## AGENDA



## MISCELLANEOUS ITEM

Approval of the March 19, 2019 Board of Adjustment
Panel A Public Hearing Minutes

## UNCONTESTED CASES



REQUEST: Application of Juan Z. Davila for a special exception to the fence standards regulations

BDA189-044(SL) 604 N. Tyler Street 2
REQUEST: Application of Good Space LLC/David Spence, represented by Santos T. Martinez, for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations

## REGULAR CASES

BDA189-040(SL) 1107 N. Edgefield Avenue 3
REQUEST: Application of Michael Wilmoth for a variance to the off-street parking regulations
BDA189-043(OA) 2831 Jordan Valley Road ..... 4REQUEST: Application of Mirna Badillo, represented bySantos Martinez, for a special exception to the minimumside yard setback requirements to preserve an existing tree
BDA189-046(OA) 1611 Browder Street ..... 5REQUEST: Application of Darryl Evans, represented byMichael Forbes, for variances to the side yard setback andoff-street parking regulations, and for a special exception tothe visual obstruction regulations

## EXECUTIVE SESSION NOTICE

A closed executive session may be held if the discussion of any of the above agenda items concerns one of the following:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the City Council under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a complaint or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code§551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex Govt. Code §551.087]
7. deliberating security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology, network security information, or the deployment or specific occasions for implementations of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.089]

FILE NUMBER: BDA189-037(SL)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Juan Z. Davila for a special exception to the fence standards regulations at 2016 Vilbig Road. This property is more fully described as Lot 1, Block 3960, and is zoned IR, which prohibits the use of certain materials for a fence. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a fence of a prohibited material, which will require a special exception to the fence standards regulations.

LOCATION: 2016 Vilbig Road
APPLICANT: Juan Z. Davila

## REQUEST:

A request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations is made to maintain a fence of a prohibited fence material (corrugated metal) on a site developed with, according to the Certificate of Occupancy, a "commercial parking lot or garage" use.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE STANDARDS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence standards when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence standards since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: IR (Industrial/research)
North: CS (Commercial service)
South: R-7.5(A) (Single family residential 7,500 square feet)
East: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family residential 7,500 square feet)
West: PD 256 (Planned Development)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with, according to the most recent Certificate of Occupancy, a "commercial parking lot or garage" use. The area to the north appears to be developed with a commercial use; the area to the east is undeveloped; the area to the south is developed with single family use; and the area to the west is developed with the City of Dallas Police Auto Pound.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. Z189-225, Vilbig at Seale Street (the subject site)

An application for a Specific Use Permit was filed on this property on March 14, 2019 for a "commercial motor vehicle parking" use. This request is tentatively scheduled for a May 16, 2019 City Plan Commission public hearing.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations related to fence materials focuses on maintaining a fence of a prohibited fence material (corrugated metal) on a site developed with, with, according to the most recent Certificate of Occupancy, a "commercial parking lot or garage" use.
- Section 51A-4.602(a)(9) of the Dallas Development Code states that except as provided in this subsection, the following fence materials are prohibited:
- Sheet metal;
- Corrugated metal;
- Fiberglass panels;
- Plywood;
- Plastic materials other than preformed fence pickets and fence panels with a minimum thickness of seven-eighths of an inch;
- Barbed wire and razor ribbon (concertina wire) in residential districts other than an A(A) Agricultural District; and
- Barbed wire razor ribbon (concertina wire) in nonresidential districts unless the barbed wire or razor ribbon (concertina wire) is six feet or more above grade and does not project beyond the property line.
- The applicant has submitted a revised site plan and revised elevation that represents the location of the existing corrugated metal fence on the property.
- The submitted elevation represents an 8' high corrugated metal fence.
- The application states that the subject site is 2.17 acres. The submitted site plan represents that there is approximately 830 linear feet of prohibited fence material (corrugated metal fence) located on this property.
- The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the site and the surrounding area and noted no other fences of prohibited materials.
- As of April 5, 2019, no letters have been submitted in conjunctions with this request.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence standards regulations related to a prohibited fence material (corrugated metal) will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- If the Board were to grant the special exception and impose the submitted site plan and elevation as a condition, the fence of prohibited material on the property would be limited to what is shown on these documents.


## Timeline:

February 19, 2019: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

March 11, 2019: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

March 12, 2019: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator emailed the applicant the following information:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the March $27^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the April $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

March 18, 2019: The applicant submitted additional documentation on this application beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).

April 2, 2019: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the April public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Assistant Director, th Building Official, the Assistant Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Chief Arborist, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.
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## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Case No.: BDA/89-037

Data Relative to Subject Property:
Location address: $\qquad$ 2016 Vilbsg Rd 3960
Lot No.: FT, Block No.: $\qquad$ Acreage: $\quad 2,17$ 2) $\left.236.69^{\prime} 3\right)$ $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) $372 \cdot 581$
 Zoning District: $\qquad$ IR Census Tract: 43.00

## To the Honorable Board of Adjustment:

Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Juan $z, ~ D a v i l a$,
Applicant: Juan Z. Davila Telephone: 469-487-9135
Mailing Address: 115 N Justin Ave. Dallas TX_ zip Code: 75211
Email Adders -jdavila13@tx.rr,com
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance _, or Special Exception x, of Standards. Prohibited fence material. \& Ht . corrugated metal sheet fence on seale street \& portion of Vilbighe.
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
protection of property and business

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.
Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared Jan $\left.\gtrless . D a v_{1}\right\rceil a$
(Affiant/Ápplicant's name printed) who on (his/ber) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.
ìvespectuily submitted:


Subscribed and sworn to before me this


| ueuneqコ |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that JUAN DAVILA
did submit a request for a special exception to the fence standards regulations at 2016 Vilbig Road

BDA189-037. Application of JUAN DAVILA for a special exception to the fence standards regulations at 2016 VILBIG RD. This property is more fully described as Lot 1, Block 3960 and is zoned IR, which prohibits the use of certain materials for a fence. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a fence using a prohibited material, which will require a special exception to the fence regulations.

Sincerely,







# Notification List of Property Owners BDA189-037 

## 24 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 2016 | VILBIG RD |
| 2 | 1625 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 3 | 1719 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 4 | 1715 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 5 | 1711 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 6 | 1707 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 7 | 1703 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 8 | 1629 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 9 | 1625 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 10 | 1621 | WALMSLEY AVE |
| 11 | 1702 | SEALE ST |
| 12 | 1918 | VILBIG RD |
| 13 | 1909 | NEAL ST |
| 14 | 1620 | SEALE ST |
| 15 | 1628 | SEALE ST |
| 16 | 1632 | SEALE ST |
| 17 | 1804 | W COMMERCE ST |
| 18 | 1810 | POLLARD ST |
| 19 | 2000 | VILBIG RD |
| 20 | 1806 | POLLARD ST |
| 21 | 1706 | W COMMERCE ST |
| 22 | 1618 | W COMMERCE ST |
| 23 | 1625 | SEALE ST |
| 24 | 2011 | NAVARO ST |
|  |  |  |

## Owner

DAVILA JUAN Z
HMK LTD RP
VARELA FELIX
UNITED REPUBLIC PROPERTIES LLC
POSADA REGULO \& MARIA
VARA MARIA
ALVARADO CARLOS \& GLORIA
SANTACRUZ ANTONIO
HERRERA BENITO F \&
MBC TEXAS LLC
MORIN JOSE L \& MARIE C
CORONADO VICTOR
HUMPHREY JAMES B
ESCALANTE GRACIELA C \&
ROGERS TONY GARCIA
J C LEASING LLP
HF COMMERCIAL PPTIES LLC
GUERRERO AGUSTINA G
LEFORS CHARLES M
HERNANDEZ SUSAN M
COMMERCIAL METALS COMPANY
JUNG BUCK L \& HELEN W
COMMERCIAL SCRAP MGMT CO
RALPH THADOG LLC

FILE NUMBER: BDA189-044(SL)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Good Space LLC/David Spence, represented by Santos T. Martinez, for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations at 604 N . Tyler Street. This property is more fully described as Lot 1, Block A/3108, and is zoned PD 830, which requires a 20 foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches. The applicant proposes to locate and maintain items in a required visibility triangle, which will require a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations.

LOCATION: $\quad 604$ N. Tyler Street
APPLICANT: Good Space, LLC/David Spence
Represented by Santos T. Martinez

## REQUEST:

A request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations is made to locate, construct, and maintain portions of a fence, a column, and clear plastic sheets/windows that are part of a patio in the 20' visibility triangle on the south side of the driveway into the site from N . Tyler Street on a site that is developed with a retail structure.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE VISUAL OBSTRUCTION REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(d)(3) of the Dallas Development Code states that the Board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of the visual obstruction regulations when, in the opinion of the Board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approval, subject to the following condition:

- Compliance with the submitted site plan and revised elevation is required.

Rationale:

- The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer has no objections to the request.
- Staff concluded that request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations should be granted (with the suggested conditions imposed) because the items to be located and maintained in the visibility triangle does not constitute a traffic hazard.


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: PD 830 (Subdistrict 6) (SUP 2238) (Planned Development, Specific Use Permit)
North: PD 160 (Planned Development)
South: PD 830 (Subdistrict 6) (Planned Development)
East: PD 830 (Subdistrict 6) (Planned Development)
West: PD 830 (Subdistrict 5) (Planned Development)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a retail structure. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed with retail or commercial uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. Z167-164, East side of North Tyler Street, between Fouraker Street and West Davis Street (the subject site)

On April 12, 2017, the City Council approved an ordinance granting a Specific Use Permit for an alcoholic beverage establishment limited to a bar, lounge, or tavern and a private club-bar on property zoned Subdistrict 6 (Davis Corridor) within PD 830. (Part of the conditions imposed with this request was a site plan, a time limit (4-12-2020), floor area (a maximum of 1,007 square feet), and hours of operation. (Note that the applicant's representative was advised on April 2, 2019, that because his request to the Board of Adjustment for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations involves increasing the floor area, he will have to go about the zoning public hearing process of amending the SUP currently on the property).

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The request focuses on locating, constructing, and maintaining portions of a fence, a column, and clear plastic sheets/windows that are part of a patio in the 20' visibility triangle on the south side of the driveway into the site from N. Tyler Street on a site that is developed with a retail structure.
- Section 51A-4.602(d) of the Dallas Development Code states the following: a person shall not erect, place, or maintain a structure, berm, plant life or any other item on a lot if the item is:
- in a visibility triangle as defined in the Code (45-foot visibility triangles at street intersections, and 20 foot visibility triangles at drive approaches and at alleys on properties zoned single family); and
- between two and a half and eight feet in height measured from the top of the adjacent street curb (or the grade of the portion on the street adjacent to the visibility triangle).
- The property is located in PD 830 which does not provide any visual obstruction regulations. As a result, the provisions in the Dallas Development Code applies, which in this case. requires a 20 foot visibility triangle at drive approaches.
- A site plan and a revised elevation have been submitted indicating part of a fence, a column, and clear plastic sheets/windows that is proposed to be located in the 20' visibility triangle on the south side of the driveway into the site from N. Tyler Street.
- The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Has no objections".
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing how granting this request to locate and maintain part of a fence, a column, and clear plastic sheets/windows in the 20' visibility triangle on the south side of the driveway into the site from N . Tyler Street does not constitute a traffic hazard.
- Granting this request with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevation would limit the item to be located and maintained in the 20' visibility triangle at the south side of the driveway into the site from N. Tyler Street to that what is shown on these documents - part of a fence, a column, and clear plastic sheets.


## Timeline:

February 19, 2019: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

March 11, 2019: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

March 12, 2019: The Board Administrator/Chief Planner emailed the applicant's representative the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the March $27^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the April $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

The applicant's representative submitted additional documentation on this application beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachments A, B, and C).

April 2, 2019: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the April public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Assistant Director, th Building Official, the Assistant Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Chief Arborist, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board

April 3, 2019: The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Has no objections".



From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:
santos@lasierrapg.com
Sunday, March 24, 2019 9:46 PM
Long, Steve
BDA 189-044
BDA 189-044 elevations.pdf; BDA 189-044 site plan.pdf; exiting drive approach.jpg; 20190321_165801.jpg

Steve,
I have attached a few items to help assist with the review of this case.
PDD 830 does not utilize a conceptual plan or require development plans for property within its boundaries (Sec 51P830.107 51P-830.108)

SUP 2238 allows a patio in the area of this request. The only provision listed in the SUP regarding an outdoor patio is that it cannot exceed 350 square feet. There are no restrictions on whether or not it can be covered.
The proposed fencing already exists on the site. It includes bench seating and is located just outside of the visibility triangle. I have included a photo that shows the existing elements. We do intend to construct a roof over the patio in accordance with approved plans.
As you can see in the attached exhibits, most of the proposed enclosed and covered patio is allowed within this area with the exception of those elements over $2.5^{\prime}$ and less than $8^{\prime}$. I have attached a marked elevation and site plan to show the areas that are within the restricted areas.
I will also prepare a letter highlighting the elements of our request for your review.
Please let me know if you have any questions with these items.
Thanks,

Santos T. Martinez
President
La Sierra Planning Group
(214) 684-2775
www.lasierrapg.com
************************************************************************
The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient named above. La Sierra Planning Group does not provide legal advice. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Unless expressly stated this message should not be construed as a digital or electronic signature.

(1) (01) SITE PLAN


604 N. TYLER ST

| ELEVATION PLAN | SCALE | DATE | SHEET |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $3 / 1 \varepsilon^{\circ}=10^{\prime \prime}$ | $01 / 30 / 18:$ | 004 |


$A+\tan \sin$

March 26, 2019

Mr. Steve Long
City of Dallas
Board of Adjustment Administrator
1500 Maxilla, 5BN
Dallas, TX 75201

RE: BDA 189-044

Dear Mr. Long,
We are seeking approval to construct an enclosed patio within portions of the visibility triangle generated by an existing drive approach. The property owner was successful in securing a specific use permit for an alcoholic beverage establishment or private club with an attached patio. The conditions of SUP 2238 do not restrict the patio from being covered or enclosed. The existing brick building does not have any portions located within the visibility triangle.

A wooden fence with bench seating is currently located on the patio, but outside of the visibility triangle. This request is to move this seating to the edge of open patio where there are currently tables and chairs. The property owner seeks to add a roof over the patio. The columns of the roof will be of wood as well. During inclement weather, the property owner will slide transparent plastic panels in the openings between the wooden fence and the new roof.

The property is located within PDD 830 which allows all uses to count any striped on street parking towards the required parking for uses on the property. The property currently has seven (7) parking spaces available for patrons along Tyler Street. Although the visibility triangle is typically counted from the curb line, we believe there is an additional 8 feet that a vehicle must account for before it can enter the drive lane of Tyler Street. A vehicle exiting onto Tyler Street must also take into account the traffic signal at the intersection of Tyler Street and Davis Street that is approximately $100^{\prime}$ to the south. Traffic along Tyler Street is one way in this block (northbound only).

Our elevation exhibit shows that the portion of the request is limited to the areas in red. Most of the bench seating and fence are located within the allowable heights (they are highlighted in green). The portions located in red are limited to the support columns and the upper portions of the fencing (identified in red). Since the existing structure does not fall within the visibility triangle, there is also an area of the proposed fencing that is outside of the triangle as well near the existing façade (noted in green on the attached site plan).

The placement of this enclosed patio is ten feet from the existing curb. There is a $7.5^{\prime}$ sidewalk between the building façade and the curb line. The patio sits another $2.5^{\prime}$ behind the façade. Drivers that are exiting the property onto Tyler Street can see pedestrians and oncoming traffic without obstructions.

For your review, I have included photos of the existing patio and wooden bench seating. I have marked the areas that would be within the visibility triangle standards if the structure were to be moved to its
prop orion. Please let me know if you may need any additional items or clarification of these exhibits. Sincerely,

Santos T. Martinez
Authorized representative
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From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:
santos@lasierrapg.com
Monday, April 01, 2019 10:13 PM
Long, Steve
BDA 189-044
BDA 189-044 elevation with plastic coverings in openings.pdf

Steve,
Per our conversation this afternoon, I have attached an additional exhibit for this request. The property owner indicated that during inclement weather, they seek to place clear plastic sheets in the openings. I have marked an exhibit to show what portions would be within the visibility triangle portions. Again, it is a clear plastic that is transparent but portions would be within the $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime}$ mark. I have only marked these portions as the remainder would be transparent. I mentioned in my narrative that the property owner would like to have this allowance.
Please let me know if you may need any other items regarding this request.
Thanks,

Santos T. Martinez<br>President<br>La Sierra Planning Group<br>(214) 684-2775<br>www.lasierrapg.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient named above. La Sierra Planning Group does not provide legal advice. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Unless expressly stated this message should not be construed as a digital or electronic signature.



## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Respectfully submitted:

(Affiant/Applicant's signature)


| ueuneqう |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that DAVID SPENCE represented by SANTOS MARTINEZ

did submit a request for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations
at 604 N. Tyler Street

BDA189-044. Application of DAVID SPENCE represented by SANTOS MARTINEZ for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 604 N . TYLER ST. This property is more fully described as Lot 1 , Block A/3108, and is zoned PD-830, which requires a 20 foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a nonresidential structure in a required visibility obstruction triangle which will require a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations.

## Sincerely,

Philip Sikes, Building Official


Legend







# Notification List of Property Owners BDA189-044 

## 26 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 600 | N TYLER ST |
| 2 | 817 | W DAVIS ST |
| 3 | 819 | W DAVIS ST |
| 4 | 613 | N VERNON AVE |
| 5 | 609 | N VERNON AVE |
| 6 | 605 | N VERNON AVE |
| 7 | 803 | W DAVIS ST |
| 8 | 820 | FOURAKER ST |
| 9 | 833 | W 7TH ST |
| 10 | 828 | W DAVIS ST |
| 11 | 830 | W DAVIS ST |
| 12 | 813 | W 7TH ST |
| 13 | 810 | W DAVIS ST |
| 14 | 623 | STRONG ST |
| 15 | 633 | STRONG ST |
| 16 | 628 | N TYLER ST |
| 17 | 624 | N TYLER ST |
| 18 | 807 | FOURAKER ST |
| 19 | 813 | FOURAKER ST |
| 20 | 900 | W DAVIS ST |
| 21 | 625 | N TYLER ST |
| 22 | 617 | N TYLER ST |
| 23 | 611 | N TYLER ST |
| 24 | 901 | W DAVIS ST |
| 25 | 1001 | KINGS HWY |
| 26 | 629 | N TYLER ST |
|  |  |  |
| 102 |  |  |

## Owner

GOOD SPACE X LLC
VALPARAISO HOLDINGS LLC
VALPARAISO HOLDINGS LLC
ROSAS CONNIE
ANGEL 1111 LLC
ANGEL 1111 LLC
ANGEL 1111 LLC
VALPARAISO HOLDINGS LLC
GIURA PETER
828 DAVIS LLC
PATTERSON RONALD M \&
PATTERSON RONALD M \&
J \& PD LLC
TEJADA JESUS S \&
TRINITY PREFERRED PROPERTIES
RAMIREZ RICARDO
ANDREW GONZALES
GUZMAN JUAN M
GUZMAN GUADALUPE
TYLER ARTS DISTRICT INVESTMENTS LLC
GRBK FRISCO LLC
617 N TYLER ST LLC
SALINAS SALVADOR \&
WILSON VERNON E
RAHIM TAISER
MONROY MARY ANGELES

Label \# Address
Owner

FILE NUMBER: BDA189-040(SL)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Michael Wilmoth for a variance to the off-street parking regulations at 1107 N . Edgefield Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 16, Block D/3788, and is zoned CD 13 (subarea 2), which requires a parking space to be at least 20 feet from the right-of-way line adjacent to a street or alley if the space is located in an enclosed structure and if the space faces upon or can be entered directly from the street or alley. The applicant proposes to locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure with a setback of 5 feet, which will require a variance of 15 feet to the off-street parking regulations.

LOCATION: $\quad 1107$ N. Edgefield Avenue
APPLICANT: Michael Wilmoth

## REQUEST:

A request for a variance for to the off-street parking regulations of 15 ' is made to replace an existing approximately 360 square foot garage with parking spaces in it that are accessed from N. Edgefield Avenue to the east with a new approximately 650 square foot garage with parking spaces in it that would be accessed from the alley to the west parking spaces in this new enclosed structure/garage that would be located 5' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the alley or 15 ' into the 20 required distance these enclosed parking spaces must be from the alley right-of-way line on a site developed with a single family home.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51(A)-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done;
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Denial

## Rationale:

- While granting this request does not appear to be contrary to the public interest in that the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer has no objections, staff recommends that this request be denied because the applicant had not substantiated how the variance is necessary to permit development of this somewhat sloped, rectangular in shape, and approximately 7,700 square site (which prior to the creation of CD 13 in 2005, had been zoned R-7.5(A) where the typical lot size is 7,500 square feet) in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same CD 13 (Subarea 2) zoning. The features of the site has not restricted it from being developed with a single family home and detached garage that complies with code including off-street parking regulations.


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: CD 13 (Subarea 2) (Conservation District)
North: CD 13 (Subarea 2) (Conservation District)
South: CD 13 (Subarea 2) (Conservation District)
East: CD 13 (Subarea 2) (Conservation District)
West: CD 13 (Subarea 2) (Conservation District)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (parking variance):

- This request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 15 ' focuses on replacing an existing approximately 360 square foot garage with parking spaces in it that are accessed from N. Edgefield Avenue with a new approximately 650 square foot garage with parking spaces in it that would be accessed from the alley - parking spaces in this new enclosed structure/garage that would be located 5' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the alley or 15 ' into the 20' required distance these enclosed
parking spaces must be from the alley right-of-way line on a site developed with a single family home.
- Section 51(A)-4.301(a)(9) of the Dallas Development Code states that a parking space must be at least 20 feet from the right-of-way line adjacent to a street or alley if the space is located in enclosed structure and if the space faces upon or can be entered directly from a street or alley.
- The submitted site plan denotes the location of parking spaces in an enclosed structure (the new garage) 5' from the alley right-of-way line or 15' into the 20' setback line that an enclosed parking space must be from this right-of-way line.
- DCAD records indicate the following for the property addressed at 1107 N . Edgefield Avenue: "main improvement" - a structure with 2,605 square feet of total area/living area built in 1925; and "additional improvement" - a 400 square foot detached garage.
- The subject site is somewhat sloped, rectangular in shape, and is approximately 7,700 square feet in area. Prior to the creation of CD 13 in 2005, the subject site and surrounding properties had been zoned R-7.5(A) where the typical lot size is 7,500 square feet.
- The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Has no objections".
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- That granting the variance to the off-street parking regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same CD 13 (Subarea 2) zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same CD 13 (Subarea 2) zoning classification.
- If the Board were to grant the request for a variance of 15', staff recommends imposing the following conditions:

1. Compliance with the submitted site plan is required.
2. An automatic garage door must be installed and maintained in working order at all times.
(These conditions are imposed to help assure that the variance will not be contrary to the public interest).

## Timeline:

February 7, 2019: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

March 11, 2019: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

March 12, 2019: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the March $27^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the April $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

April 2, 2019: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the April public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Assistant Director, th Building Official, the Assistant Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Chief Arborist, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board

April 3, 2019: The Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Has no objections".



City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

## Data Relative to Subject Property:

Location address: 1107 N enciafied AVE Case No.: biA $189-040$ Date: $2 / 7 / 2019$

Location address. HOI N EVA トR ANE Zoning District: $C D-13$ (sub 2)
Lot No.: 16 Block No.: D/3788 Acreage: $\qquad$ Census Tract:

$$
44.00
$$

Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) 50
2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): MichAELWMMOAK \& GRAG TUMINANO Applicant: Michael W Motte Telephone: 703.403 .3521
Mailing Address: 1107 NeDGEFIELD ANE, DALLAS, TX_ Zip Code: 75208
E-mail Address: CMBILLIEC COMCAST. NET
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $X$, or Special Exception , of 15 Fez of The REQURED TOFT PROPECTILNE SEBARK PER PAMLINGREQUREMENT TO ALLOW
 REAR-ENTRLAUCE GARAGE THAT WINS OCCUR I THE SAME SPAE HO HE EXISTING GARAGE. Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
 IN TUE 1920s. THE HOUSE IS SEIBACK TLOMTHE SIRE ET, LMTMK BACNGARD SINCEANTTME



Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.



## Building Official's Report

I hereby certify that
did submit a request
at

MICHAEL WILMOTH
for a variance to the off-street parking regulations
1107 N. Edgefield Avenue

BDA189-040. Application of MICHAEL WILMOTH for a variance to the off-street parking regulations at 1107 N EDGEFIELD AVE. This property is more fully described as Lot 16, Block D/3788, and is zoned CD-13 (subarea 2), which requires a parking space must be at least 20 feet from the right-of-way line adjacent to a street or alley if the space is locater in an enclosed structure and if the space faces upon or can be entered directly from the street or alley. The applicant proposes to construct a single family residential accessory structure with a setback of 5 feet, which will require a variance of 15 feet to the off-street parking regulations.

Sincerely,


3-9


Legend


This data is to be used for graphical representation only. The accuracy is not to be taken/used as data produced by a Registered Professional Land Surveyor (RPLS) for the State of Texas. 'This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.' (Texas Government Code § 2051.102)

| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ${ }^{\text {T }}$ |  | Tuminaro- Wilmoth Residence 1107 North Edgefield Avenue Dallas, Texas 3-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




O2 RLOOR PLAN
04 ${ }^{\text {ROOF PLAN }}$


|  |  | sexəд＇senea <br>  <br>  | 浐咢 | 亳 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



04 EAst bevaton
\#3. 1107 N Clinton Ave:

\#1. 1047 N Edgefield Ave:

\#2. 1106 N Edgefield Ave:



# Notification List of Property Owners BDA189-040 

## 22 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1107 | N EDGEFIELD AVE |  |
| 2 | 1046 | N WINDOMERE AVE | TARSKI MICHAEL T \& SANDI P |
| 3 | 1050 | N WINDOMERE AVE | GERHARD RICHARD S JR |
| 4 | 1047 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | SCHLIEVE MAXWELL \& KATHERINE |
| 5 | 1102 | N WINDOMERE AVE | LIGHTBODY STEVEN J \& CRYSTAL R |
| 6 | 1106 | N WINDOMERE AVE | BENSON JULIE D |
| 7 | 1110 | N WINDOMERE AVE | BALLINGER MARY |
| 8 | 1114 | N WINDOMERE AVE | BAXLEY PHILLIP R |
| 9 | 1122 | N WINDOMERE AVE |  |
| 10 | 1103 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | MORGAN ROSEMARY HAEDGE |
| 11 | 1111 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | SMITH MONTY L |
| 12 | 1115 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | FEARS CATHEY ANN |
| 13 | 1119 | N EDGEFIELD AVE |  |
| 14 | 1123 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | HENNEN CHLOE HARRIS |
| 15 | 1046 | N EDGEFIELD AVE |  |
| 16 | 1050 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | LINDSAY MILDRED N |
| 17 | 1102 | N EDGEFIELD AVE |  |
| 18 | 1106 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | MORIN PATRICK \& ELNA ELIZABETH NALSEN |
| 19 | 1110 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | LANIER JASON J \& ELIZABETH C |
| 20 | 1114 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | MURPHY DIANE K |
| 21 | 1118 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | VAKY ALEXANDER PETER JR |
| 22 | 1122 | N EDGEFIELD AVE | DOHERTY RICHARD E \& JAN |

FILE NUMBER: BDA189-043(OA)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Mirna Badillo, represented by Santos Martinez, for a special exception to the minimum side yard setback requirements to preserve an existing tree at 2831 Jordan Valley Road. This property is more fully described as Lot 1, Block A/8789, and is zoned A(A), which requires a side yard setback of 20 feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain structure and provide a 3 -foot 4 -inch side yard setback, which will require a 16 -foot 8 -inch special exception to the minimum side yard setback requirements to preserve an existing tree.

LOCATION: $\quad 3136$ E. Illinois Avenue
APPLICANT: Mirna Badillo
Represented by Santos Martinez

## REQUEST:

A request for a special exception to the minimum side yard requirements to preserve existing trees of $16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ is made to maintain an approximately 6,250 square foot "stable" structure which is located $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ from the side property line or $16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ into the $20^{\prime}$ side yard setback on a site that is developed with a "commercial stable" use.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE MINIMUM SIDE YARD REQUIREMENTS TO PRESERVE AN EXISTING TREE:

Section 51(A)-4.402(d) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board may grant a special exception to the minimum side yard requirements in this section to preserve an existing tree. In determining whether to grant this special exception, the board shall consider the following factors:
(A) Whether the requested special exception is compatible with the character of the neighborhood.
(B) Whether the value of surrounding properties will be adversely affected.
(C) Whether the tree is worthy of preservation.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Denial
Rationale:

- The City of Dallas Chief Arborist has indicated that that the congregation of trees are not worthy of preservation. In addition, the applicant had not substantiated how the requested special exception is compatible with the character of the neighborhood, and how the value of the surrounding properties would not be adversely affected.


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ A (A) (Agricultural District)
North: A (A) (Agricultural District)
South: A (A) (Agricultural District)
East: $\quad$ R-10 (A) (Single-family district 10,000 square feet)
West: $\quad$ A (A) (Agricultural District)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a "commercial stable" use. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed with agricultural uses and single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## GENERAL FACTS /STAFF ANALYSIS:

- This request for a special exception to the minimum side yard requirements to preserve existing trees of $16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ focuses on maintaining an approximately 6,250 square foot "stable" structure located $3^{\prime} 4$ " from the side property line or 16 ' 8 " into the 20 ' side yard setback on a site that is developed with a "commercial stable" use.
- The property is located in an A (A) (Agricultural District) zoning district which requires a minimum side yard of 20 feet.
- The submitted site plan indicates a "stable" structure located 3" 4" from the north side property line or $16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ into this $20^{\prime}$ side yard setback, and several trees within the site.
- The City of Dallas Chief Arborist submitted a memo regarding this request (see Attachment A). The memo stated that the arborist staff has investigated the site and the trees and concluded based on the observed circumstances of construction and site activities at the building location, the congregation of trees are not worth of preservation.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- Whether the requested special exception is compatible with the character of the neighborhood.
- Whether the value of surrounding properties will be adversely affected.
- Whether the tree is worthy of preservation.
- If the Board were to grant the special exception request, and impose the submitted site plan as a condition, the structure in the side yard setback would be limited to what is shown on this document - which in this case is a structure that is located $3^{\prime}$ 4 "' from the site's side property line (or $16^{\prime} 8$ " into this $20^{\prime}$ side yard setback).


## Timeline:

February 14, 2019: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

March 11, 2019: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

March 13, 2019: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant the following information:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the March $27^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the April $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

April 2, 2019: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the April public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Assistant Director, the Building Official, the Assistant Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Chief Arborist, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

April 4, 2018: The City of Dallas Chief Arborist emailed the Board Administrator information regarding this application (see Attachment A).



## Memorandum

Date April 4, 2019<br>To Oscar Aguilera, Board Administrator

Subject BDA \#189-043 2831 Jordan Valley Road - Arborist report

The question before me on this case is whether or not the trees to the south of the proposed barn structure location are worthy of preservation. The arborist staff has investigated the site and the trees and conclude the following:

- Based on the observed circumstances of construction and site activities at the building location, the congregation of trees are not worthy of preservation.

The owner may present evidence that one or more of the trees on the southern side of the structure are worthy of preservation if they can show a reasonable conclusion by a professional assessment that each tree is not severely impacted by soil compaction, root compression, or other environmental conditions which would threaten the life expectancy of the post oak trees.

If the owner can demonstrate tree protections and remediation to restore the soil conditions of one or more of the post oak trees near the structure, I can reassess our findings to establish an opinion for each individual tree.

Philip Erwin
Chief Arborist
Building Inspection


City of Dallas:

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



Location address: $\neq$ JORDAN VALLEY RP. Zoning District: $\qquad$
Lot No.: 1 Block No.: $A / 8789$ Acreage: 7.39 Census Tract: 171.02
Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) 341,98 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): ELOY RAMOS + MIRNA BADILLO
Applicant: $\qquad$ MIRNA BADILLO Telephone: $469-490-9444$ Mailing Address: 1127 N. JIM MILLER RD. DALLAS, TX. $\operatorname{Zip}$ Code: 75217 E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Represented by: SANTOS MARTINE2 Telephone: 469-490-9444
Mailing Address: $/ 127 M, J 1 m M, L E R R d . \quad$ Zip Code: 75217
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\qquad$ , or Special Exception $X$, of $\qquad$
20. SIDE YARD SPECIAL EKCEPTION TO PRESERVE EXISTING TREE STAND, request $16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ Sysb And provide $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ to the Required
$20^{\prime}$ SYSB.
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason: MATURE THE OWNER SEEKS TO MAINTAIN AN EXISTING STAND OF TREES ON THE NORTHERN PROPERTY LINE. HE HAS CONSTRUCTED A STABLE IND TO RELOCATE HALF OF THE STRUCTURE WOULD REQUIRE THE REM OVAL OF THIS EXISTING TREE STAND IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE SIDE YARD SETBACK. THE STABLE WAS PLACED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE TREE STAND Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a TO PRoVIDE permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board SUBSTANTLAL specifically grants a longer period.

who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2018
Selene alvaro,


## Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that

represented by did submit a request

Mirna Badillo
SANTOS MARTINEZ
for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations for tree preservation
at 2831 Jordan Valley Road

BDA189-043. Application of Mirna Badillo represented by SANTOS MARTINEZ for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations at 2831 JORDAN VALLEY RD. This property is more fully described as Lot 1 , Block $A / 8789$, and is zoned $A(A)$, which requires a side yard setback of 20 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a non-residential structure and provide a 3 foot 4 inch side yard setback, which will require a 16 foot 8 inch special exception to the side yard setback regulations for tree preservation.

Sincerely,

Philip Sikes, Building Official







# Notification List of Property Owners 

 BDA189-043
## 10 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | JORDAN VALLEY RD |  |
| 2 | 12414 | FOOTHILL DR |  |
| 3 | 12425 | FOOTHILL DR | WELCH DOROTHY |
| 4 | 2802 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | HERRERA ELIZALDE RODOLFO VALENTIN |
| 5 | 2820 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | MONARREZ LAURENCIO V |
| 6 | 2900 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | HERNANDEZ OSCAR \& ROMANA |
| 7 | 3005 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | DOOREY DEBORAH B |
| 8 | 2000 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | MESQUITE LANDFILL TX LP |
| 9 | 2905 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | ROSILLO LUIS |
| 10 | 2755 | JORDAN VALLEY RD | PORTER CAROL M |

## FILE NUMBER: BDA189-046(OA)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Darryl Evans, represented by Michael Forbes, for variances to the side yard setback and off-street parking regulations, and for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations at 1611 Browder Street. This property is more fully described Tract 14, Block 448, and is zoned PD 317 (Subdistrict 1), which requires a side yard setback of 10 feet, requires a parking space to be at least 20 feet from the right-of-way line adjacent to a street or alley if the space is located in an enclosed structure and if the space faces upon or can be entered directly from the street or alley, and requires a 45 foot visibility triangle at street intersections. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a structure and provide a 3 foot side yard setback, which will require a 7 foot variance to the side yard setback regulations, to locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure with a setback of 17 feet, which will require a variance of 3 feet to the off-street parking regulations, and to locate and maintain items in a required visibility triangle, which will require a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations.

## LOCATION:

1611 Browder Street

## APPLICANT: Darryl Evans

Represented by Michael Forbes

## REQUESTS:

The following requests have been made on a property that is currently one building site (approximately 102' in length and approximately 48' in width) developed with a vacant single-family home that the applicant intends to demolish and construct a multi-family structure:

1. A request for a variance to the side yard setback regulations of 7 ' is made to construct and maintain a three-story 4-unit multi-family structure with an approximately 2,480 square foot building footprint located 3 ' from the site's northwestern side property line or 7 ' into this required 10' side yard setback.
2. A request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 3 ' is made to locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure (four (4) attached garages) of the aforementioned three-story 4-unit multi-family structure that is proposed on the subject site where spaces in this enclosed garages would be located 17' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the street or 3' into the required 20' distance from the right-of-way line adjacent to McKee Street.
3. A request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations is made to construct and maintain the aforementioned three-story 4-unit multi-family structure in the 45 ' visibility triangle at the intersection of McKee Street and Browder Street.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51(A)-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done;
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE VISUAL OBSTRUCTION REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(d)(3) of the Dallas Development Code states that the Board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of the visual obstruction regulations when, in the opinion of the Board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (side yard setback variance):

Approval, subject to the following condition:

- Compliance with the submitted site plan is required.

Rationale:

- Staff concluded that the subject site is unique and different from most lots in the PD 317 (1) zoning district. The total size of the subject site is approximately 4,950 square feet which is smaller than that of 15 other corner lots listed of properties in PD $317(1)$ zoning district. The average lot size of 15 other properties listed in the applicant's document is approximately 8,300 square feet.
- In addition, staff concluded the proposed development is commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning because the applicant has shown by submitting a document indicating among other things that total size of each unit of the proposed 4 -unit multi-family structure on the subject site is approximately 1,780 square feet which are equal to that of 4 other units listed of properties in PD 317(Subdistrict 1) zoning district.


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (parking variance):

Denial
Rationale:

- While the applicant substantiated how the variance to the off-street parking regulations is necessary to permit development by demonstrating that the total size of the subject site is approximately 4,950 square feet which is smaller than that of 15 other corner lots listed of properties in PD 317(1) zoning district and that staff concluded that the subject site is unique and different from most lots in the PD 317(1) zoning district, the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer has submitted a review comment sheet marked "recommends denial" stating commenting "maneuvering of vehicles on public right of way out of a multifamily development with two more drives that the maximum allow by city code".


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (visibility triangle special exception):

Denial
Rationale:

- The Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer has submitted a review comment sheet marked "recommends denial" stating that "driveway encroaches into the already reduce ( $36 \times 36$-foot) visibility triangle".


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ PD 317(1) (Planned Development Subdistrict 1)
North: PD 317(1) (Planned Development Subdistrict 1)
South: PD 317(1) (Planned Development Subdistrict 1)
East: $\quad$ PD 317(1) (Planned Development Subdistrict 1)
West: $\quad$ PD 317(1) (Planned Development Subdistrict 1)

## Land Use:

The subject site is currently one building site (approximately 102' in length and approximately 48 ' in width) developed with a single-family home that the applicant intends to demolish and built a three-story 4-unit multi-family structure. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are undeveloped.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA189-013, Property at 1475 McKee Street (the subject site)

On February 19, 2019, the Board of Adjustment Panel A denied a request for variances to the side yard setback regulations of 7', the off-street parking regulations of 3 ', and a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations. These requests are made to construct and maintain a single family home in the rear yard setback, and to
2. BDA189-014, Property at 1467 McKee Street (the subject site)
3. BDA189-015, Property at 1459

McKee Street (the subject site)
4. BDA189-016, Property at 1451

McKee Street (the subject site)
locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure (an attached garage) proposed on the undeveloped subject site spaces in an enclosed structure that would be located 17' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the street or 3 ' into the required 20' distance from the right-of-way line adjacent to McKee Street, and to locate and maintain the single-family home in the 45 ' visibility triangle at the intersection of McKee Street and Browder Street.

On February 19, 2019, the Board of Adjustment Panel A denied a request for variances to the rear yard setback regulations of 7' and to off-street parking regulations of 3' made to construct and maintain a single family home in the rear yard setback, and to locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure (an attached garage) proposed on the undeveloped subject site spaces in an enclosed structure that would be located 17' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the street or 3' into the required 20' distance from the right-of-way line adjacent to McKee Street.

On February 19, 2019, the Board of Adjustment Panel A denied a request for variances to the rear yard setback regulations of 7' and to off-street parking regulations of 3' made to construct and maintain a single family home in the rear yard setback, and to locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure (an attached garage) proposed on the undeveloped subject site spaces in an enclosed structure that would be located 17' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the street or 3 ' into the required 20' distance from the right-of-way line adjacent to McKee Street.
On February 19, 2019, the Board of Adjustment Panel A denied a request for variances to the rear yard setback regulations of 7' and to off-street parking regulations of 3' made to construct and maintain a single
family home in the rear yard setback, and to locate and maintain parking spaces in an enclosed structure (an attached garage) proposed on the undeveloped subject site spaces in an enclosed structure that would be located 17' from the right-of-way line adjacent to the street or 3 ' into the required $20^{\prime}$ distance from the right-of-way line adjacent to McKee Street.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (side yard setback variance):

- This request for a variance to the side regulations of 7 ' focuses on constructing and maintaining a three-story, 4 -unit multi-family structure with an approximately 2,480 square foot building footprint located 3 ' from the site's northwestern side property line or $7^{\prime}$ into this required $10^{\prime}$ side yard setback on property that is currently one building site (approximately $102^{\prime}$ in length and approximately $48^{\prime}$ in width) developed a vacant single-family home that the applicant intends to demolish.
- The subject site is zoned PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) where the side yard setback is not required; however, if a side yard is provided, it must be a minimum of 10 feet.
- The applicant has submitted a site plan that represents that the proposed three-story 4-unit multi-family structure provides a 3 ' side yard setback from the side property line on the northwest.
- DCAD records indicate the following improvements for property located at 1611 Browder Street: "main improvement: "converted residence" with 1,302 square feet built in 1940".
- The subject site is flat, virtually rectangular in shape (approximately $48^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ ), and according to the submitted application, is 0.11 acres (or 4,800 square feet) in area. While this site is located at the corner of Browder Street and McKee Street and has two front yard setbacks, this feature is typical of any lot on a corner that is not zoned single family, duplex, or agricultural district.
- The applicant submitted a document with the application materials indicating that the total size of the subject site is approximately 4,950 square feet which is smaller than that of 15 other corner lots he listed of properties in PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning. (The average lot size of 15 other properties listed in the applicant's document is approximately 8,300 square feet). Furthermore, the document represents that the total size of each unit of the proposed 4 -unit multi-family structure on the subject site is approximately, 1780 square feet which are equal than that of 4 other units he listed of properties in PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning. The average square footage of 4 other properties listed in the applicant's document is approximately 1,750 square feet.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- That granting the variance to the side yard setback regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning classification.
- If the Board were to grant the request for a variance of 7' and impose the submitted site plan as a condition, the structure in the side yard would be limited to that what is shown on this document.


## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (parking variance):

- This request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 3 ' focuses on locating parking spaces in an enclosed structure (four (4) attached garages) of proposed three-story 4-unit multi-family structure where these parking spaces entered from the street right-of-way line would be located 17' from the McKee Street right-of-way line or 3' into the required 20' distance from this right-of-way line.
- The subject site is zoned PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) where no minimum front yard setback is required.
- Section 51(A)-4.301(a)(9) of the Dallas Development Code states that a parking space must be at least 20 feet from the right-of-way line adjacent to a street or alley if the space is located in enclosed structure and if the space faces upon or can be entered directly from a street or alley.
- The submitted site plan denotes the location of parking spaces in the enclosed structure 17' from the McKee Street right-of-way line or 3' into the 20' setback line that an enclosed parking space must be from this right-of-way line. (The submitted site plan represents that the parking spaces in the enclosed structure are approximately 23' from the McKee Street pavement line).
- DCAD records indicate the following improvements for property located at 1611 Browder Street: "main improvement: "converted residence" with 1,302 square feet built in 1940".
- The subject site is flat, virtually rectangular in shape (approximately $48^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ ), and according to the submitted application, is 0.11 acres (or 4,800 square feet) in area. While this site is located at the corner of Browder Street and McKee Street and has two front yard setbacks, this feature is typical of any lot on a corner that is not zoned single family, duplex, or agricultural district.
- The applicant submitted a document with the application materials indicating that the total size of the subject site is approximately 4,950 square feet which is smaller than that of 15 other corner lots he listed of properties in PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning. (The average lot size of 15 other properties listed in the applicant's document is approximately 8,300 square feet). Furthermore, the document represents that the total size of each unit of the proposed 4-unit multi-family structure on the subject site is approximately, 1,780 square feet which are equal than that of 4 other units he
listed of properties in PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning. The average square footage of 4 other properties listed in the applicant's document is approximately 1,750 square feet.
- The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer has submitted a review comment sheet marked "Recommends that this be denied" with the following comment:
- "Maneuvering of vehicles on public right of way out of a multifamily development with two more driveways than the maximum allowed by code" (Attachment A).
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- That granting the variance to the off-street parking regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same PD 317 (Subdistrict 1) zoning classification.
- If the Board were to grant the request for a variance of 3 ', staff recommends imposing the following conditions:

1. Compliance with the submitted site plan is required.
2. An automatic garage door must be installed and maintained in working order at all times.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS (visual obstruction

## special exception):

- The request for special exception to the visual obstruction regulations focuses on locating and maintaining three-story 4 -unit multi-family structure in the 45 ' visibility triangle at the intersection of McKee Street and Browder Street on a property that is currently one building site (approximately 102' in length and approximately $48^{\prime}$ in width) developed a vacant single-family home that the applicant intends to demolish and subdivide into 4 -unit multi-family structure.
- Section 51A-4.602(d) of the Dallas Development Code states the following: a person shall not erect, place, or maintain a structure, berm, plant life or any other item on a lot if the item is:
- in a visibility triangle as defined in the Code ( 45 -foot visibility triangles at street intersections, and 20 -foot visibility triangles at drive approaches and at alleys on properties zoned single family); and
- between two and a half and eight feet in height measured from the top of the adjacent street curb (or the grade of the portion on the street adjacent to the visibility triangle).
- The property is located in PD 317 which requires a 45 -foot visibility triangle at the intersection of two streets.
- A site plan and an elevation have been submitted a single-family home structure to be located in the 45 ' visibility triangle at the intersection of McKee Street and Browder Street.
- The Sustainable Development Department Senior Engineer has submitted a review comment sheet marked "Recommends that this be denied" with the following comment:
- "Driveway encroaches into an already reduce ( $36 \times 36$ foot) visibility triangle" (Attachment A).
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing how granting this request to locate and maintain a structure in the 45 ' visibility triangle at the intersection of McKee Street and Browder Street does not constitute a traffic hazard.
- Granting this request with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevation would limit the item to be located and maintained in the $45^{\prime}$ intersection visibility triangle to that what is shown on these documents - a portion of a three-story 4 -unit multi-family structure.


## Timeline:

February 22, 2019: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

March 11, 2019: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A. This assignment was made in order to comply with Section 9 (k) of the Board of Adjustment Working Rule of Procedure that states, "If a subsequent case is filed concerning the same request, that case must be returned to the panel hearing the previously filed case".

March 13, 2019: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant's representative the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the March $27^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the April $5^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

April 2, 2019: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the April public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Assistant Director, the

Building Official, the Assistant Building Official, the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Chief Arborist, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Senior Engineer, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Board of Adjustment Senior Planner, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

April 5, 2019: The Sustainable Development Department Assistant Director of Engineering has submitted a review comment sheet marked "Recommends that this be denied" with the following comment: "Maneuvering of vehicles on public right of way out of a multifamily development with two more driveways than the maximum allowed by code and Driveway encroaches into an already reduce (36 x36 foot) visibility triangle" (Attachment A).




Has no objectionsHas no objections if certain conditions are met (see comments below or attached)
X
Recommends denial
(see comments below or attached)
BDA 189-037 (SL) BDA 189-040 (SL)

No comments

COMMENTS:

## 1. Driveway encroaches into an already reduced ( $36 \times 36$-foot) visilibity triangle.

## 2. Maneuvering of vehicles on public right of way out of a multifamily development with two more driveways than the maximum allowed by City Code.

$\qquad$
No comments
BDA 189-044 (SL)
$\square$

David Nevarez, P.E., PTOE, SDC-Engineering
April 5, 2019
Name/Title/Department

Date

Please respond to each case and provide comments that justify or elaborate on your response. Dockets distributed to the Board will indicate those who have attended the review team meeting and who have responded in writing with comments.

## City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

 specifically grants a longer period.

## Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared <br> $\qquad$ <br> (Affiant/Applicant's name printed)

 who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.Respectfully submitted:


Subscribed and sworn to beforeme.this 21 day of

Chairman


## Building Official's Report

I hereby certify that represented by did submit a request

DARRYL EVANS MICHAEL FORBES
for a variance to the side yard setback regulations, and for a variance to the off-street parking regulations, and for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations
at 1611 Browder Street

BDA189-046. Application of DARRYL EVANS represented by MICHAEL FORBES for a variance to the side yard setback regulations, and for a variance to the off-street parking regulations, and for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 1611 BROWDER ST. This property is more fully described Tract 14, Block 448, and is zoned PD-317 subdistrict 1 , which requires a 45 foot visibility triangle at street intersections and requires a parking space must be at least 20 feet from the right-of-way line adjacent to a street or alley if the space is located in an enclosed structure and if the space faces upon or can be entered directly from the street or alley and requires a side yard setback of 10 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a multi-family residential structure and provide a 3 foot side yard setback, which will require a 7 foot variance to the side yard setback regulations, and to construct a multi-family residential structure with a setback of 17 feet, which will require a variance of 3 feet to the off-street parking regulations, and to construc a multi-family structure in a required visibility obstruction triangle, which will require a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations.


Legend





## 1611 Browder St.

## Variance Hearing Case Plan

## 1611 Browder St. (NW corner of McKee St.)

## Project Site Description

- Currently a boarded up old house
-4,940 sf Corner Lot
-Lot dimensions: 48' $\times 103^{\prime}$
- Zoned PD317-1


## As Is <br> Condition



## Proposed project



Main Issue: The 48' lot dimension is smaller than other PD317-1 lots and prevents development commensurate with other PD317-1 projects

## The Request

## (2) Variances \& (1) Special exception

- (1) Variance to allow for a 3' side yard setback
- (1) Variance to allow for a 17' driveway setback
- (1) Special Exception to allow a 36 degree visibility triangle

Unnecessary Hardship
-As Is the site presents an Unnecessary Hardship
-48' deep lot

- Minus 10' side yard setback
- Minus 20' driveway setback
- Leaves 18' deep buildable area

UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP


## Subject Lot is $4,940 \mathrm{sf}$

Lot is
Restrictive b/c of Area

Average corner lot in PD317-1 is 8,284 sf
Avg. townhome lot in $\mathrm{PD}_{317}-1$ is $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 5 7} \mathbf{~ s f}$

Not self inflicted

- Purchased a platted 48' corner lot
- Max 18' deep building due to mandated setbacks
- No replat or any other changes


## PD317-1 Comparisons

## Market Analysis

- $100 \%$ of other developed lots are larger
- No corner lot has a dimension as small 48'

PD 317-1 COMPARABLE STUDY

|  | Lot Dimensions |  |  | Yield |  | Unit Dimensions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address | Lot Depth | Lot Width | Lot Size | No. of Units | \% of units/LF | Unit size | unit ft. print width | unit ft. <br> print <br> depth | unit footprint area | Year Built |
| 1611 Browder w/o Varances | 48 | 103 | 4,944 | 4 | 4\% | 943 | 23.75 | 18 | 428 |  |
| 1611 Browder w/Variances | 48 | 103 | 4,944 | 4 | 4\% | 1,780 | 23.75 | 30 | 707 |  |
| PD 317-1 Townhome development projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averages | 109 | 150 | 16,357 | 6 | 4\% | 1,747 | 23 | 40 | 958 |  |
| 1815 Browder St | 84 | 147 | 12,348 | 6 | 4\% | 2,167 | 22 | 37 | 814 | 2018 |
| 1521-29 Hickory St | 100 | 143 | 14,300 | 6 | 4\% | 1,783 | 30 | 50 | 1500 | 2019 |
| 1533 Seegar St* | 113 | 160 | 18,080 | 7 | 4\% | 1,352 | 19.5 | 35 | 676 | 2005 |
| 1500-1510 McKee* | 138 | 150 | 20,700 | 6 | 4\% | 1,685 | 20 | 38 | 843 | 2004 |
| PD 317-1 corner lots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Averages | 72 | 114.43 | 8,284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500 Sullivan | 122 | 109 | 13,298 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1412 Sullivan | 70 | 120 | 8,399 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1417 Gano | 68 | 104 | 7,072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1501 Gano | 105 | 110 | 11,550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1601 Browder | 52 | 97 | 4,996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1602 Browder | 53 | 73 | 3,854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1614 Browder | 50 | 100 | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1701 Browder | 117 | 177 | 20,709 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1501 Beaumont St | 50 | 138 | 6,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1419 Beaumont St | 57 | 112 | 6,384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1416-20 Beaumont St | 63 | 130 | 8,176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1802 Browder St | 50 | 98 | 4,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1609 Durant St | 69 | 85 | 5,865 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1605 Durant St | 100 | 121 | 12,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1531 Hickory St | 61 | 142 | 8,662 |  | -30 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Visibility Triangle

(e) 30 degree triangle since 1940's - proposed 36 degree triangle improves existing 30 degree triangle

- Does not create nor increase any traffic hazards or concerns per City Traffic Engineer


## VISIBILITYTRIANGLE

6 degree
improvement from


## Pursuant to the above rationale and comparable projects please serve the public's best interest and:

## Conclusion

- Grant (1) variance for 3' side yard setback
- Grant (1) variance for 17 ' garage setback
- Grant (1) special exception to visibility triangle




# Notification List of Property Owners BDA189-046 

27 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1611 | BROWDER ST |
| 2 | 1401 | GANO ST |
| 3 | 1409 | GANO ST |
| 4 | 1408 | GANO ST |
| 5 | 1400 | GANO ST |
| 6 | 1610 | GOULD ST |
| 7 | 1407 | MCKEE ST |
| 8 | 1501 | GANO ST |
| 9 | 1605 | DURANT ST |
| 10 | 1602 | BROWDER ST |
| 11 | 1509 | MCKEE ST |
| 12 | 1508 | GANO ST |
| 13 | 1513 | MCKEE ST |
| 14 | 1608 | BROWDER ST |
| 15 | 1709 | GOULD ST |
| 16 | 1312 | MCKEE ST |
| 17 | 1704 | S AKARD ST |
| 18 | 1701 | BROWDER ST |
| 19 | 1708 | GOULD ST |
| 20 | 1704 | GOULD ST |
| 21 | 1400 | MCKEE ST |
| 22 | 1510 | MCKEE ST |
| 23 | 1508 | MCKEE ST |
| 24 | 1506 | MCKEE ST |
| 25 | 1504 | MCKEE ST |
| 26 | 1502 | MCKEE ST |
| 23 | 103 |  |

## Owner

WHITMIRE JULIE
KAPLAN HARRY L ESTATE
KAPLAN HARRY L
CHARALAMBOPOULOS FAY
BLANCHARD BECKER FAMILY TRUST
DANIEL DAVID O
DANIEL DAVID
MARTINEK MARK
HERNDON SUSAN W \&
BANOWSKY BRITTON B
SHIPBUILD LLC
BANOWSKY BRITTON B
SHIPBUILD PARTNERS LP
YANCEY CEDARS LLC
SHIPBUILD LLC
RITETRACK BUILDER INC
AMORY STREET PPTIES LLC SERIES A
ZOHA INVESTMENTS LLC
FEIGENSON JEFFREY \&
DIJKMAN CELIA MARIA TRUST
VELA STEVEN
FREEMAN RICHARD H SR \&
REMUS PATRICK L
PARKER AMANDA
WALLACE MICHAEL
KIM SANGHYUN D \& HYEREE

## Label \# Address

27
1500

MCKEE ST

Owner
ROBINSON PHILLIP T \& STEPHANIE

