977 | 1 W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5630 VICKERY PLACE | $\square$ 4,516 |  | 4,526 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 4.4.3 $=$ | (13.4. |
| 5506 VICKERY PEAGE . | 11. | $\sqrt{3} \times$ | W, 4, 4,3061 | (tax.e. |  |  | 2.4. 4 . |  |  | 1/…… | $\square$ |
| 5311 VICKERY PLACE | 4,792 | 1,290 | 6,083 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 2 4.4 |
|  | ITM |  | D......y |  |  |  |  | 1.4 | 10, | 1. $\times$ + | C.an |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| + + $\square^{+}$ | $4=4$ |  | Y+a, | 1 | $1 \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{Na}$ |  | \% | -7xay | 2) |  | + +1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. + - + - | U. |  | lwaz. | 14. | 1.:W |  | 2. |  | 12. |  | Ca |
| 4 | W+12+ |  | 2. | 2+4.4. |  |  | $1 \times$ |  |  |  | 930 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.4.a.u.x.…] | 1 W.a. | $1 \times 1$ |  | [4]+4. | 4-7x+3 | 17. | 11.4. 4 | 3 | + 4 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1+$, | 1-3.+. | $1 \times$ | C. | 1+30.4 | W + - | 3 W, | 1+N+ | 1 x W + | thas |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | $\pm$ | 12, - |  | T 3 | 14 ${ }^{3}$ | - | $\cdots \times$ | 4, | ICax ${ }^{2}$ |  | T, + |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C, $\quad$ M |  |  |  | 2.]. | 2uthex | 1 [. + , |  | 36. ${ }^{4}$ + |  | , |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | + | +(a+1) | INa. $+1+3$ | E.ax | 13x+1. | 1). ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | +1.at | 12, -3.ax | 14xay |  | +6, + + |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4. 4 | 4. 4.4 | 2ax | 14.x.x. | + |  | 17, | $1 \times$ | W |  |
| $\square$ | $4 \times 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4, | 2man | \% |  |
|  | Wand.ald | " | W+N.W | 2-3 | U- | $\cdots$ | 14, | 10, | T-mo. |  | , |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 44.4. | Cexay |  | $12+3$ | 1. 1 +439 | 120.4.4 | 1wixar | $1 \times$ | 1fx | 2. ${ }^{2}$ | +ㄴ+ |
| TM, M M , M, |  |  | W, +2x | $\mathrm{CW} \times$ |  | + |  |  | 11. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1+2+4,4=3$ |  | Nymatas |
|  | 1 Ln U. | WWN+U3 | Wav.avax | +30.4.4. | 19 | +1-4 | W, | : | 13:*** | . | $\cdots$ |
| $\square \times \mathrm{Cl}$ | 12x+1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $-10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.34: | I |
|  |  | +51+1 | $1 \mathrm{Ca} \times 1 \times$ | Exay |  | -3m | + $=$ - | 11234.4 | U- | 1. | W - +4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +4, | W.a.x.a. | H-4x | Nu.3. | Waxim | 14.4 | Wuxamu | 4- | 17x | 4 | -2... | - + ] |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. 4.4 | 4xamixamex dix | 2-4. |  |  | 1ex.ax | 2. 4 |  |  | 1. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wix. |  | - |  | 2x |  | $\square$ | 110 |  |  | 4, | T, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \square \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 6 \sqrt{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |



# Notification List of Property Owners BDA167-055 

## 29 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 5421 | RICHARD AVE |
| 2 | 5417 | RICHARD AVE |
| 3 | 5402 | WILLIS AVE |
| 4 | 5404 | WILLIS AVE |
| 5 | 5410 | WILLIS AVE |
| 6 | 5414 | WILLIS AVE |
| 7 | 5418 | WILLIS AVE |
| 8 | 5420 | WILLIS AVE |
| 9 | 5424 | WILLIS AVE |
| 10 | 5430 | WILLIS AVE |
| 11 | 5434 | WILLIS AVE |
| 12 | 5438 | WILLIS AVE |
| 13 | 5439 | RICHARD AVE |
| 14 | 5433 | RICHARD AVE |
| 15 | 5429 | RICHARD AVE |
| 16 | 5425 | RICHARD AVE |
| 17 | 5415 | RICHARD AVE |
| 18 | 5411 | RICHARD AVE |
| 19 | 5407 | RICHARD AVE |
| 20 | 5405 | RICHARD AVE |
| 21 | 5402 | RICHARD AVE |
| 22 | 5410 | RICHARD AVE |
| 23 | 5414 | RICHARD AVE |
| 24 | 5418 | RICHARD AVE |
| 25 | 5422 | RICHARD AVE |
| 26 | 5424 | RICHARD AVE |

## Owner

OPPEDISANO MICHAEL TR
ADAM RONALD S
FLORES GENEVA A
LEAL RACHEL
FERRARI RANDY K \& SUZANNE M
BARNEY ROBERT W
BERRY DARLA K CARTER
MURPHY SEAN P \& JULIE J
FIROUZBAKHT FARID \&
SPIRES JAMES \& SARAH E
SMITH MICHAEL A
FRANCIS AARON TRAVIS \&
MONCADA ANTONIO \&
FOX FRANCIS J
TORAASON SARAH VIOLA \&
OSANG THOMAS \&
GREAM BRIAN \& HEATHER
WEBBER R WILLIAM
RICE LUKE \&
ROJO MARY LOUISE
CAVENDER MCCLAIN
KAHN HAILEY \&
LEONG CRAIG
CUTCHER SHARON L \&
SMITH MELODY KATHRYN
WATSON SAMUEL J \&

## Label \# Address

27
28
29

5428
5432 RICHARD AVE
5436 RICHARD AVE

## Owner

ROBINSON RONNIE G \&
MCCARTNEY BLAKE \& KAREN KING
TAFT MICHAEL W

