# NOTICE FOR POSTING 

MEETING OF
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT, PANEL B
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2014

| Briefing: | 11:30 A.M. | L1FN CONFERENCE CENTER AUDITORIUM |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Public Hearing: | 1:00 P.M. | L1FN CONFERENCE CENTER AUDITORIUM |

Purpose: To take action on the attached agenda, which contains the following:

1. Zoning Board of Adjustment appeals of cases the Building Official has denied.
2. And any other business which may come before this body and is listed on the agenda.
*All meeting rooms and chambers are located in Dallas City Hall, 1500 Marilla, Dallas, Texas 75201

| BRIEFING | ROOM LIFN CONFERENCE CENTER AUDITORIUM 1500 MARILLA STREET | 11:30 A.M. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUBLIC HEARING | ROOM L1FN CONFERENCE CENTER AUDITORIUM 1500 MARILLA STREET | 1:00 P.M. |
|  | Neva Dean, Interim Assistant Director Steve Long, Board Administrator |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS ITEM |  |  |
|  | Approval of the Wednesday, January 22, 2014 Board of Adjustment Public Hearing Minutes | M1 |
| UNCONTESTED CASES |  |  |
| BDA 134-009 | 4202 Bretton Bay Lane <br> REQUEST: Application of Michael Spero for special exceptions to the fence height and visual obstruction regulations | 1 |
| BDA 134-016 | 6520 Kenwood Avenue <br> REQUEST: Application of Philip Charles Piccola for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations for a carport | 2 |
| REGULAR CASE |  |  |
| BDA 134-019 | 6965 Abbey Court <br> REQUEST: Application of Lou Olerio, represented by Steven Wood, for a special exception to the fence height regulations and a variance to the front yard setback regulations | 3 |

## EXECUTIVE SESSION NOTICE

The Commission/Board may hold a closed executive session regarding any item on this agenda when:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the Commission/Board under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a compliant or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.086]
(Rev. 6-24-12)

## MISCELLANEOUS ITEM NO. 1

To approve the Board of Adjustment Panel B January 22, 2014 public hearing minutes.

## FILE NUMBER: BDA 134-009

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Michael Spero for special exceptions to the fence height and visual obstruction regulations at 4202 Bretton Bay Lane. This property is more fully described as Lot 1, Block C/8705, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a 20 foot visibility triangle at drive approaches. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a 7 foot 6 inch high fence which will require a special exception of 3 feet 6 inches to the fence height regulations, and to locate/maintain items in required visibility triangles, which will require special exceptions to the visual obstruction regulations.

| LOCATION: | 4202 Bretton Bay Lane |
| :--- | :--- |
| APPLICANT: | Michael Spero |

## REQUESTS:

The following appeals have been made on a site that is currently developed with a single family home/use:

1. A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations of $3^{\prime} 6$ " is made in conjunction with maintaining a 6' high open iron picket fence with 6' 4 " high posts and a 6' high open iron picket gate with one 89 " high (or 7' 4 " high) gate post in one of the site's two 25 ' front yard setbacks - Voss Road.
2. Requests for special exceptions to the visual obstruction regulations are made in conjunction with maintaining portions of the open iron picket fence/gate and metal posts in the 20' visibility triangles on either side of the driveway into the site from Voss Road.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the height requirement for fences when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE VISUAL OBSTRUCTION REGULATIONS:

The Board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of the visual obstruction regulations when, in the opinion of the Board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (fence height):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence height regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (visual obstruction):

Approval, subject to the following condition:

- Compliance with the submitted site plan and partial elevation is required.

Rationale:

- The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer has indicated that he has no objections to these requests.
- The applicant has substantiated how the location of portions of the existing open iron picket fence and gate located in the 20' visibility triangles on either side of the driveway into the site from Voss Road does not constitute a traffic hazard.


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
North: R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
South: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
East: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
West: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## Timeline:

December 18, 2013: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

January 15, 2014: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel B.

January 15, 2014: The Board Administrator emailed the following information to the applicant:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the January 29th deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the February $7^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the requests; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

February 4, 2014: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the February public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Current Planning Division Interim Assistant Director, the Assistant Building Official, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer, the City of Dallas Chief Arborist, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

February 6, 2014: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet regarding the applicant's request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations marked "Has no objections."

## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS (fence height):

- This request focuses on maintaining a 6 ' high open iron picket fence with 6 ' 4 " high posts and a 6' high open iron picket gate with one 89" high (or 7' 4" high) gate post in one of the site's two 25' front yard setbacks - Voss Road.
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.
- The site is located at the southeast corner of Bretton Bay Lane and Voss Road. The site has a 25 front yard setback along Bretton Bay Lane, the shorter of the two frontages, which is always deemed the front yard setback on a corner lot in a singlefamily zoning district. The site also has a 25 ' front yard setback along Voss Road, the longer of the two frontages of this corner lot, which is typically regarded as a side yard where only a 5' setback is required. But the site's Voss Road frontage is a side yard treated as a front yard setback nonetheless to maintain the continuity of the established front yard setback established by the lots developed with single family homes south of the site that front/are oriented westward towards Voss Road. Regardless of how the existing home is oriented to front onto Bretton Bay Lane (and to "side" to Voss Road), the site has two 25' front yard setbacks where the focus of the applicant's request in this application is only to maintain a fence higher than 4' in the site's front yard setback on Voss Road. No part of the application is made to address any fence in the site's Bretton Bay Lane front yard setback.
- The applicant has submitted a site plan and a partial elevation of the proposal in the front yard setback that reaches a maximum height of 89 " or 7 ' 4 ".
- The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:
- The proposal is represented as being approximately 90' in length parallel to the Voss Road and approximately 21' in length perpendicular to Voss Road on the north and south sides of the site in the Voss Road front yard setback.
- The proposal is represented as being located approximately 4' from the property line or about 16' from the Voss Road pavement line.
- The Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area and noted no other fences above 4 feet high which appeared to be located in a front yard setback.
- Two homes front the proposal neither of which have fences in their front yards.
- As of February 10, 2014, 8 letters have been submitted in support of the application and no letters have been submitted in opposition.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence height regulations of 3 ' 6 " will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting this special exception of $3^{\prime} 6$ " with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and partial elevation would require the proposal exceeding 4' in height in the front yard setback to be maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents.


## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS (visual obstruction):

- These requests focus on maintaining portions 6' high open iron picket fence with 6' 4 " high posts and a 6' high open iron picket gate with one 89 " high (or 7' 4" high) gate post in the 20 ' visibility triangles on either side of the driveway into the site from Voss Road.
- The Dallas Development Code states the following: A person shall not erect, place, or maintain a structure, berm, plant life or any other item on a lot if the item is:
- in a visibility triangle as defined in the Code (45-foot visibility triangles at street intersections, and 20 foot visibility triangles at drive approaches and at alleys on properties zoned single family); and
- between two and a half and eight feet in height measured from the top of the adjacent street curb (or the grade of the portion on the street adjacent to the visibility triangle).
- A site plan and partial elevation has been submitted indicating portions of 6' high open iron picket fence with 6' 4" high posts and a 6' high open iron picket gate with one 89 " high (or 7' 4 " high) gate post in the 20 ' visibility triangles on either side of the driveway into the site from Voss Road.
- The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer submitted a review comment sheet regarding the applicant's request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations marked "Has no objections."
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing how granting the requests for special exceptions to the visual obstruction regulations to maintain portions of 6' high open iron picket fence with 6' 4" high posts and a 6' high open iron picket gate with one 89 " high (or 7' 4" high) gate post in the two 20 ' visibility triangles on either side of the driveway into the site from Voss Road does not constitute a traffic hazard.
- Granting these requests with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and partial elevation would require the items (a 6' high open iron picket fence with 6' 4 " high posts and a 6 ' high open iron picket gate with one 89" high gate post in the 20' visibility triangles on either side of the driveway into the site from Voss Road) to be limited to and maintained in the locations, height and materials as shown on these documents.



## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Michael Spence Julie Spurn
Applicant:
 Telephone: $972.333 \quad 3499$
Mailing Address: 4202 Bretton Bay Ln_ Zip Code: 732807
E-mail Address: mike e norris 7 . com
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\qquad$ , or Special Exception $V$, of 3 Foot com The Fence l Gate has onepost that Stands 39 in Jail
in affront yard, and virility triangle e driven dy
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas
Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
A though the fence is located on a corner lot $V$ os is not a main street wlalot of traffic. The Fence is wrought Iron eos con see through it inall directions. Being that it is wrought Iron there are no visible obstructions at the corner of Doss, and Preteen Bay you can clearly see all traffic coming
 you cen clearly sec all Nom / Southbound traffic 20 fte beyond, and all sidewalls any $100 \%$, visible is bot Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a directions permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared _M, Me Seers
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject propane February 17,2017

(Affiant/Applicant's signature)
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17 th day of $\qquad$ $.20,3$


Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that MIKE SPERO
did submit a request for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations, and for a special exception to the fence height regulations
at 4202 Bretton Bay Lane

BDA134-009. Application of Mike Spero for a special exception to the visibility obstructior regulations and a special exception to the fence height regulations at 4202 Bretton Bay Lane. This property is more fully described as Lot 1 , Block C/8705, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a 20 foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a singlı family residential fence structure in a required visibility obstruction triangle, which will require a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulation, and to construct a 7 foot inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 3 foot 6 inch special exception to the fence regulation.

Sincerely,


## City of Dallas Zoning





Date $2-25-13$

1, (print name) Jake in Lon 1 Jenkins
wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Voss Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature: jell julkuvs
Âdaress:


Additional comments:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Date 12,3.17

I, (print name) RoB Cram wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Nos Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:


Address:


Dallas, D 75287

Additional comments:

Date $12 / 3 / 13$

1, (print name) Jess G lotzbach
wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Nos Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:


Address: 4222 Bretton Bay $\mathrm{Ln}_{n}$
Dallas, TX 75287

Additional comments:

Date $(2-3-13$

1, (print name) Justin Godsey \& Robyn Hennegan , wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Voss Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:
 Address: $\qquad$
Dither, AX 75287

Additional comments:

> I Frequent this intersection and do not See the fence as a precilem Nor does it obstruct. my view of timfic,

Date $12 / 2 / 13$
l, (print name)

wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Voss Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:


Address: 4207
$\qquad$

Additional comments:

Date $\qquad$

1, (print name) $\qquad$ Moore wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Voss Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:

$\qquad$

Additional comments:
Safety and home improvement should be encouraged.... not penalized! Fence is an addition that in mo way detracts from traffic safety.

Date $\qquad$

1, (print name) Bob + Carolyn Wirth wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at: 4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

I do not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Voss Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:
 Address: 4201 Bretton Bay Ln
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Additional comments:

I live across the street at 4201 Bretton Bay Lane. Actually I feel that the wrought iron fence improved tns property at 4202 Bretton Bay Lane. In addition, the homeowner was simply attempting to be able to $u_{s}=$ side yard space for a place for his children to play. The fence does not obstruct the view of anv venicies that attemot to turn onto Voss Road or Bretton Bav Lane.

It seems that the laws sometimes punish the wrong people. By constructing a 6 foot fence, the homeowner felt that he was providing better protection for his children when they want to go outside and play.

In this neighborhood, we have a safety patrol that is made up of neighbors who volunteer. This was necessary because the resources of the city of Dallas are limited, and there are not enough police to provide the protection that we wanted in our neighborhood.

It seems to me that the homeowner simply made a mistake in being more concerned with his children's safety and with being a good neighbor than trying to circumvent any regulations. I would hope that any fines be waived and permit this homeowner to keep his fence as it is.

Date $\qquad$ $12-9-13$
$l$, (print name) $\qquad$ David Marty
wish to allow this letter to serve as my statement regarding the fence recently installed at:-4202 Bretton Bay Lane, Dallas, Texas, 75287.

Ido not find the fence to obstruct my view or ability to walk the sidewalk on Nos\$ Rd, Bretton Bay Lane or any other direction. I also do not find the fence offensive in its appearance or height. I would find it only fair to allow the residents to keep their fence at the current height as it allows their children and dog, as well as many neighborhood children, to safely play along the side of their house.

Signature:
 DALLAS 75287
$\qquad$

Additional comments:
The fence is a wonderful addition to the landscape and the fact that it is wrought iron (which allows visibility) shows foresight as to it's safety impact on drivers. A solid word fence would have been a horrible choice.





NOTIFICATION

# Notification List of Property Owners 

## BDA134-009

## 25 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 4202 | BRETTON BAY |
| 2 | 4204 | BRETTON BAY |
| 3 | 4206 | BRETTON BAY |
| 4 | 4208 | BRETTON BAY |
| 5 | 4210 | BRETTON BAY |
| 6 | 17706 | VOSS |
| 7 | 17708 | VOSS |
| 8 | 17710 | VOSS |
| 9 | 4202 | HIGH STAR |
| 10 | 4204 | HIGH STAR |
| 11 | 4206 | HIGH STAR |
| 12 | 4207 | BRETTON BAY |
| 13 | 4203 | BRETTON BAY |
| 14 | 4058 | VILLA GROVE |
| 15 | 4062 | VILLA GROVE |
| 16 | 4066 | VILLA GROVE |
| 17 | 17727 | VOSS |
| 18 | 17723 | VOSS |
| 19 | 17719 | VOSS |
| 20 | 17715 | VOSS |
| 21 | 4158 | HIGH STAR |
| 22 | 4147 | BRETTON BAY |
| 23 | 4201 | BRETTON BAY |
| 24 | 4205 | BRETTON BAY |
| 25 | 4143 | BRETTON BAY |

Owner
FREY JEANNETTE
MOORE ELMER L
PHILLIPS MARIANNE S ETVIR RONNIE
PICKETT BRYAN L
CROWELL CYNTHIA LU
DEMENT GREGORY \& WENDY
ARDIZZONI DENNIS \& HAMPTON BETHANY
CRUMP KAREY LYN \& ROBERT WILLIAM JR
HASSELL DENNIS R ETUX
TOBY LEONA ANN
PEABODY RICHARD A \& TERI L
BERES FRANK S \& JENNIFER P
JENKINS JACOB \& LORI JENKINS
EIDSVIG LAUREN R
GIAMBALVO JOHN R \& EILEEN B
MERRILL THOMAS S \& JANA S
LAUDE LAWRENCE S \& LEEANN
POWELL ALLEN JR ETUX
HOLMAN JEFFREY TODD \& TINDOWEN DEMENE AD
DAVIS KUNTHEA
LEE JOHN
KOULOV KONSTANTIN M \& KALOYANOVA POLINA
WIRTH ROBERT ETUX CAROLYN
MARTIN FRED N ETUX JOYCE
MCNEECE GREGORY NEAL \& KAREN RAE

## FILE NUMBER: BDA 134-016

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Philip Charles Piccola for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations for a carport at 6520 Kenwood Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 5 and part of Lot $4 \& 6$, Block F/4815, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which requires a side yard setback of 5 feet. The applicant proposes to construct/maintain a carport structure and provide a 3 foot side yard setback, which will require a 2 foot special exception to the side yard setback regulations.

## LOCATION: 6520 Kenwood Avenue

APPLICANT: Philip Charles Piccola

## REQUEST:

A special exception to the side yard setback regulations of 2' is requested in conjunction with constructing and maintaining an approximately 310 square foot carport that would attach to a single-family home, part of which is proposed to be located in the site's eastern 5' side yard setback.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO ALLOW A CARPORT IN THE SIDE YARD:

The Board of Adjustment may grant a special exception to the minimum side yard requirements to allow a carport for a single-family or duplex use when, in the opinion of the Board, the carport will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties. In determining whether to grant a special exception, the Board shall consider the following:
(1) Whether the requested special exception is compatible with the character of the neighborhood.
(2) Whether the value of surrounding properties will be adversely affected.
(3) The suitability of the size and location of the carport.
(4) The materials to be used in construction of the carport.
(Storage of items other than motor vehicles is prohibited in a carport for which a special exception is granted in this section of the Code).

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the carport will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-7.5(\mathrm{~A})$ (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
North: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
South: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
East: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)
West: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The area to the north, east, south, and west are developed with single family uses.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## Timeline:

December 23, 2013: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

January 15, 2014: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel B.

January 15, 2014: The Board Administrator contacted the applicant and shared the following information via email:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the January 29th deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the February $7^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

January 27, 2014: The applicant submitted additional documentation on this application to the Board Administrator beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).

February 4, 2014: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the February public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Current Planning Division Interim Assistant Director, the Assistant Building Official, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer, the City of Dallas Chief Arborist, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- This request focuses on constructing and maintaining an approximately 310 square foot carport that would attach to a single-family home, part of which is located in the site's eastern 5' side yard setback.
- A 5' side yard setback is required in the R-7.5(A) zoning district.
- The applicant has submitted a site plan and an elevation indicating the location of the carport about 3' 1" away from the site's eastern side property line.
- The following information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:
- The carport is represented to be 22' 9" in length and 13.5' in width (approximately 310 square feet in total area) of which approximately 46 square feet (or approximately 15 percent) would be located in the eastern side yard setback.
- The following information was gleaned from the submitted elevation:
- The carport is represented to be approximately 13' in height with Austin stone columns and "comp. roofing."
- The subject site is approximately $142^{\prime} \times 70^{\prime}$ ( or 9,900 square feet) in area.
- The Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the area approximately 500 feet east and west of the subject site and noted no other carports that appeared to be located in a side yard setback.
- As of February 10, 2014, one petition had been submitted signed by four neighbors/owners in support of the request and no letters have been submitted in opposition.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- that granting this special exception to the side yard setback regulations of 2' will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties.
- Granting this request and imposing the following conditions would require that the carport be constructed/maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents:

1. Compliance with the submitted site plan and elevation is required.
2. The carport structure must remain open at all times.
3. No lot-to-lot drainage is permitted in conjunction with this carport special exception.
4. All applicable building permits must be obtained.
5. No item (other than a motor vehicle) may be stored in the carport.



CITY OF DALLAS
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
PANEL - B

Attach, A pg

Public Hearing Date / Time: Wednesday February 19, 2014 at 1pm (Dallas City Hall)
Request: Special exception to the side yard setback regulations for a carport at 6520 Kenwood Ave, Dallas, Texas 75214.

NEIGHBORS CONSENT

1 / We have reviewed the attached plans by Mr. Philip Piccola to construct a carport on a side yard setback on his residential property at 6520 Kenwood Ave, Dallas, Texas 75214. I / We are in agreement as this carport will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties and will be compatible with the style and character of the neighborhood.
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## City of Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEALL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

$\qquad$
Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) $\qquad$ 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
applicant: Philip (harles Piccola
Mailing Address: $\frac{6520 \text { Kenwood, } D_{A l} \| A S, T_{X}, 75214}{}$ Zip Code: 75214 E-mail Address: ppicc@swbell.net
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance , or Special Exception $\underline{\checkmark}$, of 2 Feet to the side yard set back For a carport.

[^0]Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared
 who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Respectfully submitted:


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23 day of


## Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that Philip Charles Piccola

did submit a request for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations for a carport at 6520 Kenwood Avenue

BDA134-016. Application of Philip Charles Piccola for a special exception to the side yarc setback regulations for a carport at 6520 Kenwood Avenue. This property is more fully described as Lot 5 and part of Lot 4 \& 6, Block F/4815, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which requires a side yard setback of 5 feet. The applicant proposes to construct a single family residential carport structure and provide a 3 foot side yard setback, which will require a 2 foot special exception to the side yard setback regulation.

Sincerely,


## City of Dallas Zoning







## Notification List of Property Owners

## BDA134-016

## 19 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 6520 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 2 | 6528 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 3 | 6541 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 4 | 6533 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 5 | 6527 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 6 | 6519 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 7 | 6515 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 8 | 6503 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 9 | 6504 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 10 | 6508 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 11 | 6516 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 12 | 6534 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 13 | 6542 | KENWOOD AVE |
| 14 | 6535 | BOB O LINK DR |
| 15 | 6531 | BOB O LINK DR |
| 16 | 6521 | BOB O LINK DR |
| 17 | 6517 | BOB O LINK DR |
| 18 | 6511 | BOB O LINK DR |
| 19 | 6507 | BOB O LINK DR |

Owner
PICCOLA PHILIP C
CLARK CURTIS WAYNE \& SUSAN PAULSON
VINTON DOROTHY E
SWEENEY KATHLEEN M
THOMAS ZACHARY R \& TIFFANY T
HADEN JOHN D
BAKER LENORE COUTRET
BENEDETTO VITO
CHUPIK SHARON KAY LIFE ESTATE REM: SUE G
BROWN RYAN C \& STEPHANIE L
PRICE ELIZABETH ANN
VANBLARGAN ANN K HADEN
BLEND STEPHEN B \& SHARON A
PALUSO THOMAS \& ANGELA
CONINE MICHELE \& JIM
DAUDELIN ANDREW P \& CHRISTINE
JESBERG GEOFFREY \& DONNA ELEANOR PARK
VITALE RICHARD H \& MAGDALENA
SEE ALONZO BERTRAN III \& ELIZABETH HOLME

## FILE NUMBER: BDA 134-019

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Lou Olerio, represented by Steven Wood, for a special exception to the fence height regulations and a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 6965 Abbey Court. This property is more fully described as Lot 21, Block A/2994, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a front yard setback of 25 feet. The applicant proposes to construct/maintain an 8 foot high fence, which will require a 4 foot special exception to the fence height regulations, and to construct/maintain a structure and provide a 3 foot front yard setback, which will require a 22 foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.

## LOCATION: 6965 Abbey Court

## APPLICANT: Lou Olerio

Represented by Steven Wood

## REQUESTS:

The following appeals have been made on a site that is currently undeveloped:

1. A special exception to the fence height regulations of 4 ' is requested in conjunction with constructing and maintaining an 8 ' high solid cedar wood fence in the one of the site two 25 ' front yard setbacks (Mockingbird Lane).
2. A variance to the front yard setback regulations of 22 ' is requested in conjunction with constructing and maintaining a two-story single family structure with a total under roof area of 4,926 square feet, part of which is proposed to be located in one of the site's two 25' front yard setbacks (Mockingbird Lane).
(No request has been made in this application to construct/maintain any fence or structure in the site's Abbey Court front yard setback).

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602 of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the height requirement for fences when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

The Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, offstreet parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done;
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (fence special exception):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence height regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION (variance):

Denial
Rationale:

- While staff concluded that the subject site is unique and different from most lots zoned R-7.5(A) in that it is somewhat sloped, slightly irregular in shape, and is somewhat restrictive in area due to having two front yard setbacks, the applicant had not substantiated how any or all of these features preclude him from developing the subject site (with a 4,926 "under roof square footage" single family home) in a manner commensurate with development on other similarly zoned R-7.5(A) properties.
- The site at approximately 8,600 square feet is approximately 1,100 square feet larger than most lots zoned R-7.5(A).
- While the applicant has provided two lists with addresses of lots in R-7.5(A) zoning (a "lot square footage" list and "under roof square footage" list), the information shown on the two lists are unrelated to each other, and does not show how the proposed home with approximately 4,900 under roof square footage on the approximately 8,600 square foot site is commensurate with the development/the size of houses/"under roof square footages" found on other lots in the same R-7.5(A) zoning where lots are typically 7,500 square feet in area. (The lot square footage areas of certain listed addresses in the "lot square footage" list are all larger than 7,500 square feet, and the under roof square footage areas of other certain addresses listed in the "under roof square footage" list are mostly larger than that what is proposed on the subject site).
- The proposed home on the subject site is of a size/building footprint/location that would not meet the 5 ' rear yard setback requirement if its Mockingbird Lane frontage were deemed a rear yard setback since the home is proposed to be only 3' from the Mockingbird Lane property line.


## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

| Site: | R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet) |
| :--- | :--- |
| North: | R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet) |
| South: | R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet) |
| East: | R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet) |
| West: | R-7.5(A) (Single family district 7,500 square feet) |

## Land Use:

The subject site is undeveloped. The area to the north, south, and west are developed with single family uses; the area to the east is undeveloped.

## Zoning/BDA History:

There has not been any recent related board or zoning cases recorded either on or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

## Timeline:

December 23, 2013: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

January 15, 2014: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel B.

January 15, 2014: The Board Administrator contacted the applicant's representative and shared the following information via email:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the January 29th deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the February $7^{\text {th }}$ deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

January 29, 2014: The applicant's representative submitted additional documentation on this application beyond what was submitted with the original application (see Attachment A).

February 4, 2014: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and the others scheduled for the February public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Current Planning Division Interim Assistant Director, the Assistant Building Official, the Board Administrator, the Building Inspection Senior Plans Examiner/Development Code Specialist, the Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Engineer, the City of Dallas Chief Arborist, and the Assistant City Attorney to the Board.

No review comment sheets were submitted in conjunction with this application.

## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS (fence special exception):

- This request focuses on constructing and maintaining an 8' high solid cedar wood fence in the one of the site two 25 ' front yard setbacks (Mockingbird Lane) on a site that is undeveloped.
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed 4' above grade when located in the required front yard.
- The site is located on the west side of Santa Barbara Drive between Mockingbird Lane and Abbey Court. The subject site is a lot that runs from one street to another (Mockingbird Lane on the north, Abbey Court on the south). Regardless of how the proposed single-family structure appears to be oriented southward towards Abbey Court, the site has front yard setbacks on both streets since the code states that if a lot runs from one street to another and has double frontage, a required front yard must be provided on both streets.
- The site has two 25' front yard setbacks where the focus of the applicant's request in this application is only to construct and maintain a fence higher than 4' in the site's front yard setback on Mockingbird Lane. No part of the application is made to address any fence in the site's Abbey Court front yard setback or in the site's Santa Barbara Drive side yard setback.
- The applicant has submitted a site plan and elevations of the proposal in the Mockingbird Lane front yard setback with notations indicating that the fence reaches a maximum height of 8 '.
- The following additional information was gleaned from the submitted site plan:
- The proposed fence in the Mockingbird Lane front yard setback is represented as being approximately 72 ' in length parallel to the street; and approximately 6 ' in length perpendicular to the street on the lot's east side.
- The proposal is represented as being located approximately 2' from the front property line. (No pavement line is shown on the site plan along Mockingbird Lane).
- The proposal is located on the south side of Mockingbird Lane where no house would appear to front the proposal given the topography of the site and the property immediately north.
- The Board Administrator conducted a field visit of the site and surrounding area and noted no other fences on the north side of Mockingbird Lane but noted two fences higher than 4 ' in height immediately west of the subject site.
- As of February 10, 2014, no letters have been submitted in support of or in opposition to the request.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence height regulations of 4' will not adversely affect neighboring property.
- Granting this special exception of 4' with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevations would require the proposal exceeding 4' in height in the front yard setback to be constructed and maintained in the location and of the heights and materials as shown on these documents.


## GENERAL FACTSISTAFF ANALYSIS (variance):

- This request focuses constructing and maintaining a two-story single family structure with a total under roof area of 4,926 square feet, part of which is proposed to be located in one of the site's two 25' front yard setbacks (Mockingbird Lane) on a site that is under development. (No request has been made in this application to construct/maintain any structure in the site's Abbey Court front yard setback or in the site's Santa Barbara Lane side yard setback).
- Structures on lots zoned R-7.5(A) are required to provide a minimum front yard setback of 25 .
- The subject site is a lot that runs from one street to another (Mockingbird Avenue on the north, Abbey Court on the south). Regardless of how the proposed single-family structure appears to be oriented towards Abbey Court, the site has front yard setbacks on both streets since the code states that if a lot runs from one street to another and has double frontage, a required front yard must be provided on both streets.
- The submitted site plan denotes that the proposed single family home is located as close as 3' from the site's Mockingbird Avenue front property line or 22' into this 25' front yard setback.
- According to calculations taken from the site plan, about 800 square feet (or approximately 30 percent) of the proposed approximately 2,700 square foot lower floor building footprint is to be located in the site's Mockingbird Avenue 25' front yard setback.
- The subject site is somewhat sloped, somewhat irregular in shape, and according to the applicant's representative, is 8,632 square feet in area. The site is zoned R7.5(A) where lots typically are 7,500 square feet in area.
- The site has two front 25' front yard setbacks; and two 5' side yard setbacks; most R-7.5(A) residentially-zoned lots have one 25' front yard setback, two 5' side yard setbacks, and one 5' rear yard setback. (Note that even if this were a typical R7.5(A) zoned lot with one front yard, two side yards, and one rear yard, the applicant would still be required to seek a variance to the rear yard setback with the size home and location he is proposing on the site since he proposes to provide a 3' setback from the Mockingbird Lane property line and a 5' rear yard setback would be required if Mockingbird Lane were deemed a rear yard on this property).
- According to DCAD records, there are "no main improvements" at 6965 Abbey Court.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:
- That granting the variance to the Mockingbird Lane front yard setback regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of the subject site that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that the subject site cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land in districts with the same R-7.5(A) zoning classification.
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing this parcel of land (the subject site) not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land in districts with the same R-7.5(A) zoning classification.
- If the Board were to grant the variance request, and impose the submitted site plan as a condition, the structure in the front yard setback would be limited to what is shown on this document- which in this case is a structure to be located as close as 3 ' from the site's Mockingbird Lane front property line (or 22' into this 25' front yard setback).
(A)
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# To Board Of Adjustments Members/Whom It May Concern 

Regarding BDA134-019, property at 6965 Abbey Court

We, the property owners, are requesting a Special Exception to the Residential Fence Height Regulation, and a Variance to the Front Yard set back. In this request we are asking for a 4' special exception allowing us to build an 8 ' fence along the rear of the yard along Mockingbird Lane which is considered street frontage, making there be 2 front yards. The request for the front yard set back is to build a house that is in commensurate to the zoning district, which is a R7.5(see table A showing the comparison of other properties). With the location of this property we are presented with 3 street frontages, making there 2 front yard setbacks creating a major hardship on this property, making our buildable area on this property significantly smaller that it would be if there was only one front yard setback like a normal residential lot. This house will be built commensurate with other houses with approximately same square footage in this zoning district. Also, with this lot being only 8,632 square feet, it makes this piece of property making it smaller than a lot of the others in this neighborhood and zoning district (see table B for further details of lot sizes). This creating a second hardship on this property not allowing us to develop this lot commensurate with the other lots. As well as this lots shape creates difficultly building the house, with how the setbacks run along side and froth yards, creating our third hardship.

The fence height special exception is also being requested because this house has 2 front yards making the development of fences on this property limited to $4^{\prime}$ in the rear, which is considered front yard. We are requesting a 4' special exception to build an 8 ' high privacy fence with a small section of this fence running along Santa Barbra Dr. and the other running along a elevated portion of Mockingbird Lane. Mockingbird Lane has no available access to this property due to the fact of a berm and the elevation of Mockingbird Lane, making this fence very difficult in visibility to. Mockingbird Lane. This fence would not be constructed in any visibility corner clips nor obstructing any driving visibility along the frontage streets. There currently is no landscaping that would be between the fence and the street frontages, for the most part in reason that the street frontage is separated by a large berm and the landscaping if provided would never be seen by traffic or pedestrians.

# BDAB4-019 

Attach $A$
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Thanks for you time and consideration,

Steven Wood
Consultant
Texas Permit and Development
PO Box 3293
Forney, Texas 75126
(c) 817-682-7218

Address
Lot Square footage
6965 Abbey Court* 8,623

6973 Dalhart Lane 9,323

6959 Sperry Street 9,765

7087 Chantilly Lane 12,934

6818 Vada Dr 12,609

4617 Rockaway 10,686

6831 Blessing 11,643

Table A

## Address

Under Roof Square Footage 6965 Abbey Court* 4,926

6921 Delrose

5,077
6922 Wabash Cir 5,008

6700 Meadow Lake
6,206
6735 Westlake
6,717
6665 Lakeshore Dr
6,037

APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

$$
\text { Case No.: BDA } 134-019
$$

Data Relative to Subject Property:
Date:


Location address: $\qquad$ Gales Abbey $\qquad$
Lot No.: $\qquad$ Block No.: A $244 /$ Acreage: $\qquad$ Census Tract: 80.00

Street Frontage (in Feet): 1) $\qquad$ 50 2) 30 3) $\qquad$ 106 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): $\qquad$
Applicant: Con Olen Lou Olerio Trephone: $214-394-3688$
Mailing Adderss:SO2S~Central \#2020 zip code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$ 6827218
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Po Poor 3293 Zip Code: $\qquad$
Emil Address:-Sturn@typermit.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made fora Varaiance-or Special Exception 4 , $\mathrm{o}^{t}$, fence
theist and front gard \& \& lt bed of Ri z'verciance in the front yard.

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
Requiting a 4'speciel exeeptics toladi an 8' ft the le fence to mater the mergWhoohord and zoning ostrich also requesting a $22^{\prime}$ speishle exeyptisn to the the nt gard set backer eng. to the weald the we now move than one property hard skip Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.


Subscribed and sworn to berore me tn is $\qquad$ 9 day of $\qquad$ December 2013
(Rev. 08-01-11)



## Building Official＇s Report

I hereby certify that
represented by did submit a request

## LOU OLERIO

Steven Wood
for a special exception to the fence height regulations，and for a variance to the front yard setback regulations
at 6965 Abbey Court

BDA134－019．Application of Lou Olerio represented by Steven Wood for a special exception to the fence height regulations and a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 6965 Abbey Court．This property is more fully described as Lot 21，Block A／2994，and is zoned R－7．5（A），which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 feet and requires a front yard setback of 25 feet．The applicant proposes to construct an 8 foot high fence in a required front yard，which will require a 4 foot special exception to the fenct regulation，and to construct a single family residential structure and provide a 3 foot front yard setback，which will require a 22 foot variance to the front yard setback regulation．

Sincerely，
Ron

## City of Dallas Zoning



$h \exists 888$



# Notification List of Property Owners BDA134-019 

## 12 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 6965 | ABBEY CT | BRUCE AARON \& LIZ |
| 2 | 6984 | SANTA BARBARA DR | LOCKE GARY H |
| 3 | 6923 | BLESSING DR | HAMLIN BRANDY |
| 4 | 6951 | ABBEY CT | BABB D KENDACE \& EMILY C |
| 5 | 6955 | ABBEY CT | OHALLORAN MICHAEL \& ALISON |
| 6 | 6959 | ABBEY CT | BELL CLINTON E |
| 7 | 6961 | ABBEY CT | CHAPMAN JO ANN |
| 8 | 6943 | INVERNESS LN | WEGNER WADE R \& AMBER CAMP |
| 9 | 6958 | ABBEY CT | BRYANT JONATHAN W |
| 10 | 4532 | SANTA BARBARA DR | ROSENTHAL COREY \& MARY |
| 11 | 4526 | SANTA BARBARA DR | BAJPAI MUNI \& ANITA PUNJABI |
| 12 | 401 | BUCKNER BLVD | DART |


[^0]:    Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
    The special exception will net adversely affect neighboring property in that the, attached carport will be con strutted in a manner that will reflect the existing style of the original structure of the house (including 2 Austin stone pillars aped roofing material) and will blend in with the existing architecture of the neighborhood. Adjacent neighbors are in favor. Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

