| BRIEFING | 5/E/S | 11:30 A.M. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LUNCH | COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 1500 MARILLA STREET | 1:00 P.M. |

# David Cossum, Assistant Director Steve Long, Board Administrator 

## MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

Approval of the Tuesday, September 20, 2011 M1
Board of Adjustment Public Hearing Minutes
Consideration and approval of Panel A's 2012
M2
Public Hearing Schedule

UNCONSTESTED CASE

| BDA 101-092 | 9762 and 9770 Audubon Place <br> REQUEST: Application of Jackson Walker LLP, <br> represented by Susan Mead/Jonathan Vinson, <br> for a special exception to the fence height regulations |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | REGULAR CASES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BDA 101-095 | 3300 Knox Street <br> REQUEST: Application of Elizabeth McDonald, <br> represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight, <br> to appeal the decision of the administrative official | 2 |
| BDA 101-096 | 3300 Knox Street <br> REQUEST: Application of Elizabeth McDonald, <br> represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight, <br> for a variance to the off-street parking regulations | 3 |

## EXECUTIVE SESSION NOTICE

The Commission/Board may hold a closed executive session regarding any item on this agenda when:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the Commission/Board under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a compliant or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices.. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.086]
(Rev. 6-24-02)

## MISCELLANEOUS ITEM NO. 1

To approve the Board of Adjustment Panel A September 20, 2011 public hearing minutes.

## MISCELLANEOUS ITEM NO. 2

Consideration and approval of Panel A's 2012 Public Hearing Schedule.


## timeanddate.com

## Calendar for year 2012 (United States)

| January |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su | Mo | Tu | We | Th | Fr | Sa |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| $1: 09: 0$ | $16: 0$ | $23:$ | $30: 0$ |  |  |  |


| February |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su Mo Tu We Th Fr |  |  |  |
|    1 2 3 4 <br> 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 <br> 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 <br> 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 <br> 26 27 28 29    <br> $7: 0$ $14: 0$ $21:$ $29: 0$    |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| March |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su Mo Tu We Th | Fr Sa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |  |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |
| $8: 0$ | $14: 0$ | $22: 0$ | $30: 0$ |  |  |  |  | PanelC Panel A Panel B


| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su Mo | Tu | We | Th | Fr | Sa |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |  |
| $5: O$ | $12: 0$ | $20:$ | $28: 0$ |  |  |  |


| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su Mo Tu We Th Fr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 2 \\ 10\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll} 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \\ 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|rlllll} 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 \\ 4: 0 & 11: 0 & 19: & 26: 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

August
Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa

| September |
| :---: |
| Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 \\ 22\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 \\ 29\end{array}$
30
1:09:17: 24:031:0

| October |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Su Mo | Tu | We | Th | Fr | Sa |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$8: 015: 21: 029: 0$

| November | December |
| :---: | :---: |
| Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa | Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa |
| 123 | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22.23 & 24\end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 30\end{array}$ |  |
|  | 3031 |
| 6:0 13: 20:028:0 | 6:0 13: 20:0 28:0 |

## Holidays and Observances:

Jan 1 New Year's Day
Jan 2 'New Year's Day' observed Jan 16 Martin Luther King Day Feb 14 Valentine's Day Feb 20 Presidents' Day
May 28 Memorial Day
Jun 17 Father's Day
Jul 4 Independence Day
Sep 3 Labor Day
Oct 8 Columbus Day (Most regions)

Nov 11 Veterans Day
Nov 12 'Veterans Day' observed
Nov 22 Thanksgiving Day
Dec 24 Christmas Eve
Dec 25 Christmas Day

## BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT:

Application of Jackson Walker LLP, represented by Susan Mead/Jonathan Vinson, for a special exception to the fence height regulations at 9762 and 9770 Audubon Place. This property is more fully described as Lots $4 \& 5$ in City Block 14/5587 and is zoned R1ac(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a 6 foot 6 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a special exception of 2 feet 6 inches.

LOCATION: 9762 and 9770 Audubon Place
APPLICANT: Jackson Walker LLP
Represented by Susan Mead/Jonathan Vinson

## REQUEST:

- A special exception to the fence height regulations of $2^{\prime} 6$ " is requested in conjunction with constructing and maintaining a $6^{\prime}-6^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ high open iron picket fence and gate with 6' 6" high decorative metal columns/"pillars" in the site's 40' front yard setback on a lot developed with a single family home. (The proposed fence in this application would be a continuation of an existing fence on the southern half of the property/subject site - a fence that appears to have been a result of a special exception to the fence height regulations granted by the Board of Adjustment Panel A in October of 1997: BDA 967-313).


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence height regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the height requirement for fences when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## GENERAL FACTS:

- The Dallas Development Code states that a person shall not erect or maintain a fence in a required yard more than 9' above grade, and additionally states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.

The applicant has submitted site plan and an elevation indicating that the proposal in the required front yard setback reaches a maximum height of 6 ' 6 ".

- The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:
- The proposal is approximately 215 ' in length parallel to the street.
- The proposal is shown to be located at a range of $0^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ from the front property line or at a range of about $19-23$ ' from the pavement line.
- One single family home has direct/indirect frontage to the proposal on the subject site, a property with a fence that appears higher than 4' in height in its front yard setback - an approximately $6.5^{\prime}$ high open fence with $7.5^{\prime}$ high columns that appears to be the result of a granted fence height special exception from March of 1996 BDA 956-163.
- In addition to the fence mentioned above, the Board Administrator noted one other fence above four feet high in the immediate area (approximately 500 feet from the site along Audubon Place), which appeared to be located in the front yard setback: an approximately 8' high open metal fence with an approximately 10' high open metal gate. (There is no recorded BDA history found on this property).
- The applicant submitted additional information beyond what was submitted with the original application to be forwarded to the Board (see Attachment A).


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)
North: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)
South: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)
East: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single family district 1 acre)
West: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single family district 1 acre)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA 967-313, Property at 9762

Audubon Place (what was at the time only the southern side/half of the subject site)

On October 28, 1997, the Board of Adjustment Panel A granted a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 5 " 6 " for a " 6 foot, 6 "fence with 6 ' 10 "columns, and a 9 ', 6 "entry gate columns" and imposed the submitted site/landscape/elevation plan as a condition to the request.
2. BDA 956-163, Property at 9769

Audubon Place (the property immediately west of the subject site)

On March 26, 1996, the Board of Adjustment Panel A granted a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations to maintain a 6.5 high open metal fence with 7.5' high columns, and special exception to maintain an additional dwelling unit on the property, subject to deed restricting the property to prevent the use of the additional dwelling unit as rental accommodations.

## Timeline:

July 22, 2011: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

September 21, 2011: The Board of Adjustment Secretary assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A. This assignment was made in order to comply with Section 9 (k) of the Board of Adjustment Working Rule of Procedure that states, "If a subsequent case is filed concerning the same request, that case must be returned to the panel hearing the previously filed case."

September 21, 2011: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the September $30^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the October $7^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

September 30, 2011: The applicant submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with the original application.

October 4, 2011: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for October public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Current Planning Assistant Director, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Engineering Assistant Director, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the City of Dallas Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Project Engineer, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

October 6, 2011: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Project Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Has no objections."

October 7, 2011: The applicant submitted additional information beyond what was submitted with the original application to be forwarded to the board (see Attachment A).

## STAFF ANALYSIS:

- This request focuses on constructing and maintaining a $6^{\prime}-6^{\prime} 3$ " high open iron picket fence and gate with 6' 6" high decorative metal columns/"pillars" in the site's 40' front yard setback on a lot developed with a single family home.
- The proposed fence in this application would be a continuation of an existing fence on the southern half of the property/subject site - a fence that appears to have been a result of a request for a fence height special exception granted by the Board of Adjustment Panel A in October of 1997: BDA 967-313.
- The submitted site plan and elevation documents the location, height, and materials of the proposal over 4' in height in the required front yard setback. The site plan indicates that the proposal is about 215' in length parallel to the street, approximately $0^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ from the site's front property line or about 19' -23 ' from the pavement line. (The proposed gates are shown to be located approximately 11' from the front property line or about 25 ' from the pavement line).
- One single family home has direct/indirect frontage to the proposal on the subject site, a property with a fence that appears higher than 4 ' in height in its front yard setback - an approximately $6.5^{\prime}$ high open fence with $7.5^{\prime}$ high columns that appears to be appears to be the result of a granted fence height special exception from March of 1996 - BDA 956-163.
- In addition to the fence mentioned above, the Board Administrator noted one other fence above four (4) feet high in the immediate area (approximately 500 feet from the site along Audubon Place) which appeared to be located in the front yard setback: an approximately 8 ' high open metal fence with an approximately 10' high open metal gate. (There is no recorded BDA history found on this property).
- As of October 10, 2011, 4 letters had been submitted to staff in support of the request and no letters had been submitted in opposition.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence height regulations of 2' 6 " will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting this special exception of 2' 6' with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevation would require that the proposal exceeding 4' in height in the front yard setback to be constructed and maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents.
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Jonathan G. Vinson (214) 953-5941 (Direct Dial) (214) 661-6809 (Direct Fax) jvinson@jw.com

October 7, 2011

## Via Scan/Email

Hon. Chair and Members
Zoning Board of Adjustment, Panel A
c/o Mr. Steve Long, Board Administrator
Department of Sustainable Development and Construction
City of Dallas
1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN
Dallas, Texas 75201
Re: BDA 101-092; 9762/9770 Audubon Place
Dear Members of Panel A:
We represent Nancy and Randy Best, the property owners at 9762/9770 Audubon Place, on their application for a fence height special exception to construct a maximum 6 foot 6 inch open wrought iron fence on that portion of their property ( 9770 Audubon Place) which they have more recently acquired, and which is being platted together with 9762 Audubon Place.

The accompanying Site Plan and Fence Elevation show both the already existing fence at 9762 Audubon Place (which was approved for the previous owners under BDA $967-313$ on October 28, 1997), as well as the proposed new fence, which will exactly match the existing fence in height ( 6 foot 6 inch maximum), materials (open wrought iron), and configuration. The new fence will be connected to, and indistinguishable from, the existing fence. The new fence is desired to provide a visually consistent and aesthetically pleasing look across the front of the property, as well as for enhanced security.

Considering the size of the lots in the area and the character of many of the alreadyexisting fences and gates, this will be a relatively modest fence. The open wrought iron character of the fence (note that there is no solid element of the fence shown above grade), combined with retention of the mature trees in front of the fence, plus additional landscaping, will ensure that this fence will be visually pleasing and very unobtrusive when viewed from neighboring properties and from the street.

The applicants have also been discussing the application with their neighbors, showing them the relevant plans, and answering their questions. Four of the closest neighbors have provided written expressions of support (see attached letter and emails; support also indicated by green dots on the aerial photo showing Audubon Place). Further, although I know no other case sets a precedent, there are several other tall fences,

901 Main Street, Suite 6000 • Dallas, Texas 75202 • (214) 953-6000 • fax (214) 953-5822

Mr. Steve Long
October 7, 2011
Page 2
and even taller gates, on this block, at least some of which were approved by Board action (see color site photos with captions).

As you know, the standard for the granting of a special exception to the fence height regulations is whether, "...in the opinion of the Board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property". That is certainly the case here, and those of the applicants' neighbors who have expressed their views agree with that position.

We look forward to appearing before you at our hearing on October 18, where we will be happy to answer any questions you might have, and at which time we will respectfully request your approval of our application. Thank you very much.

cc: Nancy Best
Holly Hall
Susan Mead



City of Dallas


# Lee Roy and Tandy Mitchell <br> 9769 Audubon Place <br> Dallas, TX 75220 <br> (214) 654-0140 

September 23, 2011

To Whom It May Concern:
We support the Best's desire to build the proposed $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ fence to match their existing fence. They are requesting a special exemption of $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ in height above the allowable $4^{\prime}$ in the front yard.


Lee Roy and Tandy Mitchell

From: John Dayton [johndayton@mac.com](mailto:johndayton@mac.com)
Date: September 28, 2011 8:20:40 AM CDT
To: "Nancy@nkbestmail.com" < Nancy@nkbestmail.com>
Subject: 9770 Audubon Place Fence Variance

To Whom It May Concern:
We support the Best's desire to build the proposed $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ fence to match their existing fence. They are requesting a special exemption of $2^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ in height above the allowable $4^{\prime}$ in the front yard.
Accept this emall as evidence of our support for their pending fence variance at 9770 Audubon Place.
Sincerely,
John and Arlene Dayton
9779 Audubon Place, Dallas, Texas 75220
214-350-1650

From: Holly Hughes [hoh@spindletopexp.com](mailto:hoh@spindletopexp.com)
Date: October 4, 2011 11:14:13 AM EDT
To: "Nancy@nkbestmail.com" < Nancy@nkbestmail.com>
Subject: RE: Fence
Nancy,
We have no objections to the continuation of your existing 6 ' fence across the front of your extended property. Thank you for your assurances that we will work together to make sure the juncture of your extended fence and our existing fence will be made visually pleasing for both of our properties.

As we discussed, we are especially sensitive since that corner juts in front of our house and thus becomes the "front door view" to and from our property. We appreciate your willingness to work with us, not only on the fence but also on potential locations of equipment and landscaping due to the high prominence of the juncture relative to the siting and drive-up of our home.

We wish you continued good progress on your project.

Joseph V. and Holly O. Hughes
9784 Audubon Place
Dallas, Texas 75220
214.904.8358

From: Regen Fearon[RegenF@swbell.net](mailto:RegenF@swbell.net)
Date: September 27, 2011 5:09:18 PM CDT
To: "Nancy@nkbestmail.com" < Nancy@nkbestmail.com>

## Subject: Fence variance

Nancy,
Jeff and I are in support of your request for an exception above the 4 feet for your new fence at 9770 Audubon. Matching your existing fence height ( $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) would be far more esthetically pleasing than having differing heights!

Let me know if you need anything else from me.
Regen Fearon
9785 Audubon Place
Dallas, TX 75220


## 1. View north of Audubon Place from Park Lane - very low traffic street. leads to cul-de-sac.


2. Gate and columns at 9769 Audubon Place.
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## 3. Fence and columns at 9769 <br> Audubon Place.


4. Gate and columns also at 9769

Audubon Place.

5. Fence and gate at 9784 Audubon Place.

6. Gate (in background) at 9784

Audubon Place.


## 7. Closer view of gate at 9784 Audubon Place.


8. Existing gate at 9762 Audubon

Place.

BDA 101-092, Attachment A, Page 14

9. Existing gate at 9762 Audubon

Place.

10. Property at 9770 Audubon

Place.

City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Data Relative to Subject Property:
Case No.: BDA $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$
Location address: $\qquad$ Zoning District: $\quad$ R-1ac (A)
$\qquad$ Census Tract: $\qquad$
Street Frontage (in Feet): I $\qquad$ 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5)


To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property/or Principal:

## Randy Best \& Nancy best

Applicant: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
(214) 953-5941
Mailing Address: $\quad$ 901 Main Street, Suite 6000, Dallas. Texas $\quad$ Zip Code: $\quad 75202$

Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$ (214) 953-5941

Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: 75202

Affirm that a request has been made for a Variance $\qquad$ , or Special Exception $\downarrow$, of to the applicable fence height regulations to permit an increase in maximum fence height from four (4) feet to six (6) feet (6) inches, for a special exception of two (2) feet, six (6) inches.

Application is now made to the Honorable Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described request for the following reason:
The special exception should be granted because it will not adversely affect neighboring property.
which will be documented and elaborated upon further by the applicant in additional items to be
submitted to the City Staff.

Note to Applicant: If the relief requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, said permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

Respectfully submitted:


Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this



Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that Jonathan Vinson
did submit a request for a special exception to the fence height regulations
at 9762 Audobon Place

BDA101-092. Application of Jonathan Vinson for a special exception to the fence height regulations at 9762 Audubon Place. This property is more fully described as lot 4A in city block 14/5587 and is zoned $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet. The applicant proposes to construct an 6 foot 6 inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 foot 6 inch special exception to the fence regulation.

Sincerely,






# Notification List of Property Owners 

BDA101-092

## 14 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 9762 | AUDUBON |
| 2 | 9770 | AUDUBON |
| 3 | 4945 | WEDGEWOOD |
| 4 | 5025 | LAKEHILL |
| 5 | 5035 | LAKEHILL |
| 6 | 9720 | AUDUBON |
| 7 | 9746 | AUDUBON |
| 8 | 9784 | AUDUBON |
| 9 | 9785 | AUDUBON |
| 10 | 9779 | AUDUBON |
| 11 | 4931 | WEDGEWOOD |
| 12 | 4955 | WEDGEWOOD |
| 13 | 9769 | AUDUBON |
| 14 | 9727 | AUDUBON |

Owner
BEST RANDY \& NANCY K
SCHLIEM THOM \& JANICE R
MOON THOMAS R \& LINDA H
BRINKMANN J BAXTER
FITTS JOHN STUART
ROSE MAURICE \& LYDIA
PEACOCK ROBERT B TR ET AL
HUGHES JOSEPH V JR \& HOLLY O HUGHES
FEARON JEFFREY ARCHER \& REGEN HORCHOW
DAYTON JOHN W
ZICARELLI AMY A \& THOMAS MICHAEL
BUTZBERGER PAUL T \& DONNA M
MITCHELL LEE ROY \& TANDY

TANNER TRUST THE ELIZABETH M SCHURIG TRU

## BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT:

Application of Elizabeth McDonald, represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight, to appeal the decision of the administrative official at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as Lots $3 \& 4$ in City Block S/1538 and is zoned PD-193 (LC) which requires parking to be provided for the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. The applicant proposes to appeal the decision of an administrative official in the denial of a Certificate of Occupancy.

## LOCATION: 3300 Knox Street

APPLICANT: Elizabeth McDonald
Represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight

## REQUEST:

- An appeal has been made requesting that the Board of Adjustment reverse/overturn the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision to deny an application for a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) on the subject site - specifically Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property at 3300 Knox Street. The applicant alleges that the Building Official's decision to deny this CO application was in error and should be overturned.

BASIS FOR APPEAL FROM DECISION OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL: Section 51A-3.102(d)(1) of the Dallas Development Code states that the Board of Adjustment has the power and duty to hear and decide appeals from decisions of Administrative Officials made in the enforcement of the Dallas Development Code.

## GENERAL FACTS:

- Building Inspection Application No. 1107191052 made by Peter Kavanaugh for a "retail" use for property at 3300 Knox Street was stamped "denied" on 7-27-11 by "T. Duerksen" with the stated reason being: "does not meet code."
- A July $27^{\text {th }}$ letter regarding "Certificate of Occupancy Application - 1107191052 at 3300 Knox Street" written by Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner Todd Duerksen to the person making the Building Inspection Application for a Certificate of Occupancy No. 1107191052 for "retail use" (Peter Kavanagh)) states the following:
- "This letter is to advise you that Building Inspection has received your recent application for a certificate of occupancy at the referenced address and has reviewed your request. However we regret to inform you that we are unable to approved your request at this time due to the site does not comply with the
parking requirements of the Dallas Development Code. Please see your zoning plans examiner if you have questions concerning this matter."
- A document submitted with this application states the following:
- Request is made to appeal the decision of the City of Dallas Administrative Official in his/her interpretation of the Dallas Development Code.
- Appeal the decision of the Administrative Official in his interpretation of the Delta Theory (Section 51A-4.704(b)(4)(B) Dallas Development Code).
- The Delta Theory provides nonconforming rights as to parking. Section 51A4.704(b)(4)(C) provides for reduction of nonconforming rights. There is only one way to reduce nonconforming rights ("Delta Credits"). A change in use of the property to a different use that has a lesser parking requirement than the previous use results in permanent loss of Delta Credits for the property. There is no other action that causes a reduction in Delta Credits.
- The Administrative Official has ruled that providing off-site parking for a use is cause to reduce Delta Credits. That decision has no basis in the Dallas Development Code.
- Request is made to appeal the decision of the Administrative Official. Provision of off-site temporary parking or shared parking is not cause to reduce Delta Credits for a property.
- Property that has Delta Credits can lose those credits only by changing the use of the property. Providing access to more parking than is required is not cause to reduce Delta Credits.
- Section 51(A)- 4.704(b)(4) Nonconformity as to parking or loading provides the following:
- Increased requirements. A person shall not change a use that is nonconforming as to parking or loading to another use requiring more off-street parking or loading unless the additional required off-street parking or loading spaces are provided.
- Delta Theory. In calculating required off-street parking or loading, the number of nonconforming parking or loading spaces for a use may be carried forward when the use is converted or expanded. Nonconforming rights as to parking or loading are defined in the following manner:

Required parking or loading for existing use.

- Number of existing parking or loading spaces for existing use

Nonconforming rights as to parking or loading.

- Decreased requirements. When a use is converted to a new use having a lesser parking or loading requirement, the rights to any portion of the nonconforming parking or loading that are not needed to meet the new requirements are lost.
- On September 30, 2011, the applicant's representative forwarded additional information to the Board Administrator regarding this appeal and his related application at the same address BDA 101-096 beyond what was submitted with the original applications (see Attachment A).
- On October 4, 2011, the applicant's representative forwarded additional information to the Board Administrator regarding his other related appeal at the same address (BDA 101-096) beyond what was submitted with that original application (see Attachment B).
- On October 7, 2011, the applicant's representative forwarded additional information to the Board Administrator regarding this appeal and his related application at the
same address BDA 101-096 beyond what was submitted with the original applications (see Attachment C).


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
North: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
South: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
East: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
West: $\quad$ PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with commercial/retail structure, part of which is leased, part of which is vacant. The area to the north is developed with residential use; and the areas to the east, south, and west are developed with retail uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA 101-096, Property at 3300 Knox Street (the subject site)

Depending on whether Board of Adjustment Panel A grants the applicant's request in this application made on the subject site (BDA 101-095) on October 18, 2011, overturning/reversing the Building Official's July 27, 2011 decision to deny an application for a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) on the subject site - specifically Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property, will be whether the Board of Adjustment Panel A will consider the applicant's other request on the subject site: BDA 101-096- an application for a variance to off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces.
If the board grants the applicant's request in BDA 101-095 reversing/overturning the Building Official's decision, the applicant is aware that the board will not be required to consider the applicant's other request on the subject site (BDA 101-096) - an application for a variance to the off-street parking regulations.
If the board denies the applicant's request in BDA 101-095 and affirms the Building Official's decision, the applicant is aware that the board will then be required to consider
his other request made on the subject site (BDA 101-096): an application for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces.

## Timeline:

June 10, 2011: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

September 21, 2011: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

September 21, 2011: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant's representative the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the September $30^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the October $78^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the outline of procedure for appeals from decisions of the building official to the board of adjustment; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

September 30, 2011: The applicant's representative submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with both of his applications at this address - BDA 101-095 and 096 (see Attachment A).

October 4, 2011: The applicant's representative submitted additional information regarding his other related appeal (BDA 101-096) beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment B).

October 4, 2011: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for October public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Current Planning Assistant Director, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Engineering Assistant Director, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the City of Dallas Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Project Engineer, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets with comments were submitted in conjunction with this application.

October 7, 2011: The applicant's representative submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with both of his applications at this address - BDA 101-095 and 096 (see Attachment C).

## STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The applicant is requesting the Board of Adjustment to overturn or reverse the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision to deny an application for a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) on the subject site - specifically Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property at 3300 Knox Street.
- If the Board of Adjustment denies the applicant's request and upholds the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision, Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property at 3300 Knox Street will remain denied. If this action occurs, the Board of Adjustment Panel A will consider the applicant's other request on the subject site that being a request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces: BDA 101-096.
- If the Board of Adjustment grants the applicant's request and overturns/reverses the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision, Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property at 3300 Knox Street will be approved. If this action occurs, the applicant will not need approval from the Board of Adjustment Panel A on his other request on the subject site that being a request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces: BDA 101-096.




## Thompson \& KNight llp

## ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

JAMES B. HARRIS
DIRECT DIAL: (214) 969-1102
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September 30, 2011

# Via Hand Delivery 

Steve Long
Board of Adjustment Administrator
Sustainable Development and Construction
City of Dallas
1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN
Dallas, TX 75201

Re: BDA 101-095 and 096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Long:
I write on behalf of the Mary Victoria Keating Trust, the owner of property at 3300 Knox Street, which is the Applicant in the above-referenced Board of Adjustment matters. The purpose of this letter is to share background information that the city staff might consider in making a recommendation with respect to each matter.

The first matter involves an appeal of the building official's determination that the property owned by the Keating Trust has no Delta Credits. The second matter, which would only be reached if the Board of Adjustment agrees that the property has no Delta Credits, is a request for a variance from parking requirements because the parking demand associated with a current use of the property is significantly less than what the development code requires. I will address each matter in turn.

1. The property has sufficient Delta Credits to satisfy Development Code requirements.

The property in question consists of Lots 3 and 4 of the Cockrells Fammland Addition. The lots form an L-shape at the northwest corner of Knox and Travis Streets. Enclosed as Exhibit A is a diagram outlining the lots in question.

Lot 3 and a very small portion of Lot 4 are covered by a building that is believed to have been built in the 1930's, slightly expanded in the 1980's, and remodeled many times. The city has not identified a consistent square footage for the building over the last forty years. Square footage has ranged from 6,264 square feet to 7,397 square feet. At the current time, the owner and the city are in agreement that the square footage is 7,397 square feet.

During the 1970's there were three retail operations located in the building - Flower a Day, Mary's Beauty and a TV store. At that time, required parking for retail uses was one space for each 200 square feet. Therefore, these uses should have required 31 to 37 parking spaces, depending on the square footage that actually existed. Because Lot 3 was covered by a building, the only area where off-street parking could have been provided was on Lot 4. Until mid-1980, however, Lot 4 was not used for off-street parking. Instead, eleven angled spaces on the north side of Knox in front of the building, and three parallel spaces along Travis on the east side of the building all in the public right of way was the only parking provided. Because no required parking was provided, the Delta Credits in the 1970's would have been 31 to 37 spaces, again depending on the actual square footage of the building.

In mid-1980, the city issued a Certificate of Occupancy for a restaurant to be operated in the building. A copy of the site plan associated with that Certificate of Occupancy is attached as Exhibit B. The site plan identifies the building area as $4 ; 731$ square feet. That number is obviously incorrect and appears to represent that portion of the building devoted to restaurant use. Again, depending upon what square footage is assigned to the entire building, the area marked as "office" contained either 2,666 square feet or 1,533 square feet. Therefore, the actual required parking, which had to be the sum of the restaurant use and the office use, was either 55 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 8 for the office (for 2,666 square feet at one space for every 333 square feet as required in 1980)) or 52 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 5 for the office (for 1,533 square feet)). The site plan provides for 33 spaces, of which 9 exist only because of a parking agreement approved by the city that allowed the portions of Lots 1 and 2 that did not contain buildings and Lot 4 to provide parking for the uses on Lot 3. Presumably, in order to issue the certificate of occupancy, the city recognized anywhere from 19 to 22 Delta Credit spaces that existed in connection with the retail uses occurring in the building in the 1970's.

In 1986, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for a different restaurant, On the Border. This restaurant made use of the entire building and the site plan associated with that certificate of occupancy, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, suggests that at that point in time the building size was 7,397 square feet, all of which was used for a restaurant. Required parking then would have been 74 spaces, yet the site plan provided the total on-site parking, which included the spaces that existed because of the parking agreement, was 33 , suggesting a Delta Credit of 41 spaces.

In 1995, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for yet a different use, a retail operation, namely a Smith \& Hawken store. By 1995, the property was part of the Oak Lawn PD and the parking requirement for a retail use was one for every 220 square feet of space. Applying that requirement to the building's square footage of 7,397 square feet means the store was required to have 34 spaces. It would have been necessary to use Delta Credits to satisfy that parking demand because the parking agreement entered into in 1980, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit D, was not effective for retail use. By its terms, that parking agreement was only applicable to a restaurant use. Therefore, the only area available for Smith \& Hawken to provide required parking was on Lot 4, and according to a 2010 survey, a copy of which is attached as

Exhibit E, and which describes the parking provided on Lot 4 during Smith \& Hawken tenure, 18 spaces were provided. The 16 additional required spaces must have been supplied through Delta Credits.

The section of the development code providing Delta Credits explicitly allows credits to be carried forward when a new use requires less parking than the previous use, if those credits are needed to satisfy required parking. The Delta Credits for Lots 3 and 4 were either 31 or 34 in the 1970 's, 19 or 22 when the building was used as a Mariano's restaurant, and 44 when the building was used as an On the Border restaurant. Regardless of which Delta Credits apply, they all exceeded the 16 Delta Credits needed for Smith \& Hawken's use of the building.

Smith \& Hawken vacated the building in 2009. Recently, the owner was able to lease 3,389 square feet for a new retail use. The required parking for that use is 15 spaces. The owner is in negotiations to lease the remaining 4,008 square feet to another retail use that will require 18 parking spaces. Because only 18 spaces are currently available on Lot 4 , use of 15 of the available Delta Credits would satisfy required parking needs for the entire building and allow the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for a retail use in the rest of the building.

Alternatively, if the city is of the view that the 1980 parking agreement applies to other than restaurant uses, then just as it was available to Smith \& Hawken in 1995, it is available currently for a different retail use. There has been some suggestion that the 1980 parking agreement is no longer in effect because reciprocal cross easements referenced in that document no longer exist. In fact, regardless of the status of the reciprocal cross easements the parking agreement continues to be a binding covenant running with the land, requiring the owners of Lots 1 and 2 to make their property available for parking. This conclusion is supported by a February 23, 1988, memo signed by Claude Forte, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit F, which does not suggest that the reciprocal easements mentioned in the parking agreement in any way affect the continued existence of the covenant running with the land established by the 1980 parking agreement. Additionally, the city has never released the covenant. If the city considers the parking agreement to still be applicable, then as described in the site plan for the On the Border restaurant, 33 spaces can be provided to satisfy the current required parking for retail operations in the building.

In short, the building official should not withhold a Certificate of Occupancy to use the remaining portion of the building for retail purposes, either because sufficient Delta Credits are currently available to satisfy required parking demand or an existing parking agreement provides sufficient parking to meet required parking needs.
2. If required parking is not met by Delta Credits or the parking agreement, then a parking variance would be appropriate.

Of the 7,397 square feet of space available to lease since Smith \& Hawken left in 2009, only 3,389 square feet has been re-leased. In January of this year, the owner entered into a lease for a retail outlet for Acme Brick that operates under the name Patina. That store opened for
business in mid-August. On display at the store are samples of flooring, tile, and brick for sale. The sales people are also interior designers. The target customer is someone who is seeking help in choosing and buying materials used in remodeling. Customers visit with one of the designers to discuss what type of materials might best meet their needs. The customer then selects from available samples and makes a purchase.

Given the nature of the retail operation, it was expected that the amount of car traffic generated by this store would be limited. The store is not by itself a destination, like a big box retailer. Its retail operations seem to complement other retail stores in the area, such as Weirs, Crate and Barrel, Pottery Barn, and Restoration Hardware. A recent parking survey conducted during the hours the store was opened from Friday, September 23, 2011, through Wednesday, September 28, 2011, supports this conclusion. During those six days, the average hourly parking demand was 3 cars (and that includes employees) and the hourly parking demand ranged from 1 car to 6 cars.

The store has also determined that a number of its customers are walk-ins in the sense that they have parked at another location to visit a restaurant or another store in the area, leave their car parked and walked to this store. For instance, over a four day period 74 customers visited the store, but only 20 cars parked behind the store during the same period and that total included employees. Given the proximity of the store to the Park Cities, as well as to local condominiums and townhomes, the adjacent Katy Trail, and retail stores it compliments, it is not surprising that a number of customers walk to the store from their homes or after first visiting other stores.

Included in the application is a parking layout that would allow, consistent with city requirements for size, configuration, and use of compact spaces, the 18 current spaces to be increased to 23 spaces. Using the average hourly parking demand of three spaces determined by the survey means that 20 spaces would be available for a retail use in the remaining 4,008 square feet of the building. Those 20 spaces exceed the required parking for such a retail use.

Reconfiguring the parking to provide 23 spaces would also provide more parking than was available during the 14 years that Smith \& Hawken was located at the building. During that time, Smith \& Hawken was unable to use any of the area on Lots 1 and 2 for parking and, therefore, had at most 18 spaces for its customers. During those 14 years, there were no complaints to the city of Dallas about a lack of parking associated with the Smith \& Hawken store.

If the parking variance were to be granted, it would provide more parking than was available for a 14 year period without complaint. It would also insure that required parking is provided for half the building, recognizing that the use in the other half has demonstrated a parking demand significantly less than the required parking set forth in the Development Code.

Without this variance, it may not be possible to lease the other half of the building. The owner has talked with surrounding property owners, none of whom have exhibited any interest in
making space available at any price for parking that could be included in a parking agreement. If the other half of the building cannot be leased, the value of the building will necessarily drop, meaning a loss of property tax and without any retail operation no sales tax will be generated and new jobs will not be generated.

I hope the foregoing has been helpful. If you should have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.


JBH/tkh

## Enclosures

## cc: Peter Kavanagh

Elizabeth McDonald
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WHEREAS, Mariano's Restaurants, Inc., hereinafter referred to as "Applicant", has filed an applicution wit! the building orficial of the City of Dallas, Texas, for a building permit and certificate of occupancy in order to refurbish and renovate an existing building to be used for restaurant purposes; and

WHEREAS. Applicant desires to implement said use in the eastern portion of that building located on Lot 3 in city block S/I539, Cockrell fairland Addition, which is located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to ss "Tract A "; and

Whertins, Article $x x$, Chapter 51, "COMPREMEASIVE GENERAL. zONING ORDINANCE", of the Dallas City Codie, as amendwi, reyuiras a specific number of off-streel parking spaces to be provided for the above described use on Tract $A$; and

WHEREAS, the Estate of Mary Victor Keatina, Screinafter Feferred to as "Keating", owns Lot $\dot{4}$ in City block s/Js38, in the Cockrell Fairland Addition, which is lucated in the cit: of Daylas. Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Tract B"; and

Whereas, the I. Jalonick Estate, hereinafter referred to as "Jalonick", owns that property which is described on Exhibit $\beta$, which is attached hereto and made a part herwol for all purposes, which is located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Tract $C$ "; and
wherbis, Applicant desires tu use Tracts b and $C$ in order to satisfy, in whole or in part, the above described parking reguirements for Tract $A$; and


WHEREAS, Keating and Jalonick have entered into a Grant of Reciprocal Easement Agreement to provide parking on Tracts B and $\dot{C}$ f - the benefit of Tract $A_{r}$ such grant being for a period of twenty-one years beginning on March 24, 1980 and terminating on March 23, 2001. now therefore,




KNOW ALL MEN BY TIU:SE !PRESENTS:
That npplicant, Koitin't and Jalonick, for and in censideration of the City of Dallas "ranting to Applicont a louildinej iermit
 the above described use as mitinod in Articie $x \times$, cimprtif 51. "COAPREIBENSIVE GLNERAL ZONI:K; OKUINANCI:", of the Dallas city Code, as amended, do heroby ayrec to the 1 ollowinty
(1) Tracts $B$ and $($ will or:fy be used for parking in connection with the abore d. :icril cd ute of Iract $A$ :
 other than luarking:
(3) This instrumnt $i$ - a covinant rumine with cha land ass to Tracts $B$ and $\mathbb{C}$
(4) The city of billa: may revoluc Appliciant's Corificate of occupancy resarding the above doscribul use of tract $A$ :
 terminated or rescinded for ? $\because$ y :eason; and
(b) Applicnit. dows mot provide othor off-streat park:ng spaces in accordance with int.ict.. $X X$ of the Comprehensive Gereraz. Zoning Ordinance of tho Cil ot 'allati
(5) Tins insifunumh sinll coase w lw elfective cnly upon
 signed by the Building offi•ial ai the ciaty of dallus, Texa:i, or his designee, releasine the af(.)-mwn: iomed covennnts:





Executed this the list day of $/ 4 y$ . 1980.


ESTATE OE MARY VICTOR EATING

I. JALONICK ESTATE


STATE OF TEXAS
5

COUNTY OF DALLAS
G

BEPORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Marian Martinet Mon President of Mariano's, Inc-
 known to me to ins trument, who, having been by me duly sworn, stated and foregoing instrument, whore he hath that he is the President of Mariano's, Inc., is authorized on his oath that he is the President of mariano s, the and that he signed the above and foregoing instrument for the purposes and consideration and in the capacity thereininexpryssed. thereniontry

GIVEN UNDER KY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the $\qquad$ day



My Commission Expires: $6=8-80$
$\qquad$

STATE OE TBXAS At $\because$ Rect $f$ 5


BEFORE $\mu$, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
 whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged Co roe that the some was the act of the said ESTATE OF HARY VICTOR kXininks, and theft he executed the same as the act of such Estate for rhine purposes fa consideration therein expressed and in the capacity
ye purposes
the fain stated
ant

.. BDA 101-095 ....................

COUNTY OF DALLAS $\S$ 5
appeared BEFORE NE, the undersigned authority on this day personally of the I. JALONICK ESTATE, known to me to be the person and efergtan. whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that the same was the act of the said 1 . JALONICK ESTATE, and that he executed the same as the act of such 1. JALONTLK ESTATE for the purposes and consideration therein expressed and in the capacity therein stated.



Being
Foir land ma Kloci. उं andi. Recoids
Bein: dese


 Tisence of Lat't ot F=:nt boing Line of then is Cornar ar. Thenc: foot to

The gici hismon is a trut. corroct io the inem and dimeostonx of xald pros bailitings and improroments are cil $:$ bock froin proporty linat ibe disioncr: rood is at sbown on woxd plet
THERE ARE NO ENCROACHLENTS.:
Sole $\frac{1^{2}+20^{\circ}}{\text { Date }=4 / 29 / 80}$


801271988

Being Lots 3 \& 4 of Block $5 / 1538$ of Cockrellis

 Recorels of ìellas county: Taxad. The part.f Lataler Being describid as follows

Beginc...) st the southest corner ot hat 2 .
 Worth Linc of oil ploy is of cot, io ponat for Cornery
 fiet to poont for porme.




Thence No,terty
 coveret of lot 1 .




 rosis ax ce shown on screl plat.
nawa
$\qquad$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Return to: } \\
& \text { Williain Teitelbanm } \\
& 2731 \text { Lemman Avenue EAST- } 200 \\
& \text { Vallus, Tx. } 75204
\end{aligned}
$$




Mr. Gaude Forte
Chet bexempinenteode
Aaniadstraton


Beparkent pergactueks 320 E Jef ferson byg. Dallats, Texas 75203

RE: $\quad 3300-3308$ Knox Street

## Dear Clawde:

Thank you fot taking the thme tomet buth me on


 צouspgqes, ed,

 you signing the enclosed copies, placher one th the fate and returning the other to me in the enclosed pre-adoresped
envelope. If you have any questons please grue me aedta

RA/kIp
Encl.

## Exhibit F

## HEMORAMOM

## DATE: February 23, 1988

## RE: <br> Parking Agrement for 3300 Block of

know On the Boror Restanat



 Notice of violation paed on the alleged fatheref on the
 deed. The purpose of this memorand is to set forth the underlying facts and documentation contaned in the city of Dalias Eile.




 oy the Jatomact estate, the rear pottontof totstanc 2 , as
 May is 1980, the owners of the Keting and fatomick properties enterea into a parking agrement bereby the oftstret parking spaces located on the near of Lots I and 2 and Lot 4 (labeled Tracts B \& Could only be used for parking for the building located on tot. 3 (labeled Tract A) which was to be refurbished and renotated for restaurant purposesemps parking agreement was appoved by whe city and obtrufied in the Deed Records Based on that areement, therestamant met the off-street parking reguremethes the toldosp-





Based ens agrementontheromer has the sole right to use any of thetking spaces 1 ocated behat any of the structres the 3300 bock of tnox The opy parkng arathate for the retat uses located at $3310-3316$ Kina are the on-stret packing spaces tocated arectity front of those retail uses.. Even thong those reted uses do not provide any oft-steet pathing they are legat non-contorming uses although, none could be converted to a more intensive use without meeting: the reguinementson the berropment code

With regard to off-street parking
Based on the information in the files of the Gtur of Dalas there is mo bas for the issuane of a notice of violaton for the fanure of any use




Quge Eome
Chef, Develoment code Aministrator
City of Dalias
Buifing Inspecton Division
Department of Puble Works


Tratis atrecturatom



## Long, Steve

From: Harris, James B. [James.Harris@tklaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:27 AM
To: Long, Steve
Subject: RE: Draft news ad for BDA 101-096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Steve, looks fine.

From: Long, Steve [mailto:steve.long@dallascityhall.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:51 AM
To: Harris, James B.
Cc: Duerksen, Todd; Palomino, Tammy
Subject: FW: Draft news ad for BDA 101-096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Harris,
I have attached a draft news ad regarding BDA 101-096 for your review. As you can see, this draft news ad incorporates some of your comments/suggestions below into a standard form that the City typically does for news ads pertaining to parking reduction requests.

Please note that I have intentionally not included the parking ratio that you mentioned below in this draft since this information to my recollection has historically never been conveyed in the news ad or property owner notice. (Details such as parking ratios are however conveyed in the docket that is part of the public record on this type of parking reduction application).

Please take a look at this draft and let me know if you have any concerns with the information that is conveyed.

Thanks,
Steve

From: Harris, James B. [mailto:James.Harris@tklaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:22 AM
To: Long, Steve
Subject: RE:
Steve, I understand as a result of a conversation between Todd Duerkson and Peter Kavanaugh (who is working with me on the variance request) there has been some confusion about the type of uses that would be covered by the variance request and the size of the variance being requested. To be clear we are not proposing to construct and maintain a building but intend to maintain an existing building that was originally constructed in the 1930's. We intend to use the building for retail and professional, personal service and custom craft uses requiring one parking space for each 220 square feet or more of floor area. Additionally, by our calculations the number of required spaces for those uses, as the building is currently configured, is 33 not 34 .

At any rate, in light of this background you may want to consider changing the building official's report to delete in fourth line the word "construct." You may also want to delete the phrase "general merchandise or food store less than 3500 square feet and personal service uses" in the fifth and sixth lines and replace it with "retail and professional, personal service and custom crafts uses."

I think the 220 or more issue and the number of required spaces can be addressed at the hearing.

Call me if this email is unclear or we need to discuss further.
Jim Harris

Aten B Po

From: Long, Steve [mailto:steve.long@dallascityhall.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 7:54 AM
To: Harris, James B.
Cc: Duerksen, Todd
Subject: FW:
Dear Mr. Harris,
Would you please give me a call on this today before noon? I need to touch base with you on this since this document could effect your application BDA 101-096.

Thanks,
Steve

From: Long, Steve
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:39 PM
To: 'james.harris@tklaw.com'
Subject:
Mr. Harris,
Would you give me a call on the attachment, please? 214/670-4666.
Thanks,
Steve

## PUBLIC NOTICE

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE CITY OF DALLAS (PANEL A)
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE CITY OF DALLAS (PANEL A) will hold a hearing as follows:

## DATE:

BRIEFING:

PUBLIC HEARING:

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2011
11:00 A.M. in 5ES, Dallas City Hall, 1500 Maxilla Street

1:00 P.M. in Council Chambers, Dallas City Hall, 1500 Marilla Street

The purpose of the hearing is to consider the following applications now pending before the Board of Adjustment:

1. BDA101-096 - Application of Elizabeth McDonald, represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight, for a variance to the off-street parking regulations at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as lots 3 \& 4 in City Block S/1538 and is zoned PD-193 (LC) which requires off-street parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to maintain a structure with certain retail and professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses, and provide 23 of the required 34 parking spaces which will require a variance of 11 spaces.

Please contact Steve Long, Board Administrator at (214) 670-4666, or Trena Law, Board Secretary at (214) 670-4206 if you have any further questions or need any additional information.


## Building Official's Report

| I hereby certify that | Elizabeth McDonald |
| ---: | :--- |
| represented by | Thompson \& Knight |
| did submit a request | for a variance to the parking regulations |
| at | 3300 Knox Street |

BDA101-096. Application of Elizabeth McDonald represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight for a variance to the parking regulations at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as lots 3 \& 4 in city block S/1538 and is zoned PD-193 (LC), which requires parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a nonresidential structure for general merchandise or food store less than 3500 square feet and personal service uses and provide 23 of the required 34 parking spaces, which will require an 11 space variance to the parking regulation.

Sincerely,

Thompson \& KNIGHT Llp

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
JAMES B. HARRIS
DIRECT DIAL.: (214) 969-1102
EMAIL: James.Harris@tklaw.com
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1722 ROUTH STREET © SUITE 1500
DALLAS. TEXAS 75201-2533
(214) $969-1700$

FAX (214) 969-1751
www.tklaw.com
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ALGIERS
LONDON MEXICO CITY MONTERREY PARIS

October 7, 2011

Via Hand Delivery<br>Steve Long<br>Board of Adjustment Administrator<br>Sustainable Development and Construction<br>City of Dallas<br>1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN<br>Dallas, TX 75201

Re: BDA 101-095 and 096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Long:
I would appreciate your including this letter and its attachments in the materials shared with the Board of Adjustment (the "Board") in connection with the above-referenced cases set to be heard on Tuesday, October 18, 2011. The above-referenced cases involve two discreet issues. The first is whether the building official erred in denying a request for a building permit to renovate one-half of a building at 3300 Knox Street. The second case, which will only be heard if the building official's decision is upheld, seeks a parking variance for the building at 3300 Knox Street. I have already submitted one background letter to you for consideration by city staff in connection with these cases and I attach that letter as Exhibit 1 so that it will also be before the Board of Adjustment. I will address in turn each of the issues the Board will consider on October 18, 2011.

## 1. Denial of Building Permit.

The building has been vacant since 2009, when Smith \& Hawken discontinued retail operations nationwide. Since then the owner has been looking for suitable replacement tenants. Since mid-August of this year, approximately one-half of the building, consisting of 3,389 square feet, has been occupied by Patina, a store that provides remodeling services and materials. The building official was willing to issue a building permit and certificate of occupancy for the Patina store because it required 15 spaces, which were available on property controlled by the owner. The building official has been unwilling to issue a building permit to remodel the remainder of the building containing about 4,000 square feet, because the building official believes an additional 19 spaces are required for a retail, professional, personal service, or customs crafts uses to be located there. For the reasons set forth in the letter previously provided for staff review, we believe that the building official should have reached a different conclusion.

In discussions with Phil Sikes of the Building Inspection Division, the owners' representatives were advised that the reason the no building permit would be issued for the
remainder of the building was because it had no Delta Credits. According to Mr. Sikes, in 1995 when Smith \& Hawken occupied the entire building there existed a parking agreement that resulted in either 33 or 34 spaces being available for that retail use. In recent conversations with the City Attorney's Office, I have been advised that the parking agreement referred to by Mr. Sikes did not provide required parking for a retail use. Instead, it only provided required parking for a restaurant use.

In the absence of a parking agreement, the number of parking spaces that could be provided for Smith \& Hawken's retail store, as demonstrated from a survey in 2010, was 18. Nevertheless, issuance of a certificate of occupancy for Smith \& Hawken in 1995 was proper because at that point in time there existed somewhere between 31 and 41 Delta Credits. Under the Dallas Development Code, Delta Credits are measured by looking at the number of spaces required for an existing use and subtracting out the number of spaces provided. In 1995, just before Smith \& Hawken occupied the building, it was being used as an On the Border restaurant. The square footage of that restaurant was somewhere between 6,400 and 7,400 square feet. The parking requirement was 1 per 100 square feet. Therefore, the required parking was either 64 or 74. The approved site plan for On the Border only identified 33 parking spaces. Therefore, at that time, the Delta Credits were 31 to 41 spaces depending on the actual square footage occupied by On the Border.

Under the Dallas Development Code if a new use requires less parking, it is still entitled to the number of Delta Credits necessary to satisfy required parking. When Smith \& Hawken moved in, it only could provide 18 parking spaces (because the parking agreement was no longer applicable) meaning that it needed to use 16 of the Delta Credits that existed with respect to the On the Border restaurant.

The required parking for the Smith \& Hawken's use was one space per 220 square feet. That is the same requirement that exists for Patina's use and for the proposed uses for the remainder of the building. Given that Delta Credits were available for Smith \& Hawken, they should also be available for similar uses that would replace Smith \& Hawken.

Overruling the building official's decision in this case simply insures fairness and consistency allowing new uses that have the same parking demand as a previous use to operate with the same amount of parking. If it was appropriate for Smith \& Hawken to operate for 14 years with 16 Delta Credits then similar uses with the same parking demand should be entitled to make use of the same Delta Credits to satisfy required parking.
2. Even if the Building Official was Right, this Case Presents Appropriate Facts for the Granting of a Parking Variance.

If the property owner can show an unnecessary hardship that is not self-created, but results from special conditions, then a variance from required parking is appropriate so long as doing so would be in the public interest. The history and the size and shape of the property in question demonstrate why these conditions have been met.

The property in question involves Lots 3 and 4 of the Cockrell Fair Land addition to the City of Dallas that was filed in 1891. A copy of that plat is attached as Exhibit 2. Lots 3 and 4 are currently owned by a testamentary trust that traces its ownership of Lots 3 and 4 to a 1915 deed in which H.S. Keating acquired Lots 3 and 4. See Exhibit 3. Mr. Keating passed away in 1921 and in 1932 his widow, Mary Victoria Keating, conveyed to the testamentary trust, which still owns the property, Lots 3 and 4. A copy of that transfer is attached as Exhibit 4. That exhibit reflects that in 1932 Lots 3 and 4 were improved by a one-story brick building about 80 feet long and 60 feet in depth. That is the same structure, with only slight additions, that is currently found on the property.

Attached as Exhibits 5, 6 \& 7 are aerial photographs of the property with an outline of the area covered by Lots 3 and 4. As is evident from these aerial photographs the building occupies all of Lot 3 and part of Lot 4, leaving only the remainder of Lot 4 to provide parking. This situation has existed since prior to 1932. In fact, prior to 1980 no parking was provided on Lot 4. Attached as Exhibit 8, is an aerial photograph from 1979 that establishes Lot 4 was unimproved. The use of Lot 4 was changed in 1980 when a restaurant, Mariano's, was located in the building and has now continued to be used for parking through the present. Obviously prior to 1980 no off-site parking was provided in connection with the building. And since 1980, Lots 3 and 4 have never satisfied required parking under the Dallas Development Code.

Attached as Exhibit 9 is a photo of the building as it looked when Smith \& Hawken was present and a second photograph attached as Exhibit 10 that shows the building after Smith \& Hawken vacated the space. Attached as Exhibits 11, 12, 13, and 14 are photos showing how the building currently looks.

The unnecessary hardship presented by this case is an inability to lease one-half of the building because of the shape and limited area presented by the configuration and size of Lots 3 and 4. Without demolishing a significant portion of a building that has been present since before 1932, additional parking cannot be provided. The size and shape of Lot 4 does not allow belowground or a parking garage type structure. Moreover, such parking is not provided anywhere else in the vicinity.

These special conditions were not self-created. At the time the property was platted, in 1891, none of the current zoning requirements were in place. Moreover at the time the building was constructed, parking requirements were not in place. In short, a purchase in 1915 and the construction of buildings prior to 1932 that were entirely legal have now turned out to be a hardship because of changes over time in the development code. Moreover, it was not until the city's change in position this year regarding the application to the property of Delta Credits that sufficient parking became an issue. In short, there is nothing that the owner of the property has done to create this hardship other than to have purchased many years ago two small lots with an unusual configuration and hold on to them.

Granting a parking variance here would also be in the public interest. During the time Smith \& Hawken occupied the building it generated approximately $\$ 2.4$ million a year in retail sales. The city's share of sales tax from those sales have been lost since 2009. Additionally, the
value of the building for property tax purposes has dropped more than $\$ 500,000$ since 2009. If the remainder of the building cannot be leased out, only a portion of the $\$ 2.4$ million in annual sales will be recouped and there will be a further deterioration in the value of the building for property tax purposes meaning less tax revenue to the City of Dallas. An inability to lease the rest of the building also means fewer jobs generated at that location.

Granting the variance will not create a parking problem. The number of spaces being proposed are actually an increase over what was available when Smith \& Hawken occupied the building, an increase from 18 to 23 spaces. Secondly, the parking study undertaken on behalf of the tenant demonstrates that the average hourly parking demand for the current tenant, Patina, was 3, and that in any one hour the number of cars present because of that tenant range from 1 to 6. Attached as Exhibit 15 is a copy of the daily parking reports. Also attached as Exhibits 16, 17 , and 18 , are photographs taken during that parking study showing the current parking configuration, which will be modified if the variance is granted.

The fact that the current tenant is generating less parking demand than the development code would predict is not surprising. Attached as Exhibits 19 is a copy of charts showing the number of customers at Patina between Friday, September 23, 2011, and Monday, September 26, 2011. There were many more customers than there were cars. The difference results from walkins, that is people who have not driven to get to the shopping center or who have parked in another spot in the area and visited Patina after shopping at other stores.

Under these circumstances, the granting of a parking variance would be appropriate. In that regard one point needs to be clarified. The request for a parking variance is only for those retail, professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses that require one space per 220 square feet or more. This variance would not apply to restaurant uses and would not apply to any retail, professional, personal service or custom crafts use if the parking requirement was one space for 219 square feet or less. In other words, if a retail use required one space for each 150 square feet, the requested variance would not be applicable.


JBH/tkh
Enclosures

## cc: Peter Kavanagh <br> Elizabeth McDonald

## Thompson \& Knight llp

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

September 30, 2011

Via Hand Delivery<br>Steve Long<br>Board of Adjustment Administrator<br>Sustainable Development and Construction<br>City of Dallas<br>1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN<br>Dallas, TX 75201

Re: BDA 101-095 and 096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Long:
I write on behalf of the Mary Victoria Keating Trust, the owner of property at 3300 Knox Street, which is the Applicant in the above-referenced Board of Adjustment matters. The purpose of this letter is to share background information that the city staff might consider in making a recommendation with respect to each matter.

The first matter involves an appeal of the building official's determination that the property owned by the Keating Trust has no Delta Credits. The second matter, which would only be reached if the Board of Adjustment agrees that the property has no Delta Credits, is a request for a variance from parking requirements because the parking demand associated with a current use of the property is significantly less than what the development code requires. I will address each matter in turn.

1. The property has sufficient Delta Credits to satisfy Development Code requirements.

The property in question consists of Lots 3 and 4 of the Cockrells Farmland Addition. The lots form an L-shape at the northwest corner of Knox and Travis Streets. Enclosed as Exhibit A is a diagram outlining the lots in question.

Lot 3 and a very small portion of Lot 4 are covered by a building that is believed to have been built in the 1930's, slightly expanded in the 1980's, and remodeled many times. The city has not identified a consistent square footage for the building over the last forty years. Square footage has ranged from 6,264 square feet to 7,397 square feet. At the current time, the owner and the city are in agreement that the square footage is 7,397 square feet.

## Exhibit 1

During the 1970's there were three retail operations located in the building - - Flower a Day, Mary's Beauty and a TV store. At that time, required parking for retail uses was one space for each 200 square feet. Therefore, these uses should have required 31 to 37 parking spaces, depending on the square footage that actually existed. Because Lot 3 was covered by a building, the only area where off-street parking could have been provided was on Lot 4. Until mid-1980, however, Lot 4 was not used for off-street parking. Instead, eleven angled spaces on the north side of Knox in front of the building, and three parallel spaces along Travis on the east side of the building all in the public right of way was the only parking provided. Because no required parking was provided, the Delta Credits in the 1970's would have been 31 to 37 spaces, again depending on the actual square footage of the building.

In mid-1980, the city issued a Certificate of Occupancy for a restaurant to be operated in the building. A copy of the site plan associated with that Certificate of Occupancy is attached as Exhibit B. The site plan identifies the building area as 4,731 square feet. That number is obviously incorrect and appears to represent that portion of the building devoted to restaurant use. Again, depending upon what square footage is assigned to the entire building, the area marked as "office" contained either 2,666 square feet or 1,533 square feet. Therefore, the actual required parking, which had to be the sum of the restaurant use and the office use, was either 55 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 8 for the office (for 2,666 square feet at one space for every 333 square feet as required in 1980)) or 52 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 5 for the office (for 1,533 square feet)). The site plan provides for 33 spaces, of which 9 exist only because of a parking agreement approved by the city that allowed the portions of Lots 1 and 2 that did not contain buildings and Lot 4 to provide parking for the uses on Lot 3. Presumably, in order to issue the certificate of occupancy, the city recognized anywhere from 19 to 22 Delta Credit spaces that existed in connection with the retail uses occurring in the building in the 1970's.

In 1986, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for a different restaurant, On the Border. This restaurant made use of the entire building and the site plan associated with that certificate of occupancy, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, suggests that at that point in time the building size was 7,397 square feet, all of which was used for a restaurant. Required parking then would have been 74 spaces, yet the site plan provided the total on-site parking, which included the spaces that existed because of the parking agreement, was 33, suggesting a Delta Credit of 41 spaces.

In 1995, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for yet a different use, a retail operation, namely a Smith \& Hawken store. By 1995, the property was part of the Oak Lawn PD and the parking requirement for a retail use was one for every 220 square feet of space. Applying that requirement to the building's square footage of 7,397 square feet means the store was required to have 34 spaces. It would have been necessary to use Delta Credits to satisfy that parking demand because the parking agreement entered into in 1980, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit D, was not effective for retail use. By its terms, that parking agreement was only applicable to a restaurant use. Therefore, the only area available for Smith \& Hawken to provide required parking was on Lot 4 , and according to a 2010 survey, a copy of which is attached as

Exhibit E, and which describes the parking provided on Lot 4 during Smith \& Hawken tenure, 18 spaces were provided. The 16 additional required spaces must have been supplied through Delta Credits.

The section of the development code providing Delta Credits explicitly allows credits to be carried forward when a new use requires less parking than the previous use, if those credits are needed to satisfy required parking. The Delta Credits for Lots 3 and 4 were either 31 or 34 in the 1970 's, 19 or 22 when the building was used as a Mariano's restaurant, and 44 when the building was used as an On the Border restaurant. Regardless of which Delta Credits apply, they all exceeded the 16 Delta Credits needed for Smith \& Hawken's use of the building.

Smith \& Hawken vacated the building in 2009. Recently, the owner was able to lease 3,389 square feet for a new retail use. The required parking for that use is 15 spaces. The owner is in negotiations to lease the remaining 4,008 square feet to another retail use that will require 18 parking spaces. Because only 18 spaces are currently available on Lot 4, use of 15 of the available Delta Credits would satisfy required parking needs for the entire building and allow the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for a retail use in the rest of the building.

Alternatively, if the city is of the view that the 1980 parking agreement applies to other than restaurant uses, then just as it was available to Smith \& Hawken in 1995, it is available currently for a different retail use. There has been some suggestion that the 1980 parking agreement is no longer in effect because reciprocal cross easements referenced in that document no longer exist. In fact, regardless of the status of the reciprocal cross easements the parking agreement continues to be a binding covenant running with the land, requiring the owners of Lots 1 and 2 to make their property available for parking. This conclusion is supported by a February 23, 1988, memo signed by Claude Forte, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit F, which does not suggest that the reciprocal easements mentioned in the parking agreement in any way affect the continued existence of the covenant running with the land established by the 1980 parking agreement. Additionally, the city has never released the covenant. If the city considers the parking agreement to still be applicable, then as described in the site plan for the On the Border restaurant, 33 spaces can be provided to satisfy the current required parking for retail operations in the building.

In short, the building official should not withhold a Certificate of Occupancy to use the remaining portion of the building for retail purposes, either because sufficient Delta Credits are currently available to satisfy required parking demand or an existing parking agreement provides sufficient parking to meet required parking needs.
2. If required parking is not met by Delta Credits or the parking agreement, then a parking variance would be appropriate.

Of the 7,397 square feet of space available to lease since Smith \& Hawken left in 2009, only 3,389 square feet has been re-leased. In January of this year, the owner entered into a lease for a retail outlet for Acme Brick that operates under the name Patina. That store opened for
business in mid-August. On display at the store are samples of flooring, tile, and brick for sale. The sales people are also interior designers. The target customer is someone who is seeking help in choosing and buying materials used in remodeling. Customers visit with one of the designers to discuss what type of materials might best meet their needs. The customer then selects from available samples and makes a purchase.

Given the nature of the retail operation, it was expected that the amount of car traffic generated by this store would be limited. The store is not by itself a destination, like a big box retailer. Its retail operations seem to complement other retail stores in the area, such as Weirs, Crate and Barrel, Pottery Barn, and Restoration Hardware. A recent parking survey conducted during the hours the store was opened from Friday, September 23, 2011, through Wednesday, September 28, 2011, supports this conclusion. During those six days, the average hourly parking demand was 3 cars (and that includes employees) and the hourly parking demand ranged from 1 car to 6 cars.

The store has also determined that a number of its customers are walk-ins in the sense that they have parked at another location to visit a restaurant or another store in the area, leave their car parked and walked to this store. For instance, over a four day period 74 customers visited the store, but only 20 cars parked behind the store during the same period and that total included employees. Given the proximity of the store to the Park Cities, as well as to local condominiums and townhomes, the adjacent Katy Trail, and retail stores it compliments, it is not surprising that a number of customers walk to the store from their homes or after first visiting other stores.

Included in the application is a parking layout that would allow, consistent with city requirements for size, configuration, and use of compact spaces, the 18 current spaces to be increased to 23 spaces. Using the average hourly parking demand of three spaces determined by the survey means that 20 spaces would be available for a retail use in the remaining 4,008 square feet of the building. Those 20 spaces exceed the required parking for such a retail use.

Reconfiguring the parking to provide 23 spaces would also provide more parking than was available during the 14 years that Smith \& Hawken was located at the building. During that time, Smith \& Hawken was unable to use any of the area on Lots 1 and 2 for parking and, therefore, had at most 18 spaces for its customers. During those 14 years, there were no complaints to the city of Dallas about a lack of parking associated with the Smith \& Hawken store.

If the parking variance were to be granted, it would provide more parking than was available for a 14 year period without complaint. It would also insure that required parking is provided for half the building, recognizing that the use in the other half has demonstrated a parking demand significantly less than the required parking set forth in the Development Code.

Without this variance, it may not be possible to lease the other half of the building. The owner has talked with surrounding property owners, none of whom have exhibited any interest in
making space available at any price for parking that could be included in a parking agreement. If the other half of the building cannot be leased, the value of the building will necessarily drop, meaning a loss of property tax and without any retail operation no sales tax will be generated and new jobs will not be generated.

I hope the foregoing has been helpful. If you should have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.


JBH/tkh
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STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OE DALJAS

5 5

WHEREAS, Mariano's Restaurants, Inc., hereinafter referred to as "Applicant", has filed an application with the builuing orficial of the City of Dallas, Texas, for a building permit and certificate of occupancy in order to refurbish and renovate an existing buildiny to be used for restaurant purposes; and

WHEREAS. Applicant desires to implement said use in the eastern portion of that building located on Lot 3 in ciaty Block 5/1538, Cockrell Fairland Addition, which is located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Tract $\lambda$ "; and

WHERLAS, Article $X X$, CHAPTER 51, "COHPREMERSIVE GEVERAL . ZONING ORDINANCE", of the Dallas City Cudu, as amendwh, ravaires a specific number of off-streel parking spaces to be provided ror the above described use on Tract A; and

WHEREAS, tho Estate of Mary Victor Keatinc, foreinaftor referred to as "Keating", owns Lot 4 in city BLock $5 / 1538$, in thic Cockrell Fairland Addition, whicl is lucuted in thu cit $\because$ of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to is "Tract B": and

WHEREAS, the I. Jalonick Estate, hereinafter referied to as "Jalonick", owns that property which is describied on Eximibit A, which is attached horeto and made a part herwof for all purposes, which is located in the city of Dallas, Dallas county, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Pract c"; and

HHEREAS, Aeplicant desixes to use Tracts is anci $C$ in order to satisfy, in whole or in part, the above described parking recuirements for Tract $A$; and

```
-1-
```
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Exhibit D


WHEREAS, Keating and Jalonick have entered into a Grant of Reciprocal Easement Agreement to provide parking on Tracts $B$ and $C$ for the benefit of Tract $A$, such grant being for a period of twenty-one years beginning on Harch 24, I980 and terminating on Harch 23, 2001 . now therefore,

KNOW ALL MEN BY TUISE !RESENTS:
That Applicant, Keitin' and jalonick, for and in consideration of the City of Dallas i;rantius to Mpplicant a building iermit and certificate of uccupanc: in owder that Tract $\lambda$ way bu w:ad for the above described usc as minind in article xX, candrti 51.
 amended, do hereby ayrae to the folluwing:
(1) Tracts 8 and $C$ will only be unced \{or parkinus in
connection with the above de seril ded $11: c$ of Troct $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{F}}$
 other than parking:
(3) This instranint $i$ a covionnt ruminu with tite land as: to Tracts $B$ and $C$;
(d) Ihe city of billu: may rovoke Aiplificant's Cerifificate

 terminated or rescinded for $\because$ : $\because$ : wosion; and
(b) Apriticail. dors nost provide othor off-striat parking spaces in accordance with i: t.ict.. NX of the Comprehensive Guneral . Zoning Ordinance of the Cit ot '3allas:
(5) This instrunanh sitnll ciase w lwelfective (nly uron
 signed by the Bujidinç offininal withe city of Dallas, fuxas: or his designce, releasing tho ifformen: iomed covenunts:





$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\because & \therefore \\
. \because \\
\ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & : \ddots
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MARIANOES INC．


ESTATE OF MARY VICTOR SEATING

by：


STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF DALLAS

5
§

EERORE ME，the undersigned authority，on this day personally appeared Marion Martins $\qquad$ －President of Mariano＇s．Inc． know to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the above known to me to be fore ing instrument，who，having been by me duly sworn，stated on his oath that heist the President of Mariano＇s，Incur is authorized to make this affidavit，and that he signed the above and foregoing instrument for the purposes and consideration and in the capacity therein expressed．
$\because$
GIVEN UNDER FY Y HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the $\qquad$ day 9 M kacricy 1980.


My Commission Expires：
$6-8-80$

STATEOETEXAS N $\llcorner$ Rzerfif s
coUnty op patinas（ittumizews
BEFORE M倍，the undersigned authority，on this day personally

of the Estate of Mary victor heating thant fo me bor the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged Lo roe that the same was the act of the said ESTATE OF MARY VICTOR
axdernks，and theft he executed the same as the act of such Estate for vhf purposes fid consideration therein expressed and in the capacity
therein stater

$\qquad$ － 1980.

[^0]

## 2

BEFORE NE, the undursigned authority. on this day personally appeared of the $I$. JALONICK ESTATE, known to me to be the person and elexeter whose name is subscribed to the forecoing instrunent and acknowledged to me that the same was the act ol the said I. JALONICK ESTATE, and that he executed the same as the act of such 1. JALONICK ESTA'NE FOI the purposes and consideration thervin expre-sed and in the capacity therein stated.
of Mont Gryen under . 1980.

$\because 32 \pi-80$
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Being hots 3 \& 4 of Block slis38 of Cockrofly Fairland Add: ion : 二ni=a part of Lot:lat a of said
 Being deser.bida as follows

Beginnin) ${ }^{\text {ti }}$ the Southest cornor of hat 2 .







 cormer of lot 1.




 rocd is an shoym on tox plot.
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RE: $3300-3308$ 瓜者x Stet
Dear Clawde:
Whan your takng the the to meet wathe me


 tou, bigersep, why

 you signing the enenowed cophes, thachanene whe whe and returning the other to me in the onchosed pre-oddresped
envelope.

If you pave questans prease grve me oraty
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DATE :
February 23, 1988
$R E:$
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 underlying facts and documentation set toth the Dallas file.





 May I; 1980, the ownew of the keting ano anonted poper ties enterea into a parking ageenent wherey the oftitreet parking spaces located on the rear of Lots I and 2 and Lot 4 buileded mracts $B$ \& Ch could only be used for parking for the ruilding locatec on Lot 3 (labeIed wract A) which was to be perurbshed and renovated for restannant, pureoser mhis the Deed Records was approve bythe city aredoteded in met the off-street pased, on that ageement the reveamant
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.hair of. Lot 25, and weot onehale of Lot 26, Bloak $77, o c o o r d i n e$ to Murphy \& Bolanz! orfiainl farg of the oity of belles, Texas, improvad by : 2 atory briok building, $50 \times 90$ fost, known an -1603-5t Commarae streat.

Dollas, desorlbed as beginning at a point on the acutheast ilne or kia sireat, 50 reat north 75 'east, from the intersection of the aouthesat ing of gla street with the northeust line of Xurphy street;

Thenoe south 15 east ot right anglas with Blm Streat 100 reat;
Thenoe south 75 wost and parallel witif gla Street 42 feet;
Thenoe north 15 west, of. Fieht anglea with Elm Street 100 reat to pleae of beginatigepmprosed by 3 story bsick buildine, $42 \times 100$ feot on ground rloor, about $42 \times 85$ feot on third and fourth Ploors, knopar os 1204-6, glm Street;
(3) Lots 3 and 4, Blook 1538 scoording to tho orrioisl mep of the city of Delles, being dorier Knox and Trevis Streaty,im?xoved by one staxy briok building;ixonting on Knox Straet, obout 80 feat, and about 60 feat in depth, divided into three roome, also amell frame oottage about five rooms on Travis Streat;
(4) Lots 16,17 and 28, glock 197 , secording to oftioial map of the city of Dalles, eaoh lot $50 \times 125$ feat, and being corner Taylor and walton strests, inproved by 3 Irame cottrges, bbout live rooms each.
(5), 10 beres situate in the Gourty of Stephens, State of Dklahpar, and belog
 singular, the Fighta and eppurtenances thereunto belonfing,or in any wisa appertuining, sad the
 Trustee, and to its succesaors or substitutes in this Trust,and to its or their essigns forever, and rruator doos heraby bind bergelf, her heirs ond mas gna, ta warrunc and forover dereme, the above described resi property unto the said Trustereits aucotssors ond substitutea in thia Trust, and to its and their assigns forever,against any and all persons iawrully oloiming or to olein the bage or any port chereor.

The eforessid property, both real and personal, atali be held by Trustes, in truat, upan tas terms hereln set forth;

The rruat heraby oreated, shall be mown tit the msiry V-Kenting Trust".

## Artiale A:

Distribution of Inacme and Corpua.
I-This truat is entablished for the boacrit or Trustor, of katherine Xeating Wright, the daughter or Trustor(hereinarter onlled "ingtherinerl, opd or wory Holen clonard usight,
 certain other persone and organizetions, harainarter deingatiods.
eatute, in acoordunge with the rolionlas direationat
\{0\} The rrustace ahull pay to Truptor, during her ilfo, all the net inoome from the
 paymonos, on tise $2 B t h$ toy of usoh month.
(b) Upon the death or Truntor, If ahe in arvived by Kothorine, the ontre not dnooro oholi be poid to ald Ratherine, durlag hor lire, mith thi privilage to ald kethorine, to add any portion or said ingoms to the oorpue or thia trubt aetote, and ony sadielone ao mode, ahell begome and cemsin a part of asld oorpus.
(o) Upon the doath of Kotherino, after the death of reustoxpor if mrustor ahpll surpive Katherinesthen upan tha dath or Truator,if there ahell be limeal desoenderta of Katherine
 dosoendents or auld Katherlne, amplving rrom time to time, until the sorainotion or this trust, as herginafter provided, so thet equal shorea sholl be paid as between ilving ohildren of asid Kathorina, und the lasue from tima to time surviving any ohlld then deobasd or therearter dying,
 wauld heye token ic living, In the event that any benerialary hereunder, ahould reoeive the benofita or subparagraph (d) or this Artiole, then ond in thet avent, tha corpua of the trust eatuto, may be divided into as many separate truat eatates, as there are grand-abildrun, or the Truator, ilving, at thettime and $/ 0$ deopased grandohildren tien represented by issue or auoh deoessed grandohild or grondehlldren, in suoh $a$ way that in case of the neoossity of diviaion, the ageregate of the principuls of the varlous trusta, shall during the life or this trust, oontinue to be divided, per atirpes, for the benarit of Natherinete lineal descendents survivine from,time to time, subjeat, however, to any deduotions from corpus (purauant to subparagraph (d), or this Article) and also subjen: to uny varisice which mey be oreated through appreciation or depreotation in volue of securities or other gasets comprising the respective trust skares. In the setting up of said segrate trustis, the Trustee is direoted to allocate suoh seaurities and other assets among the various trusts, in such mey, that, as far us gossible, the gala trust ostates may be subject to approximately the mane opprecistion or dapreciotion crom time to time.
(d) If in the absoluti concrol wad disoretion of the Jrustee, the Income herefrom

 necessity, the Tristes may, and it is hereby enyowered and uuthorized, but it shail in no event be required to do so, to pay or/use opply or expend for the use and benefit of swoh benoficiary, such portion of tae priseipal or sold trust or trusts then appliceble to the production or income for sutit benerioisry, ss said Trustee in $2 t E$ bbsolute disoretion may determine to be odequate to proride for such benerician during ouch poriod or pesiods.
(e) If uny beneficiary entitled to recelve incono hereunder,is a minor, the Trustee is outhorized to aske paymenta thereor, to the eubrdion or the oatate of such beneficiary during such minority, or if there is then no giderisn of the estate of such minor, the Truatee shall

Withhold the payaens of said locome, until theze sholi be mpointec a guardion of aid minoris eetete; provicied, hoverer, thet pending the appointagit of any such guardianthe Trustes mey in its oiscretion, advence to the custodion of such minor;or pay for sald minor'm benefit, such amounts as axy be aecessan for the neads and uses of said minor,pending such appointicent, itse lruateo
 to whom such runcia sksil heve been pald,
(f) Durlicis the tiae thet the corpus or eny pari thereof is beld io trust by Truste, po bepefioiary shall heve the right or power, to enticipste his or her share, of elther corpus fr incoma , or sell, nasign,moztgege, pledge or otherwise dispose of or encumber his or her shere of
 ingome of oorpua or any part of elthor , bo Ilable for nosd binerlafary's dabis or bo.


 sala, abalganont, pledge or other diaposition, and in aplto of any suoh attompt or nttiohmant, gerniohmant, exeoution, aresitor's bill or othar logel or equitable proooze.
 far as it mey be praotipable to do so.
(a) This trust aholl nontinue for a parlou or twanty(20) yours, ofter the death
 subjeot, however, to tho provision, that this trust shail in no event terminate, durinf tha life of : Sther ryustor or the abid Katherinc. Upon the oxplration on the pariod of twonty(20 jyegra, efter the death of sald hiany Heien, or upon the death or gurvipor or Trustor, or said Kutherine, whioheyar ahail lest ooour, this trust almall terminate; and the principul or oorpus thereor, togetiser with oll undigtributed acomulations of not inoome thereon, shall be conveyod, tranaferçed,oselgned and pald ovar Dy Trustea, absolutely and in reo siaple, unto the then Ifving linasl desaandants of Katherine, par stirpas, and not par oapite, or, in asee the corpus harear has been dioided as provided in sub-paregraph (o) or this Article,then the oorpus of the varlous truats, shall be oonveged, tronarerred, essigned ond paid over by the Trustee, obsolutely and in ree aimple, per atirpas unto the respeative izaeal descendants or Xotharine, whe Immediately preceding the termination of this trust, wore entitled to received the incone therefrom.
(i) Ir,however, upon the death of tho survivor of Trustor, and said Katherine, mhlchever ohall lest ooour, or to any time thereafter, there pholl be a fallure of lineal desandants of Katherine to take therounder, then this trust ahall terminate and the Trustae chsil thereupon diatribute the eorpus and ell eocumulations of. aet income thereon, in the following menner:
(1). Trastee shail pay the rollowing persons the following amounts:
(A) .To Katherine Jalonickisister of Truatorl now residing in the

Gity or Dallas, stete of Texas; $\$ 10,000.00$
(B) To Grace and George and Cherley Dextar;children of Grece Derter (siater of Trustor) now resising in the City of Dailas, gte te of Texab, sach $\$ 10,000.00$,
$\$ 30,000.00$
(ic) To Jasaia, feloiso and Agnes Keating(thret duphtars. of Sdased Keating)all now residing in the city of Toronto, ontario, cansde, $\$ 3,000.00$,eath.
\$ $9,000.00$
(D) To Anale o'donnell, nurse, now residing in the city of

Lon nageles, State or celifornis

* 1,000.00
(E) To Mresinlizabeth Snodgrass, now residitis, in the Gity of - Dallas, state of Texss,
(Fi],To Tere Kating(darghter of willsam Kasting) now



inasce) now rasiding in the city of Dallas, stitic or Texae,

now residiag in the city of Dellan, Stete of rexss,
(I) To Vemone Slilig Alohevaky, of New York, ond.

 diatrdbute the amount deasgated for much porson co dying, in socordanee with the lawa of desoont and diatribution then in foroe, in the gtate or rexas, to those peraone who under neld lews, woludit hava beon the haire of suoh deongad pernonif he or ahe had died fnatantiy arcer tho happoning of thi gontingenay upon which alah provarty beanme distributable.
(2) Upon the tervination of this truat, as herelnatove provided, and efter the paymant. of the smounta stipulated in aub-parsgrophe $A$ to I, inolusipe, aforeseit, the ontice romainder or : this trust then in the honds of the trustat, ahell be oonveyed, tronsferced, atasignd and pold - over, obsolutely and in res aimple, to the then Board or truateon or Third churoh of christ, Saiantist, or Dellus T Texes, or to the then goveming body or the churoh or chriat, Sojontiat, winion
 their suooessors in trust, shail have, hold and posaess the proparty so distributed to them, und shill pay ovar or uan the orppus andor the income thererrom, os they, in their diaaretion, deem beat, for; the ostablishment, eoulpment ond mantensace of a home und day auraery, in or near the gity of Dellus, for young white children, or the Gauosian race, ol any denomination or seot, whioh home shall be aalled "Sunnyside, Ina", provided that if a home for airalar purposa, or of the game oharegter hes been esteblished, prisis to the date of the distribution or property beraunder, and auoh home Is under the management of s governing body,mode up of members of said Ghuroh, or its suogessors appointed exclusiveiy by the Soard of Trustees of said churah or its gucceasorsi,then suoh progerty shall be alstributed to the governing body of the organization, which shell then be maintsining such established home, the corpus and/or income thererrom, to be held opd dispoased of by such goveming body and its suocessors, as they in thoir diacrition, dean best for the promotion of the purposes rov which suck nome wis eatoblished. If the said Third church or chriat, Solentist, or Dallas, Faxis, or a successor therear, be not in exletence, on the date for Alatribution of said groyerty, or if the Bobrd of Trustees or other governing body of ssid Churioh, if its successor, or the governing body of the organization which mey be maintaining the bome as hereinbefere praited, be invilitink or uneble to acoept said property, then the said property shall be transferred,asaizaed and pald over gbsalutely, and in fea slmple, unto the Bobrd of Direotors of the kother ghureh of the Shaistian Sciaice church of Boston, zrasinchusettr, to be uaed as,in its disoretion, may be adviagbla. In the event the remainder of chis Trust eatate is paid over under tha provisions of this paragruph, the lirustee, shall be uniar no obligetion, whetever, to see to the application therear,
(3) Uyon uny distribution of corpua by Trustee,this trust shald thereupon cease and .terainete at to the corpus so distributed.


## AROIVI2 B:

SOKUSDNING TRTST2S:
Trustor bereby authorizes and emponera,Trustee:
2.To sanage, control, conduct and operste the cofplas of this trust or ony part thergot, as it, in its discrecion, may deen to be for the best interesto of the trust astete, and to invest ant from inge to time; reinvest, the saic corpus or any part thereof, in such inveatments as it in Its disoretion,mis deam for the best interasts of the trust eatate, and is collect the rents, rerenuss and income therefrom: The Trustee sholl be authorized to replice or reconstruot ony belonglite buildingfo the truat estste, which ins been destroged by fire or othorwise, provicied, howeverit that:
 then the fanstee shall inxst obtsin the consent in writing te agi such replacement or raconatruotion of the irustor duriag her iffe, and ofter her a eath, of Gatrespe, during her iffe, and after the death of both Trustor and hotherine, and during the life of Vary Helan, of the benefiolagies ther seceiving incoma or ther guxidian, during minority. irter the death or Prustor, Xatherina and kiory

Ifolen, the Pruatee ohyil here ala disoretion in oonnootion with auoh mplecement and raoonabruation. Tha Truatoe hareundor, shall not (axaept in ogane whare if may in the opinion or the rruatea he neoesaury to protect un invastmant then hada in thin trust oatatalpurokaee pand



 f not ruatriat or propent the Trustes rran oquirine rand proporis or an laterath thorein, by purohase ut roreolusure gale or otherwise, or by dead or by ony other mathod, without auoh
 to proteot the bast intereste of the truat eatete in any invortmint hadd hereunder. The
 iand retain uny or ell of the proptrty hereby op horearter conveyad or dellvered to the : Trustse by Trustop, ta be held in trust hereunder, in the agac rorm or investreent in whioh said property may existiat the timo revelves by Irwistea, whathir or not suah investmenta are legel
 to be adviasbla, and Tausted shall not be liable for any loss or decrease in value, or property so retalned. The irustes shall keep the reol eatate constituting a port or this erust - estete, inaured against loas rrom rire,tormado or othcr uauel hazurds, in solvent insuranos
 be paid by the rrustae, os a part or the exponsead this trust.
2.To grapt, bargaln, sall and convey, and to assign, transfer, exohenge, mortgage, hypothecetoppladge or loan all or any part of the corpuabe it resi, parsonol or mixad, for auoh oonsideration, as Truatee, in its disoretion, rasy deem adivisable, and to uske, execute, ioknowledge and deliver, 811 such deads $\sigma$ instruments, that Tristea may deen necessory or convenient, to carry. ! soid powers of solo, conreyunce, basignemt, trunsrer, exchspye, nortigege, hypothecation, piedge or loum
 real estate held in trust herevirder, mithout rirst ortaining written consent to any auch soie, conraysnoe, wilenution or encumbrance, such congent to be obtolned from Irustor, iurlaf her
 a majority (in finumcial sntereatl or those who may then be bereficlaries recaiving income hereumar, or of their guazilans dusire minority. Any and oll seles of oll or any pert of thio oorpus, maj be made by Trustef, et public or private ainle,pe it in ita diacretion mas decm advisable, if pt public aole, upon such notice as it in its dibaration may deam advisable. In the event of the sele of real estate under the provislons of this sub-poregraph, the total oxpense to the trust estote on occount of fees or cominasione in connection with iugh sule, ehall not be more then five percent (5hj or the price at whith said property may be sold. 3.To 2ifigato, dasand, $\operatorname{set} t 2 \mathrm{E}$, compromise or submit to mrbitration, any and all oisputes, suits or controversies, coneering thia trust estate, and the term of any settlement; compromise, adjugtment or award of arbitration, thali be sonolusive upon sil partios at any time interested harein.
4. In regaid to ony property, real, parsonal or mixed, at any time held in trust 'herouncer, Truste abelil here the power to partioipate in any plan or reorganization, inoluaing
 'or with o protective or reorgnization comittee, and to delegate to such comaltee, ilidoretionaryig powers with relation thereto, to pay eny essessoment lefied under auch plan, for tio purpose of ipesing the propdrtionate pert of the expanses of such coraittea. Trustee is rurther authorized to exercise all conversion, subseription, voting and other rights of whatgoever neture, pertaining
to way proporty held in trust hereundor, to pay suoh sume os Trusteo may dean adyiesble, in
ocnneotion therawitin, and to sooapt and ratain(es though raoolvod from otrastor) any new asuritime dailvaroble to $1 t$, ln pursuanoe of uny raarganikation, oonvarsion or subaisiption,
5. Tribitas may at any cino, meke loone or advanoazonts to the truse oseate, and : reoolvo Laterase tharson, at the then praveliling rates, and ahall have a firat end priov 110 a fand charge on the property held in truat, exaept real eatate, to sooure the poyment or any atoh yloon or bdyanosient.
6. Trustee, is furthar authoried ond empowerad to pay any and all taxes,aseasements ond other govarimental oharges, whiloh properly mey bzoome payable from time to time, undar

 :aoonrianoe with the provisions of ajid lass.
7. Trugtiee 1s Purther authorized to piy all other and neoossayy oosts, ohargas, expenses end autloysginaldent to and in conneotion with the administration or management of this trust eatate.
8. ixpoopt es in this egraement otherwise provided, authority is hereby elven to

Frugtes hereunibr, to deternine whother property coming intorgugtes'g postesgion is corpus or ineome, or arould be divided between same, or whether oertein ahgiges ahould be borns by oorpus or incore, or
should ba allooatad botweon same, end in regard thereto, the Trusite gholl make suoh decistons as to it shall aeem wiza and equituble, bind auch deoisions shall bind all parties at any time, interestad nerain.
9. Wheriever srustes is directed to make o disteribution or division of aorpus, euch diatribution or division mey be made in cash or in kind, or portiy in oush and partly in kind, ond the subjootemetter and oomposition or shares thergunder, shall be wholly within Trusteers diseration, aubseot, However, to the provision, that ony beineloiary may requira an individurl oppraizem ment by tiree apraisers, of eny property or seauritiea to be diatributed or divided;one of such appraisers to be seleoted by the $r^{\prime}$ astee, one by the beneifoiary demending auph appraisement, and one by the two thus chosen, ond the resulting pinutionm or suah property or securities, so to be distributed or aivided, ghali be conolusive on ali parties ever faterested herein.
10. Hienever in this deed of trust, outhority is granted to Trustee, to ato or refube to bet upon its diserationgach ootion or rerusgl to act in the exeraise of guch disoretion in geod Isith, siail be rinal snd conclusive upon all parties at my time taterested hereln. Trustae shall
 asid estate, but shail axersige due sud proper core, for its saremkeping and preservationjond shali be lisble for fos negilgence, fraus or breach or trust.
21. \#ne title or interest soquired by ony purchaser, lassee, bssignee, transfaree or mortgage uncer my salc, lease, assigament, mortgege or other eonvajance, made by the truateo hereunder, ghell be on complete and absolute in every reapect, as the instrument eridencing any auch 'transaction purports to convey, and no perton shall be required to dee to the legality of Truttets
 assigaes, mortgege or other tronsferee, shell ever be required to seo to tha proper disposil by
 to Trugtea, and when so paid, all parties making much prymenta, ghall be raleased from any and all Finainilty, ton the proper use or disposal therepr, by Truster.
12. Trustec ahall reap full and ecourate acoounta of oll reccipts, disburatingta $\stackrel{\text { terd }}{ }$ ferd transaotions, in connection with the proparty heid in trust hereunder, and such records, shell. bo open, to inapaction by eny party interested hervingt his or her request, either peraonsily or絧保h an gent or attorney, at any: " all reasonoble and proper times.
19. Truates, annually, on or about the 28th day or peoinbar of eaoh your, ohall

 the deto of atu tement, and ohowing the opount and ohaconter oi the proporty oonitituting tho trubt, ond a sohodulo of sil rootipta and expenditures during tho parlod aovarod by auol



 mailing o writton notiot by realstered mail, or suoh intonfion, to the lesteknown uddrsen or fraptor, of in ohe then be dead, to the last-known addrass of bll the benefiolariab than entstlad to receive inooms hereundex, auoh rasienstion to becoma arreobivent the explrylion of elxty days, from the dete of buoh melifng, and before the expination of suoh period, e euooosidr trustee aholl be appointed. During Trustof's life, auoh eppointment shall be made by her, arter Trustor'a desthysuoh appointmant shall be made by the benarlaiarylor by a wajority of tham If there be more than one) then (at the tige of auoh notiga) antitled to reosive ingome hereunder. Such suocesaor 'Prutes, howeyer, shall be a nationsi bank or trust ompany, with
 trusts, any $s$ uocessor Trustee shall have all the powers oonierred upon the origibul yrustee. In the event of the dissolution or Insolvanoy of the Truston or the revocation of ite suthority, on its insbility or rafusal to ast, the above privisions ragarding the appointaent of a auocessor Tanster, ahall obtein.
15. Any nationsl bank or trust company to whioh any Trusten, orieinsi or suooessor, may be converted or merged, or with whiah it may be oonsoistated, or any national benk or trust compuny resulting trom any converting merger or copsolidation to which suah prustee may be a party, shall be the suocessor Trustes hereunder, without the execution or filing of any peperg, of any rurther bet on the part of any Trustee hereunderaprovided almaya, that such suooessor Institution shall be tbla ond willing to sooept this truat and execute the tarms thereor.
16. Yhenerer Income, or ang part thereot, is In property other thon cash, guch

Inoome may in Trutites diberetion, be paid to beneizaiofios, either in kind, or aold by. Tristae
 to do any anc oll things necessery or proper, to effect any such sule or sales, and no such purcheser ghell bs yenulred zo see to the ragularity or the rivatee's oction in making any auch sale or to the mppliention by the sunstes, of the prooests thereor.
17. Where Trustee 18 given the power to invest and reiavest all or any part of the decumulatlone of income, such powes shell include any and sli powers granted to. Trustee fn this Astiala, ond where Toustea is directad to meke certula aocumulotions from incone, and is Futhorized to inveat and reispest the seme, such sacumulations up to one Thousand inolisis

 Frust shisen, acoumulations up to such amount for each auoh share, my be kept in an ondingry sevings sccount.
18. Jpon the eistrikution ai the corpus of this estate by the Trusteo.this truat

19. The Trustae heretader, shsil be paid the folloving pompengation for its services: Dereundor:

(b) An annual fee of four peroent (48) of the enausl gross inoome colleated hereun-
 truat, to dute of paymant.Therebrtar, nuoh payment shall be oomputad on the basis of gross Inoome ool2eated during the siz $(0)$ montha' poriod precoding the tima of paymant, in the evant or" coxmination or this truat, betwoon anid payment datoa, buah reo shull bo oompatad upon groas inoore oollaoiod from the last praceding poyment dote, to the date of terminetion.
(o) Upon the distribution or ony pert or the oorpus, on terminution or the trust, In raspat to suoh proparty, a am equal to oneminlt of one poroent of the fodr kisket value of the oorbus ao distributed.
(d) In the ovent the hzustee herounder, mey be ahongad, in gooordance with the provisions of puregraph 5 , of Artiols $C$, or this aureement, it ioe or one-hals of one parcent, of the rair market value of the gorpua, et the time of auth ohange, unlara, howaver, such allange is nede for
 ovant, a res of one Kundxad Dolzars ( $\$ 200.00$ ), shall be pold. In the avent thera should arlse - dispute, as to the arount or the fae to be poid under this parugraph, then the truatea,ia authorized to retain the greater smount, until some oourt or cospotent jurisdiation aboil detarnine the amount of foe due under this sub-paragroph.

No cherze日, exoent those ebove mentloned, shall be made ego inst the trugt estato ror keeping its acoounta, raking out income tax returns, the oollaction of rents or for the cuprent services of the Trustee's regular albaled orficers or einployes,

AFTICLE $C$.
CONGERJIN: TROSTOR WD BENEPTCIARISE,
1.Trustor, decleres this trust to be irrevocsble arter its eocoptenoe by the Trustecano part of the corjus of the trast astate, shall be mithiram by the Truator, exaept og provided in sub-peregrspb (d) or Article $A$. The $\mathrm{I}_{\text {risator or ony benerialary hereunder, shall, }}$ however, have the rifit to take, receive or ocsept less then the rull soount of income, windali may be due such benefiaiary, at any porticular tiae, ond in such event, the gmont of incoas not takon, recelved or accepted, shail by the Irastee, be turned into the corpus, for the benarit or the benoficisyy so railing to toke, recelve or occept suah Income, ond scoh bobetioiary shell be entitled to recelve the incoze derived therofros. The title to such eccurulstious, zhall pess alone with the said oorpus.
2. Irustor, however, reacrvet the ficht to nodify, anend of altez, the jrovisloas of this erustifinsjefor as such grovisions may spply to the disposition of principal or corpus, to . the extent of swenty-ifive Thousand iollara, $\$ 25,000.00$, in fols volue, in the event chis truat shalitesminite, rolioning the desths of Trustor end Fatherine, by reason of the fallure of ilnell degcendunts of hatherine, the richt reserved, hovever, to be subjeat to the provision, that the powers, duties ond liabilities, of the Truatee hereunder, shali not be aubatantislly increased, nor: ites rights decreased without its written consent. wy such modifiestion, amendment or alteration, ghall be made by wititen instrument, alegned by the trustor, and shall become erfective upon delivers or such listrugent in writing, to Irustee, unlebs rrustep's oonsent thereto is required, in which event, it shail becone effeotive upon execution of writien cansent tianeto, by rrustee.
3. Prastor reaerves the rigit to onvey ond dellyer zo Trustet, to te heid undir
 ss she may daire, and an may be scoptable to the Trustee.
4. From ond after the death of the Irustor, this trust shall not be subject. .

خo modirication, amendment or olteration, in any menner, by, any peraon or parsons, exiept, however, the baneficiarg or benefioiacies as heraingitor groviden, mey at.apy time, ohange the Truatee of the
 Pg 41 reaim.
3. If, at uny tine, dupling the terra or this truat, the poyson to whan the inamo
le payobie, (ar if nore thin one, thion a majorlty or the pornona to whom the intome in paynble,
 to ohange the Truatog of this truat estate, than suah pierson or persone, ney in writing, nome
 os core, to act as truateo of the truat property, and aixty(60) daya aftar raoelpt of notion, in
writing or tha denignation or a new thrustee, the tunk or trust oompany, then Trunteo, ulder this truat, bhall tranarer, besign and oonvey the trust property to the bonk or trust donpony so
 eat en muatee upon the truate horeln created, and hold and ponage the trubt projerty in ogaordanae with all the provistone hereor.
6. Wheraper any benerialories hersunder, while undar the seg or twantymons (21)
: gears, have ony righte under thla inatrement, such Flghte may be axerolaged in their beholf by thelr legelis appointed guardien or guardians, without the neoessity or seauring the opproval or nay cour thereta, unlesa teid spproval la apecifiosily required by the statutes of the state of Texbs.
anricis D
MISCELLANSOUS
2. The truat hereby arooted ahall be deamad e Texas trust, and ahsil in all
'respects be governed by the laws or the Stite or Toxes.
2. Bherever in thie instruaent, on otteapt $1 a$ made to oraste on eotute, ond auch
attempt shall be held to be of no arfect,boasuee or the vagueneas or inoortainty of the termis of this instrument, or beosuse of the violstion or the rule ogeinst pergetuities, or beosuse of e restralint on olfenationa, the catote or astotes concfingent on the estote so ottomptod to be cronted, shell not rail, but shall vest imaedistoly upon the fallure of guch sttempted proceding estate.

3-All ordinary expansen, frourred in connection with the trust estate or with
ita adninistration ond monsgament, including regularlz rocurring toxes asgessed agoinst any portion of the corpua, os well as income texesioxespt lnoome texes esseased on the sale of other dxaposition by Trustee or all or part oroorpual, water renty, inxurance prentum, intarest on mortgeges on the corpus, ordinery repairs, Trustec'a oompensation, court oosta and oounsel's rees, shall be pald out of insome, uniess,in the opinion or rrabtee, one or more or the above itens,aholl st any tine amount to sn extreordinary expense or an excessive burden upon income, in mhion cose, Trustee may charge to corpus, such purt of such expense, as it rigy dema wise. Iroone tanea essessod on the bale or other idsposition by Trustes, of all or part of corpun, iond oll disbursemente deemed by Trubtee, to be fcr pormenant improvements to property, held es part or the corpus, as well as apeciul benarit or improvemant taxas or assesscents, ahsill be pold out of corpus.
L. acomut interest on bonds or debenturas purohased between the detes at ahich Interest thereon, is payable, bhall be charged to incomeg bid where bonda or debentares are sold between such getes, such accrued interest whall be oradited to incone. Trustes, gheli

 principel amotat so reosived by Trustée, shall be cozpuss and where bonds or debentures purongsid ; to dispount, are sold by Trusten; the oonsidaration receited from such salefsiter crediting to
 bonds ör debentures are called for redmatotion before noturity, and a premive is pald by the
 fatook, ahald beoma p pist of the ooxpuo or the aistate.
!. . 5. The rruates, da diraoted to pay out of oorpua, if and.when dua, any and all
 -asigaed and paysbla, in oannoction with tho oarpua or any part theroor.
6. The Trugtee hereby oocapts the truat hereby arented and agraea to exeauto tha'
feare in sooordanoe with tha terina heraor,
$\cdot$

 oorporate seal to be impreased herion, thls l7th dey of inguat 2932:In dupllote.
kARY VICTORIA KSMIINE,TRUSTOR:
EIRST HARIORUL BiNK IN DALLAS BY: M.A.OLEstan, vtcz piksidurit:

AITEST: J.S. TATSETKL

STAE OF LASSALHESETAS:

## SS



 tetereir expressed, and in tite canucity therein stated.


-.s.


STinis ex MExis:
55



 tect =: exhe cozporntion.


DALLRTS BOMTY,TEXAS.
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 "atet sorth.

CARROLI A. BERASE, NOTARY FTBLIC
I. 5.

CODATY OF BARNSTABLE, STATE OF NASSACHUSEITS

THES STITE OF TEXAS:

6789-- 3.75
SYLVAN LUNG GT UX : THE STATS OE TBKAS
TO: PARTIAL RELEASE OE JUCONETI LITA:
C.R.AKDING

ENOH ALH NEN SY TIESES PRESEATS:
: coliny or begcir :

That maraision the 16 th day of June 1936, in the Diatrict Court or Dellas CountyIn and for the 68th Juaicial Distriat of Fexas,in, aertein osuse,styled"Sylvan Lang of ux, FB ,
 Fendantin Judgment, judgrent in the aur of $\mathbf{7 6 6 2 . 5 0 ,}$ togethor with costs of sult ond interest from suah day, ot the rate of 6\% par onnuman abatract of which judpaent was placed ond now appesis of record in Vol:55,page 23E, of the Jucigent reoords of Dsilos County, Texas, whioh record is made a part hereoryund referedce is here mode to the same, for better descriotion of such judgrent, ond
 Judgment, and -t'.e purties entitied tarefte payment thereori, ond

 suct pajmant, to releose silis Judgaent lien, insorar as it ifiects certain hereinarter desoribad property.
 prewiecs,to hereby release unto Eakinding.his helrs and asaikns roreveryany and ell liens heretofore existirg by reason of said judgant;or of sald racoza, upon the rollowing described
 occording to the offlefal mop of the City of Dallas,and being more particularly desaribed by metes ond bounca, al zollows: begiving at o stoke in the southeest line of Geder Sprines Street, 62 reet porthmest of the intersection or said line of cedar Springs Street, with the northwest line or Sale Streat;

Thence north 47 degrees 30 minutes west, along the souttwest line of Ceder Springs Streat, 62 teet,ixore or less, to oorner of Mre.M.J.Claric's lot;

Thence bouth 45 degress west, with said lara.Clarx's lotia distance or 164 feat - more or less, to o corner;

Thence south 46 degrees 15 minute east 62 feet more or less, to the west oorger for a lot conveyed by g.G.Knight to Mrs.s.x. Lovigeigh;











## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: __ Miguel Castillo
Date: Friday - 09/23/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $12: 00$ | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $1: 00$ | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $7: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: Miguel Castillo
Date: Saturday - 09/24/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $12: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $4: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

RPZ Valet Officer Name: _ Miguel Castillo
Date: Sunday - 09/25/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $5: 00$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

RPZ Valet Officer Name: Miguel Castillo
Date: Monday - 09/26/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $12: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $7: 00$ | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: _ Miguel Castillo
Date: Tuesday - 09/27/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $11: 00$ | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $12: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $2: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $7: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: Miguel Castillo
Date: Wednesday - 09/28/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $11: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $12: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $1: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $7: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |







## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: __ Friday - 09/23/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 0 |
| $11: 00$ | 1 |
| $12: 00$ | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 2 |
| $2: 00$ | 1 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 1 |
| $5: 00$ | 0 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 |
|  |  |
| Total: | 12 |

## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: _ Saturday - 09/24/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 3 |
| $11: 00$ | 0 |
| $12: 00$ | 11 |
| $1: 00$ | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 6 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 8 |
|  |  |
| Total: | 34 |

## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: $\quad$ Sunday - 09/25/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1: 00$ | 8 |
| $2: 00$ | 1 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 |
|  |  |
| Total: | 12 |

## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: $\quad$ Monday - 09/26/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $11: 00$ | 1 |
| $12: 00$ | 0 |
| $1: 00$ | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 3 |
| $3: 00$ | 4 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 1 |
|  | 16 |
| Total: |  |



## Notification List of Property Owners

## BDA101-095

## 56 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3300 | KNOX |
| 2 | 3229 | KNOX |
| 3 | 3219 | KNOX |
| 4 | 4535 | TRAVIS |
| 5 | 3311 | KNOX |
| 6 | 3313 | KNOX |
| 7 | 3230 | KNOX |
| 8 | 4647 | COLE |
| 9 | 8008 | ELAM |
| 10 | 4700 | ABBOTT |
| 11 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 12 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 13 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 14 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 15 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 16 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 17 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 18 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 19 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 20 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 21 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 22 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 23 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 24 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 25 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 26 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
|  |  |  |

## Owner

KEATING MARY VICTORIA \% SUN TRUST BANK A WEIR J RAY TRUST \& WEIR INVESTMENTS LP WEIR J RAY TRUST

KNOX STREET VILLAGE HOLDINGS INC
KNOX TRAVIS HOLDING COMPANY LLC
KNOX STREET VILLAGE INC SUITE 400
KNOX STREET VILLAGE I INC SUITE 400
CIM/4649 COLE AVENUE LP SUITE 900
DART
JACOBS ESTHER \& ESTHER JACOBS TRUSTEE
WARREN ANN MARIE
HALL TRAVIS AT KNOX LLC \% ATTN: DONALD L
RICHARDSON MICHAEL \#PH3
KHOSHNOUDI AHMAD \& FARIMAH
OLIVER JAMES L
MITCHELL THEODORE C
SATTLER SHELLA
WILSON DAVID L \& REBECCA S
BRAUN DAVID
FISHER CRAIG S
BRAUN DONALD L \& DEBORAH A
BRAUN DONALD L \& DEBORAH A
ALHAZIM DINA
COLEMAN CAROLYN M
YIN RAY CHENGCHI \& NANYI AGNES YIN
SANDERS BOWLBY DEBRA LYNN

| Label \# | Addre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 28 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 29 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 30 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 31 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 32 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 33 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 34 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 35 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 36 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 37 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 38 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 39 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 40 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 41 | 4614 | ABBOTT |
| 42 | 4616 | ABBOTT |
| 43 | 4618 | ABBOTT |
| 44 | 4612 | ABBOTT |
| 45 | 4622 | ABBOTT |
| 46 | 4624 | ABBOTT |
| 47 | 4626 | ABBOTT |
| 48 | 4620 | ABBOTT |
| 49 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 50 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 51 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 52 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 53 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 54 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 55 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 56 | 4608 | ABBOTT |

## Owner

808B LLC
MCKAY LINDSEY
MOONEY DIANE C \& JOHN T
TOUCHY JAMES QUENTON UNIT 1104B
YOUNG EUGENE \& FAYE \#1107B
HAYDEN LANE \& MARY JEAN
ROSS WORTH W
MOONEY STEPHEN M
COUCH CONNIE L
MORENO MAURICIO A
AVERA INVESTMENTS INC
MESKIN LAURENCE
SEAL LARRY ELLIOT \% KAY KOH
SEAY STEPHEN M FOUNDATION
KNIGHT JOYCE A
SHEPHERD PHILLIP
HOCHBERG CLAUDIA MERLE TR BLDG A UNIT B2
WESTBROOK J P \& KATHRYN
ROTE FRANK CLAYTON
BEIS MELANIE K BLDG B UNIT B3
GIBBONS MOLLY JANE
PIKE WILLIAM R
STREBEL DOUGLAS W \& LEE B D
SBLC MASTER FAMILY LTD PS
HIGHLAND GATES ON KATHY TR LLC \% JD WEST
AVREA DARREN \& AVREA SANDRA
RALSTON BENJAMIN P \& BARBARA P RALSTON
MCKNIGHT WILLIAM D \& CYNTHIA S
MONSALVE MIGUEL A

YARBROUGH DOUGLAS EUGENE \& LAURA ANDERSO

FILE NUMBER: BDA 101-096

## BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT:

Application of Elizabeth McDonald, represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight, for a variance to the off-street parking regulations at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as Lots $3 \& 4$ in City Block S/1538 and is zoned PD-193 (LC), which requires off-street parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to maintain a structure with certain retail and professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses, and provide 23 of the required 34 parking spaces, which will require a variance of 11 spaces.

## LOCATION: 3300 Knox Street

APPLICANT: Elizabeth McDonal Represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight

## REQUEST:

- A variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 parking spaces (or 32 percent reduction of the 34 off-street parking spaces that are required) is requested in conjunction with leasing/maintaining an existing approximately 7,400 square foot commercial/retail structure with certain retail and professional, personal service and custom craft uses, and providing 23 (or 68 percent) of the 34 required off-street parking spaces.


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Denial
Rationale:

- Staff concludes that there is no property hardship to the site/lot that warrants a variance to the off-street parking requirements.
- The site is flat, slightly irregular in shape, and according to the application, 0.394 acres in area, and zoned PD No. 193 (LC Subdistrict). The site is currently developed with (according to DCAD records), a "free standing retail store" with 7,588 square feet built in 1930.
- As a result of the structure that has been on the site/property for a number of years, it appears that the physical features of the site/lot have not/do not create hardship or preclude its development in a manner commensurate with other developments found in the same PD No. 193 (LC) zoning district. Although the site is slightly irregular in shape, this feature does not create a hardship on the lot where the applicant cannot provide required off-street parking for a number of uses permitted in the PD No. 193 (LC Subdistrict). The slightly irregular shape does not create a hardship that justifies a variance to the off-street parking regulations for the applicant to develop it in a
manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land within the same PD No. 193 (LC) zoning district.
- The Sustainable Development Department Project Engineer also recommends denial of the request since the applicant had not submitted an engineered-prepared parking analysis study to justify the proposed parking reduction.


## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

The Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, offstreet parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done;
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## GENERAL FACTS:

- PD No. 193 lists 21 specific "retail uses" each of which (other than "feed store" and "furniture store") requires 1 space per 220 square feet of floor area. ("Feed store" and "Furniture store" uses require 1 space per 500 square feet of floor area).
PD No. 193 lists 24 specific "professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses" of which the applicant is applying for any/all or these with a parking requirement of 1 space per 220 square feet of floor area or greater.
The applicant proposes to provide 23 (or 68 percent) of the required 34 off-street parking spaces in conjunction with leasing/maintaining the 7,400 square foot structure with certain "retail uses" and certain "professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses" all of which have a parking requirement of 1 spaces per 220 square feet or greater.
- The site is flat, slightly irregular in shape, and according to the application, 0.394 acres in area. The site is zoned PD No. 193 (LC Subdistrict).
- DCAD records indicate that the "improvements" at 3300 Knox is a "free standing retail store" with 7,588 square feet built in 1930 .
- On September 30, 2011, the applicant's representative forwarded additional information to the Board Administrator regarding this appeal and his other related application at the same address BDA 101-095 beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).
- On October 4, 2011, the applicant's representative forwarded additional information to the Board Administrator regarding this appeal beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment B).
- On October 7, 2011, the applicant's representative forwarded additional information to the Board Administrator regarding this appeal and his related application at the same address BDA 101-095 beyond what was submitted with the original applications (see Attachment C). (Note that this information was submitted past the October 4, 2011 staff review team meeting, therefore was not factored into the staff recommendation for this application).


## Zoning:

Site: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
North: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
South: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
East: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)
West: PD No. 193 (LC) (Planned Development, Light Commercial)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with commercial/retail structure, part of which is leased, part of which is vacant. The area to the north is developed with residential use; and the areas to the east, south, and west are developed with retail uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

1. BDA 101-096, Property at 3300 Knox Street (the subject site)

Depending on whether Board of Adjustment Panel A grants the applicant's other request made on the subject site (BDA 101-095) on October 18, 2011, overturning/reversing the Building Official's July 27, 2011 decision to deny an application for a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) on the subject site specifically Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property, will be whether the Board of Adjustment Panel A will consider the this request of the applicant on the subject site: BDA 101-096- an application for a variance to off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces.
If the board grants the applicant's request in BDA 101-095 reversing/overturning the Building Official's decision, the applicant is aware that the board will not be required to consider the applicant's other request on the subject site (BDA 101-096) - an application for a variance to the off-street parking regulations.
If the board denies the applicant's request in BDA 101-095 and affirms the Building

Official's decision, the applicant is aware that the board will then be required to consider his other request made on the subject site (BDA 101-096): an application for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces.

## Timeline:

June 10, 2011: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

September 21, 2011: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

September 21, 2011: The Board Administrator emailed the applicant's representative the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the September $30^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the October $78^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

September 30, 2011: The applicant's representative submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).

October 4, 2011: The applicant's representative submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment B).

October 4, 2011: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for October public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Current Planning Assistant Director, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Engineering Assistant Director, the Building Inspection Chief Planner, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the City of Dallas Chief Arborist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Project Engineer, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

October 6, 2011: The Sustainable Development Department Project Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Recommends this be
denied" with the following comments: "Applicant needs to submit for review a parking analysis study, by a qualified registered professional engineer, to justify the proposed parking reduction."

October 7, 2011: The applicant's representative submitted additional information to staff beyond what was submitted with both of his applications at this address - BDA 101-095 and 096 (see Attachment C). (Note that this information was submitted past the October 4, 2011 staff review team meeting, therefore was not factored into the staff recommendation for this application).

## STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The request focuses on reducing required off-street parking on the site by 11 spaces or 32 percent of what is required for the certain retail and personal services uses that the applicant proposes to lease/maintain within an existing approximately 7,400 square foot commercial/retail structure.
- The applicant proposes to provide 23 (o 68 percent) of the 34 off-street parking spaces required for the certain retail and professional, personal service, and custom craft uses all with a parking requirement of 1 space per 220 square feet of floor area or greater that the applicant proposes to lease/maintain in the existing structure.
- Depending on the decision made by the Board of Adjustment Panel A on the applicant's other request on the site, BDA 101-095 - an appeal requesting the Board of Adjustment to overturn or reverse the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision to deny an application for a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) on the subject site will be whether the applicant needs the board's consideration or approval of this request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations on the subject site.
- If the Board of Adjustment denies the applicant's other request on the subject site (BDA 101-095) and upholds the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision, Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property at 3300 Knox Street will remain denied. If this action occurs, the Board of Adjustment Panel A will consider this request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces on the subject site.
- If the Board of Adjustment grants the applicant's other request on the subject site (BDA 101-095) and overturns/reverses the Building Official's July $27^{\text {th }}$ decision, Certificate of Occupancy application \#11071191052 for "retail use" on the property at 3300 Knox Street will be approved. If this action occurs, the applicant will not need approval from the Board of Adjustment Panel A on this request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 11 spaces on the subject site.
- On October 6, 2011, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Project Engineer submitted a review comment sheet marked "Recommends that this be denied" with the following comments: "Applicant needs to submit for review a parking analysis study, by a qualified registered professional engineer, to justify the proposed parking reduction."
- The site is flat, slightly irregular in shape, and according to the application, 0.394 acres in area. The site is zoned PD No. 193 (LC Subdistrict).
- DCAD records indicate that the "improvements" at 3300 Knox is a "free standing retail store" with 7,588 square feet built in 1930.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- That granting the variance to off-street parking regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same PD No. 193 (LC) zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same PD No. 193 (LC) zoning classification.



DATE: September 29, 2011

## Thompson \& KNight llp

## ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

JAMES B. HARRIS
DIRECT DIAL: (214) 969-1102
EMAIL: James.Harris@tklaw.com
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September 30, 2011

# Via Hand Delivery 

Steve Long
Board of Adjustment Administrator
Sustainable Development and Construction
City of Dallas
1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN
Dallas, TX 75201

Re: BDA 101-095 and 096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Long:
I write on behalf of the Mary Victoria Keating Trust, the owner of property at 3300 Knox Street, which is the Applicant in the above-referenced Board of Adjustment matters. The purpose of this letter is to share background information that the city staff might consider in making a recommendation with respect to each matter.

The first matter involves an appeal of the building official's determination that the property owned by the Keating Trust has no Delta Credits. The second matter, which would only be reached if the Board of Adjustment agrees that the property has no Delta Credits, is a request for a variance from parking requirements because the parking demand associated with a current use of the property is significantly less than what the development code requires. I will address each matter in turn.

1. The property has sufficient Delta Credits to satisfy Development Code requirements.

The property in question consists of Lots 3 and 4 of the Cockrells Fammland Addition. The lots form an L-shape at the northwest corner of Knox and Travis Streets. Enclosed as Exhibit A is a diagram outlining the lots in question.

Lot 3 and a very small portion of Lot 4 are covered by a building that is believed to have been built in the 1930's, slightly expanded in the 1980's, and remodeled many times. The city has not identified a consistent square footage for the building over the last forty years. Square footage has ranged from 6,264 square feet to 7,397 square feet. At the current time, the owner and the city are in agreement that the square footage is 7,397 square feet.

During the 1970's there were three retail operations located in the building - Flower a Day, Mary's Beauty and a TV store. At that time, required parking for retail uses was one space for each 200 square feet. Therefore, these uses should have required 31 to 37 parking spaces, depending on the square footage that actually existed. Because Lot 3 was covered by a building, the only area where off-street parking could have been provided was on Lot 4. Until mid-1980, however, Lot 4 was not used for off-street parking. Instead, eleven angled spaces on the north side of Knox in front of the building, and three parallel spaces along Travis on the east side of the building all in the public right of way was the only parking provided. Because no required parking was provided, the Delta Credits in the 1970's would have been 31 to 37 spaces, again depending on the actual square footage of the building.

In mid-1980, the city issued a Certificate of Occupancy for a restaurant to be operated in the building. A copy of the site plan associated with that Certificate of Occupancy is attached as Exhibit B. The site plan identifies the building area as $4 ; 731$ square feet. That number is obviously incorrect and appears to represent that portion of the building devoted to restaurant use. Again, depending upon what square footage is assigned to the entire building, the area marked as "office" contained either 2,666 square feet or 1,533 square feet. Therefore, the actual required parking, which had to be the sum of the restaurant use and the office use, was either 55 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 8 for the office (for 2,666 square feet at one space for every 333 square feet as required in 1980)) or 52 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 5 for the office (for 1,533 square feet)). The site plan provides for 33 spaces, of which 9 exist only because of a parking agreement approved by the city that allowed the portions of Lots 1 and 2 that did not contain buildings and Lot 4 to provide parking for the uses on Lot 3. Presumably, in order to issue the certificate of occupancy, the city recognized anywhere from 19 to 22 Delta Credit spaces that existed in connection with the retail uses occurring in the building in the 1970's.

In 1986, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for a different restaurant, On the Border. This restaurant made use of the entire building and the site plan associated with that certificate of occupancy, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, suggests that at that point in time the building size was 7,397 square feet, all of which was used for a restaurant. Required parking then would have been 74 spaces, yet the site plan provided the total on-site parking, which included the spaces that existed because of the parking agreement, was 33 , suggesting a Delta Credit of 41 spaces.

In 1995, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for yet a different use, a retail operation, namely a Smith \& Hawken store. By 1995, the property was part of the Oak Lawn PD and the parking requirement for a retail use was one for every 220 square feet of space. Applying that requirement to the building's square footage of 7,397 square feet means the store was required to have 34 spaces. It would have been necessary to use Delta Credits to satisfy that parking demand because the parking agreement entered into in 1980, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit D, was not effective for retail use. By its terms, that parking agreement was only applicable to a restaurant use. Therefore, the only area available for Smith \& Hawken to provide required parking was on Lot 4, and according to a 2010 survey, a copy of which is attached as

Exhibit E, and which describes the parking provided on Lot 4 during Smith \& Hawken tenure, 18 spaces were provided. The 16 additional required spaces must have been supplied through Delta Credits.

The section of the development code providing Delta Credits explicitly allows credits to be carried forward when a new use requires less parking than the previous use, if those credits are needed to satisfy required parking. The Delta Credits for Lots 3 and 4 were either 31 or 34 in the 1970 's, 19 or 22 when the building was used as a Mariano's restaurant, and 44 when the building was used as an On the Border restaurant. Regardless of which Delta Credits apply, they all exceeded the 16 Delta Credits needed for Smith \& Hawken's use of the building.

Smith \& Hawken vacated the building in 2009. Recently, the owner was able to lease 3,389 square feet for a new retail use. The required parking for that use is 15 spaces. The owner is in negotiations to lease the remaining 4,008 square feet to another retail use that will require 18 parking spaces. Because only 18 spaces are currently available on Lot 4 , use of 15 of the available Delta Credits would satisfy required parking needs for the entire building and allow the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for a retail use in the rest of the building.

Alternatively, if the city is of the view that the 1980 parking agreement applies to other than restaurant uses, then just as it was available to Smith \& Hawken in 1995, it is available currently for a different retail use. There has been some suggestion that the 1980 parking agreement is no longer in effect because reciprocal cross easements referenced in that document no longer exist. In fact, regardless of the status of the reciprocal cross easements the parking agreement continues to be a binding covenant running with the land, requiring the owners of Lots 1 and 2 to make their property available for parking. This conclusion is supported by a February 23, 1988, memo signed by Claude Forte, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit F, which does not suggest that the reciprocal easements mentioned in the parking agreement in any way affect the continued existence of the covenant running with the land established by the 1980 parking agreement. Additionally, the city has never released the covenant. If the city considers the parking agreement to still be applicable, then as described in the site plan for the On the Border restaurant, 33 spaces can be provided to satisfy the current required parking for retail operations in the building.

In short, the building official should not withhold a Certificate of Occupancy to use the remaining portion of the building for retail purposes, either because sufficient Delta Credits are currently available to satisfy required parking demand or an existing parking agreement provides sufficient parking to meet required parking needs.
2. If required parking is not met by Delta Credits or the parking agreement, then a parking variance would be appropriate.

Of the 7,397 square feet of space available to lease since Smith \& Hawken left in 2009, only 3,389 square feet has been re-leased. In January of this year, the owner entered into a lease for a retail outlet for Acme Brick that operates under the name Patina. That store opened for
business in mid-August. On display at the store are samples of flooring, tile, and brick for sale. The sales people are also interior designers. The target customer is someone who is seeking help in choosing and buying materials used in remodeling. Customers visit with one of the designers to discuss what type of materials might best meet their needs. The customer then selects from available samples and makes a purchase.

Given the nature of the retail operation, it was expected that the amount of car traffic generated by this store would be limited. The store is not by itself a destination, like a big box retailer. Its retail operations seem to complement other retail stores in the area, such as Weirs, Crate and Barrel, Pottery Barn, and Restoration Hardware. A recent parking survey conducted during the hours the store was opened from Friday, September 23, 2011, through Wednesday, September 28, 2011, supports this conclusion. During those six days, the average hourly parking demand was 3 cars (and that includes employees) and the hourly parking demand ranged from 1 car to 6 cars.

The store has also determined that a number of its customers are walk-ins in the sense that they have parked at another location to visit a restaurant or another store in the area, leave their car parked and walked to this store. For instance, over a four day period 74 customers visited the store, but only 20 cars parked behind the store during the same period and that total included employees. Given the proximity of the store to the Park Cities, as well as to local condominiums and townhomes, the adjacent Katy Trail, and retail stores it compliments, it is not surprising that a number of customers walk to the store from their homes or after first visiting other stores.

Included in the application is a parking layout that would allow, consistent with city requirements for size, configuration, and use of compact spaces, the 18 current spaces to be increased to 23 spaces. Using the average hourly parking demand of three spaces determined by the survey means that 20 spaces would be available for a retail use in the remaining 4,008 square feet of the building. Those 20 spaces exceed the required parking for such a retail use.

Reconfiguring the parking to provide 23 spaces would also provide more parking than was available during the 14 years that Smith \& Hawken was located at the building. During that time, Smith \& Hawken was unable to use any of the area on Lots 1 and 2 for parking and, therefore, had at most 18 spaces for its customers. During those 14 years, there were no complaints to the city of Dallas about a lack of parking associated with the Smith \& Hawken store.

If the parking variance were to be granted, it would provide more parking than was available for a 14 year period without complaint. It would also insure that required parking is provided for half the building, recognizing that the use in the other half has demonstrated a parking demand significantly less than the required parking set forth in the Development Code.

Without this variance, it may not be possible to lease the other half of the building. The owner has talked with surrounding property owners, none of whom have exhibited any interest in
making space available at any price for parking that could be included in a parking agreement. If the other half of the building cannot be leased, the value of the building will necessarily drop, meaning a loss of property tax and without any retail operation no sales tax will be generated and new jobs will not be generated.

I hope the foregoing has been helpful. If you should have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.


JBH/tkh

## Enclosures

## cc: Peter Kavanagh

Elizabeth McDonald
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WHEREAS, Mariano's Restaurants, Inc., hereinafter referred to as "Applicant", has filed an applicution wit! the building orficial of the City of Dallas, Texas, for a building permit and certificate of occupancy in order to refurbish and renovate an existing building to be used for restaurant purposes; and

WHEREAS. Applicant desires to implement said use in the eastern portion of that building located on Lot 3 in city block S/I539, Cockrell fairland Addition, which is located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to ss "Tract A "; and

Whertins, Article $x x$, Chapter 51, "COMPREMEASIVE GENERAL. zONING ORDINANCE", of the Dallas City Codie, as amendwi, reyuiras a specific number of off-streel parking spaces to be provided for the above described use on Tract $A$; and

WHEREAS, the Estate of Mary Victor Keatina, Screinafter Feferred to as "Keating", owns Lot $\dot{4}$ in City block s/Js38, in the Cockrell Fairland Addition, which is lucated in the cit: of Daylas. Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Tract B"; and

Whereas, the I. Jalonick Estate, hereinafter referred to as "Jalonick", owns that property which is described on Exhibit $\beta$, which is attached hereto and made a part herwol for all purposes, which is located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Tract $C$ "; and
vherbis, Applicant desires tu use Tracts b and $C$ in order to satisfy, in whole or in part, the above described parking reguirements for Tract $A$; and


WHEREAS, Keating and Jalonick have entered into a Grant of Reciprocal Easement Agreement to provide parking on Tracts B and $\dot{C}$ f - the benefit of Tract $A_{r}$ such grant being for a period of twenty-one years beginning on March 24, 1980 and terminating on March 23, 2001. now therefore,




KNOW ALL MEN BY TUUSE !PRESENTS:
That npplicant, Koitin't and Jalonick, for and in censideration of the City of Dallas "ranting to Applicont a louildinej iermit
 the above described use as mitinod in Articie $x \times$, cimprtif 51.
 amended, do heroby ayrec to the 1 ollowinty
(1) Tracts $B$ and $($ will or:fy be used for parking in connection with the abore d. :icril cd ute of Iract $A$ :
 other than luarking:
(3) This instrumnt $i$ - a covinant rumine with cha land ass to Tracts $B$ and $\mathbb{C}$
(4) The city of billa: may revoluc Appliciant's Corificate of occupancy reyarding the above doscribod use of tract $A$ :
 terminated or rescinded for ? $\because$ y :eason; and
(b) Applicnit. dows mot provide othor off-streat park:ng spaces in accordance with int.ict.. $X X$ of the Comprehensive Gereraz. Zoning Ordinance of tho Cil ot 'allati
(5) Tins insifunumh sinll coase w lw elfective cnly upon
 signed by the Building offi•ial ai the ciaty of dallus, Texa:i, or his designee, releasine the af(.)-mwn: iomed covennnts:





Executed this the list day of $/ 4 y$ . 1980.


ESTATE OE MARY VICTOR EATING

I. JALONICK ESTATE


STATE OF TEXAS
5

COUNTY OF DALLAS
G

BEPORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Marian Martinet Mon President of Mariano's, Inc-
 known to me to ins trument, who, having been by me duly sworn, stated and foregoing instrument, whore he hath that he is the President of Mariano's, Inc., is authorized on his oath that he is the President of mariano s, the and that he signed the above and foregoing instrument for the purposes and consideration and in the capacity thereininexpryssed. thereniontry

GIVEN UNDER KY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the $\qquad$ day



My Commission Expires: $6=8-80$
$\qquad$

STATE OE TBXAS At $\because$ Rect $f$ 5


BEFORE $\mu$, the undersigned authority, on this day personally
 whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged Co roe that the some was the act of the said ESTATE OF HARY VICTOR kXininks, and tot he executed the same as the act of such Estate for rhine purposes fa consideration therein expressed and in the capacity


.. BDA 101-096 $\qquad$

COUNTY OF DALLAS $\S$ 5
appeared BEFORE NE, the undersigned authority on this day personally of the I. JALONICK ESTATE, known to me to be the person and efergtan. whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that the same was the act of the said 1 . JALONICK ESTATE, and that he executed the same as the act of such 1. JALONTLK ESTATE for the purposes and consideration therein expressed and in the capacity therein stated.



Being
Fcire land rad Kloci. उं andi. Recoids
Bein: dese


Thic.e:
tiot $i=0$.
Tisence
of Lut 1 ot F=: irt bcin: Line of then is Cornar ar. Thenc: foot to

The gici hismon is a trut. corroct io the inem and dimeostonx of xald pros bailitings and improroments are cil $:$ bock froin proporty linat ibe disioncr: rood is an sbown on wad plit.
THERE ARE NO ENCROACHLENTS.:
Sole $\frac{1^{2}+20^{\circ}}{\text { Date }=4 / 29 / 80}$


801271988

Being Lots 3 \& 4 of Block $5 / 1538$ of Cockrellis

 Recorels of ìellas county: Taxad. The part.f Lataler Being describid as follows

Beginc...) st the southest corner ot hat 2 .
 Worth Linc of oil ploy is of cot, io ponat for Cornery
 fiet to poont for porme.




 coremet of hot 1 .




 roch. ix ces shown on toxd plot.
nawa
$\qquad$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Returen to: } \\
& \text { Willian Teitelbaum } \\
& 2731 \text { Lemman Avenue EAST- } 200 \\
& \text { Iallus, Tx. } 75204
\end{aligned}
$$




Mr. Gaude Forte
Chet bexempinenteode
Aaniadstraton


Beparkent pergactueks 320 E Jef ferson byg. Dallats, Texas 75203

RE: $\quad 3300-3308$ Knox Street

## Dear Clawde:

Thank you fot taking the thme tomet buth me on
 reguirements the thestoeted the the 3300 bock of thex
 צouspgqes, ed,

 you signing the enclosed coples, plachigene th the tale and returning the other to me in the enclosed pre-adoresped
envelope. If you have any questons please grue me aedta

RA/kIp
Encl.

## Exhibit F

## HEMORAMOM

## DATE: February 23, 1988

## RE: <br> Parking Agrement for 3300 Block of

know On the Boror Restanat



 Notice of violation paed on the alleged fatheref on the Border Restaurat to follow agreed parkug sthentatoms in deed. The purpose of this memorand is to set forth the underlying facts and documentation contaned in the city of Dalias Eile.




 oy the Jatomact estate, the rear pottontof totstanc 2 , as
 May is 1980, the owners of the Keting and fatomick properties entere into a parking agrement bereby the of thteet parking spaces located on the near of Lots I and 2 and Lot 4 (labeled Tracts B \& Could only be used for parking for the building located on tot. 3 (labeled Tract A) which was to be refurbished and renotated for restaurant purposesemis parking agreement was appoved by whe city and obtyfuled in the Deed Records Based on that areement, therestamant met the off-street parking reguremethes the toldos pe

 areas that are estrteted terestaysat, parkngatothen


Based ths agnementr On the Boternas the sole right to use any or the pathingpaces 10 outed behat any of the structres the 3300 bock of tnox The opy parkng arathate for the retat uses located at $3310-3316$ Kina are the on-stret packing spaces tocated arectity front of those retail uses. Even thong those reted uses do not provide any oft-steet pathing they are legat non-contorming uses although, none could be converted to a more intensive use without meeting the regutementsof the berctopnent Coce

With regard to off-street parking
Based on the information in the files of the Gtur of Dalas there is mo bas for the issuane of a notice of violaton for the fanure of any use




Quge Eome
Chef, Develoment code Aministrator
City of Dalias
Buifing Inspecton Division
Department of Puble Works




## Long, Steve

From: Harris, James B. [James.Harris@tklaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:27 AM
To: Long, Steve
Subject: RE: Draft news ad for BDA 101-096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Steve, looks fine.

From: Long, Steve [mailto:steve.long@dallascityhall.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:51 AM
To: Harris, James B.
Cc: Duerksen, Todd; Palomino, Tammy
Subject: FW: Draft news ad for BDA 101-096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Harris,
I have attached a draft news ad regarding BDA 101-096 for your review. As you can see, this draft news ad incorporates some of your comments/suggestions below into a standard form that the City typically does for news ads pertaining to parking reduction requests.

Please note that I have intentionally not included the parking ratio that you mentioned below in this draft since this information to my recollection has historically never been conveyed in the news ad or property owner notice. (Details such as parking ratios are however conveyed in the docket that is part of the public record on this type of parking reduction application).

Please take a look at this draft and let me know if you have any concerns with the information that is conveyed.

Thanks,
Steve

From: Harris, James B. [mailto:James.Harris@tklaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:22 AM
To: Long, Steve
Subject: RE:
Steve, I understand as a result of a conversation between Todd Duerkson and Peter Kavanaugh (who is working with me on the variance request) there has been some confusion about the type of uses that would be covered by the variance request and the size of the variance being requested. To be clear we are not proposing to construct and maintain a building but intend to maintain an existing building that was originally constructed in the 1930's. We intend to use the building for retail and professional, personal service and custom craft uses requiring one parking space for each 220 square feet or more of floor area. Additionally, by our calculations the number of required spaces for those uses, as the building is currently configured, is 33 not 34 .

At any rate, in light of this background you may want to consider changing the building official's report to delete in fourth line the word "construct." You may also want to delete the phrase "general merchandise or food store less than 3500 square feet and personal service uses" in the fifth and sixth lines and replace it with "retail and professional, personal service and custom crafts uses."

I think the 220 or more issue and the number of required spaces can be addressed at the hearing.

Call me if this email is unclear or we need to discuss further.
Jim Harris

Aten B Po

From: Long, Steve [mailto:steve.long@dallascityhall.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 7:54 AM
To: Harris, James B.
Cc: Duerksen, Todd
Subject: FW:
Dear Mr. Harris,
Would you please give me a call on this today before noon? I need to touch base with you on this since this document could effect your application BDA 101-096.

Thanks,
Steve

From: Long, Steve
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 2:39 PM
To: 'james.harris@tklaw.com'
Subject:
Mr. Harris,
Would you give me a call on the attachment, please? 214/670-4666.
Thanks,
Steve

## PUBLIC NOTICE

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE CITY OF DALLAS (PANEL A)
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE CITY OF DALLAS (PANEL A) will hold a hearing as follows:

## DATE:

BRIEFING:

PUBLIC HEARING:

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2011
11:00 A.M. in 5ES, Dallas City Hall, 1500 Maxilla Street

1:00 P.M. in Council Chambers, Dallas City Hall, 1500 Marilla Street

The purpose of the hearing is to consider the following applications now pending before the Board of Adjustment:

1. BDA101-096 - Application of Elizabeth McDonald, represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight, for a variance to the off-street parking regulations at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as lots 3 \& 4 in City Block S/1538 and is zoned PD-193 (LC) which requires off-street parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to maintain a structure with certain retail and professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses, and provide 23 of the required 34 parking spaces which will require a variance of 11 spaces.

Please contact Steve Long, Board Administrator at (214) 670-4666, or Trena Law, Board Secretary at (214) 670-4206 if you have any further questions or need any additional information.


## Building Official's Report

| I hereby certify that | Elizabeth McDonald |
| ---: | :--- |
| represented by | Thompson \& Knight |
| did submit a request | for a variance to the parking regulations |
| at | 3300 Knox Street |

BDA101-096. Application of Elizabeth McDonald represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight for a variance to the parking regulations at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as lots 3 \& 4 in city block S/1538 and is zoned PD-193 (LC), which requires parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a nonresidential structure for general merchandise or food store less than 3500 square feet and personal service uses and provide 23 of the required 34 parking spaces, which will require an 11 space variance to the parking regulation.

Sincerely,

Thompson \& KNIGHT Llp

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
JAMES B. HARRIS
DIRECT DIAL.: (214) 969-1102
EMAIL: James.Harris@tklaw.com

BDA 101-095 \& 096 Attach C
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1722 ROUTH STREET © SUITE 1500
DALLAS. TEXAS 75201-2533
(214) $969-1700$

FAX (214) 969-1751
www.tklaw.com
nUUSIVI
NEW YORK
ALGIERS
LONDON MEXICO CITY MONTERREY PARIS

October 7, 2011

Via Hand Delivery<br>Steve Long<br>Board of Adjustment Administrator<br>Sustainable Development and Construction<br>City of Dallas<br>1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN<br>Dallas, TX 75201

Re: BDA 101-095 and 096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Long:
I would appreciate your including this letter and its attachments in the materials shared with the Board of Adjustment (the "Board") in connection with the above-referenced cases set to be heard on Tuesday, October 18, 2011. The above-referenced cases involve two discreet issues. The first is whether the building official erred in denying a request for a building permit to renovate one-half of a building at 3300 Knox Street. The second case, which will only be heard if the building official's decision is upheld, seeks a parking variance for the building at 3300 Knox Street. I have already submitted one background letter to you for consideration by city staff in connection with these cases and I attach that letter as Exhibit 1 so that it will also be before the Board of Adjustment. I will address in turn each of the issues the Board will consider on October 18, 2011.

## 1. Denial of Building Permit.

The building has been vacant since 2009, when Smith \& Hawken discontinued retail operations nationwide. Since then the owner has been looking for suitable replacement tenants. Since mid-August of this year, approximately one-half of the building, consisting of 3,389 square feet, has been occupied by Patina, a store that provides remodeling services and materials. The building official was willing to issue a building permit and certificate of occupancy for the Patina store because it required 15 spaces, which were available on property controlled by the owner. The building official has been unwilling to issue a building permit to remodel the remainder of the building containing about 4,000 square feet, because the building official believes an additional 19 spaces are required for a retail, professional, personal service, or customs crafts uses to be located there. For the reasons set forth in the letter previously provided for staff review, we believe that the building official should have reached a different conclusion.

In discussions with Phil Sikes of the Building Inspection Division, the owners' representatives were advised that the reason the no building permit would be issued for the
remainder of the building was because it had no Delta Credits. According to Mr. Sikes, in 1995 when Smith \& Hawken occupied the entire building there existed a parking agreement that resulted in either 33 or 34 spaces being available for that retail use. In recent conversations with the City Attorney's Office, I have been advised that the parking agreement referred to by Mr. Sikes did not provide required parking for a retail use. Instead, it only provided required parking for a restaurant use.

In the absence of a parking agreement, the number of parking spaces that could be provided for Smith \& Hawken's retail store, as demonstrated from a survey in 2010, was 18. Nevertheless, issuance of a certificate of occupancy for Smith \& Hawken in 1995 was proper because at that point in time there existed somewhere between 31 and 41 Delta Credits. Under the Dallas Development Code, Delta Credits are measured by looking at the number of spaces required for an existing use and subtracting out the number of spaces provided. In 1995, just before Smith \& Hawken occupied the building, it was being used as an On the Border restaurant. The square footage of that restaurant was somewhere between 6,400 and 7,400 square feet. The parking requirement was 1 per 100 square feet. Therefore, the required parking was either 64 or 74. The approved site plan for On the Border only identified 33 parking spaces. Therefore, at that time, the Delta Credits were 31 to 41 spaces depending on the actual square footage occupied by On the Border.

Under the Dallas Development Code if a new use requires less parking, it is still entitled to the number of Delta Credits necessary to satisfy required parking. When Smith \& Hawken moved in, it only could provide 18 parking spaces (because the parking agreement was no longer applicable) meaning that it needed to use 16 of the Delta Credits that existed with respect to the On the Border restaurant.

The required parking for the Smith \& Hawken's use was one space per 220 square feet. That is the same requirement that exists for Patina's use and for the proposed uses for the remainder of the building. Given that Delta Credits were available for Smith \& Hawken, they should also be available for similar uses that would replace Smith \& Hawken.

Overruling the building official's decision in this case simply insures fairness and consistency allowing new uses that have the same parking demand as a previous use to operate with the same amount of parking. If it was appropriate for Smith \& Hawken to operate for 14 years with 16 Delta Credits then similar uses with the same parking demand should be entitled to make use of the same Delta Credits to satisfy required parking.
2. Even if the Building Official was Right, this Case Presents Appropriate Facts for the Granting of a Parking Variance.

If the property owner can show an unnecessary hardship that is not self-created, but results from special conditions, then a variance from required parking is appropriate so long as doing so would be in the public interest. The history and the size and shape of the property in question demonstrate why these conditions have been met.

The property in question involves Lots 3 and 4 of the Cockrell Fair Land addition to the City of Dallas that was filed in 1891. A copy of that plat is attached as Exhibit 2. Lots 3 and 4 are currently owned by a testamentary trust that traces its ownership of Lots 3 and 4 to a 1915 deed in which H.S. Keating acquired Lots 3 and 4. See Exhibit 3. Mr. Keating passed away in 1921 and in 1932 his widow, Mary Victoria Keating, conveyed to the testamentary trust, which still owns the property, Lots 3 and 4. A copy of that transfer is attached as Exhibit 4. That exhibit reflects that in 1932 Lots 3 and 4 were improved by a one-story brick building about 80 feet long and 60 feet in depth. That is the same structure, with only slight additions, that is currently found on the property.

Attached as Exhibits 5, 6 \& 7 are aerial photographs of the property with an outline of the area covered by Lots 3 and 4. As is evident from these aerial photographs the building occupies all of Lot 3 and part of Lot 4, leaving only the remainder of Lot 4 to provide parking. This situation has existed since prior to 1932. In fact, prior to 1980 no parking was provided on Lot 4. Attached as Exhibit 8, is an aerial photograph from 1979 that establishes Lot 4 was unimproved. The use of Lot 4 was changed in 1980 when a restaurant, Mariano's, was located in the building and has now continued to be used for parking through the present. Obviously prior to 1980 no off-site parking was provided in connection with the building. And since 1980, Lots 3 and 4 have never satisfied required parking under the Dallas Development Code.

Attached as Exhibit 9 is a photo of the building as it looked when Smith \& Hawken was present and a second photograph attached as Exhibit 10 that shows the building after Smith \& Hawken vacated the space. Attached as Exhibits 11, 12, 13, and 14 are photos showing how the building currently looks.

The unnecessary hardship presented by this case is an inability to lease one-half of the building because of the shape and limited area presented by the configuration and size of Lots 3 and 4. Without demolishing a significant portion of a building that has been present since before 1932, additional parking cannot be provided. The size and shape of Lot 4 does not allow belowground or a parking garage type structure. Moreover, such parking is not provided anywhere else in the vicinity.

These special conditions were not self-created. At the time the property was platted, in 1891, none of the current zoning requirements were in place. Moreover at the time the building was constructed, parking requirements were not in place. In short, a purchase in 1915 and the construction of buildings prior to 1932 that were entirely legal have now turned out to be a hardship because of changes over time in the development code. Moreover, it was not until the city's change in position this year regarding the application to the property of Delta Credits that sufficient parking became an issue. In short, there is nothing that the owner of the property has done to create this hardship other than to have purchased many years ago two small lots with an unusual configuration and hold on to them.

Granting a parking variance here would also be in the public interest. During the time Smith \& Hawken occupied the building it generated approximately $\$ 2.4$ million a year in retail sales. The city's share of sales tax from those sales have been lost since 2009. Additionally, the
value of the building for property tax purposes has dropped more than $\$ 500,000$ since 2009. If the remainder of the building cannot be leased out, only a portion of the $\$ 2.4$ million in annual sales will be recouped and there will be a further deterioration in the value of the building for property tax purposes meaning less tax revenue to the City of Dallas. An inability to lease the rest of the building also means fewer jobs generated at that location.

Granting the variance will not create a parking problem. The number of spaces being proposed are actually an increase over what was available when Smith \& Hawken occupied the building, an increase from 18 to 23 spaces. Secondly, the parking study undertaken on behalf of the tenant demonstrates that the average hourly parking demand for the current tenant, Patina, was 3, and that in any one hour the number of cars present because of that tenant range from 1 to 6. Attached as Exhibit 15 is a copy of the daily parking reports. Also attached as Exhibits 16, 17 , and 18 , are photographs taken during that parking study showing the current parking configuration, which will be modified if the variance is granted.

The fact that the current tenant is generating less parking demand than the development code would predict is not surprising. Attached as Exhibits 19 is a copy of charts showing the number of customers at Patina between Friday, September 23, 2011, and Monday, September 26, 2011. There were many more customers than there were cars. The difference results from walkins, that is people who have not driven to get to the shopping center or who have parked in another spot in the area and visited Patina after shopping at other stores.

Under these circumstances, the granting of a parking variance would be appropriate. In that regard one point needs to be clarified. The request for a parking variance is only for those retail, professional, personal service, and custom crafts uses that require one space per 220 square feet or more. This variance would not apply to restaurant uses and would not apply to any retail, professional, personal service or custom crafts use if the parking requirement was one space for 219 square feet or less. In other words, if a retail use required one space for each 150 square feet, the requested variance would not be applicable.


JBH/tkh
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## cc: Peter Kavanagh <br> Elizabeth McDonald

## Thompson \& Knight llp

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

September 30, 2011

Via Hand Delivery<br>Steve Long<br>Board of Adjustment Administrator<br>Sustainable Development and Construction<br>City of Dallas<br>1500 Marilla Street, Room 5BN<br>Dallas, TX 75201

Re: BDA 101-095 and 096, Property at 3300 Knox Street
Dear Mr. Long:
I write on behalf of the Mary Victoria Keating Trust, the owner of property at 3300 Knox Street, which is the Applicant in the above-referenced Board of Adjustment matters. The purpose of this letter is to share background information that the city staff might consider in making a recommendation with respect to each matter.

The first matter involves an appeal of the building official's determination that the property owned by the Keating Trust has no Delta Credits. The second matter, which would only be reached if the Board of Adjustment agrees that the property has no Delta Credits, is a request for a variance from parking requirements because the parking demand associated with a current use of the property is significantly less than what the development code requires. I will address each matter in turn.

1. The property has sufficient Delta Credits to satisfy Development Code requirements.

The property in question consists of Lots 3 and 4 of the Cockrells Farmland Addition. The lots form an L-shape at the northwest corner of Knox and Travis Streets. Enclosed as Exhibit A is a diagram outlining the lots in question.

Lot 3 and a very small portion of Lot 4 are covered by a building that is believed to have been built in the 1930's, slightly expanded in the 1980's, and remodeled many times. The city has not identified a consistent square footage for the building over the last forty years. Square footage has ranged from 6,264 square feet to 7,397 square feet. At the current time, the owner and the city are in agreement that the square footage is 7,397 square feet.

## Exhibit 1

During the 1970's there were three retail operations located in the building - - Flower a Day, Mary's Beauty and a TV store. At that time, required parking for retail uses was one space for each 200 square feet. Therefore, these uses should have required 31 to 37 parking spaces, depending on the square footage that actually existed. Because Lot 3 was covered by a building, the only area where off-street parking could have been provided was on Lot 4. Until mid-1980, however, Lot 4 was not used for off-street parking. Instead, eleven angled spaces on the north side of Knox in front of the building, and three parallel spaces along Travis on the east side of the building all in the public right of way was the only parking provided. Because no required parking was provided, the Delta Credits in the 1970's would have been 31 to 37 spaces, again depending on the actual square footage of the building.

In mid-1980, the city issued a Certificate of Occupancy for a restaurant to be operated in the building. A copy of the site plan associated with that Certificate of Occupancy is attached as Exhibit B. The site plan identifies the building area as 4,731 square feet. That number is obviously incorrect and appears to represent that portion of the building devoted to restaurant use. Again, depending upon what square footage is assigned to the entire building, the area marked as "office" contained either 2,666 square feet or 1,533 square feet. Therefore, the actual required parking, which had to be the sum of the restaurant use and the office use, was either 55 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 8 for the office (for 2,666 square feet at one space for every 333 square feet as required in 1980)) or 52 ( 47 for the restaurant, plus 5 for the office (for 1,533 square feet)). The site plan provides for 33 spaces, of which 9 exist only because of a parking agreement approved by the city that allowed the portions of Lots 1 and 2 that did not contain buildings and Lot 4 to provide parking for the uses on Lot 3. Presumably, in order to issue the certificate of occupancy, the city recognized anywhere from 19 to 22 Delta Credit spaces that existed in connection with the retail uses occurring in the building in the 1970's.

In 1986, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for a different restaurant, On the Border. This restaurant made use of the entire building and the site plan associated with that certificate of occupancy, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit C, suggests that at that point in time the building size was 7,397 square feet, all of which was used for a restaurant. Required parking then would have been 74 spaces, yet the site plan provided the total on-site parking, which included the spaces that existed because of the parking agreement, was 33, suggesting a Delta Credit of 41 spaces.

In 1995, the city issued a new certificate of occupancy for yet a different use, a retail operation, namely a Smith \& Hawken store. By 1995, the property was part of the Oak Lawn PD and the parking requirement for a retail use was one for every 220 square feet of space. Applying that requirement to the building's square footage of 7,397 square feet means the store was required to have 34 spaces. It would have been necessary to use Delta Credits to satisfy that parking demand because the parking agreement entered into in 1980, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit D, was not effective for retail use. By its terms, that parking agreement was only applicable to a restaurant use. Therefore, the only area available for Smith \& Hawken to provide required parking was on Lot 4 , and according to a 2010 survey, a copy of which is attached as

Exhibit E, and which describes the parking provided on Lot 4 during Smith \& Hawken tenure, 18 spaces were provided. The 16 additional required spaces must have been supplied through Delta Credits.

The section of the development code providing Delta Credits explicitly allows credits to be carried forward when a new use requires less parking than the previous use, if those credits are needed to satisfy required parking. The Delta Credits for Lots 3 and 4 were either 31 or 34 in the 1970 's, 19 or 22 when the building was used as a Mariano's restaurant, and 44 when the building was used as an On the Border restaurant. Regardless of which Delta Credits apply, they all exceeded the 16 Delta Credits needed for Smith \& Hawken's use of the building.

Smith \& Hawken vacated the building in 2009. Recently, the owner was able to lease 3,389 square feet for a new retail use. The required parking for that use is 15 spaces. The owner is in negotiations to lease the remaining 4,008 square feet to another retail use that will require 18 parking spaces. Because only 18 spaces are currently available on Lot 4, use of 15 of the available Delta Credits would satisfy required parking needs for the entire building and allow the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for a retail use in the rest of the building.

Alternatively, if the city is of the view that the 1980 parking agreement applies to other than restaurant uses, then just as it was available to Smith \& Hawken in 1995, it is available currently for a different retail use. There has been some suggestion that the 1980 parking agreement is no longer in effect because reciprocal cross easements referenced in that document no longer exist. In fact, regardless of the status of the reciprocal cross easements the parking agreement continues to be a binding covenant running with the land, requiring the owners of Lots 1 and 2 to make their property available for parking. This conclusion is supported by a February 23, 1988, memo signed by Claude Forte, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit F, which does not suggest that the reciprocal easements mentioned in the parking agreement in any way affect the continued existence of the covenant running with the land established by the 1980 parking agreement. Additionally, the city has never released the covenant. If the city considers the parking agreement to still be applicable, then as described in the site plan for the On the Border restaurant, 33 spaces can be provided to satisfy the current required parking for retail operations in the building.

In short, the building official should not withhold a Certificate of Occupancy to use the remaining portion of the building for retail purposes, either because sufficient Delta Credits are currently available to satisfy required parking demand or an existing parking agreement provides sufficient parking to meet required parking needs.
2. If required parking is not met by Delta Credits or the parking agreement, then a parking variance would be appropriate.

Of the 7,397 square feet of space available to lease since Smith \& Hawken left in 2009, only 3,389 square feet has been re-leased. In January of this year, the owner entered into a lease for a retail outlet for Acme Brick that operates under the name Patina. That store opened for
business in mid-August. On display at the store are samples of flooring, tile, and brick for sale. The sales people are also interior designers. The target customer is someone who is seeking help in choosing and buying materials used in remodeling. Customers visit with one of the designers to discuss what type of materials might best meet their needs. The customer then selects from available samples and makes a purchase.

Given the nature of the retail operation, it was expected that the amount of car traffic generated by this store would be limited. The store is not by itself a destination, like a big box retailer. Its retail operations seem to complement other retail stores in the area, such as Weirs, Crate and Barrel, Pottery Barn, and Restoration Hardware. A recent parking survey conducted during the hours the store was opened from Friday, September 23, 2011, through Wednesday, September 28, 2011, supports this conclusion. During those six days, the average hourly parking demand was 3 cars (and that includes employees) and the hourly parking demand ranged from 1 car to 6 cars.

The store has also determined that a number of its customers are walk-ins in the sense that they have parked at another location to visit a restaurant or another store in the area, leave their car parked and walked to this store. For instance, over a four day period 74 customers visited the store, but only 20 cars parked behind the store during the same period and that total included employees. Given the proximity of the store to the Park Cities, as well as to local condominiums and townhomes, the adjacent Katy Trail, and retail stores it compliments, it is not surprising that a number of customers walk to the store from their homes or after first visiting other stores.

Included in the application is a parking layout that would allow, consistent with city requirements for size, configuration, and use of compact spaces, the 18 current spaces to be increased to 23 spaces. Using the average hourly parking demand of three spaces determined by the survey means that 20 spaces would be available for a retail use in the remaining 4,008 square feet of the building. Those 20 spaces exceed the required parking for such a retail use.

Reconfiguring the parking to provide 23 spaces would also provide more parking than was available during the 14 years that Smith \& Hawken was located at the building. During that time, Smith \& Hawken was unable to use any of the area on Lots 1 and 2 for parking and, therefore, had at most 18 spaces for its customers. During those 14 years, there were no complaints to the city of Dallas about a lack of parking associated with the Smith \& Hawken store.

If the parking variance were to be granted, it would provide more parking than was available for a 14 year period without complaint. It would also insure that required parking is provided for half the building, recognizing that the use in the other half has demonstrated a parking demand significantly less than the required parking set forth in the Development Code.

Without this variance, it may not be possible to lease the other half of the building. The owner has talked with surrounding property owners, none of whom have exhibited any interest in
making space available at any price for parking that could be included in a parking agreement. If the other half of the building cannot be leased, the value of the building will necessarily drop, meaning a loss of property tax and without any retail operation no sales tax will be generated and new jobs will not be generated.

I hope the foregoing has been helpful. If you should have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.


JBH/tkh
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## Exhibit B



STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OE DALJAS

5 5

WHEREAS, Mariano's Restaurants, Inc., hereinafter referred to as "Applicant", has filed an application with the builuing orficial of the City of Dallas, Texas, for a building permit and certificate of occupancy in order to refurbish and renovate an existing buildiny to be used for restaurant purposes; and

WHEREAS. Applicant desires to implement said use in the eastern portion of that building located on Lot 3 in ciaty Block 5/1538, Cockrell Fairland Addition, which is located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Tract $\lambda$ "; and

WHEREAS, Article $X X$, CHAPTER 51, "COHPREMERSIVE GENERAL. ZONING ORDINANCE", of the Dallas City Coulu, as amondwl. ravuires a specific number of off-streel parking spaces to bo provided ror the above described use on Tract A; and

WHEREAS, the Estate of Mary Victor Keatinc, Hereinafter referred to as "Keating", owns Lot 4 in city BLock $5 / 1538$, in thic Cockrell Fairland Addition, whicl is lucuted in thu cit $\because$ of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to is "Tract B": and

WHEREAS, the I. Jalonick Estate, hereinafter referied to as "Jalonick", owns that property which is describied on Eximibit A, which is attached horeto and made a part herwof for all purposes, which is located in the city of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and is hereinafter referred to as "Pract c"; and

HHEREAS, Aeplicant desixes to use Tracts is anci $C$ in order to satisfy, in whole or in part, the above described parking recuirements for Tract $A$; and

```
-1-
```

801271392

Exhibit D


WHEREAS, Keating and Jalonick have entered into a Grant of Reciprocal Easement Agreement to provide parking on Tracts $B$ and $C$ for the benefit of Tract $A$, such grant being for a period of twenty-one years beginning on Harch 24, I980 and terminating on Harch 23, 2001 . now therefore,

KNOW ALL MEN BY TUISE !RESENTS:
That Applicant, Keitin' and jalonick, for and in consideration of the City of Dallas i;rantius to Mpplicant a building iermit and certificate of uccupanc: in owder that Tract $\lambda$ way bu w:ad for the above described usc as minind in article xX, candrti 51.
 amended, do hereby ayrae to the folluwing:
(1) Tracts 8 and $C$ will only be unced \{or parkinus in
connection with the above de seril ded $11: c$ of Troct $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{F}}$
 other than parking:
(3) This instranint $i$ a covionnt ruminu with tite land as: to Tracts $B$ and $C$;
(d) Ihe city of billu: may rovoke Aiplificant's Cerifificate

 terminated or rescinded for $\because$ : $\because$ : wosion; and
(b) Apriticail. dors nost provide othor off-striat parking spaces in accordance with i: t.ict.. NX of the Comprehensive Guneral . Zoning Ordinance of the Cit ot '3allas:
(5) This instrunanh sitnll ciase w lwelfective (nly uron
 signed by the Bujidinç offininal withe city of Dallas, fuxas: or his designco, releasing the if formen: iomer covenunts:





$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\because & \therefore \\
. \because \\
\ddots & \ddots \\
\vdots & : \ddots
\end{array}
$$
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MARIANOES INC．


ESTATE OF MARY VICTOR SEATING

by：


STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF DALLAS

5
§

EERORE ME，the undersigned authority，on this day personally appeared Marion Martins $\qquad$ －President of Mariano＇s．Inc． know to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the above known to me to be fore ing instrument，who，having been by me duly sworn，stated on his oath that heist the President of Mariano＇s，Incur is authorized to make this affidavit，and that he signed the above and foregoing instrument for the purposes and consideration and in the capacity therein expressed．
$\because$
GIVEN UNDER FY Y HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the $\qquad$ day 9 M kacricy 1980.


My Commission Expires：
$6-8-80$

STATEOETEXAS N $\llcorner$ Rzerfif s
coUnty op patinas（ittumizews
BEFORE M倍，the undersigned authority，on this day personally

of the Estate of Mary victor heating thant fo me bor the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged Lo roe that the same was the act of the said ESTATE OF MARY VICTOR
axdernks，and theft he executed the same as the act of such Estate for vhf purposes fid consideration therein expressed and in the capacity
therein stater

$\qquad$ ． 1980.


## 2

BEFORE NE, the undursigned authority. on this day personally appeared of the $I$. JALONICK ESTATE, known to me to be the person and elexeter whose name is subscribed to the forecoing instrunent and acknowledged to me that the same was the act ol the said I. JALONICK ESTATE, and that he executed the same as the act of such 1. JALONICK ESTA'NE FOI the purposes and consideration thervin expre-sed and in the capacity therein stated.
of Mont Gryen under . 1980.


My Epmissioni Bxpires:
My Eqgmission pxpires:

:



BDA 101-095 \& 096 Attach C
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Being hots 3 \& 4 of Block slis38 of Cockrofly Fairland Add: ion : 二ni=a part of Lot:lat a of said
 Being deser.bida as follows

Beginnin) ${ }^{\text {ti }}$ the Southest cornor of hat 2 .
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RE: $3300-3308$ 瓜者x Stet
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 tou, bigersep, why
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BDA 101-095 \& 096
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DATE :
February 23, 1988
$R E:$
Parking Agrement for 300 Biock of
Koox on the Box

Oven





 underlying facts and documentation setthe the Dallas file.





 May I; 1980, the ownew of the keting ano anonted poper ties enterea into a parking ageenent wherey the oftsteet parking spaces located on the rear of Lots I and 2 and Lot 4 buileded Tracts $B$ \& Ci could only be used for parking for the ruilding locatec on Lot 3 (labeIed wract A) which was to be perurished and renovated for restannant, pureoser mhis the Deed Records was approve bythe city aredey dee in met the off-street pased, on that ageement the reveamant


 parking agrementruacts bind 6

 right to use any of the pating spoes hoodee behtha any of the strectares in the 300 book of Know The ony parking aratabe for the retale uses $10 c a t e d$ at $330-336$ Khor are the ontstet pathig spaces located directirn fromt o those retail uses: Even though those retand uses do not provide any offstreet parsing they are leqat non-conforming uses although, nowe could be comerter to a more intenstoe hse without meeting the requatementsonthendeqoment Code
with regaca, to off-street parking. Based on the information in the files of the oty of Daldos there is motbsta fox the
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 NEA

.hair of. Lot 25, and weot onehale of Lot 26, Bloak $77, o c o o r d i n e$ to Murphy \& Bolanz! orfiainl farg of the oity of belles, Texas, improvad by : 2 atory briok building, $50 \times 90$ fost, known an -1603-5t Commarae streat.

Dollas, desorlbed as beginning at a point on the acutheast ilne or kia sireat, 50 reat north 75 'east, from the intersection of the aouthesat ing of gla street with the northeust line of Xurphy street;

Thenoe south 15 east ot right anglas with Blm Streat 100 reat;
Thenoe south 75 wost and parallel witif gla Street 42 feet;
Thenoe north 15 west, of. Fieht anglea with Elm Street 100 reat to pleae of beginalig, improvad by 3 story bsick buildine, $42 \times 100$ feot on ground rioor, about $42 \times 85$ ceat on third and fourth Ploors, knopar os 1204-6, glm Street;
(3) Lots 3 and 4, Blook 1538 scoording to tho orrioisl mep of the city of Delles, being oorier Knox and Trevis Streatis,improved by one staxy brick building;ixonting on Knox Straet, obout 80 feat, and about 60 feat in depth, divided into three roome, also amell frame oottage about five rooms, on Travis Streat;
(4) Lots 16,17 and 28, glock 197 , secording to oftioial map of the city of Dalles, eaoh lot $50 \times 225$ feat, and being corner Taylor and walton Streats, inproved by 3 Irame cotteges, sbout ilve rooms each.
(5),10 beres situate in the Gourty of Stephens, state of Dklahpar, and belog
 singular, the Fighta and eppurtenances thereunto belonfing,or in any wisa appertuining, sad the
 Truatee, and to its succesaors or substitites in this Truat, and to its or their easigns forever, and rruator doos heraby bind bergelf, her heirs ond mas gna, ta warrunc and forover dereme, the above described resi property unto the said Trustereits aucotssors ond substitutea in thia Trust, and to its and their assigns forever,against any and all persons iawrully oloiming or to olein the bage or any port chereor.

The eforessid property, both real and personal, atali be held by Trustes, in truat, upan tas terms hereln set forth;

The rruat heraby oreated, shall be mown tit the msiry V-Kenting Trust".

## Artiale A:

Distribution of Inacme and Corpua.
 Wright, the daughter or Trustor(hereinarter onlled "inatherinerl, opd or wory Holen clonard usight,
 certain other persone and organizetions, harainarter deingatiods.
eatute, in acoordunge with the rolionlas direationat
\{0\} The rrustace ahull pay to Truptor, during her ilfo, all the net inoome from the
 paymonos, on tise $2 B t h$ toy of usoh month.
(b) Upon the death or Truntor, If ahe in arvived by Kothorine, the ontre not dnooro oholi be poid to ald Ratherine, durlag hor lire, mith thi privilage to ald kethorine, to add any portion or said ingoms to the oorpue or thia trubt aetote, and ony sadielone ao mode, ahell begome and ramsin a part of asid oorpus.
(o) Upon the doath of Kotherino, after the death of reustoxpor if mrustor ahpll surpive Katherinesthen upan tha dath or Truator,if there ahell be limeal desoenderta of Katherine
 dosoendents or auld Katherlne, amplving rrom time to time, until the sorainotion or this trust, as herginafter provided, so thet equal shorea sholl be paid as between ilving ohildren of asid Kathorina, und the lasue from tima to time surviving any ohlld then deobasd or therearter dying,
 wauld heye token ic living, In the event that any benerialary hereunder, ahould reoeive the benofita or subparagraph (d) or this Artiole, then ond in thet avent, tha corpua of the trust eatuto, may be divided into as many separate truat eatates, as there are grand-abildrun, or the Truator, ilving, at thettime and $/ 0$ deopased grandohildren tien represented by issue or auoh deoessed grandohild or grondehlldren, in suoh $a$ way that in case of the neoossity of diviaion, the ageregate of the principuls of the varlous trusta, shall during the life or this trust, oontinue to be divided, per atirpes, for the benarit of Natherinete lineal descendents survivine from,time to time, subjeat, however, to any deduotions from corpus (purauant to subparagraph (d), or this Article) and also subjen: to uny varisice which mey be oreated through appreciation or depreotation in volue of securities or other gasets comprising the respective trust skares. In the setting up of said segrate trustis, the Trustee is direoted to allocate suoh seaurities and other assets among the various trusts, in such mey, that, as far us gossible, the gala trust ostates may be subject to approximately the mane opprecistion or dapreciotion crom time to time.
(d) If in the absoluti concrol wad disoretion of the Jrustee, the Income herefrom

 necessity, the Tristes may, and it is hereby enyowered and uuthorized, but it shail in no event be required to do so, to pay or/use opply or expend for the use and benefit of swoh benoficiary, such portion of tae priseipal or sold trust or trusts then appliceble to the production or income for sutit benerioisry, ss said Trustee in $2 t E$ bbsolute disoretion may determine to be odequate to proride for such benerician during ouch poriod or pesiods.
(e) If uny beneficiary entitled to recelve incono hereunder,is a minor, the Trustee is outhorized to aske paymenta thereor, to the eubrdion or the oatate of such beneficiary during such minority, or if there is then no giderisn of the estate of such minor, the Truatee shall

Withhold the payaens of said locome, until theze sholi be mpointec a guardion of aid minoris eetete; provicied, hoverer, thet pending the appointagit of any such guardianthe Trustes mey in its oiscretion, advence to the custodion of such minor;or pay for sald minor'm benefit, such amounts as axy be aecessan for the neads and uses of said minor,pending such appointicent, itse lruateo
 to whom such runcia sksil heve been pald,
(f) Durlicis the tiae thet the corpus or eny pari thereof is beld io trust by Truste, po bepefioiary shall heve the right or power, to enticipste his or her share, of elther corpus fr incoma , or sell, nasign,moztgege, pledge or otherwise dispose of or encumber his or her shere of
 ingome of oorpua or any part of elthor , bo Ilable for nosd binerlafary's dabis or bo.


 sala, abalganont, pledge or other diaposition, and in aplto of any suoh attompt or nttiohmant, gerniohmant, exeoution, aresitor's bill or othar logel or equitable proooze.
 far as it mey be praotipable to do so.
(a) This trust aholl nontinue for a parlou or twanty(20) yours, ofter the death
 subjeot, however, to tho provision, that this trust shail in no event terminate, durinf tha life of : Sther ryustor or the abid Katherinc. Upon the oxplration on the pariod of twonty(20 jyegra, efter the death of sald hiany Heien, or upon the death or gurvipor or Trustor, or said Kutherine, whioheyar ahail lest ooour, this trust almall terminate; and the principul or oorpus thereor, togetiser with oll undigtributed acomulations of not inoome thereon, shall be conveyod, tranaferçed,oselgned and pald ovar Dy Trustea, absolutely and in reo siaple, unto the then Ifving linasl desaandants of Katherine, par stirpas, and not par oapite, or, in asee the corpus harear has been dioided as provided in sub-paregraph (o) or this Article,then the oorpus of the varlous truats, shall be oonveged, tronarerred, essigned ond paid over by the Trustee, obsolutely and in ree aimple, per atirpas unto the respeative izaeal descendants or Xotharine, whe Immediately preceding the termination of this trust, wore entitled to received the incone therefrom.
(i) Ir,however, upon the death of tho survivor of Trustor, and said Katherine, mhlchever ohall lest ooour, or to any time thereafter, there pholl be a fallure of lineal desandants of Katherine to take therounder, then this trust ahall terminate and the Trustae chsil thereupon diatribute the eorpus and ell eocumulations of. aet income thereon, in the following menner:
(1). Trastee shail pay the rollowing persons the following amounts:
(A) .To Katherine Jalonickisister of Truatorl now residing in the

Gity or Dallas, stete of Texas; $\$ 10,000.00$
(B) To Grace and George and Cherley Dextar;children of Grece Derter (siater of Trustor) now resising in the City of Dailas, gte te of Texab, sach $\$ 10,000.00$,
$\$ 30,000.00$
(ic) To Jasaia, feloiso and Agnes Keating(thret duphtars. of Sdased Keating)all now residing in the city of Toronto, ontario, cansde, $\$ 3,000.00$,eath.
\$ $9,000.00$
(D) To Anale o'donnell, nurse, now residing in the city of

Lon nageles, State or celifornis

* 1,000.00
(E) To Mresinlizabeth Snodgrass, now residitis, in the Gity of - Dallas, state of Texss,
(Fi],To Tere Kating(darghter of willsam Kasting) now



inasce) now rasiding in the city of Dallas, stitic or Texae,

now resiajag in the city of Deilea, Stete of rexss,
(I) To Vemone Slilig Alohevaky, of New York, ond.

 diatrdbute the amount deasgated for much porson co dying, in socordanee with the lawa of desoont and diatribution then in foroe, in the gtate or rexas, to those peraone who under neld lews, woludit hava beon the haire of suoh deongad pernonif he or ahe had died fnatantiy arcer tho happoning of thi gontingenay upon which alah provarty beanme distributable.
(2) Upon the tervination of this truat, as herelnatove provided, and efter the paymant. of the smounta stipulated in aub-parsgrophe $A$ to I, inolusipe, aforeseit, the ontice romainder or : this trust then in the honds of the trustat, ahell be oonveyed, tronsferced, atasignd and pold - over, obsolutely and in res aimple, to the then Board or truateon or Third churoh of christ, Saiantist, or Dellus T Texes, or to the then goveming body or the churoh or chriat, Sojontiat, winion
 their suocessors in trust, shail have, hold and pasaess the proparty so distributed to them, und shilil pay ovar or uan the orppus andor the income thererrom, os they, in their diaaretion, deem beat, for; the ostablishment, eoulpment ond mantensace of a home und day auraery, in or near the gity of Dellus, for young white children, or the Gauosian race, ol any denomination or seot, whioh home shall be aalled "Sunnyside, Ina", provided that if a home for airalar purposa, or of the game oharegter hes been esteblished, prisis to the date of the distribution or property beraunder, and auoh home Is under the management of s governing body,mode up of members of said Ghuroh, or its suogessors appointed exclusiveiy by the Soard of Trustees of said churah or its gucceasorsi,then suoh progerty shall be alstributed to the governing body of the organization, which shell then be maintsining such established home, the corpus and/or income thererrom, to be held opd dispoased of by such goveming body and its suocessors, as they in thoir diacrition, dean best for the promotion of the purposes rov which suck nome wis eatoblished. If the said Third church or chriat, Solentist, or Dallas, Faxis, or a successor therear, be not in exletence, on the date for Alatribution of said groyerty, or if the Bobrd of Trustees or other governing body of ssid Churioh, if its successor, or the governing body of the organization which mey be maintaining the bome as hereinbefere praited, be invilitink or uneble to acoept said property, then the said property shall be transferred,asaizaed and pald over gbsalutely, and in fea slmple, unto the Bobrd of Direotors of the kother ghureh of the Shaistian Sciaice church of Boston, zrasinchusettr, to be uaed as,in its disoretion, may be adviagbla. In the event the remainder of chis Trust eatate is paid over under tha provisions of this paragruph, the lirustee, shall be uniar no obligetion, whetever, to see to the application therear,
(3) Uyon uny distribution of corpua by Trustee,this trust shald thereupon cease and .terainete at to the corpus so distributed.


## AROIVI2 B:

SOKUSDNING TRTST2S:
Trustor bereby authorizes and emponera,Trustee:
2.To sanage, control, conduct and operste the cofplas of this trust or ony part thergot, as it, in its discrecion, may deen to be for the best interesto of the trust astete, and to invest ant from inge to time; reinvest, the saic corpus or any part thereof, in such inveatments as it in Its disoretion,mis deam for the best interosts of the trust eatate, and io collect the rents, rerenuss and income therefrom: The Trustee sholl be authorized to replice or reconstruot ony belonglite buildingfo the truat estste, which ins been destroged by fire or othorwise, provicied, howeverit that:
 then the fanstee shall inxst obtsin the consent in writing te agi such replacement or raconatruotion of the irustor duriag her iffe, and ofter her a eath, of Gatrespe, during her iffe, and after the death of both Trustor and hotherine, and during the life of Vary Helan, of the benefiolagies ther seceiving incoma or ther guxidian, during minority. irter the death or Prustor, Xatherina and kiory

Ifolen, the Pruatee ohyil here ala disoretion in oonnootion with auoh mplecement and raoonabruation. Tha Truatoe hareundor, shall not (axaept in ogane whare if may in the opinion or the rruatea he neoesaury to protect un invastmant then hada in thin trust oatatalpurokaee pand


 then reotving lnoome horwunder, or their gurylana during oinority. This provielon, rowaver, ajisil f not ruatriat or propent the Trustes rran oquirine rand proporis or an laterath thorein, by purohben ut roreolusure gale or otherwise, or by dead or by pay other mathod, without auch
 to proteot the bast intereste or the truat eabote in any invostrinnt hadd horeunder. The
 and retaln why or ull of the property hereby of horearter conveyad or dellvered to the : Trustse by Trustop, ta be held in trust hereunder, in the agac rorm or investreent in whioh said property may existiat the timo revelves by Irwistea, whathir or not suah investmenta are legel
 to be adiasbla, and Tausted shall not be liable for any loss or decrease in value, or property so retalned. The irustes shall keep the reol eatate constituting a port or this erust - estete, inaured against loas rrom rire,tormado or othcr uauel hazurds, in solvent insuranos
 be paid by the rrustae, os a part or the exponsead this trust.
2.To grapt, bargaln, sall and convey, and to assign, transfer, exohenge, mortgage, hypothecetoppladge or loan all or any part of the corpuabe it resi, parsonol or mixad, for auoh oonsideration, as Truatee, in its disoretion, rasy deem adivisable, and to uske, execute, ioknowledge
 ! soid powers of solo, conreyunce, basignemt, trunsrer, exchspye, nortigege, hypothecation, piedge or loum Into orfactiexagt thet Trustoc, sholl not be suthorized to eall, convey, olieniste or oneumber ony real estate held in trust herevirder, mithout rirst ortaining written consent to any auch soie, conraysnoe, wilenution or encumbrance, such congent to be obtolned from Irustor, iurlaf her Lifa, and after her death, izon Katherine, ond urter the death of both Trustor and ketierline, from a mojority (in finumcial sntereat) or those who may then be bereficlaries recaiving income hereumar, or of their guazilans dusire minority. Any and oll seles of oll or any pert of thio oorpus, maj be made by Trustef, et public or private ainle,pe it in ita diacretion mas decm advisable, if pt public aole, upon such notice as it in its dibaration may deam advisable. In the event of the sele of real estate under the provislons of this sub-poregraph, the total oxpense to the trust estote on occount of fees or cominasione in connection with iugh sule, ehall not be more then five percent (5hj or the price at whith said property may be sold. 3.To 2ifigato, dasand, $\operatorname{set} t 2 \mathrm{E}$, compromise or submit to mrbitration, any and all oisputes, suits or controversies, coneering thia trust estate, and the term of any settlement; compromise, adjugtment or award of arbitration, thali be sonolusive upon sil partios at any time interested harein.
4. In regaid to ony property, real, parsonal or mixed, at any time held in trust 'herouncer, Truste abelil here the power to partioipate in any plan or reorganization, inoluaing
 'or with o protective or reorgnization comittee, and to delegate to such comaltee, ilidoretionaryig powers with relation thereto, to pay eny essessoment lefied under auch plan, for tio purpose of ipesing the propdrtionate pert of the expanses of such coraittea. Trustee is rurther authorized to exercise all conversion, subseription, voting and other rights of whatgoever neture, pertaining
to way proporty held in trust hereundor, to pay suoh sume os Trusteo may dean adyiesble, in
ocnneotion therawitin, and to sooapt and ratain(es though raoolvod from otrastor) any new asuritime dailvaroble to $1 t$, ln pursuanoe of uny raarganikation, oonvarsion or subaisiption,
5. Tribitas may at any cino, meke loone or advanoazonts to the truse oseate, and : reoolvo Laterase tharson, at the then praveliling rates, and ahall have a firat end priov 110 a fand charge on the property held in truat, exaept real eatate, to sooure the poyment or any atoh yloon or bdyanosient.
6. Trustee, is furthar authoried ond empowerad to pay any and all taxes,aseasements ond other govarimental oharges, whiloh properly mey bzoome payable from time to time, undar

 :aoonrianoe with the provisions of ajid lass.
7. Trugtiee 1s Purther authorized to piy all other and neoossayy oosts, ohargas, expenses end autloysginaldent to and in conneotion with the administration or management of this trust eatate.
8. ixpoopt es in this egraement otherwise provided, authority is hereby elven to

Frugtes hereunibr, to deternine whother property coming intorgugtes'g postesgion is corpus or ineome, or arould be divided between same, or whether oertein ahgiges ahould be borns by oorpus or incore, or
should ba allooatad botweon same, end in regard thereto, the Trusite gholl make suoh decistons as to it shall aeem wiza and equituble, bind auch deoisions shall bind all parties at any time, interestad nerain.
9. Wheriever srustes is directed to make o disteribution or division of aorpus, euch diatribution or division mey be made in cash or in kind, or portiy in oush and partly in kind, ond the subjootemetter and oomposition or shares thergunder, shall be wholly within Trusteers diseration, aubseot, However, to the provision, that ony beineloiary may requira an individurl oppraizem ment by tiree apraisers, of eny property or seauritiea to be diatributed or divided;one of such appraisers to be seleoted by the $r^{\prime}$ astee, one by the beneifoiary demending auph appraisement, and one by the two thus chosen, ond the resulting pinutionm or suah property or securities, so to be distributed or aivided, ghali be conolusive on ali parties ever faterested herein.
10. Hienever in this deed of trust, outhority is granted to Trustee, to ato or refube to bet upon its diserationgach ootion or rerusgl to act in the exeraise of guch disoretion in geod Isith, siail be rinal snd conclusive upon all parties at my time taterested hereln. Trustae shall
 asid estate, but shail axersige due sud proper core, for its saremkeping and preservationjond shali be lisble for fos negilgence, fraus or breach or trust.
21. \#ne title or interest soquired by ony purchaser, lassee, bssignee, transfaree or ghell be an complete and absolute in every respect, the instrument eridencing any auch 'transaction purports to convey, and no perton shall be required to aee to the legality of Truetets
 assigaee, mortgege or other transferee, shell ever be required to seo to the proper disposal by
 to Trugtea, and when so paid, all parties making much prymenta, ghall be raleased from any and all Finainilty.fon the proper use or disposal thereof, by Trustee.
12. Trustec ahall reap full and ecourate acoounta of oll reccipts, disburatingta
 ferd transaotions, in connection with the proparty heid in trust hereunder, and such records, shell. bo open, to inapaction by eny party interested hervingt his or her request, either peraonsily or

19. Truates, annually, on or about the 28th day or peoinbar of eaoh your, ohall

 the deto of atu tement, and ohowing the opount and ohaconter oi the proporty oonitituting tho trubt, ond a sohodulo of sil rootipta and expenditures during tho parlod aovarod by auol



 mailing o writton notiot by realstared main, or suoh intontion, to the lesteinnown uddrean of fraptor, of in ohe then be dead, to the last-known addrass of bll the benefiolariab than entstlad to receive inooms hereundex, auoh rasienstion to becoma arreobivent the explrylion of elxty days, from the dete of buoh melifng, and before the expination of suoh period, e euooosidr trustee aholl be appointed. During Trustof's life, auoh eppointment shall be made by her, arter Trustor'a desthysuoh appointmant shall be made by the benarlaiarylor by a wajority of tham If there be more than one) then (at the tige of auoh notiga) antitled to reosive ingome hereunder. Such suocesaor 'Prutes, howeyer, shall be a nationsi bank or trust ompany, with
 trusts, any $s$ uocessor Trustee shall have all the powers oonierred upon the origibul yrustee. In the event of the dissolution or Insolvanoy of the Truston or the revocation of ite suthority, on its insbility or rafusal to ast, the above privisions ragarding the appointaent of a auocessor Tanster, ahall obtein.
15. Any nationsl bank or trust company to whioh any Trusten, orieinsi or suooessor, may be converted or merged, or with whiah it may be oonsoistated, or any national benk or trust compuny resulting trom any converting merger or copsolidation to which suah prustee may be a party, shall be the suocessor Trustes hereunder, without the execution or filing of any peperg, of any rurther bet on the part of any Trustee hereunderaprovided almaya, that such suooessor Institution shall be tbla ond willing to sooept this truat and execute the tarms thereor.
16. Yhenerer Income, or ang part thereot, is In property other thon cash, guch

Inoome may in Trutites diberetion, be paid to beneizaiofios, either in kind, or aold by. Tristae
 to do any anc oll things necessery or proper, to effect any such sule or sales, and no such purcheser ghell bs yenulred zo see to the ragularity or the rivatee's oction in making any auch sale or to the mppliention by the sunstes, of the prooests thereor.
17. Where Trustee 18 given the power to invest and reiavest all or any part of the decumulatlone of income, such powes shell include any and sli powers granted to. Trustee fn this Astiala, ond where Toustea is directad to meke certula aocumulotions from incone, and is Futhorized to inveat and reispest the seme, such sacumulations up to one Thousand inolisis

 Frust shisen, acoumulations up to such amount for each auoh share, my be kept in an ondingry sevings sccount.
18. Jpon the eistrikution ai the corpus of this estate by the Trusteo.this truat

19. The Trustae heretader, shsil be paid the folloving pompengation for its services: Dereundor:

(b) An annual fee of four peroent (48) of the enausl gross inoome colleated hereun-
 truat, to dute of paymant.Therebrtar, nuoh payment shall be oomputad on the basis of gross Inoome ool2eated during the siz $(0)$ montha' poriod precoding the tima of paymant, in the evant or" comelnation or this truat, betwoon anid payment datoa, buah reo shull bo oompatad upon broes inoore oollaoiod from the last praceding poyment dote, to the date of terminetion.
(o) Upon the distribution or ony pert or the oorpus, on terminution or the trust, In raspat to suoh proparty, a am equal to oneminlt of one poroent of the fodr kisket value of the oorbus ao distributed.
(d) In the ovent the hzustee herounder, mey be ahongad, in gooordance with the provisions of puregraph 5 , of Artiols $C$, or this aureement, it ioe or one-hals of one parcent, of the rair market value of the gorpua, et the time of auth ohange, unlara, howaver, such allange is nede for good and ancfioient ouluse, arising out or tile adminlatretion of the orugt by the rruaterin whioh ovant, a res of one Kundxad Dolzars ( $\$ 200.00$ ), shall be pold. In the avent thera should arlse - dispute, as to the arount or the fae to be poid under this parugraph, then the truatea,ia authorized to retain the greater smount, until some oourt or cospotent jurisdiation aboil detarnine the amount of foe due under this sub-paragroph.

No cherze日, exoent those ebove mentloned, shall be made ego inst the trugt estato ror keeping its acoounta, raking out income tax returns, the oollaction of rents or for the cuprent services of the Trustee's regular albaled orficers or einployes,

AFTICLE $C$.
CONGERJIN: TROSTOR WD BENEPTCIARISE,
1.Trustor, decleres this trust to be irrevocsble arter its eocoptenoe by the Trustecano part of the corjus of the trast astate, shall be mithiram by the Truator, exaept og provided in sub-peregrspb (d) or Article $A$. The $\mathrm{I}_{\text {risator or ony benerialary hereunder, shall, }}$ however, have the rifit to take, receive or ocsept less then the rull soount of income, windali may be due such benefiaiary, at any porticular tiae, ond in such event, the gmont of incoas not takon, recelved or accepted, shail by the Irastee, be turned into the corpus, for the benarit or the benoficisyy so railing to toke, recelve or occept suah Income, ond scoh bobetioiary shell be entitled to recelve the incoze derived therofros. The title to such eccurulstious, zhall pess alone with the said oorpus.
2. Irustor, however, reacrvet the ficht to nodify, anend of altez, the jrovisloas of this erustifinsjefor as such grovisions may spply to the disposition of principal or corpus, to . the extent of swenty-ifive Thousand iollara, $\$ 25,000.00$, in fols volue, in the event chis truat shalitesminite, rolioning the desths of Trustor end Fatherine, by reason of the fallure of ilnell degcendunts of hatherine, the richt reserved, hovever, to be subjeat to the provision, that the powers, duties ond liabilities, of the Truatee hereunder, shali not be aubatantislly increased, nor: ites rights decreased without its written consent. wy such modifiestion, amendment or alteration, ghall be made by wititen instrument, alegned by the trustor, and shall become erfective upon delivers or such listrugent in writing, to Irustee, unlebs rrustep's oonsent thereto is required, in which event, it shail becone effeotive upon execution of writien cansent tianeto, by rrustee.
3. Prastor reaerves the rigit to onvey ond dellyer zo Trustet, to te heid undir
 ss she may daire, and an may be scoptable to the Trustee.
4. From ond after the death of the Irustor, this trust shall not be subject. .

خo modirication, amendment or olteration, in any menner, by, any peraon or parsons, exiept, however, the baneficiarg or benefioiacies as heraingitor groviden, mey at.apy time, ohange the Truatee of the
 Pg 41 reaim.
3. If, at uny tine, dupling the terra or this truat, the poyson to whan the inamo
le payobie, (ar if nore thin one, thion a majorlty or the pornona to whom the intome in paynble,
 to ohange the Truatog of this truat estate, than suah pierson or persone, ney in writing, nome
 os core, to act as truateo of the truat property, and aixty(60) daya aftar rooelpt of notion, in
writing or tha denignation or a new thrustee, the tunk or trust oompany, then Trunteo, ulder this truat, bhall tranarer, besign and oonvey the trust property to the bonk or trust donpony so
 eat en muatee upon the truate horeln created, and hold and ponage the trubt projerty in ogaordanae with all the provistone hereor.
6. Wheraper any benerialories hersunder, while undar the seg or twantymons (21)
: gears, have ony righte under thla inatrement, such Flghte may be axerolaged in their beholf by thelr legelis appointed guardien or guardians, without the neoessity or seauring the opproval or nay cour thereta, unlesa teid spproval la apecifiosily required by the statutes of the state of Texbs.
anricis D
MISCELLANSOUS
2. The truat hereby arooted ahall be deamad e Texas trust, and ahsil in all
'respects be governed by the laws or the Stite or Toxes.
2. Bherever in thie instruaent, on otteapt $1 a$ made to oraste on eotute, ond auch
attempt shall be held to be of no arfect,boasuee or the vagueneas or inoortainty of the termis of this instrument, or beosuse of the violstion or the rule ogeinst pergetuities, or beosuse of e restralint on olfenationa, the catote or astotes concfingent on the estote so ottomptod to be cronted, shell not rail, but shall vest imaedistoly upon the fallure of guch sttempted proceding estate.

3-All ordinary expansen, frourred in connection with the trust estate or with
ita adninistration ond monsgament, including regularlz rocurring toxes asgessed agoinst any portion of the corpua, os well as income texesioxespt lnoome texes esseased on the sale of other dxaposition by Trustee or all or part oroorpual, water renty, inxurance prentum, intarest on mortgeges on the corpus, ordinery repairs, Trustec'a oompensation, court oosta and oounsel's rees, shall be pald out of insome, uniess,in the opinion or rrabtee, one or more or the above itens,aholl st any tine amount to sn extreordinary expense or an excessive burden upon income, in mhion cose, Trustee may charge to corpus, such purt of such expense, as it rigy dema wise. Iroone tanea essessod on the bale or other idsposition by Trustes, of all or part of corpun, iond oll disbursemente deemed by Trubtee, to be fcr pormenant improvements to property, held es part or the corpus, as well as apeciul benarit or improvemant taxas or assesscents, ahsill be pold out of corpus.
L. acomut interest on bonds or debenturas purohased between the detes at ahich Interest thereon, is payable, bhall be charged to incomeg bid where bonda or debentares are sold between such getes, such accrued interest whall be oradited to incone. Trustes, gheli

 principel amotat so reosived by Trustée, shall be cozpuss and where bonds or debentures purongsid ; to dispount, are sold by Trusten; the oonsidaration receited from such salefsiter crediting to ! Income, siny intarast gccrued thereon, ist the time of auch saiel shall likewise be corpus. fhere bonds ör debentures are called for redmatotion before noturity, and a premive is pald by the
 fatook, ahald beoma p pist of the ooxpuo or the aistate.
!. . 5. The rruates, da diraoted to pay out of oorpua, if and.when dua, any and all
 -asigaed and paysbla, in oannoction with tho oarpua or any part theroor.
6. The Trugtee hereby oocapts the truat hereby arented and agraea to exeauto tha'
feare in sooordanoe with tha terina heraor,
$\cdot$

 oorporate seal to be impreased herion, thls l7th dey of inguat 2932:In dupllote.
kARY VICTORIA KSMIINE,TRUSTOR:
EIRST HATIOMLI BiNK IN DadLas BY: M.A.OLEstan, vtcz piksidurit:

AITEST: J.S. TATSETKL

STAE OF LASSALHESETAS:

## SS



 tetereir expressed, and in tite canucity therein stated.
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STinis ex MExis:
55



 tect =: exhe cozporntion.


DALLRTS BOMTY,TEXAS.
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 "atet sorth.

CARROLI A. BERASE, NOTARY FTBLIC
I. 5.

CODATY OF BARNSTABLE, STATE OF NASSACHUSEITS

THES STITE OF TEXAS:

6789-- 3.75
SYLVAN LUNG GT UX : THE STATS OE TEXAS
TO: PARTIAL RELEASE OE JUCONETI LITA:
C.R.AKDING

ENOH ALH NEN SY TIESES PRESEATS:
: coling of bexar :

That maraision the 16 th day of June 1936, in the Diatrict Court or Dellas CountyIn and for the 68th Juaicial Distriat of Fexas,in, aertein osuse,styled"Sylvan Lang of ux, FB ,
 Fendantin Judgment, judgrent in the aur of $\mathbf{7 6 6 2 . 5 0 ,}$ togethor with costs of sult ond interest from suah day, ot the rate of 6\% par onnuman abatract of which judpaent was placed ond now appesis of record in Vol:55,page 23E, of the Jucigent reoords of Dsilos County, Texas, whioh record is made a part hereoryund referedce is here mode to the same, for better descriotion of such judgrent, ond
 Judgment, and -t'.e purties entitied tarefte payment thereori, ond

 suct pajmant, to releose silis Judgaent lien, insorar as it ifiects certain hereinarter desoribad property.
 prewiecs,to hereby release unto Eakinding.his helrs and asaikns roreveryany and ell liens heretofore existirg by reason of said judgant;or of sald racoza, upon the rollowing described
 occording to the offlefal mop of the City of Dallas,and being more particularly desaribed by metes ond bounca, al zollows: begiving at o stoke in the southeest line of Geder Sprines Street, 62 reet porthmest of the intersection or said line of cedar Springs Street, with the northwest line or Sale Streat;

Thence north 47 degrees 30 minutes west, along the souttwest line of Ceder Springs Streat, 62 teet,ixore or less, to oorner of Mre.M.J.Claric's lot;

Thence bouth 45 degress west, with said lara.Clarx's lotia distance or 164 feat - more or less, to o corner;

Thence south 46 degrees 15 minute east 62 feet more or less, to the west oorger for a lot conveyed by g.G.Knight to Mrs.s.x. Lovigeigh;











## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: __ Miguel Castillo
Date: Friday - 09/23/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $12: 00$ | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $1: 00$ | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $7: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: Miguel Castillo
Date: Saturday - 09/24/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $12: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $4: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

RPZ Valet Officer Name: _ Miguel Castillo
Date: Sunday - 09/25/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $5: 00$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

RPZ Valet Officer Name: Miguel Castillo
Date: Monday - 09/26/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $12: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $7: 00$ | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: _ Miguel Castillo
Date: Tuesday - 09/27/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $11: 00$ | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $12: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $2: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $7: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3300 Knox Parking Report

RPZ Valet Officer Name: Miguel Castillo
Date: Wednesday - 09/28/11

| Time | Number of Employees | Number of Customers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $11: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $12: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $1: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $2: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $7: 00$ | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |







## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: __ Friday - 09/23/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 0 |
| $11: 00$ | 1 |
| $12: 00$ | 3 |
| $1: 00$ | 2 |
| $2: 00$ | 1 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 1 |
| $5: 00$ | 0 |
| $6: 00$ | 2 |
|  |  |
| Total: | 12 |

## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: _ Saturday - 09/24/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10: 00$ | 3 |
| $11: 00$ | 0 |
| $12: 00$ | 11 |
| $1: 00$ | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 6 |
| $3: 00$ | 2 |
| $4: 00$ | 8 |
|  |  |
| Total: | 34 |

## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: $\quad$ Sunday - 09/25/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1: 00$ | 8 |
| $2: 00$ | 1 |
| $3: 00$ | 3 |
|  |  |
| Total: | 12 |

## Patina Daily Activity Log - Dallas Store

Date: $\quad$ Monday - 09/26/11

| Time | Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $11: 00$ | 1 |
| $12: 00$ | 0 |
| $1: 00$ | 4 |
| $2: 00$ | 3 |
| $3: 00$ | 4 |
| $4: 00$ | 3 |
| $5: 00$ | 1 |
|  | 16 |
| Total: |  |

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



[^1]Note to Applicant: If the relief requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, said permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the fingligetion of the Board, unless the



Affidavit
Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared celpatkest whenpald who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to fisher best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principalor authorized representative of the subject property.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $10 \angle C$ day of

(Rev. 08-20-09)


Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that Elizabeth McDonald
represented by Thompson \& Knight
did submit a request for a variance to the parking regulations
at 3300 Knox Street

BDA101-096. Application of Elizabeth McDonald represented by James Harris of Thompson \& Knight for a variance to the parking regulations at 3300 Knox Street. This property is more fully described as lots 3 \& 4 in city block $\mathrm{S} / 1538$ and is zoned PD-193 (LC), which requires parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a nonresidential structure for general merchandise or food store less than 3500 square feet uses and provide 23 of the required 34 parking spaces, which will require an 11 space variance to the parking regulation.

Sincerely,




## Notification List of Property Owners

## BDA101-096

## 56 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3300 | KNOX |
| 2 | 3229 | KNOX |
| 3 | 3219 | KNOX |
| 4 | 4535 | TRAVIS |
| 5 | 3311 | KNOX |
| 6 | 3313 | KNOX |
| 7 | 3230 | KNOX |
| 8 | 4647 | COLE |
| 9 | 8008 | ELAM |
| 10 | 4700 | ABBOTT |
| 11 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 12 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 13 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 14 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 15 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 16 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 17 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 18 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 19 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 20 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 21 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 22 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 23 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 24 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 25 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 26 | 4611 | TRAVIS |

## Owner

KEATING MARY VICTORIA \% SUN TRUST BANK A WEIR J RAY TRUST \& WEIR INVESTMENTS LP WEIR J RAY TRUST

KNOX STREET VILLAGE HOLDINGS INC
KNOX TRAVIS HOLDING COMPANY LLC
KNOX STREET VILLAGE INC SUITE 400
KNOX STREET VILLAGE I INC SUITE 400
CIM/4649 COLE AVENUE LP SUITE 900
DART
JACOBS ESTHER \& ESTHER JACOBS TRUSTEE
WARREN ANN MARIE
HALL TRAVIS AT KNOX LLC \% ATTN: DONALD L
RICHARDSON MICHAEL \#PH3
KHOSHNOUDI AHMAD \& FARIMAH
OLIVER JAMES L
MITCHELL THEODORE C
SATTLER SHELLA
WILSON DAVID L \& REBECCA S
BRAUN DAVID
FISHER CRAIG S
BRAUN DONALD L \& DEBORAH A
BRAUN DONALD L \& DEBORAH A
ALHAZIM DINA
COLEMAN CAROLYN M
YIN RAY CHENGCHI \& NANYI AGNES YIN
SANDERS BOWLBY DEBRA LYNN

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 28 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 29 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 30 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 31 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 32 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 33 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 34 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 35 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 36 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 37 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 38 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 39 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 40 | 4611 | TRAVIS |
| 41 | 4614 | ABBOTT |
| 42 | 4616 | ABBOTT |
| 43 | 4618 | ABBOTT |
| 44 | 4612 | ABBOTT |
| 45 | 4622 | ABBOTT |
| 46 | 4624 | ABBOTT |
| 47 | 4626 | ABBOTT |
| 48 | 4620 | ABBOTT |
| 49 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 50 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 51 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 52 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 53 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 54 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 55 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
| 56 | 4608 | ABBOTT |
|  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |

## Owner

808B LLC
MCKAY LINDSEY
MOONEY DIANE C \& JOHN T
TOUCHY JAMES QUENTON UNIT 1104B
YOUNG EUGENE \& FAYE \#1107B
HAYDEN LANE \& MARY JEAN
ROSS WORTH W
MOONEY STEPHEN M
COUCH CONNIE L
MORENO MAURICIO A
AVERA INVESTMENTS INC
MESKIN LAURENCE
SEAL LARRY ELLIOT \% KAY KOH
SEAY STEPHEN M FOUNDATION
KNIGHT JOYCE A
SHEPHERD PHILLIP
HOCHBERG CLAUDIA MERLE TR BLDG A UNIT B2
WESTBROOK J P \& KATHRYN
ROTE FRANK CLAYTON
BEIS MELANIE K BLDG B UNIT B3
GIBBONS MOLLY JANE
PIKE WILLIAM R
STREBEL DOUGLAS W \& LEE B D
SBLC MASTER FAMILY LTD PS
HIGHLAND GATES ON KATHY TR LLC \% JD WEST
AVREA DARREN \& AVREA SANDRA
RALSTON BENJAMIN P \& BARBARA P RALSTON
MCKNIGHT WILLIAM D \& CYNTHIA S
MONSALVE MIGUEL A
YARBROUGH DOUGLAS EUGENE \& LAURA
ANDERSO


[^0]:    $;$

[^1]:    Application is now made to the Honorable Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described request for the following reason:
    Property operated with 18 spaces for many years. Revised site
    plan with more efficient layout has added five spaces. 34 spaces required. Site now contains 23 spaces.

